

#### SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN **REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

#### **KENOSHA COUNTY**

#### **RACINE COUNTY** Jonathan Delagrave

James A. Ladwig

Peggy L. Shumway

Steve Bostrom Aloysius Nelson Robert W. Pitts

#### **MILWAUKEE COUNTY**

Donna Brown-Martin Theodore Lipscomb, Sr. Adam M. Tindall-Schlicht Brian E. Holt

#### WALWORTH COUNTY

Charles L. Colman, Chairman Mary Knipper

#### **OZAUKEE COUNTY**

Thomas H. Buestrin Gustav W. Wirth, Jr., Secretary Vacant

#### WASHINGTON COUNTY

Jeffrey D. Schleif Daniel S. Schmidt David L. Stroik, Treasurer

#### WAUKESHA COUNTY

Michael A. Crowley, Vice-Chairman José M. Delgado James T. Dwyer

#### SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF

Kevin J. Muhs, PE, AICP	Executive Director
Benjamin R. McKay, AICP	Deputy Director
Joel E. Dietl, AICP	Chief Land Use Planner
Laura K. Herrick, PE, CFM	Chief Environmental Engineer
Christopher T. Hiebert, PE	Chief Transportation Engineer
Elizabeth A. Larsen, SPHR, SHRM-SC	PDirector of Administration
Eric D. Lynde	Chief Special Projects Planner
Rob W. Merry, PLS	Chief Surveyor
Nakeisha N. PayneP	ublic Involvement and Outreach Manager
Dr. Thomas M. Slawski	Chief Biologist

Special Acknowledgement is due to Laura K. Herrick, PE, CFM, Chief Environmental Engineer; Julia C. Orlowski, PE, Engineer; Zijia Li, PE, Engineer; Megan I. Deau, Senior Graphic Designer; and Timothy R. Gorsegner, GIS Specialist for their contributions to the conduct of this study and the preparation of this report.

MEMORANDUM REPORT NUMBER 241

#### A WATERCOURSE SYSTEM PLAN FOR SCHOONMAKER CREEK

Prepared by the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission W239 N1812 Rockwood Drive P.O. Box 1607 Waukesha, Wisconsin 53187-1607 www.sewrpc.org

December 2020

#### A WATERCOURSE SYSTEM PLAN FOR SCHOONMAKER CREEK

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This report summarizes the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission's (SEWRPC) effort to evaluate existing flood risk in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed and to develop 16 alternative stormwater management plans to mitigate those flood risks. This analysis expands upon work done in 2011 by RA Smith, Inc., who analyzed flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed and developed six alternatives to mitigate flooding at the request of the City of Wauwatosa.

The Schoonmaker Creek watershed encompasses roughly 1,100 acres (1.7 square miles) in the City of Wauwatosa and the City of Milwaukee. Much of the original Schoonmaker Creek now flows underground through stormwater sewer systems, except for a short reach in the City of Wauwatosa where it flows in an open channel. It then flows through an enclosure that daylights just south of W. State Street where Schoonmaker Creek discharges to the Menomonee River.

The watershed has experienced numerous heavy storm events since 1986, causing flooding to streets, homes, and businesses due to undersized storm sewer capacity, a confined open channel, and inadequate enclosure capacity at the downstream end of the watershed.

#### ANALYSIS

To estimate flooding extents under existing conditions and proposed alternatives, two models were utilized. SEWRPC staff expanded upon the XPStorm modeling done by R.A. Smith, Inc. to develop flows and estimate surface water ponding throughout the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Based on the XPStorm analysis, under existing conditions over 250 structures in the storm sewer system upstream (north) of W. Lloyd Street may be impacted by peak storm ponding on streets for the one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) event. The City of Wauwatosa has jurisdiction to develop projects to reduce surface ponding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed storm sewer system. SEWRPC staff also developed a HEC-RAS model to delineate the 0.2-, one-, two-, and 10-percent-annual-probability floodplains from the upstream end of the open channel at W. Lloyd Street to the confluence with the Menomonee River. The floodplain delineations showed that under existing conditions an estimated 46 structures would experience flood damage during a one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) event. The Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District (MMSD) has jurisdiction to implement projects to reduce flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek mainstem from W. Lloyd Street to its confluence with the Menomonee River.

#### **SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES**

Sixteen alternatives were developed and summarized in this report. Alternatives 1 through 3 were developed solely to reduce the flooding risk for the 46 structures in the enclosure area that are included in the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain. Alternatives 4 through 16 provide the same protection for the 46 structures, and include options to relieve street ponding in the storm sewer system in the upper reaches of the watershed, and to various degrees impacted peak flows to the existing open channel section. Alternatives 4 through 16 included sewer improvements, an open channel design, storage alternatives, and sewer and channel bypass alternatives. Additionally, green infrastructure components were evaluated for their ability to supplement the flood alternatives.

#### **EVALUATION OF THE ALTERNATIVES**

The 16 alternatives were analyzed and compared for flood reduction impacts, cost, and implementability. Planning level costs were estimated for each alternative. Planning level costs for the three alternatives to solely protect the impacted 46 structures in the enclosure area ranged from \$5.6 million to \$6.7 million. The remaining 13 alternatives that also relieved the upper watershed street ponding had planning level costs that ranged from \$20.4 million to \$69.2 million.

#### **FUTURE WORK**

The City of Wauwatosa will need to consult with local officials, staff, and residents to determine which of these 16 alternatives are preferable. From there, the City of Wauwatosa should further refine the preferred alternative(s) and conduct a more detailed study of their expected costs and impacts.

СН	APTER 2	
ΗY	DROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS	5
2.1	PREVIOUS WORK SUMMARY	5
2.2	EXISTING CONDITION MODEL UPDATES	5
2.3	CRITICAL DURATION ANALYSIS	8
2.4	HISTORICAL STORM REVIEW	8
2.5	SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS	10
	XPStorm Modeling	10
	Schoonmaker Creek Floodplain	12
	Estimation of Potential Flood Damages	13
	Flooding of Buildings	
	Expected Annual Flood Risks	19
СН	APTER 3	
AL1	FERNATIVES TO ADDRESS FLOODING	
3.1	ALTERNATIVES TO ADDRESS FLOODING AT ENCLOSURE AREA	21
	Alternative 1 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure	21
	Alternative 2 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	21
	Alternative 3 – Inline Storage	25
3.2	ALTERNATIVES DESIGNED TO ADDRESS	
	WAUWATOSA SEWER AREA STREET PONDING	25
	Storm Sewer Conveyance Alternatives	25
	Alternative 4 – 25-Year Sewer	27
	Alternative 5 – 100-Year Sewer	
	Alternative 6 – 100-Year Sewer Extended	34
	Alternative 7 – East Milwaukee Sewer Additional Pipe	40
	Alternative 8 – East Milwaukee Sewer New Pipe	40
	Open Channel Alternative	42
	Alternative 9 – Open Channel	42
	Storage Alternatives	46
	Alternative 10 – North Storage	46
	Alternative 11 – South Storage	46
	Alternative 12 – North and South Storage	50
	Sewer and Channel Bypass Alternatives	50
	Alternative 13 – 25-Year Sewer and Tunnel	50
	Alternative 14 – 100-Year Sewer and Tunnel	56
	Alternative 15 – 100-Year Sewer Extended and Tunnel	56
	Alternative 16 – 100-Year Sewer with Open Channel Bypass	57
3.3	GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	57
СН	APTER 4	
EV/	ALUATION OF THE ALTERNATIVES	61
4.1	PEAK FLOWS TO THE OPEN CHANNEL AND MENOMONEE RIVER	61
4.2	PLANNING LEVEL COSTS	61
4.3	IMPLEMENTABILITY	63
4.4	EFFECTIVENESS OF PROTECTION	64
4.5	PUBLIC INPUT	64
	January 24, 2017 Neighborhood Group Meeting	64
	November 13, 2017 Public Open House	65
	Summary of Major Themes in Stakeholder Comments	
	from the November 13, 2017, Open House	65

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND ......1

**CHAPTER 1** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDI SCHOONI BY R.A. SI	K A MAKER CREEK WATERSHED STUDY MITH NATIONAL
APPENDI EXISTING	K B CONDITION XPSTORM INPUT FILE107
APPENDIX RAINFALL	K C . DEPTHS AND DURATIONS161
APPENDIX ALTERNA	K D FIVES 4 AND 5 SEWER SCHEDULES167
APPENDI SCHOONI	K E MAKER CREEK IMPACT ON THE MENOMONEE RIVER
APPENDI PLANNIN	K F G LEVEL COST ESTIMATE DETAILS173
APPENDIX PUBLIC O	K G PEN HOUSE PRESENTATIONS189
LIST OF F	GURES
<b>Chapter 2</b> Figure 2.1	Flooding at N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue in Wauwatosa on June 18, 201812
<b>Chapter 3</b> Figure 3.1	Schoonmaker Creek Restored Channel Cross Sections
<b>Appendix E</b> Figure E.1 Figure E.2	Hydrograph Comparison – 100-Year 6-Hour Storm
	IAPS
<b>Chapter 1</b> Map 1.1	Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Civil Divisions
<b>Chapter 2</b> Map 2.1 Map 2.2	Schoonmaker Creek Watershed XPSTORM Model for Existing Conditions
Map 2.3 Map 2.4	Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Reference Locations for Peak Ponding Depths11 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Existing Conditions Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm
Map 2.5	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Existing Conditions Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm
Map 2.6	Schoonmaker Creek Non-Regulatory One-Percent-Annual-Probability Floodplain17

	_
	-
	_
- 1	
- 7	
	$\sim$
	_

Chapter 3		
Map 3.1	Schoonmaker Creek Study Focus Areas With July 2010 Storm Results	22
Map 3.2	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 1: Relief Pipe at Enclosure	23
Map 3.3	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 2: Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	24
Map 3.4	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 3: Inline Storage	26
Map 3.5	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 4: 25-Year Sewer	28
Map 3.6	Bridge and Enclosure Area Improvement Locations	
	for Alternatives: 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 16	29
Map 3.7	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternatives 4 and 13	
	Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	31
Map 3.8	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternatives 4 and 13	
	Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	
Map 3.9	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 5: 100-Year Recurrence Interval Sewer	33
Map 3.10	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternatives 5, 14, and 16	
	Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	35
Map 3.11	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternatives 5, 14, and 16	
	Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	
Map 3.12	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 6:	
	100-Year Recurrence Interval Sewer Extended	37
Map 3.13	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTURM Grid Results Alternatives 6 and 15	20
Mar. 2.14	Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	
Map 3.14	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTURM Reach Results Alternatives 6 and 15	20
	Peak Conveyence Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	
Map 3.15	Schoonmaker Creek Alternatives / and 8: East Milwaukee Sewer Alternatives	41
Map 3.16	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 9: Open Channel	43
wap 3.17	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORIN Ghu Results Alternative 9 Peak Streat Pending Denths During 100 Year Pesurrange Interval Storm	11
Map 2 10	Schoopmaker Crock VDSTODM Deach Decults Alternative 0	44
Map 5.10	Poak Convoyance Pocults for 100-Voar Pocurrence Interval Storm	15
Map 2 19	Schoopmaker Crock Alternatives: 10, 11, and 12 Storage Areas	45
Map 3.19	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 10	
Map 5.20	Peak Street Ponding Denths During 100-Vear Recurrence Interval Storm	48
Man 3 21	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 10	
1110p 3.21	Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	49
Man 3 22	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 11	
111ap 3.22	Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	51
Map 3 23	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 11	
	Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	52
Map 3.24	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 12	
	Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	
Map 3.25	Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 12	
-	Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm	54
Map 3.26	Schoonmaker Creek Alternatives 13, 14, and 15: Tunnel Bypass	
Map 3.27	Open Channel Bypass Sewer and Enclosure	
	Area Improvements for Alternative 16	58
Map 3.28	Schoonmaker Creek Subwatersheds for Green Infrastructure Analysis	60
•	,	

#### LIST OF TABLES

#### Chapter 2

Table 2.1	Design Storm Characteristics NOAA Atlas 14, 3-Hour Storm Event	8
Table 2.2	Peak Roadway Ponding Depths in the Study Area During	
	July 2010 Storm Event (XPStorm Model Results)	10
Table 2.3	Peak Roadway Ponding Depths in the Study Area During	
	100-Year 3-Hour Storm Event (XPStorm Model Results)	13
Table 2.4	Schoonmaker Creek Open Channel Peak Flow Rates	
	Existing Land Use and Channel Conditions	16

Table 2.5	Schoonmaker Creek Overland Flow Route and Enclosure Peak	16
Table 2.6	Inventory of Buildings Located Within	
	10- Through 0.2-Percent-Annual-Probability Floodplains	
Table 2.7	Schoonmaker Creek Total Flood Damages	
Table 2.8	Schoonmaker Creek Average Annual Flood Damages	19
Chapter 3		50
lable 3.1	Schoonmaker Creek Green Infrastructure Root Area Summary	
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1	XPStorm Peak Flow Comparison at Receiving Stream	
	One-Percent-Annual-Probability Storm	62
Table 4.2	Planning Level Costs	63
Table 4.3	Schoonmaker Creek Alternative Summary	66

On January 29, 2014, the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District (MMSD) requested that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC) perform a planning study of alternative approaches to resolving stormwater management and flooding problems in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed, which is a subwatershed of the Menomonee River watershed. Numerous flooding events have occurred between 1986 and 2018 in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed, both in the upper and lower portions served by storm sewers, as well as in the small middle section that remains an open channel.

The City of Wauwatosa has jurisdiction to develop projects to reduce surface ponding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed storm sewer system. MMSD has jurisdiction to implement projects to reduce flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek mainstem from W. Lloyd Street to its confluence with the Menomonee River.

Prior to the 2014 MMSD request, R.A. Smith National, Inc. (now R.A. Smith, Inc.) conducted a study of the Creek for the City of Wauwatosa, involving hydrologic and hydraulic analyses using an XPStorm model of existing and alternative conditions in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. R.A. Smith, Inc. documented their findings in a report titled "Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study", dated December 29, 2011 (Appendix A). The report identified several problem flooding areas and presented six alternatives to resolve the Schoonmaker Creek stormwater flooding in the City of Wauwatosa portion of the watershed. Four of the alternatives were determined to be feasible: two alternatives with storm sewer improvements, one relief tunnel alternative, and an underground storage alternative.

#### **1.1 PLAN GOALS AND MAJOR TASKS**

The original goals for this planning study as identified in the scope of work<sup>1</sup> are listed below:

- Address the stormwater flooding problems in the City of Wauwatosa north of W. Lloyd Street as identified in the December 2011 R.A. Smith, Inc. report
- Address the flooding problem along W. State Street between N. 60th Street and N. 63rd Street in the context of the ongoing MMSD Western Milwaukee flood mitigation project
- Identify and address potential stormwater flooding problems in the City of Milwaukee portion of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed
- Address additional flooding problems that may be identified as part of this study

Based on the above goals, the major plan tasks completed by SEWRPC staff as part of this plan include the following:

- 1. Perform hydrologic and hydraulic analyses using the XPStorm model and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) HEC-RAS river analysis system program
- 2. Analyze conveyance and storage alternatives to address Schoonmaker Creek watershed flooding in the Cities of Wauwatosa and Milwaukee
- 3. Compute the 50-, 10-, four-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability (two-, 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year recurrence interval, respectively) water surface profiles along the open channel reach of Schoonmaker Creek for existing year land use and existing channel conditions; delineate the one-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scope of Work for the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District Schoonmaker Creek Watercourse System Plan (January 29, 2014, revised April 14, 2014).

and 0.2-percent-annual-probability floodplain boundaries and the one-percent-annual-probability floodway boundary along the open channel and enclosure area reaches of the Creek

- 4. Prepare meeting materials and attend meetings with staffs of the Cities of Wauwatosa and Milwaukee, as well as meetings with residents of the study area
- 5. Prepare a planning report summarizing the study

SEWRPC staff updated the R.A Smith, Inc. XPStorm model to evaluate the entire Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Currently, no FEMA regulatory floodplain exists for Schoonmaker Creek, and for this reason a USACE HEC-RAS hydraulic model of the open channel and enclosure area portions of Schoonmaker Creek was developed to identify structures in the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain. Sixteen alternatives were evaluated for their ability to reduce flooding in the downstream (southern) portion of the watershed and the upstream (northern) sewered areas in the Cities of Wauwatosa and Milwaukee. SEWRPC also completed planning level cost estimates for each of the 16 draft alternatives. The alternatives were primarily intended to mitigate potential flood damages for 46 buildings located within the non-regulatory one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) floodplain in the southern part of the watershed near the enclosed section of Schoonmaker Creek. The alternative plans were also evaluated for their ability to reduce street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa sewered areas during a one-percent-annual-probability storm event and reduce peak flows in the open channel section of Schoonmaker Creek.

All of the alternatives discussed in this report were developed to reduce flooding caused by Schoonmaker Creek. MMSD is currently working on a project in the vicinity of the Schoonmaker Creek outlet, which is called the Western Milwaukee Phase 2B project.<sup>2</sup> The Phase 2B project is a levee project, with the intent of providing flood risk reduction for high Menomonee River water levels. The Phase 2B project includes a levee to manage Menomonee River flooding, and interior drainage storm sewers to manage ponding behind the levee to meet FEMA requirements on W. State Street in the area of the Schoonmaker Creek enclosure. The FEMA levee and interior drainage requirements will be used to map the updated Menomonee River FEMA floodplain once the Phase 2B project is complete. These requirements include a wider range of Menomonee River high water levels than what was analyzed in this report, and results in several structures remaining in the Menomonee River flooding caused by flood flows on Schoonmaker Creek.

#### **1.2 DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA**

The Schoonmaker Creek watershed is approximately 1,100 acres (1.7 square-miles) in area and includes portions of the City of Milwaukee and the City of Wauwatosa, as seen in Map 1.1. The watershed is predominantly residential and commercial land use, has curb and gutter streets, and has a relatively steep average land slope of three percent. The watershed boundaries were determined by R.A. Smith, Inc. using topographic mapping and storm sewer system maps. The watershed is bounded approximately by W. Burleigh Street and W. Lisbon Avenue to the north, by N. 80th Street to the west, by N. 56th Street to the east, and by W. State Street to the south. A majority of the upstream portion of the former Schoonmaker Creek channel has been enclosed in storm sewers within the City of Wauwatosa, except through the Washington Highlands neighborhood from W. Lloyd Street to Milwaukee Avenue. At Milwaukee Avenue, the stream enters a large enclosure that extends to W. State Street. Schoonmaker Creek resurfaces as an open channel south of W. State Street, just upstream of the confluence with the Menomonee River.

#### Map 1.1 Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Civil Divisions



#### HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

2

This section discusses the baseline Schoonmaker Creek existing conditions modeling effort completed for this study. Existing conditions represent year 2019 as the watershed is urbanized and fully developed. It documents the progression of the XPStorm existing conditions model used to develop flows and model the hydraulic components of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Flows developed in the updated existing conditions XPStorm model were then used to delineate the 0.2-, one-, two-, and 10-percent-annual-probability floodplains for the open channel and enclosure areas of Schoonmaker Creek. Flood damage estimates were completed for structures impacted by the delineated floodplains.

Throughout this plan the storm and flooding events will be described by a percent-annual-probability, which represents the percent chance the event will occur in any single year. Storm events can also be described by year recurrence interval and the relationship between the two descriptions are included below for reference.

- 0.2-percent-annual-probablity event is equivalent to the 500-year recurrence interval event
- One-percent-annual-probability event is equivalent to the 100-year recurrence interval event
- Two-percent-annual-probability event is equivalent to the 50-year recurrence interval event
- Four-percent-annual-probability event is equivalent to the 25-year recurrence interval event
- 10-percent-annual-probability event is equivalent to the 10-year recurrence interval event
- 50-percent-annual-probability event is equivalent to the two-year recurrence interval event

#### 2.1 PREVIOUS WORK SUMMARY

Modeling analyses for the Schoonmaker Creek watershed were first completed by R.A. Smith, Inc. and were documented in the draft "Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study", dated December 29, 2011 (Appendix A). The modeling was completed using the 2011 version of the XPStorm proprietary software, which is supported by XP Solutions, Inc. The R.A. Smith, Inc. XPStorm model included a hydrologic representation of the watershed and a hydraulic representation of storm sewers and open channel portions of the Schoonmaker Creek drainage system. The hydrologic model used historical rainfall data from a storm in July 2010, as well as design storm events utilizing the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) 24-hour duration storm, Type II rainfall distribution, and rainfall volumes from SEWRPC Technical Report 40.<sup>3</sup> Modeled design storms included the 10-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability storms. The hydraulic model also included select street reaches explicitly in the XPStorm model as well as a topographic representation of the watershed via a gridded surface. The grid allowed runoff that was not captured by a modeled sewer or street feature to flow overland until encountering a modeled feature that had capacity. Additional details regarding the R.A. Smith, Inc. modeling can be found in the 2011 draft report included in Appendix A.

#### 2.2 EXISTING CONDITION MODEL UPDATES

SEWRPC staff reviewed the year 2011 R.A. Smith, Inc. model in XPStorm version 2014 SP1, and made the following updates to the model for this planning study to refine the model, reflect projects that have occurred since the R.A. Smith model was developed, and to account for updated rainfall standards. Updates to the XPStorm hydrologic model included refining drainage basins for the City of Milwaukee sewer serving the eastern side of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed and updating the design rainfall events. XPStorm hydraulic model updates included a revision of the enclosure south of W. State Street to represent the MMSD daylighting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SEWRPC Technical Report No. 40, Rainfall Frequency in the Southeastern Wisconsin Region, April 2000.

project, providing additional detail to the City of Milwaukee sewers serving the east side of the watershed, and a re-evaluation of the Menomonee River water level used for the Schoonmaker Creek outlet condition (also called the tailwater) in the XPStorm model.

The first XPStorm hydrologic update included subdividing and refining basins 744, 745, and 746 into 14 basins along the eastern edge of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed to better represent the contributing drainage areas to the City of Milwaukee storm sewers serving this area. As was done for the R.A. Smith, Inc. work, the NRCS Technical Release 55 (TR-55) method was used to develop composite curve numbers and time of concentration values for the refined basins.

The other major update to the R.A. Smith, Inc. XPStorm hydrologic model was to update design rainfall events to the NOAA Atlas 14<sup>4</sup> rainfall standards. NOAA Atlas 14 rainfall totals were utilized with the 2006 SEWRPC rainfall distribution.<sup>5</sup> Rainfall totals were taken from NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Frequency Estimates<sup>6</sup> for the National Weather Service (NWS) Mount Mary College COOP gaging station (47-5474) which is located near the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. The rainfall information in NOAA Atlas 14 supersedes that found in SEWRPC Technical Report No. 40. The SEWRPC storm distribution issued on March 30, 2006, was used in the XPStorm hydrologic model and a critical duration evaluation was completed as discussed below.

The first modification to the XPStorm hydraulic model included an update to the enclosure at the downstream end of Schoonmaker Creek where it discharges to the Menomonee River. Schoonmaker Creek was daylighted from a box culvert to an open channel in this section as part of the MMSD Western Milwaukee Flood Management Project Phase 2A, completed in December 2015. Model updates were based on the November 2014 as-bid plans for the Phase 2A project.<sup>7</sup> Enclosure changes extended from W. State Street approximately 230 feet downstream.

The City of Milwaukee storm sewers that collect runoff along and east of N. 60th Street were refined in the XPStorm model using data from the City of Milwaukee. This sewer line was refined to more accurately represent surcharged conditions that cause overflows that move west along streets into the City of Wauwatosa portion of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. The City of Milwaukee sewer data included GIS manhole information (dated 2014) and a spreadsheet of pipe sizes between City manholes (dated 2015). The City of Milwaukee N. 60th Street sewer drains south to W. Vliet Street where it drops approximately 40 feet into a large storm sewer that drains east under W. Vliet Street out of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. The updated existing conditions XPStorm model schematic is included as Map 2.1.

SEWRPC staff also evaluated the Schoonmaker Creek tailwater condition included in the XPStorm hydraulic model that represents water levels on the Menomonee River. It was determined that Schoonmaker Creek flows would peak prior to the Menomonee River during a three-hour storm, therefore a free outfall condition<sup>8</sup> was used for modeling both existing conditions as well as the alternatives. This evaluation is discussed in detail in the "Evaluation of the Alternatives" section of this report. The free outfall condition produces an existing conditions one-percent-annual-probability water level approximately two feet deep at the outlet of the enclosure south of W. State Street. This translates to a little under half full for the existing double 5-foot x 9-foot (ft) reinforced concrete box (RCB) culverts at the enclosure outlet. As a check, a Menomonee River water elevation of 50.5 feet in City of Wauwatosa datum (630.8 in NGVD29) was evaluated in the XPStorm model as well, which represents the 10-percent-annual-probability level for the river.<sup>9</sup> The 10-percent-annual-probability

<sup>4</sup> NOAA Atlas 14, Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States, Volume 8, Version 2.0: Midwestern States (Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Wisconsin), 2013.

<sup>5</sup> www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPCFiles/Environment/Rainfall/sewrpc-wdnr-rainfall-data-analysis-procedure.pdf.

<sup>6</sup> The NOAA Atlas 14 data can be found at hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/.

<sup>7</sup> Western Milwaukee Flood Management Project Phase 2A, MMSD, Attachment No. 2 to Addendum No. 5, Bid-November 2014.

<sup>8</sup> A free outfall condition for this effort means that the Menomonee River is low enough that the final pipe cross section(s) under W. State Street control the water surface elevation at the modeled system outlet. This is equivalent to nonflood times, when the Menomonee River water level is within its channel banks.

<sup>9</sup> Menomonee River Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) submitted by the Cities of Milwaukee and Wauwatosa in December 2014. The CLOMR was issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) on April 21, 2016.



0 500 1,000 1,500 2,000 Feet Source: SEWRPC

water level on the Menomonee River only impacted water levels in the enclosed portion of Schoonmaker Creek south of W. Martin Drive, based on the updated XPStorm model for the one-percent-annual-probability storm. There was no change to flood water levels in the storm sewer system upstream of W. Martin Drive. The Schoonmaker Creek updated existing conditions XPStorm input file is included in Appendix B.

#### 2.3 CRITICAL DURATION ANALYSIS

A critical duration analysis was performed using Table 2.1 the updated existing conditions XPStorm model to Design Storm Characteristics NOAA determine the rainfall duration that produces the highest peak flows on the Schoonmaker Creek open channel. Rainfall totals for the critical duration analysis were taken from NOAA Atlas 14 for the NWS Mount Mary College gaging station (47-5474). The 50-percent and one-percent-annual-probability storm events were evaluated for the 1-, 3-, 6-, 12-, and 24-hour durations using the SEWRPC rainfall distribution.<sup>10</sup> XPStorm peak flows for each storm event and duration were compiled for the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek between W. Lloyd Street and Milwaukee Avenue. The 3-hour duration storm produced the largest peak flows

## Atlas 14, 3-Hour Storm Event\*

Storm Event (Recurrence Interval)	Depth (inches)
50% annual-probability (2-year)	1.72
10% annual-probability (10-year)	2.62
4% annual-probability (25-year)	3.23
2% annual-probability (50-year)	3.75
1% annual-probability (100-year)	4.28
0.2% annual-probability (500-year)	5.67

\*National Weather Service Mount Mary gage

Source: SEWRPC

for both the 50-percent and the one-percent-annual-probability storms in the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek. Therefore the 3-hour storm was selected to develop the Schoonmaker Creek floodplains and for evaluating the alternatives. NOAA Atlas 14 design storm rainfall totals for the 3-hour event for the NWS Mount Mary College gage are listed in Table 2.1. NOAA Atlas 14 precipitation frequency estimates for the Mount Mary gage for various storm recurrence intervals and durations are included in Appendix C.

#### 2.4 HISTORICAL STORM REVIEW

Several historical storms were modeled in XPStorm as part of the flooding analysis of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. This was done to confirm the representation of the watershed in the model was reasonable. The Milwaukee area has experienced several severe rainfall events, including storms in August 1986, June 1997, August 1998, June 2008, July 2010, and June 2018. Limited data details were available for the 1986, 1997, and 1998 events, but most of these events were the most intense for a 6-hour to 24-hour duration. The historical July 2010 flooding event was used for this analysis because it was an intense, relatively short storm event, which tends to cause higher flood flows in a small, steep watershed like Schoonmaker Creek. This watershed response was also shown by the critical duration analysis discussed above. The hyetographs (rainfall depth over time) for the 2010 and 2018 historical events discussed below and the NOAA Atlas 14 design events are also documented in Appendix C.

In order to model the July 22, 2010, flooding event, rainfall data recorded at the U.S. Geological Survey gaging station on the Menomonee River at N. 70th Street was used. The City of Wauwatosa operates a rain gage at City Hall, but this gage data was not used for this event as it did not accurately archive the extremely intense rainfall. The July 2010 storm resulted in approximately 5.5 inches of rain falling over a 24-hour period and had a peak 3-hour total rainfall of 4.2 inches. The 24-hour total rainfall volume of this storm is between a two-percent- and a one-percent-annual-probability event. The 3-hour total rainfall volume of this storm is approximately a one-percent-annual-probability event. The peak street ponding for the July 2010 storm based on the XPStorm model is shown in Map 2.2. Street ponding depths from the July 2010 model at selected locations can be found in Table 2.2, and a map of these ponding locations is shown in Map 2.3. Depths of ponding for the modeled July 2010 event from N. 74th Street and W. Center Street to N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street range from 1.3 feet to 2.4 feet. These modeled flood depths were comparable to observed flood depths in the watershed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Documentation for the SEWRPC rainfall distribution can be found at www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPC/Environment/ RainfallFrequency.htm.



## Table 2.2Peak Roadway Ponding Depths in the Study Area DuringJuly 2010 Storm Event (XPStorm Model Results)

Location	Jurisdiction	Peak Ponding Depth (feet)
N. 74th Street and W. Center Street	City of Milwaukee	2.4
N. 60th Street and W. Clarke Street	City of Milwaukee	1.7
N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	1.7
N. 68th Street and W. North Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	1.7
N. 67th Street and W. Garfield Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	1.3

Source: SEWRPC

Street ponding again occurred in the City of Wauwatosa sewered portion of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed on June 18, 2018. A review of the Mount Mary College rainfall gage indicated the June 18, 2018, rainfall event totaled 3.8 inches in 24-hours, which is approximately a 10-percent-annual-probability rainfall event. Since the NWS Mount Mary station only reports 24-hour rainfall totals, the Milwaukee Mitchell International Airport (MMIA) hourly rainfall data for June 18, 2018, was used to approximate the hourly rainfall over the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Using the MMIA data as a guide, the June 2018 3-hour rainfall for the Mount Mary College gage was approximated at 2.7 inches, which also translates to approximately a 10-percentannual-probability event. A time series of photos facing southwest at N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue for the June 18, 2018 event are included as Figure 2.1. As can be seen from the photos, the street ponding was intense but only for approximately an hour for this event. It appears the maximum depth of street ponding at this location for the June 18, 2018, event was between one and two feet.

#### 2.5 SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### **XPStorm Modeling**

The updated existing conditions XPStorm model was run for the 50-, 10-, four-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percentannual-probability rainfall events listed in Table 2.1. Based on the sewer network included in this model (Map 2.1), the existing City of Wauwatosa and City of Milwaukee storm sewer networks have capacity to convey the 10-percent-annual-probability event without street ponding. As discussed in a subsequent section, the Schoonmaker Creek enclosure from Milwaukee Avenue to south of W. State Street has a little less than a 10-percent-annual-probability event capacity.

Three of the four road bridges along the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek would be expected to be overtopped during events with a one-percent-annual-probability or less frequent. These Schoonmaker Creek bridges are located at Revere Avenue, Washington Circle, and Upper Parkway North.

XPStorm model results for the one-percent-annual-probability event for the Wauwatosa sewer area are represented two different ways on the maps included in this report. This is due to how the Schoonmaker Creek watershed was represented in the XPStorm model. On one set of maps ponding depths are shown graphically from the XPStorm grid for runoff that was not captured by a modeled sewer or street feature. The second set of maps depict the XPStorm results using different color highlights for the sewer and street reaches that were modeled explicitly. Reaches with street conveyance (ponding) are highlighted red. Reaches where the modeled storm sewers are surcharged are highlighted yellow. Surcharged pipes are under pressure flow conditions, with the hydraulic grade line being above the crown, or top, of the sewer but below the top of the adjacent manholes. A green color on the highlighted maps indicates the peak water level is below the crown of the sewer pipe. Thus those storm sewers are flowing partially full, and not under pressure flow conditions. Together these two map depictions provide a complete picture of XPStorm results for the Schoonmaker Creek Wauwatosa sewer area.

The updated existing conditions XPStorm model results for the one-percent-annual-probability 3-hour design storm predict significant street ponding at multiple intersections in the City of Wauwatosa (Table 2.3). Depths of ponding for that event range from 0.9 feet to 2.0 feet for the area from N. 74th Street and Center Street to N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street. The XPStorm model also indicates that there would be a significant amount of street conveyance for the one-percent-annual-probability event (Maps 2.4, 2.5).

#### Map 2.3 Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Reference Locations for Peak Ponding Depths



The peak ponding depths depicted on Map 2.4 were used to estimate the number of structures that would be impacted by the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Structures were considered impacted where the one-percent-annual-probability storm ponding was six inches deep or greater. Due to the coarseness of the grid used to map the ponded areas within the XPStorm model, it was also assumed that structures less than 10 feet away from a flooded area would be affected by the one-percent-annual-probability flooding event. Based on these criteria, approximately 280 structures in the City of Wauwatosa sewer area and 8 structures in the City of Milwaukee sewer area may be impacted by peak storm ponding for the one-percent-annual-probability event.

#### Schoonmaker Creek Floodplain

AUSArmyCorpsofEngineers(USACE)HEC-RAShydraulic model of the open channel and downstream enclosed portions of Schoonmaker Creek was developed from W. Lloyd Street to the confluence with the Menomonee River. Graphical Information System (GIS) topographic data in combination with the USACE tool HEC-GeoRAS were used to develop the HEC-RAS model stream valley cross-sections, channel and overbank lengths, bank stations, and roadway profiles for Schoonmaker Creek. Cross-section profiles were primarily defined using data extracted from the 2010 Milwaukee County Digital Terrain Model (DTM) obtained by LiDAR. As part of the MMSD Western Milwaukee Flood Management Project Phase 2A (Phase 2A), the enclosed section of Schoonmaker Creek downstream of W. State Street to the confluence with Menomonee River was daylighted and an initial section of levee was constructed in 2015. The November 2014 as-bid grading plans for the Phase 2A project were used to define cross-sections impacted by construction. Structural data including road, bridge, culvert, and enclosure dimensions were acquired from three primary sources: structural plans, the R.A. Smith, Inc. XPStorm model, and the 2010 Milwaukee County DTM. Data from all three sources were used in combination in the HEC-RAS model to best represent the hydraulic structures along Schoonmaker Creek.

Flood flows utilized in the Schoonmaker Creek hydraulic model were determined using the updated existing conditions XPStorm model. Peak flow rates for the critical duration 3-hour storm event with the existing land use and existing channel conditions were used in the HEC-RAS hydraulic model. Peak flows utilized in the HEC-RAS model are summarized in Table 2.4. These flows were used to develop the floodplain and floodway delineations.

In addition to the stream representation, an overland flow route was simulated in the HEC-RAS model from Milwaukee Avenue to the Menomonee River. The overland flow route was required for flood flows that exceeded the capacity of the enclosure at the

#### Figure 2.1 Flooding at N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue in Wauwatosa on June 18, 2018<sup>a</sup>











Photos were taken during a storm event that produced 2.7 inches of rain in 3 hours, which is approximately a 10-percent-annualprobability storm event.

Source: SEWRPC

## Table 2.3Peak Roadway Ponding Depths in the Study Area During100-Year 3-Hour Storm Event (XPStorm Model Results)

Location	Jurisdiction	Peak Ponding Depth (feet)
N. 74th Street and W. Center Street	City of Milwaukee	2.0
N. 60th Street and W. Clarke Street	City of Milwaukee	1.4
N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	1.5
N. 68th Street and W. North Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	1.4
N. 67th Street and W. Garfield Avenue	City of Wauwatosa	0.9

Source: SEWRPC

downstream end of Schoonmaker Creek. The enclosure flow capacity was computed in the updated existing conditions XPStorm model as 630 cubic feet per second (cfs).<sup>11</sup> Downstream of Martha Washington Drive, the overland flow route splits at W. Martin Drive between N. 62nd Street and N. 60th Street, then subsequently converges back onto W. State Street and flows east. The overland flow path then drains to the east past the Phase 2A levee before discharging into the Menomonee River. The HEC-RAS flow optimization function was utilized to balance flows at the flow split at W. Martin Drive. Final flows pertaining to the overland flow route and the downstream enclosure are summarized in Table 2.5. Map 2.6 depicts the non-regulatory one-percent-annual-probability floodplain for Schoonmaker Creek from W. Lloyd Street to the Menomonee River.

The 50-, 10-, four-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability floodplain mapping was initially completed using the HEC-GeoRAS automated delineation process, then subsequently edited manually, in detail, to ensure accuracy and completeness according to the 2010 Milwaukee County digital topographic map. Floodplain boundaries of the overland flow route along W. State Street and the daylighted section of Schoonmaker Creek were delineated manually in GIS using the 2014 Phase 2A as-bid grading plans. Additionally, the one-percent-annual-probability floodway for Schoonmaker Creek was drawn manually (Map 2.6). All floodplain and floodway boundaries were delineated using elevations in the North American Vertical Datum, 1988 (NAVD88).

#### **Estimation of Potential Flood Damages**

#### Flooding of Buildings

The methodology to develop the open channel and enclosure area floodplains was described above. Map 2.6 shows the extent of the non-regulatory one-percent-annual-probability floodplain for Schoonmaker Creek from W. Lloyd Street to W. State Street. Forty-six (46) structures are contained in the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain. This flood hazard exists primarily along Martha Washington Drive, W. Martin Drive, N. 62nd Street, N. 60th Street, and W. State Street. No structures are impacted by the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain in the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek from W. Lloyd Street to Milwaukee Avenue. The estimated number and types of buildings in each municipality that would be flooded during the 10-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability floods on Schoonmaker Creek are included in Table 2.6. Thirteen structures are impacted by the 10-percent-annual-probability floodplain and 45 structures are flooded by the two-percent-annual-probability event. Forty-six structures are flooded by the one-percent-annual-probability event.

SEWRPC staff conducted a parcel-based analysis to estimate the damages that would be sustained by buildings as the result of the 10-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability flood events. GIS was used to identify those parcels that are wholly or partially located within each of the floodplains, and then the structures were examined using 2010 aerial photographs to determine whether a principal building, such as a house, a commercial building, or an industrial building was located within each floodplain. For those parcels in which a principal building was located wholly or partially in the floodplain, the 2019 assessed value of improvements was obtained from the City of Wauwatosa. Assessment data was used to classify each principal building as residential, commercial, industrial, governmental, or other. For each principal building, the elevation of the ground at the building was determined from the 2010 Milwaukee County one-foot contours generated from the digital terrain model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The maximum enclosure capacity of 630 cfs assumes a free outfall condition at the Menomonee River.

#### Map 2.4 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Existing Conditions Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



#### Map 2.5 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Existing Conditions Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



#### Table 2.4 Schoonmaker Creek Open Channel Peak Flow Rates Existing Land Use and Channel Conditions

Model River		Peak Flow (cfs) <sup>a</sup>					
Station (Feet)	Location <sup>b</sup>	50 Percent	10 Percent	4 Percent	2 Percent	1 Percent	0.2 Percent
5964	D/S of W. Lloyd Street	210	450	550	590	740	1,270
4868	U/S of Upper Parkway North	290	600	730	830	950	1,550
4089	U/S of W. Washington Boulevard	280	350	400	420	440	520
3068	U/S of Milwaukee Avenue	380	690	990	1,040	1,080	1,820

<sup>a</sup> Percent-annual-probability flows in cubic feet per second (cfs).

 $^{b}$  U/S = upstream and D/S = downstream.

Source: SEWRPC

#### Table 2.5

#### Schoonmaker Creek Overland Flow Route and Enclosure Peak Flow Rates Existing Land Use and Enclosure Conditions

Model River			Peak Flow (cfs) <sup>a</sup>				
Station (Feet)	Location <sup>b</sup>	50 Percent	10 Percent	4 Percent	2 Percent	1 Percent	0.2 Percent
3068	Milwaukee Avenue to Menomonee River via enclosure	380	630	630	630	630	630
7268	U/S end of overland flow route at Milwaukee Avenue	0	60	360	410	450	1,190
4193	U/S end of N. 62nd Street flow split at intersection of Martha Washington Drive and W. Martin Drive	0	39	214	244	268	719
1614	U/S end of N. 60th Street flow split at intersection of Martha Washington Drive and W. Martin Drive	0	21	146	166	182	471

<sup>a</sup> Percent-annual-probability flows in cubic feet per second (cfs).

 $^{\rm b}$  U/S = upstream.

Source: SEWRPC

An assumption was made for the elevation of the first floors of the principal buildings for the Schoonmaker Creek damage calculation. For all building types, it was assumed that the first floor was one foot above the adjacent ground elevation. Flood elevations for the 10-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability flood events were derived from the floodplain hydraulic modeling described above. Total market value with contents was assumed to be the 2019 assessed value of improvements times 1.5 if the depth of flooding was above the first floor elevation, or times 1.15 if the depth of flooding was below the first floor elevation (basement flooding only).

For each building, the first floor elevation was compared to the appropriate flood elevation. The extent of direct damage, such as the costs associated with cleaning, repairing, or replacing the structure and its contents, for each principal building was estimated as a percent of the total market value plus contents, based on standardized flood loss depth-damage curves prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, USACE, and SEWRPC. Residential structures were assumed to have a basement, while commercial structures were assumed to not have basements for the purposes of estimating flood damages. Indirect damages, such as the costs associated with temporary evacuations, relocations, lost wages, lost production and sales, and the incremental costs of traffic detours, were estimated to be a percentage of direct damages, with indirect damages representing 15 percent of direct damages for residential buildings and 40 percent of direct damages for commercial and industrial buildings. The total damage for each flood event was the sum of direct and indirect damages.

The resulting total flood damages by municipality for the enclosure area structures are presented in Table 2.7. Total damages expected to be caused by the 10-, two-, one-, and 0.2-percent-annual-probability flood events for Schoonmaker Creek were determined to be \$5.4 million, \$8.2 million, \$8.4 million, and \$11.7 million, respectively.

Map 2.6 Schoonmaker Creek Non-Regulatory One-Percent-Annual-Probability Floodplain



•	)						•					
					Numk	ser of Buildin	gs Located Wil	thin:				
	10	)-Percent-Annu	al-	Two	o-Percent-Ann	ual-	One-	Percent-Annu	-lai	0.2-	-Percent-Annu	al-
	Pro	bability Floodp	lain	Prot	bability Floodp	lain	Probe	bility Floodp	lain	Prob	ability Floodp	lain
Municipality	Commercial	Recreational	Residential	Commercial	Recreational	Residential	Commercial F	Recreational	Residential	Commercial	Recreational	Residential
City of Wauwatosa	ъ	0	8	14	0	28	14	0	29	20	0	43
City of Milwaukee	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2	0
Total by Structure Type	5	0	8	15	2	28	15	2	29	21	2	43
Total by Storm Event		13			45			46			66	

Inventory of Buildings Located Within 10- Through 0.2-Percent-Annual-Probability Floodplains<sup>a</sup>

Table 2.6

<sup>a</sup> This table represents buildings affected by flooding from Schoonmaker Creek between W. Lloyd Ave. and the Menomonee River. This count does not include buildings affected by local street ponding.

Source: SEWRPC

## Table 2.7

# Schoonmaker Creek Total Flood Damages

Municipality	10-Percent-Annual-Probability (10-year recurrence interval) Total Flood Damages (\$)	Two-Percent-Annual-Probability (50-year recurrence interval) Total Flood Damages (\$)	One-Percent-Annual-Probability (100-year recurrence interval) Total Flood Damages (\$)	0.2-Percent-Annual-Probability (500-year recurrence interval) Total Flood Damages(\$)
City of Wauwatosa	5,422,990	7,950,910	8,165,170	10,977,020
City of Milwaukee	0	222,310	234,470	684,600
Total	5,422,990	8,173,220	8,399,640	11,661,620

Source: SEWRPC

#### **Expected Annual Flood Risks**

The expected annual flood damage risk for a stream reach was defined as the sum of the direct and indirect monetary flood losses resulting from floods of all probabilities, each weighted by its probability of occurrence or exceedance in any year. This methodology was used to compute expected annual flood risks for Schoonmaker Creek under existing land use conditions. The inventory of buildings in the 10-, two-, one, and 0.2-percentannual-probability floodplains is set forth in Table 2.6. The expected annual flood risks by municipality are presented in Source: SEWRPC Table 2.8. The average annual flood damage for Schoonmaker Creek was estimated to be \$3.2 million for the City of Wauwatosa and approximately \$16,300 for the City of Milwaukee.

#### Table 2.8 Schoonmaker Creek Average **Annual Flood Damages**

Municipality	Average Annual Flood Damages (\$)
City of Wauwatosa	3,155,108
City of Milwaukee	16,334

6-

Sixteen alternatives were developed to reduce flooding risks in three areas of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed as shown in Map 3.1. These three areas were used to locate the portion of the watershed impacted for each alternative. The 16 alternatives consist of feasible potential options to reduce flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event. The first three alternatives were developed to alleviate flooding in the enclosure area of the watershed from Milwaukee Avenue to the Menomonee River. Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 only mitigate the 46 structures in the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain as discussed above. Alternatives 4 through 16 protect the 46 impacted structures in the enclosure area as well as reduce street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa sewer area (288 potentially impacted structures). All the alternatives were evaluated for their impact to the open channel area of Schoonmaker Creek.

A variety of feasible alternatives were evaluated as part of this study, in four broad categories. The four categories include conveyance improvements (larger storm sewers), detention storage, extension of the open channel, and conveyance improvements with a channel bypass (tunnel or storm sewer). Each alternative was evaluated using an XPStorm model, with the alternative model configuration being built from the updated existing conditions model.

Several assumptions were made when developing the alternatives; all are designed to drain by gravity (no pumping required), underground features have at least four feet of cover to existing ground, storm sewer inverts were generally maintained for new or additional pipes, and the Menomonee River water levels were at nonflood stage (within its banks) for all alternatives as described previously.

Each of the 16 alternatives discussed below has a bulleted list of included features in the broad categories of sewer, tunnel, channel, bridge, detention, enclosure, existing open channel improvement, and dam as applicable.

#### 3.1 ALTERNATIVES TO ADDRESS FLOODING AT ENCLOSURE AREA

The first three alternatives include storm sewer improvements and/or storage options to reduce the risk of street flooding in the enclosure area and mitigate flooding of the 46 structures in the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain.

#### Alternative 1 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure

Alternative 1 consists of an additional storm sewer line in the lower enclosure area to minimize the surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.2). The alignment of the additional storm sewer is included in Map 3.2, and pipe details are listed below. In total approximately 3,080 feet of storm sewer would be required. Under Alternative 1, it is estimated that a one-percent-annual-probability storm event would not cause any flood damages to the 46 structures within the existing conditions one-percent-annual-probability floodplain between Milwaukee Avenue and W. State Street.

- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 6-ft reinforced concrete box culvert (RCB) Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 5-ft x 10-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

#### Alternative 2 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage

Alternative 2 is similar to Alternative 1 but includes an additional storm sewer line plus excavation of detention storage in the Hawthorne Glen area. This alternative was sized to minimize surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.3). Map 3.3 depicts the alignment of the additional storm sewer and detention pond location, and the Alternative 2 feature details are listed below. Approximately 3,270 feet of new storm sewer would be needed for this alternative. The Alternative 2 proposed detention storage did reduce the enclosure pipe





#### Map 3.2 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 1: Relief Pipe at Enclosure



#### Map 3.3 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 2: Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage



size as compared to Alternative 1 for a portion of its length. Alternative 2 eliminates the damages to the 46 structures within the one-percent-annual-probability floodplain between Milwaukee Avenue and W. State Street. The Alternative 2 XPStorm model results indicate that 23.8 acre-feet (ac-ft) of storage would be utilized at the Hawthorne Glen detention facility for the one-percent-annual-probability event.

- DETENTION FACILITY AT HAWTHORNE GLEN
  - 3.3 acres for top surface area
  - 11 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 29.2 acre feet (ac-ft) of storage available
- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 6-ft RCB Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 5-ft x 10-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to inlet at detention facility
  - 3-ft reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) along N. 60th Street between inlet and outlet of detention facility
  - 5-ft x 6-ft RCB outlet of detention facility to the Menomonee River

#### Alternative 3 – Inline Storage

Alternative 3 consists of an earthen dam placed at the downstream end of the open channel section of Schoonmaker Creek, just upstream of Milwaukee Avenue. Excavation would be required upstream of the dam to provide the necessary storage to protect 46 structures in the enclosure area between Milwaukee Avenue and W. State Street from flood damages during the one-percent-annual-probability event (Map 3.4). The storage volume required to accomplish this would be 33.2 ac-ft for the one-percent-annual-probability event. The location of the dam is included in Map 3.4, and the Alternative 3 feature details are listed below.

- EARTHEN DAM
  - 3.3 acres would be inundated
  - Excavate 22,000 cubic yards (CY) to gain storage
  - Includes a 7-foot vertical cut to gain required storage volume
  - Dam maximum height of 18 feet with 3:1 side slopes
  - 5-ft x 10-ft RCB outlet pipe through dam

Alternative 3 would also require the buyout of one home and replacement of one driveway. Martha Washington Drive would also be lost in the detention area as shown on Map 3.4. The required excavation would extend into 12 adjacent private properties and also necessitate the removal of approximately 80 trees. The Alternative 3 earthen dam would most likely be rated a High Hazard Dam and would require a dam failure analysis and hydraulic shadow mapping. Due to the anticipated High Hazard rating, the design plans and specifications for the dam would require approval from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. The dam would also require an operation and maintenance plan, emergency operating procedures, and be inspected every four years.

#### 3.2 ALTERNATIVES DESIGNED TO ADDRESS WAUWATOSA SEWER AREA STREET PONDING

Alternatives 4 through 16 were developed to minimize flooding in the enclosure area for the one-percentannual-probability event and also significantly reduce surface ponding for storms up to either the four- or the one-percent-annual-probability event in the Wauwatosa sewer area. The alternatives are organized in this report by type of improvement, in the categories of storm sewer, open channel, storage, and storm sewer and channel bypass.

#### **Storm Sewer Conveyance Alternatives**

These alternatives all have storm sewer improvements in the Wauwatosa sewer area to reduce street ponding. Alternatives 4, 5, and 6 in the City of Wauwatosa also include sewer improvements in the enclosure area to protect 46 structures in the enclosure area from surface flooding during the one-percent-annual-probability storm. Alternatives 7 and 8 consist of improvements to a City of Milwaukee storm sewer network only.

#### Map 3.4 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 3: Inline Storage


#### Alternative 4 – 25-Year Sewer

Alternative 4 consists of increasing capacity in the current City of Wauwatosa sewer system through a combination of sewer replacements and new relief sewers in order to reduce street ponding during a four-percent-annual-probability (25-year recurrence interval) storm event (Map 3.5). Alternative 4 pipe improvements were originally developed by RA Smith, Inc. and the alternative was called the 10-year Design Storm Sewer (Appendix A).<sup>12</sup> This alternative was analyzed to evaluate the performance of a less extensive storm sewer improvement. Alternative 4 also includes a relief sewer in the enclosure area to eliminate the surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.6). Map 3.5 depicts the alignment of the storm sewers to be replaced or added, and pipe details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures from flood damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 4 also incorporates bridge improvements at three locations along the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek (Map 3.6).

The open channel section of Schoonmaker Creek currently experiences erosion problems during intense storm events. The upsized sewer system included in this alternative would increase peak flows through the open channel. In order to reduce the impact of these increased flows from causing erosion problems, Alternative 4 includes removal of the existing channel retaining wall, regrading of the channel banks, and a riprap lining. The improved channel was designed such that the channel bottom is at least 10 feet wide and that the channel banks have a slope of 2:1 or shallower, which gives the open channel a larger flow volume capacity (Figure 3.1). For planning purposes armoring the channel to the 10-percent-annual-probability event was selected, and this assumption will be re-evaluated and refined if this option is chosen for future work. This channel modification does not increase the maximum water surface elevation for events 10-percent-annual-probability or less frequent.

- SEWER
  - Full sewer schedule can be found in Appendix D
  - New pipes range from 2.5-ft to 7-ft RCP
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 9,900 feet
- BRIDGE
  - Additional 5-ft x 7-ft RCB at Revere Avenue, Washington Circle, and Upper Parkway
- EXISTING OPEN CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT
  - Removal of existing retaining walls along the open channel section
  - Regrade channel banks to minimum 2:1 horizontal-to-vertical side slope
  - Installation of riprap along the open channel
  - Removal of approximately 44 trees
- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 7-ft RCB Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 2-5-ft x 6-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area with the Alternative 4 improvements is shown on Maps 3.7 and 3.8. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 4 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is eliminated for the southern and eastern portions of the Wauwatosa sewer area, but not for the northwest portion of the sewer system.

<sup>12</sup> The RA Smith, Inc evaluation used the 24-hour storm events to develop alternatives, hence the sewer pipes for this alternative were sized for the 10-year 24-hour storm event. Those same sewer pipe sizes can convey the 25-year 3-hour storm event for this analysis.

## Map 3.5 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 4: 25-Year Sewer





## Map 3.6 Bridge and Enclosure Area Improvement Locations for Alternatives: 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 16

## Figure 3.1 Schoonmaker Creek Restored Channel Cross Sections



Proposed Cross Section Shown Looking Downstream from the Channel Crossing at Washington Circle

Typical Schoonmaker Creek Restored Channel Cross Section



Source: SEWRPC

## Alternative 5 – 100-Year Sewer

Under Alternative 5, the current sewer system in the City of Wauwatosa would be enlarged through a combination of sewer replacement and new relief sewers in order to reduce street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) storm event (Map 3.9). Alternative 5 also incorporates a relief sewer in the enclosure area to minimize surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.6). The alignment of the storm sewers to be replaced or added is included in Map 3.9, and pipe details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 5 also includes bridge improvements at three locations along the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek (Map 3.6), as well as the open channel improvements as described for Alternative 4.

- SEWER
  - Full sewer schedule can be found in Appendix D
  - New pipes range from 2-ft to 8-ft RCP
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 12,900 feet

## Map 3.7 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternatives 4 and 13 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.8 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternatives 4 and 13 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



## Map 3.9 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 5: 100-Year Recurrence Interval Sewer



- BRIDGE
  - Additional 5-ft x 5-ft RCB at Revere Avenue
  - Additional 5-ft x 6-ft RCB at Washington Circle and Upper Parkway
- EXISTING OPEN CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT
  - Removal of existing retaining walls along the open channel section
  - Regrade channel banks to minimum 2:1 horizontal-to-vertical side slope
  - Installation of riprap along the open channel
  - Removal of approximately 44 trees
- ENCLOSURE
  - 6-ft x 6-ft RCB at inlet at Milwaukee Avenue
  - 6-ft x 9-ft RCB downstream of inlet to W. Martin Drive
  - 2-6-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area with the Alternative 5 improvements is shown on Maps 3.10 and 3.11. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 5 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is essentially eliminated for the one-percent-annual-probability storm, except for the W. Center Street area.

#### Alternative 6 – 100-Year Sewer Extended

Alternative 6 builds on Alternative 5, with the goal of reducing the ponding on W. Center Street from N. 72nd Street to N. 74th Street as shown on Map 2.4. Alternative 6 consists of all the features of Alternative 5 plus additional 4-foot diameter sewer pipes as listed below (Map 3.12). These improvements to the current sewer system in the City of Wauwatosa would reduce street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) storm event. Alternative 6 also includes a relief sewer in the enclosure area to minimize the surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.6). The alignment of the new or replacement storm sewers is included in Map 3.12, and pipe details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures in the enclosure from flood damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 6 also requires bridge improvements at three locations along the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek (Map 3.6), as well as the open channel improvements as described for Alternative 4.

- SEWER
  - Sewer pipe schedule for Alternative 5 can be found in Appendix D
  - New 4-ft RCP from W. Center Street to W. Clarke Street along N. 73rd Street and N. 69th Street
  - New 4-ft RCP from N. 73rd Street to N. 72nd Street on W. Clarke Street
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 14,700 feet
- BRIDGE
  - Additional 5-ft x 5-ft RCB at Revere Avenue
  - Additional 5-ft x 6-ft RCB at Washington Circle and Upper Parkway
- EXISTING OPEN CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT
  - Removal of existing retaining walls along the open channel section
  - Regrade channel banks to minimum 2:1 horizontal-to-vertical side slope
  - Installation of riprap along the open channel
  - Removal of approximately 44 trees
- ENCLOSURE
  - 6-ft x 6-ft RCB at inlet at Milwaukee Avenue
  - 6-ft x 9-ft RCB downstream of inlet to W. Martin Drive
  - 2-6-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa with the Alternative 6 improvements is shown on Maps 3.13 and 3.14. Comparing the Wauwatosa sewer area street ponding of Alternative 6 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is essentially eliminated for this

## Map 3.10 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternatives 5, 14, and 16 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.11 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternatives 5, 14, and 16 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



## Map 3.12 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 6: 100-Year Recurrence Interval Sewer Extended



## Map 3.13 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternatives 6 and 15 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



38 SEWRPC MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 241 – CHAPTER 3

## Map 3.14 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternatives 6 and 15 Peak Conveyence Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



event. To note, the surface ponding at the low spot on W. Center Street at N. 74th Street was not completely removed as the storm sewer invert elevation at this location was too low to allow gravity relief to the City of Wauwatosa storm sewer network.

#### Alternative 7 – East Milwaukee Sewer Additional Pipe

Alternative 7 was developed to avoid the diversion of one-percent-annual-probability flood flows from the City of Milwaukee storm sewer along N. 60th Street to the Wauwatosa sewer area. The XPStorm model indicated overflows from the manholes at N. 60th Street and W. Clarke Avenue and N. 60th Street and W. Lloyd Street for flood events in excess of the ten-percent-annual-probability. Following the surface topography in this area this overflow could proceed westward into the City of Wauwatosa sewer system.

Under Alternative 7 additional relief sewers would be installed in the City of Milwaukee to reduce street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability storm event as shown in Map 3.15. Alternative 7 by itself would not eliminate the surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River. Alternative 7 improvements would need to be combined with Alternatives 5 or 6 to minimize Wauwatosa sewer area street ponding and to protect the 46 structures from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 7 improvements along N. 60th Street stop at W. Vliet Street as the City of Milwaukee storm sewer drops approximately 40 feet at that location into a 6.5-ft RCP collector sewer under W. Vliet Street.

- SEWER
  - Additional 1.25-ft RCP W. Clarke Street from N. 59th Street to N. 58th Street
  - Additional 1.5-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Clarke Street to W. Wright Street
  - Additional 2-ft RCP N. 61st Street from W. Clarke Street to W. Wright Street and W. Wright Street from N. 61st Street to N. 60th Street
  - Additional 2.5-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Wright Street to W. Vliet Street
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 7,100 feet

The City of Milwaukee has chosen not to pursue Alternative 7 as the current sewer is capable of handling a 10-percent-annual-probability storm event, which meets the City's criteria for the design of municipal storm sewers.

#### Alternative 8 – East Milwaukee Sewer New Pipe

Alternative 8 was also developed to avoid the one-percent-annual-probability flood overflows from the City of Milwaukee storm sewer along N. 60th Street to the Wauwatosa sewer area. Alternative 8 consists of new replacement sewer pipes instead of additional pipes as was proposed for Alternative 7. The XPStorm model indicated overflows from the manholes at N. 60th Street and W. Clarke Avenue and N. 60th Street and W. Lloyd Street for flood events in excess of the ten-percent-annual-probability.

Alternative 8 includes the installation of new replacement sewers in City of Milwaukee to minimize street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability storm event as shown in Map 3.15. Alternative 8 improvements would need to be combined with Alternatives 5 or 6 to reduce Wauwatosa sewer area street flooding and to protect the 46 structures in the enclosure area during a one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 8 improvements along N. 60th Street stop at W. Vliet Street as the City of Milwaukee storm sewer drops approximately 40 feet at that location into a 6.5-ft RCP collector sewer under W. Vliet Street.

- SEWER
  - 1.75-ft RCP W. Clarke Street from N. 59th Street to N. 58th Street
  - 2-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Clarke Street to W. Wright Street
  - 3-ft RCP N. 61st Street from W. Clarke Street to W. Wright Street and W. Wright Street from N. 61st Street to N. 60th Street
  - 3.5-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Wright Street to W. Lloyd Street
  - 4-ft RCP N. 60th Street at W. Lloyd Street
  - 4.5-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Lloyd Street to W. Galena Street
  - 5-ft RCP N. 60th Street from W. Galena Street to W. Vliet Street
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 7,100 feet

## Map 3.15 Schoonmaker Creek Alternatives 7 and 8: East Milwaukee Sewer Alternatives



The City of Milwaukee has chosen not to pursue Alternative 8 as the current sewer is capable of handling a 10-percent-annual-probability storm event, which meets the City's criteria for the design of municipal storm sewers.

#### **Open Channel Alternative**

This alternative incorporates the addition of a new channel for Schoonmaker Creek upstream of W. Lloyd Avenue to reduce street ponding. Alternative 9 also includes improvements to the existing open channel and sewer improvements in the downstream enclosure area to minimize surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability storm.

#### Alternative 9 – Open Channel

Alternative 9 would essentially daylight a portion of Schoonmaker Creek from N. 73rd Street and W. Center Street to the existing open channel at N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street (Map 3.16). The alignment chosen for the open channel follows the low surface topography which approximates the original Schoonmaker Creek channel before storm sewers were placed. The approximate total length of the additional open channel is about 4,900 feet and major features are listed below. A relief sewer in the enclosure area is included to minimize the surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability event between Milwaukee Avenue and the Menomonee River (Map 3.6). This alternative protects the 46 structures from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Alternative 9 also includes bridge improvements at three locations along the existing open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek (Map 3.6), as well as the open channel improvements as described for Alternative 4.

- CHANNEL
  - Trapezoidal channel, 10-foot wide bottom
  - 6 feet to 11 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 3-ft RCP to connect existing sewers to channel
- NEW CHANNEL BRIDGES
  - 8-ft x 10-ft RCB at crossings at N. Lefeber Avenue, N. 72nd Street and W. Clarke Avenue, W. Wright Street, N. 71st Street, N. 70th Street and W. Meinecke Avenue, N. 69th St and W. North Avenue, N. 68th Street, W. Garfield Avenue, and N. 67th Street (nine bridges total)
- EXISTING CHANNEL BRIDGES
  - Additional 5-ft x 5-ft RCB at Revere Ave
  - Additional 5-ft x 6-ft RCB at Washington Circle and Upper Parkway
- EXISTING OPEN CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT
  - Removal of existing retaining walls along the open channel section
  - Regrade channel banks to minimum 2:1 horizontal-to-vertical side slope
  - Installation of riprap along the open channel
  - Removal of approximately 44 trees
- ENCLOSURE
  - 6-ft x 6-ft RCB at inlet at Milwaukee Avenue
  - 6-ft x 9-ft RCB downstream of inlet to W. Martin Drive
  - 2-6-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

In order to construct the new open channel, approximately 122 properties would need to be acquired and the existing buildings demolished (Map 3.16).

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa with the Alternative 9 improvements is shown on Maps 3.17 and 3.18. The proposed open channel reaches are depicted on Maps 3.17 and 3.18 as green lines or green arrows. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 9 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area is essentially eliminated along the western low area of the sewer system.

## Map 3.16 Schoonmaker Creek Alternative 9: Open Channel



## Map 3.17 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 9 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.18 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 9 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



#### **Storage Alternatives**

These alternatives consist of the addition of large dry detention storage facilities in the Wauwatosa sewer area to reduce street ponding. The alternatives also include sewer improvements in the downstream enclosure area to reduce surface flooding for the one-percent-annual-probability storm.

#### Alternative 10 – North Storage

Alternative 10 includes excavation of a stormwater detention pond at N. 71st Street and W. Wright Street to relieve the existing storm sewers in the area (Map 3.19). The proposed dry pond would drain by gravity to relieve two City of Wauwatosa sewer lines. This alternative still requires bridge and enclosure improvements to protect the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. The XPStorm model results indicate that 23.8 ac-ft of storage were utilized at the North Storage facility for the one-percent-annual-probability event.

- DETENTION FACILITY AT N. 71st STREET AND W. WRIGHT STREET
  - 3.7 acres (1.5 blocks) for top surface area
  - 8 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 26.4 ac-ft of storage available
- BRIDGE
  - Additional 5-ft x 5-ft RCB at Revere Ave
  - Additional 5-ft x 6-ft RCB at Washington Circle and Upper Parkway
- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 6-ft RCB Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 5-ft x 10-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

In order to build the North Storage pond, approximately 45 properties would need to be acquired and the existing buildings demolished (Map 3.19).

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa with the Alternative 10 improvements is shown on Maps 3.20 and 3.21. The proposed Alternative 10 pond features are depicted on Maps 3.20 and 3.21 as a red triangle and red arrows. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 10 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is significantly decreased for most of the Wauwatosa sewer area in the western low area of the sewer system.

#### Alternative 11 – South Storage

Alternative 11 includes excavation of a stormwater detention pond at N. 65th Street and W. Lloyd Street to relieve the existing storm sewers in the area (Map 3.19). The proposed dry pond would drain by gravity and would relieve both of the adjacent City of Wauwatosa sewer lines. Alternative 11 still requires enclosure improvements to protect the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. The XPStorm model results indicate that 27.0 ac-ft of storage were utilized at the South Storage facility for the one-percent-annual-probability event.

- DETENTION FACILITY AT N. 65th STREET AND W. LLOYD STREET
  - 3.5 acres (1 block) for top surface area
  - 9 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 27.0 ac-ft of storage available
- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 5-ft RCB Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 5-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

In order to build the South Storage pond, approximately 31 properties would need to be acquired and the existing buildings demolished (Map 3.19).

## Map 3.19 Schoonmaker Creek Alternatives: 10, 11, and 12 Storage Areas



## Map 3.20 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 10 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.21 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 10 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa with the Alternative 11 improvements is shown on Maps 3.22 and 3.23. The proposed Alternative 11 pond features are included on Maps 3.22 and 3.23 as a red triangle and red arrows. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 11 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is only removed in the area around the detention facility.

## Alternative 12 – North and South Storage

Alternative 12 includes excavation of stormwater detention ponds at both N. 71st Street and W. Wright Street and N. 65th Street and W. Lloyd Street to relieve the existing storm sewers in the area (Map 3.19). The proposed dry ponds would drain by gravity and would relieve both of the major City of Wauwatosa sewer lines in the upper Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Alternative 12 still requires enclosure improvements to protect the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. The XPStorm model results indicate that 23.1 ac-ft of storage was utilized at the North Storage facility and 27.0 ac-ft of storage was utilized at the South Storage facility for the one-percent-annual-probability event.

- DETENTION FACILITY AT N. 71st STREET AND W. WRIGHT STREET
  - 3.7 acres (1.5 blocks) for top surface area
  - 8 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 26.4 ac-ft of storage available
- DETENTION FACILITY AT N. 65th STREET AND W. LLOYD STREET
  - 3.5 acres (1 block) for top surface area
  - 9 feet deep, 3:1 side slopes
  - 27 ac-ft of storage available
- ENCLOSURE
  - 5-ft x 5-ft RCB Milwaukee Avenue to W. Martin Drive
  - 5-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

In order to build the both the North and South Storage ponds, approximately 76 properties would need to be acquired and the existing buildings demolished (Map 3.19).

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the City of Wauwatosa with the Alternative 12 improvements is shown on Maps 3.24 and 3.25. The proposed Alternative 12 pond features are depicted on Maps 3.24 and 3.25 as red triangles and red arrows. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 12 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is greatly reduced for most of the western low area of the City of Wauwatosa storm sewer system.

## **Sewer and Channel Bypass Alternatives**

These alternatives all incorporate storm sewer improvements in the Wauwatosa sewer area to reduce street ponding. The alternatives also incorporate a large diameter tunnel or sewer bypass to convey sewer area flows around the existing open channel to the Menomonee River, which minimizes surface flooding in the downstream enclosure area for the one-percent-annual-probability storm. These alternatives do not require modifications to the existing open channel.

## Alternative 13 – 25-Year Sewer and Tunnel

Alternative 13 consists of the same sewer improvements as Alternative 4 north of the existing open channel of Schoonmaker Creek. These sewer improvements in the City of Wauwatosa would reduce street ponding during a four-percent-annual-probability (25-year recurrence interval) storm event (Map 3.5). Alternative 13 also incorporates a large diameter tunnel to convey flood flows directly to the Menomonee River. The alignment of the proposed tunnel is shown on Map 3.26, and feature details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Portions of the proposed 5,300 foot tunnel would be very deep, with approximately 4,000 feet of the tunnel 20 to 30 feet below the surface.

## Map 3.22 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 11 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.23 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 11 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm



## Map 3.24 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Grid Results Alternative 12 Peak Street Ponding Depths During 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Depth is reported in feet.



## Map 3.25 Schoonmaker Creek XPSTORM Reach Results Alternative 12 Peak Conveyance Results for 100-Year Recurrence Interval Storm





## Map 3.26 Schoonmaker Creek Alternatives 13, 14, and 15: Tunnel Bypass

- SEWER
  - Sewer pipe schedule for Alternative 4 can be found in Appendix D
  - New pipes range from 2.5-ft to 7-ft RCP
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 9,900 feet
- TUNNEL
  - 8.5-ft RCP from N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street along W. Lloyd St to N. 68th Street, then on N. 68th Street to the Menomonee River
  - Total length of tunnel of 5,300 feet

The Alternative 13 improvements would reduce the one-percent-annual-probability street ponding by the same amount as the Alternative 4 improvements (Maps 3.7 and 3.8). Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 13 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is greatly reduced for the southern and eastern portions of the system, but not for the northwest portion of the Wauwatosa sewer area.

#### Alternative 14 – 100-Year Sewer and Tunnel

Alternative 14 incorporates the same sewer improvements as Alternative 5 north of the existing open channel of Schoonmaker Creek. These sewer improvements in the City of Wauwatosa would reduce street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) storm event (Map 3.9). Alternative 14 also includes a large diameter tunnel to convey flood flows directly to the Menomonee River. The alignment of the proposed tunnel is shown on Map 3.26, and feature details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Portions of the proposed 5,300 foot tunnel would be very deep, with approximately 4,000 feet of the tunnel 20 to 30 feet below the surface.

- SEWER
  - Sewer pipe schedule for Alternative 5 can be found in Appendix D
  - New pipes range from 2-ft to 8-ft RCP
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 12,900 feet
- TUNNEL
  - 8.5-ft RCP from N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street along W. Lloyd St to N. 68th Street, then on N. 68th Street to the Menomonee River
  - Total length of tunnel of 5,300 feet

The Alternative 14 improvements would reduce the one-percent-annual-probability street ponding by the same amount as the Alternative 5 improvements (Maps 3.10 and 3.11). Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 14 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), Wauwatosa sewer area street ponding is greatly reduced, except for the W. Center Street area.

#### Alternative 15 – 100-Year Sewer Extended and Tunnel

Alternative 15 incorporates the same sewer improvements as Alternative 6 north of the existing open channel of Schoonmaker Creek. These sewer improvements in the City of Wauwatosa would reduce street ponding during a one-percent-annual-probability (100-year recurrence interval) storm event and reduce street ponding on W. Center Street (Map 3.12). Alternative 15 also includes a large diameter tunnel to convey flood flows directly to the Menomonee River. The alignment of the proposed tunnel is shown on Map 3.26, and feature details are listed below. This alternative protects the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. To note, portions of the proposed 5,300 foot tunnel would be very deep, with approximately 4,000 feet of the tunnel 20 to 30 feet below the surface.

- SEWER
  - Sewer pipe schedule for Alternative 5 can be found in Appendix D
  - New 4-ft RCP from W. Center Street to W. Clarke Street along N. 73rd Street and N. 69th Street
  - New 4-ft RCP from N. 73rd Street to N. 72nd Street on W. Clarke Street
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 14,700 feet

- TUNNEL
  - 9-ft RCP from N. 66th Street and W. Lloyd Street along W. Lloyd St to N. 68th Street, then on N. 68th Street to the Menomonee River
  - Total length of tunnel of 5,300 feet

The Alternative 15 improvements would reduce the one-percent-annual-probability street ponding by the same amount as the Alternative 6 improvements (Maps 3.13 and 3.14). Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 15 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), Wauwatosa sewer area street ponding is greatly reduced for this event.

#### Alternative 16 – 100-Year Sewer with Open Channel Bypass

Alternative 16 includes the same plan for replacing sewer lines and adding sewer relief pipes that is described in Alternative 5 (Map 3.9). Alternative 16 also incorporates most of the Alternative 5 relief sewer in the enclosure area, with a slight modification for the relief pipe under Milwaukee Avenue. To mitigate high peak flows through the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek, Alternative 16 includes a bypass storm sewer underneath Martha Washington Drive from W. Lloyd Street to Milwaukee Avenue (Map 3.27). The 5 foot by 7 foot box culvert bypass takes all flow from a sewer line at the corner of W. Lloyd Street and N. 65th Street. The bypass also takes a portion of the flow from a sewer line at the corner of W. Lloyd Street and N. 66th Street via a 4 foot diameter sewer. The upstream invert of this diversion pipe is set one foot higher than the invert connecting to the open channel to better preserve existing low flows to the open channel. Because the bypass sewer reduces peak flows in the one-percent-annual-probability storm event, the bridge and existing open channel improvements described in Alternative 5 are not needed. This alternative protects the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event.

- SEWER
  - Full sewer schedule can be found in Appendix D
  - New pipes range from 2-ft to 8-ft RCP
  - Total length of sewer improvements of 12,900 feet
- OPEN CHANNEL BYPASS SEWER
  - Additional 4-ft diameter round pipe from N. 66th Street to 65th Street along W. Lloyd Street
  - Additional 5-ft x 7-ft RCB along Martha Washington Drive from W. Lloyd Street to Milwaukee Avenue
- ENCLOSURE
  - 6-ft x 9-ft RCB downstream of inlet to W. Martin Drive
  - 2-6-ft x 7-ft RCB W. Martin Drive to the Menomonee River

The remaining one-percent-annual-probability street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area with the Alternative 16 improvements is shown on Maps 3.10 and 3.11. Comparing the street ponding of Alternative 16 to existing conditions (Maps 2.4 and 2.5), street ponding is greatly reduced for the one-percent-annual-probability storm, except for the W. Center Street area.

## **3.3 GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE**

In addition to the 16 alternatives discussed above, green infrastructure options were evaluated for their impact on flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. As the watershed is predominantly residential, the green infrastructure options focused on reasonable residential choices. The three residential green infrastructure options evaluated were rain barrels, cisterns, and rain gardens. These three options were evaluated for their reduction to the one-percent-annual-probability rainfall amount for the Schoonmaker Creek watershed.

For this plan a typical rain barrel was assumed to have a 50 gallon capacity (6.7 cubic feet (ft<sup>3</sup>)), and a 200 gallon capacity (26.7 ft<sup>3</sup>) was assumed for a residential cistern. A typical rain garden was assumed to be 150 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>) in area, with an average depth of one foot. This evaluation optimistically assumed that each residence in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed had either two rain barrels or one cistern capturing runoff from the entire roof. For the rain garden option, one out of every four houses in the watershed was conservatively assumed to capture runoff from the entire roof.



Map 3.27 Open Channel Bypass Sewer and Enclosure Area Improvements for Alternative 16

Area	Average Home Roof Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Number of Homes	Area of Home Roofs (ac)	Total Area per Basin (ac)	Home Roofs Percentage
North Milwaukee	1,200	1,222	33.7	273	12.3
East Milwaukee	1,500	1,059	36.5	192	19.0
Wauwatosa Sewer	1,400	2,175	69.9	448	15.6
Wauwatosa Channel/Enclosure Area	1,700	638	24.9	184	13.5

# Table 3.1 Schoonmaker Creek Green Infrastructure Roof Area Summary

<sup>a</sup> Based on an average of 6-9 samples per area

Source: SEWRPC

To evaluate the impacts of green infrastructure the average roof area, number of homes, and percentage of roof area was determined (Table 3.1) for four distinct municipal areas of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed (Map 3.28). Roof and area data were used to determine the rain barrel, cistern, and rain garden impact on rainfall totals for each of the four areas within the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Example calculations are below for the rain barrel and rain garden options.

Two rain barrels for all homes in the Wauwatosa Sewer Area

Total rain barrel volume =  $(2 \times 6.7 \text{ ft}^3) = 13.4 \text{ ft}^3$ Depth of rain captured for two rain barrels =  $13.4 \text{ ft}^3 / 1,400 \text{ ft}^2$  average roof area = 0.11 inches Roof percentage of basin = 15.6% (Table 3.1) One-percent-annual-probability storm (4.28 inches in 3 hours) Effective rain on Wauwatosa Sewer Area =  $(4.28 \times \% \text{ non-roof area}) + ((4.28-\text{captured}) \times \% \text{ roof area})$ Effective rain on Wauwatosa Sewer Area =  $(4.28 \times (1-0.156)) + ((4.28-0.11) \times 0.156) = 4.26$  inches

A rain garden on one out of every four homes in the Wauwatosa Sewer Area

Total rain garden volume =  $(150 \text{ ft}^2 \times 1.0 \text{ ft}) = 150.0 \text{ ft}^3$ Depth of rain captured by rain garden =  $150 \text{ ft}^3 / 1,400 \text{ ft}^2$  Avenue roof area = 1.28 inches Roof percentage of basin = 2,175 homes / 4 = 544 homes  $\times 1,400 \text{ ft}^2 = 17.5$  ac / 448 ac = 3.9%One-percent-annual-probability storm (4.28 inches in 3 hours) Effective rain on Wauwatosa Sewer Area =  $(4.28 \times (1-0.039)) + ((4.28-1.28) \times 0.039) = 4.23$  inches

Expanding the calculations to include all four areas of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed (Map 3.28), the installation of two rain barrels at every home will reduce the effective rainfall of a storm event on the Schoonmaker Creek watershed by about 0.02 inches (0.5 percent reduction to one-percent-annual-probability storm). A similar set of calculations for the single 200 gallon cistern at every home yielded a reduction of 0.04 inches to the effective rainfall of a storm event. The rain garden reduction to the effective rainfall on the Schoonmaker Creek watershed was 0.05 inches (1.2 percent reduction to one-percent-annual-probability storm). When compared to the design rainfall totals included in Table 1, these green infrastructure rainfall reductions would have a minimal impact on Schoonmaker Creek flood flows. Green infrastructure can be a viable complement to the 16 alternatives included in this study, but would not solely be able to relieve flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed.

Map 3.28 Schoonmaker Creek Subwatersheds for Green Infrastructure Analysis



The alternatives to reduce flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed were evaluated in this section for changes in peak flow to receiving waters, construction costs, implementability, and effectiveness. A summary of public input regarding the draft alternatives was also prepared.

## 4.1 PEAK FLOWS TO THE OPEN CHANNEL AND MENOMONEE RIVER

Table 4.1 summarizes the one-percent-annual-probability peak flows from the XPStorm model for each of the City of Wauwatosa alternatives at both the upstream end of the open channel of Schoonmaker Creek and at the Menomonee River. Two locations were evaluated at the upstream end of the open channel of Schoonmaker Creek to account for each of the two main storm sewer networks discharging to the channel. Comparing alternative peak flows to existing conditions, only storage Alternatives 10, 11, and 12 and tunnel Alternatives 13, 14, and 15 reduce peak one-percent-annual-probability flows at the upstream end of the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek. Sewer Alternatives 4, 5, and 6 as well as the channel Alternative 9 all increase the one-percent-annual-probability peak flow to the upstream section of the Schoonmaker Creek open channel section. The open channel bypass sewer included in Alternative 16 somewhat decreases the peak flows of the 100-year sewer system as compared to Alternative 5. To note, the enclosure Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 have no impact on existing flows to the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek.

Comparing peak flows to the Menomonee River, only storage Alternatives 2, 3, 11, 12, and 16 reduce the one-percent-annual-probability peak flow as compared to existing conditions (Table 4.1). A comparison of the timing of Schoonmaker Creek peak flow to the peak flow on the Menomonee River is included in Appendix E. The conclusion of this comparison was that Schoonmaker Creek peak flows will precede the peak flow on the Menomonee River at their confluence, and creek flows will be a very small percentage of the peak flow on the Menomonee River at their confluence.

It is worth noting that Alternatives 4, 5, 6, and 9 include armoring a portion of the existing Schoonmaker Creek open channel that would mitigate the effects of higher flows. The open channel improvement included in these alternatives would protect the channel by decreasing flow velocities, increasing the channel capacity, and armoring the open channel for flows up to the 10-percent-annual-probability event. Armoring the channel to the 10-percent-annual-probability event was selected for planning purposes, and this assumption will be re-evaluated and refined if this option is chosen for future work.

# 4.2 PLANNING LEVEL COSTS

A summary of planning level construction costs for the 16 alternatives to reduce Schoonmaker Creek flooding is shown in Table 4.2. The planning level costs include a 35% contingency for final design, permitting, and miscellaneous minor costs. It should be noted that these planning level costs do not reflect utility relocation costs, which could significantly increase total construction costs. Although estimates of utility relocation costs are not included, the following Implementability section of the report notes the alternatives that are more likely to have high utility relocation impacts. The costs of potential property acquisitions were estimated based on average year 2014 fair market values adjusted to 2019 values. Acquisition costs were comprised of purchase of the property, demolition of the buildings, removal of debris, and relocation costs. Average annual costs included in Table 4.2 were based on a project life of 50 years and an interest rate of 6 percent. The average annual cost for each alternative does not include annual operations and maintenance costs.

Among the alternatives that only provide flood relief to the 46 structures in the overflow and enclosure area (Alternatives 1, 2, and 3), Alternative 1 has the lowest capital planning level construction cost of \$5.6 million (2019 dollars).

Scenario <sup>a</sup>	Peak Flow in Open Channel just Downstream of W 1 lovd Street (rfs) <sup>b</sup>	Peak Flow in Open Channel just Downetream of Revere Avenue (rfs) <sup>b</sup>	Total Peak Flow in the Enclosure at the Confluence with the Mannmone River (rfs) <sup>b</sup>
Existing Conditions	740	430	1,080
Enclosure Alternatives			
Alternative 1 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure	740	430	1,180
Alternative 2 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	740	430	[890]
Alternative 3 – Inline Storage	740	430	[630]
Enclosure and Sewer Area Alternatives			
Alternative 4 – 25-Year Sewer	810	630	1,380
Alternative 5 – 100-Year Sewer	006	710	1,570
Alternative 6 – 100-Year Sewer Extended	1,010	720	1,570
Alternative 9 – Open Channel	1,160	750	1,650
Alternative 10 – North Storage	[610]	640	1,220
Alternative 11 – South storage	[480]	[410]	[006]
Alternative 12 – North and South Storage	[420]	[400]	[880]
Alternative 13 – 25-Year Sewer and Tunnel	0c	[320]	1,450
Alternative 14 – 100-Year Sewer and Tunnel	0c	[320]	1,480
Alternative 15 – 100-Year Sewer Extended and Tunnel	0c	[320]	1,590
Alternative 16 – 100-Year Sewer and Open Channel Bypass	740	600	1,010

XPStorm Peak Flow Comparison at Receiving Stream One-Percent-Annual-Probability Storm Table 4.1

Alternatives 7 and 8 are not included as they would only reduce flooding for the City of Milwaukee sewer along N. 60th Street.

<sup>b</sup> Brackets indicate decreased peak flows as compared to existing conditions. Units are cubic feet per second (cfs).

c In the XPStorm model all sewer flows were diverted to the proposed tunnel at this location. In reality, some local flow would utilize this portion of the open channel.

Source: SEWRPC
#### Table 4.2 Planning Level Costs

Alternative	Total Capital Cost <sup>a</sup> (millions)	Average Annual Cost <sup>b</sup> (millions)
Enclosure Area Alternatives	(	(
Alternative 1 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure	5.6	0.4
Alternative 2 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	6.7	0.4
Alternative 3 – Inline Storage	5.9	0.4
Enclosure and Sewer Area Alternatives		
Alternative 4 – 25-Year Sewer <sup>c</sup>	22.8	1.4
Alternative 5 – 100-Year Sewer <sup>c</sup>	30.1	1.9
Alternative 6 – 100-Year Sewer Extended <sup>c</sup>	31.3	2.0
Alternative 7 – East Milwaukee Sewer Additional Pipe	3.2	0.2
Alternative 8 – East Milwaukee Sewer New Pipe	5.8	0.4
Alternative 9 – Open Channel <sup>c</sup>	69.2	4.4
Alternative 10 – North Storage	28.2	1.8
Alternative 11 – South Storage	20.4	1.3
Alternative 12 – North and South Storage	42.8	2.7
Alternative 13 – 25-Year Sewer and Tunnel	35.2	2.2
Alternative 14 – 100-Year Sewer and Tunnel	39.0	2.5
Alternative 15 – 100-Year Sewer Extended and Tunnel	40.4	2.6
Alternative 16 – 100-Year Sewer and Open Channel Bypass	29.3	1.9

<sup>a</sup> Based on 2019 dollars and includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35 percent contingency. Does not include utility relocation, annual operation and maintenance costs, and assumes no contaminated soils.

<sup>b</sup> Amortized capital cost is based on an annual interest rate of 6 percent and a project life of 50 years.

<sup>c</sup> Costs for these alternatives include the cost of the channel improvement in the open channel area.

Source: SEWRPC

For the remaining Schoonmaker Creek alternatives that provide flood relief to the 46 impacted structures and reduce street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area, sewer Alternatives 4, 5, and 6 planning level construction costs range from \$22.8 million to \$31.3 million. Open channel Alternative 9 had a planning level construction cost of \$69.2 million. The storage Alternatives 10, 11, and 12 construction costs range from \$20.4 million to \$42.8 million. Sewer and channel bypass Alternatives 13, 14, 15, and 16 had planning level construction costs ranging from \$29.3 million to \$40.4 million. Planning level construction cost estimate details can be found in Appendix F.

#### 4.3 IMPLEMENTABILITY

While this alternative evaluation category is more subjective, it is possible to evaluate and compare the relative implementability of the potential Schoonmaker Creek alternatives included in this report. Considerations for building the various alternatives encompass disruption during construction, potential for utility conflicts, need for acquisitions, and dam regulation considerations. Alternatives 7 and 8 will not be discussed in this section as the City of Milwaukee does not plan to implement these alternatives.

Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 provide flood relief for the channel enclosure area from Milwaukee Avenue to the Menomonee River and each one protects the 46 structures in the enclosure area from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event. The disruption during construction for Alternatives 1 and 2 would predominantly be street closures for sewer construction. Alternative 3, the inline storage alternative, would be disruptive during construction for the area impacted by the impoundment and dam. The potential for utility conflicts would be greater for Alternatives 1 and 2 for existing storm sewers, water mains, sanitary sewers and other utilities under the streets. There may be utility conflicts for Alternative 3, but they would be anticipated to be only under the impacted portion of Martha Washington Drive. For these three alternatives, only Alternative 3 requires an acquisition of a home. Alternative 3 also has numerous concerns with implementability related to the anticipated designation as a High Hazard dam, as discussed in the previous Alternatives section.

Alternatives 4 through 16 also provide relief from street ponding in the Wauwatosa sewer area, as well as flood relief for the enclosure area from Milwaukee Avenue to the Menomonee River to reduce the risk of damages to the 46 structures within the Schoonmaker Creek one-percent-annual-probability floodplain. Disruption during construction would be significant for storm sewer Alternatives 4, 5, and 6 due to street closures for sewer and enclosure construction. The open channel Alternative 9 would also be very disruptive during construction, more so due to the large area impacted and large number of bridge crossings. Alternatives 4, 5, 6, and 9 would also cause some disruption along Martha Washington Drive due to regrading and installing riprap along the open channel. Storage Alternatives 10, 11, and 12 would only be disruptive in the immediate vicinity of each basin. Sewer and channel bypass Alternatives 13, 14, 15, and 16 include the sewer portions of Alternatives 4, 5, 6, and 5, respectively, hence the same street closure issues would be present. Depending on construction technique, the tunnel alternatives may also have street closures for the anticipated open cut construction for the downstream tunnel portion along N. 68th Street. Alternative 16 would also require the closure of Martha Washington Drive for installation of the channel bypass sewer.

The potential for utility conflicts would be lowest for the more concentrated storage Alternatives 10, 11, and 12. The remaining sewer Alternatives 4, 5, and 6, channel Alternative 9, and sewer and channel bypass Alternatives 13, 14, 15, and 16 would have significant potential for conflicts with existing underground utilities due to the large areas impacted. The acquisition and removal of homes would be significant for channel Alternative 9, with 122 homes requiring removal. Storage Alterative 10 requires the acquisition and removal of 45 homes, and storage Alternative 11 requires the removal of 31 homes. Storage Alternative 12 combines the north and south basins, requiring the removal of 76 homes. The loss of homes would also impact the City of Wauwatosa tax base and would create gaps in the residential character of the affected neighborhoods.

#### 4.4 EFFECTIVENESS OF PROTECTION

As previously discussed, all the existing Schoonmaker Creek watershed storm sewers evaluated in this study meet the local ordinance required ten-percent-annual-probability level of protection. This means the City of Wauwatosa and City of Milwaukee storm sewers are sufficient to convey the ten-percent-annual-probability 3-hour storm without surcharging from manholes and flooding streets.

All of the alternatives listed in this report (excluding City of Milwaukee sewer Alternatives 7 and 8) would protect the 46 structures in the enclosure area during one-percent-annual-probability storm event. Additionally, Alternatives 4 through 16 would provide varying levels of relief from large storm event street ponding that currently affects approximately 288 structures (280 in Wauwatosa, 8 in Milwaukee). Based on the report maps for ponding or street conveyance discussed previously for each alternative, sewer Alternatives 5 and 6 as well as sewer and channel bypass Alternatives 14, 15, and 16 eliminate the most street ponding for the Wauwatosa sewer area. Sewer Alternative 4 would be the next most effective, providing partial relief from street ponding. Channel Alternative 9 and storage Alternatives 10, 11, and 12 also provide partial relief from street ponding, with Alternative 11 being the least effective at reducing large storm event street ponding for the Wauwatosa sewer area.

#### 4.5 PUBLIC INPUT

There were two opportunities for stakeholder input on the Schoonmaker Creek alternatives during the development of this plan. The two meetings are summarized below, as well as subsequent comments submitted to the City of Wauwatosa after the public open house.

#### January 24, 2017 Neighborhood Group Meeting

To gain input on the potential Schoonmaker Creek alternatives from the impacted neighborhood groups, a meeting was held on January 24, 2017. Those in attendance included City of Wauwatosa elected officials and engineering staff, MMSD staff, SEWRPC staff, and eleven members of the Washington Highlands Association, the Olde Hillcrest Neighborhood Association, the Pabst Park Neighborhood Association, the Quarry Heights Neighborhood Association, and Tosa East Towne Neighborhood Association. No major concerns regarding the alternatives were offered at the meeting by the participating neighborhood groups.

#### November 13, 2017 Public Open House

A public open house was held at the Wauwatosa City Hall on November 13, 2017, to present the design alternatives to the Schoonmaker Creek watershed stakeholders and receive feedback. Those in attendance included City of Wauwatosa elected officials and engineering staff, MMSD staff, SEWRPC staff, and 44 members of the public. To simplify the presentation of the alternatives at the open house, the four categories of alternatives (sewer, open channel, storage, and bypass tunnel) were presented, with details shown for Alternatives 5, 9, 12, and 14 respectively. After the open house nine handwritten and 70 electronic stakeholder comments were received by the City of Wauwatosa. A summary of those comments is included below and the open house presentations can be found in Appendix G.

#### Summary of Major Themes in Stakeholder Comments from the November 13, 2017, Open House

There was a general consensus among the stakeholder comments that the sewer conveyance and bypass tunnel conveyance alternatives were more desirable than the open channel or storage alternatives. Public comments were fairly evenly split between preferring the sewer or the bypass tunnel alternatives. Some stakeholders thought that the bypass tunnel alternative would be more desirable as it would divert flow away from the Washington Highlands Schoonmaker Creek open channel area, while other stakeholders commented that the additional benefit from the bypass tunnel alternative did not justify the additional cost.

Many stakeholder comments indicated strong opposition to removing homes, which is required for the open channel and storage alternatives. Concerns for these two alternatives included that the City tax revenue would decrease with the loss of residences; removal would intensify the housing shortage in Wauwatosa; these alternatives are very expensive; and they might reduce aesthetics and neighborhood feel, thus lowering property values. Many stakeholder comments also indicated safety concerns for the open channel and storage alternatives.

Suggestions to improve the alternatives provided in stakeholder comments included additional green infrastructure features. Green infrastructure ideas were comprised of green roofs, bioswales, and pervious pavement. Stakeholders also offered adding many smaller storage areas instead of the two large facilities included in the study, and adding an underground storm sewer parallel to the open channel.<sup>13</sup>

Stakeholder comments also included the need for more public meetings in the future and more communication about the Schoonmaker Creek project in general. Details of stakeholder interest consisted of the cost/benefit for each alternative, as well as a future timeline for major decisions by the City.

#### 4.6 FUTURE WORK

This plan summarizes the alternatives developed to mitigate large storm event flooding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. Sixteen alternatives were evaluated, which include all feasible potential options to reduce large rainfall event flooding. All the presented alternatives protect the 46 structures in the Schoonmaker Creek from flooding damage during the one-percent-annual-probability storm event in the enclosure area of the watershed from Milwaukee Avenue to the Menomonee River. Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 only mitigate the 46 flooded structures. Alternatives 4 through 16 also reduce the street ponding in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed in the Wauwatosa sewer area. Major issues for the alternatives as discussed in this report are summarized in Table 4.3.

City of Wauwatosa elected officials, staff, and residents will need to work together to determine which of the 16 alternatives documented in this report to evaluate in greater detail. Factors influencing the selection of alternative(s) for further study may consist of the issues summarized in Table 4.3 as well as additional public stakeholder input. The selected alternative(s) may be refined based on the level of flood protection desired, utility conflicts, and refined construction costs. Refined alternatives may be a combination of the alternatives evaluated in this plan and may include adjustments to proposed pipe sizes to best accommodate the desired level of flood protection. Review of the expected flow velocities in the open channel portion of Schoonmaker Creek for the refined alternative(s) may also be a consideration.

			Implementability		100-year	
Alternative	100-year Recurrence Interval Peak Flow to Open Channel	Disruption During Construction	Utility Conflict Potential	Property Acquisitions Required	Recurrence Interval Storm Ponding Reduction in the Wauwatosa Sewer Area	Total Capital Costª (millions)
Enclosure Alternatives						
Alternative 1 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure	No change	Medium	Medium	No	None	5.6
Alternative 2 – Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	No change	Medium	Medium	No	None	6.7
Alternative 3 – Inline Storage <sup>b</sup>	No change	Medium	Medium	Yes	None	5.9
Enclosure and Sewer Area Alternatives						
Alternative 4 – 25-Year Sewer	Increase	High	High	No	Medium	22.8
Alternative 5 – 100-Year Sewer	Increase	High	High	No	High	30.1
Alternative 6 – 100-Year Sewer Extended	Increase	High	High	No	High	31.3
Alternative 7 – East Milwaukee Sewer Additional Pipe	NA <sup>c</sup>	Medium	Medium	No	Low <sup>d</sup>	3.2
Alternative 8 – East Milwaukee Sewer New Pipe	NA	Medium	Medium	No	Low <sup>d</sup>	5.8
Alternative 9 – Open Channel	Increase	High	High	Yes	Medium	69.2
Alternative 10 – North Storage	Decrease	Low	Low	Yes	Medium	28.2
Alternative 11 – South Storage	Decrease	Low	Low	Yes	Low	20.4
Alternative 12 – North and South Storage	Decrease	Low	Low	Yes	High	42.8
Alternative 13 – 25-Year Sewer and Tunnel	Decrease	High	High	No	Medium	35.2
Alternative 14 – 100-Year Sewer and Tunnel	Decrease	High	High	No	High	39.0
Alternative 15 – 100-Year Sewer Extended and Tunnel	Decrease	High	High	No	High	40.4
Alternative 16 – 100-Year Sewer and Open Channel Bypass	Decrease	High	High	No	High	29.3
	-					

Based on 2019 dollars and includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency. Does not include utility relocation, annual operation and maintenance costs, and assumes no contaminated soils.

<sup>b</sup> Alternative 3 would most likely be designated a High Hazard dam which would be a significant issue if this alternative were implemented.

<sup>c</sup> NA means not applicable for this alternative.

 $^{
m d}$  Alternatives 7 and 8 only eliminate flooding from the City of Milwaukee N. 60 $^{
m m}$  St. sewer to the Wauwatosa sewer area.

Source: SEWRPC

**Schoonmaker Creek Alternative Summary** 

Table 4.3

# **APPENDICES**

## SCHOONMAKER CREEK WATERSHED STUDY **BY R.A. SMITH NATIONAL APPENDIX A**

DRAFT

#### Prepared for City of Wauwatosa, Wisconsin

December 29, 2011

**R.A. Smith National** 

Beyond Surveying and Engineering

### Table of Contents

List of	iii Figures
List of	f Tablesiii
Introductio	on1
1.1	Project Area1
1.1.1	Wauwatosa Drainage Area1
1.1.2	Milwaukee Drainage Area1
1.2	Problem Areas
1.3	Overview of Analysis
Data Obtai	ned for Analysis
2.1	Storm Sewer Data
2.2	Milwaukee Storm Sewer Data
2.3	Recent Construction Data
2.4	Topographic Data
Storm Sew	er Inspection
3.1	CCTV Inspection
3.2	Condition Assessment
3.3	Identified Problem Areas
Hydraulic N	Model Development
4.1	Rainfall Events
4.2	Existing Condition Model Setup
4.2.1	Hydrologic Parameters9
4.2.2	Sewers & Channels
4.2.3	Overland Flow and Ponding
4.3	Menomonee River Flood Elevations
4.4	Milwaukee Stormwater Runoff
Existing Co	ndition Modeling Results
5.1	Stormwater Ponding & Overland Flow
5.2	Sewer Capacity
5.3	Identified Flooding Problem Areas



fae

and Enob

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

1

Alte	rnative	es Evaluation	
	6.1	Alternative Screening	17
	6.1.1	Eliminated Alternatives	
	6.1.2	Retained Alternatives	18
	6.2	Alternative A – 10-year Design Storm Sewer	
	6.3	Alternative B – 100-year Design Storm Sewer	21
	6.4	Alternative C – 100-year Design Tunnel	24
	6.5	Alternative D – 10-year Sewer Design with Street Grade Modification	
	6.6	Alternative E – 10-year Sewer Design with Underground Storage	
	6.7	Alternative F - 100-year Storage	
	6.8	State Street Flooding	
	6.9	Flooding at 74 <sup>th</sup> Street and Center Street	
	6.10	Estimated Capital Costs	
Reco	mmen	nded Plan	

DESCRIPTION STATUS

Note: Freego: Your Characteria
Note: Your Characteria
Note: Your State Characteri



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

ii

#### **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Schoonmaker Creek Watershed	2
Figure 2. CCTV Inspection Results	6
Figure 3. Subbasins	
Figure 4. Existing Condition Hydraulic Model	
Figure 5. July 2010 Simulated Ponding and Overland Flow Areas	
Figure 6. Sewers Deficient for 10-year Event	15
Figure 7. Identified Flooding Problem Areas	
Figure 8. Alternative A - 10-year Design	
Figure 9. Alternative B - 100-year Design Storm Sewer System	
Figure 10. Alternative C - 100-year Design Tunnel	
Figure 11. Alternative D - Street Grade Modifications	
Figure 12. Alternative E – Underground Storage at 70th and Meinecke	

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1. July 2010 Storm Characteristics	8
Table 2. Design Storm Characteristics	9
Table 3. Menomonee River Flood Elevations	
Table 4. Simulated Ponding Depths	
Table S. Alternative A - 10-year Design Components	
Table 6. Alternative A – Ponding Depth Comparison	
Table 7. Alternative B - 100-year Storm Sewer Components	
Table 8. Alternative B – Ponding Depth Comparison	
Table 9. Alternative D – Ponding Depth Comparison	
Table 10. Alternative F – Storm Sewer Upgrade Component	
Table 11. Alternative F – 100-year Detention Storage Volumes	
Table 12. Estimated Capital Costs	



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

#### Section 1 Introduction

This watershed study provides a detailed evaluation of the stormwater system within the Schoonmaker Creek watershed in the City of Wauwatosa. The purpose of this study is to identify improvements that could be implemented to reduce the frequency and severity of surface water flooding in the watershed. Several locations in the watershed have experienced excessive stormwater ponding that has resulted in flooding of streets and buildings in the past several years, most particularly in July 2010.

#### 1.1 Project Area

Schoonmaker Creek watershed lies in the City of Wauwatosa and the City of Milwaukee, as shown in Figure 1. The watershed boundaries were determined based on topographic mapping and storm sewer system maps. As defined in this study, the 1100-acre watershed is approximately bounded by W. Burleigh Street and W. Lisbon Avenue on the north, 76<sup>th</sup> Street on the west, 56<sup>th</sup> Street on the east, and W. State Street on the south. Approximately 62 % of the watershed is in the City of Wauwatosa and 38% is in the City of Milwaukee.

#### 1.1.1 Wauwatosa Draínage Area

The Schoonmaker Creek watershed drains generally from the north to the south and discharges to the Menomonee River near 61<sup>st</sup> Street and W. State Street. The primary drainage system consists of storm sewers ranging in size from 12-inch diameter to 5.5-foot by 9-foot box culvert, and an open channel between W. Lloyd Street and Milwaukee Avenue. The secondary drainage system consists of the streets, which have several low points susceptible to flooding.

#### 1.1.2 Milwaukee Drainage Area

Areas north of Center Street, mostly in the City of Milwaukee, would naturally drain into Schoonmaker Creek based on the topography. However, storm sewers in Center Street intercept runoff from this area and carry the stormwater west to the Menomonee River. During severe rainfall events, runoff exceeding the capacity of the City of Milwaukee Center Street storm sewer system flows south into the City of Wauwatosa.

Also, areas east of 60<sup>th</sup> Street would drain into Schoonmaker Creek based on topography. However, City of Milwaukee combined sewers intercept stormwater runoff from that area. During severe rainfall events, runoff exceeding the capacity of the combined sewers flows west into City of Wauwatosa toward Schoonmaker Creek.

desets have a second address to a second



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docs

Introduction



#### **1.2 Problem Areas**

The City requested a detailed evaluation of the drainage systems and alternative improvements to mitigate the flooding in these areas:

- 66th Street and Lloyd Street nuisance street flooding
- 68th Street and North Avenue nuisance flooding and structure flooding
- State Street between 62nd and 63rd Streets nuisance flooding and structure flooding

#### **1.3 Overview of Analysis**

The stormwater study for the Schoonmaker Creek watershed is intended to provide alternative improvement plans for mitigating both nuisance flooding and structural flooding problems recently experienced in the watershed. The alternative and recommended plans are based on hydraulic modeling of the stormwater runoff and conveyance through storm sewers as well as overland routes. The primary tasks in the investigation are:

- Storm sewer condition assessment based on internal inspection
- Hydraulic modeling of stormwater runoff through existing storm sewers, overland flow routes, and ponding areas
- Examination of flooding problem areas to formulate alternative mitigation measures
- Evaluation of alternative improvements utilizing the hydraulic model
- Consolidating alternative improvements into watershed-based plans
- Preparing preliminary capital costs estimates for alternative plans

(a) spectra de la president tels antenias en construir en la presidente de securit de la presidencia de la c esta de la presidencia de la presidencia de la presidencia de la securita de la deserva de la presidencia de la pre Independencia de la presidencia de l

na entre de la companya de la compan Referencia de la companya de la comp



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.doc

#### Section 2

#### **Data Obtained for Analysis**

#### 2.1 Storm Sewer Data

The City provided storm sewer data in several forms. The locations of manholes, inlets, and storm sewers were provided in an AutoCAD file. Additional data consisting of pipe size, length, rim elevation, and invert elevation were provided on Storm Sewer System Plan drawings. In addition, the City provided copies of design plans, and supplemental survey data upon request. Sewer data provided by the City were in City of Wauwatosa datum.

#### 2.2 Milwaukee Storm Sewer Data

Storm Sewer System Plan drawings were obtained from the City of Milwaukee to aid in quantifying runoff from the watershed area outside the City of Wauwatosa.

#### 2.3 Recent Construction Data

A consultant to the City of Wauwatosa recently completed design of storm sewer improvements in the vicinity of Mountain Avenue, Hill Crest Drive, Washington Circle, and Alta Vista. The changes in tributary drainage area boundaries and storm sewer discharge locations were obtained from the City and incorporated in the modeling.

R.A. Smith National recently completed the site design for The Enclave, at W. Martin Drive and 62<sup>nd</sup> Street. The site grading design data were converted to City datum and incorporated into the City datum topographic contours, as described in the following section.

#### 2.4 Topographic Data

The City provided one-foot contour mapping in an AutoCAD file. This mapping was prepared using aerial photogrammetric methods. The date of the mapping was approximately 2005. The contour data were in National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).

The City also provided street centerline elevations at intersections and mid-block for selected streets. These elevations were in City of Wauwatosa datum, which is 580.28 feet below NGVD.

The contour mapping data were converted from NGVD to City datum and combined with the street centerline elevations and The Enclave design topography. One-foot contours in City datum were created for use in the overland flow analysis.



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011,doc

## Section 3 Storm Sewer Inspection

As part of the watershed study, R.A. Smith National contracted with Visu-Sewer, Inc. to televise and report on the condition of approximately 14,170 feet of storm sewer in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. The storm sewers to be inspected were identified by the City and ranged in size from 15-inch diameter to 5.5-foot by 9-foot box culvert.

#### 3.1 CCTV Inspection

The storm sewers were inspected using closed circuit television (CCTV) cameras pulled through the storm sewers. Video imagery of the sewer interior was captured and later evaluated to determine the sewer condition. Inspection work was completed in accordance with the National Association of Sewer Service Contractors (NASSCO) standards.

#### 3.2 Condition Assessment

The results of the storm sewer television were documented in a separate report provided to the City. Each sewer segment was assigned a condition rating or grade from 0 to 5, based on NASSCO standards. This rating system is oriented to sanitary sewers, where the severity of defects has a greater impact on the overall performance of the sewer system. For example, defects that allow infiltration are less of a concern in storm sewers than in sanitary sewers. Therefore, the actual conditions of the storm sewers are better than indicated by the numeric NASSCO ratings.

Approximately 90% of the televised sewers were found to be in good or better condition with ratings of 1 to 3. The remaining 10% (1,411 feet) were found to have defects such as surface spalling, break-in connections, small cracks, and minor infiltration. Overall the CCTV did not reveal any major structural or maintenance related issues with the existing system.

The assessment results of the storm sewer condition based on the CCTV inspection are summarized in Figure 2.

R.A. Smith National

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

Storm Sewer Inspection



#### 3.3 Identified Problem Areas

One area of concern is the storm sewer in W. Wright Street east of Wauwatosa Avenue. The City sewer data indicates that the storm sewer is back-pitched between manholes 37 and 38. Photo 3-1 is a snapshot taken during the CCTV work between manholes 37 and 38. About 45 feet east of manhole 37 in Wright Street, water begins to back up. At 70 feet east of manhole 37, it's fully surcharged as shown in the photo. This surcharging is consistent with the sewer elevation data.



Photo 3-1. Wright Street Storm Sewer, east of Wauwatosa Avenue

Another issue of concern is adjacent to the one above. Between manholes 38 and 39 there is an obstruction that is causing both water and debris to back up in the storm sewer. Photo 3-2 shows the obstruction and Photo 3-3 shows the debris that has accumulated upstream of the obstruction. Dye flooding in the vicinity did not identify any major leaks into the sanitary sewer system at this location, but this obstruction limits the storm sewer capacity.



#### Photo 3-2. Wright Street Storm Sewer (above water)

Photo 3-3. Wright Street Storm Sewer (underwater)



A WATERCOURSE SYSTEM PLAN FOR SCHOONMAKER CREEK – APPENDIX A | 81

## Section 4 Hydraulic Model Development

The analysis of the Schoonmaker Creek drainage system and the evaluation of alternative configurations were based on modeling the storm sewers and overland flow routes. This section addresses the development of the hydraulic model for existing conditions and application of the model to evaluate alternative scenarios for mitigating flooding problems in the watershed.

#### 4.1 Rainfall Events

Several storm events were used in analyzing the Schoonmaker Creek watershed. These events included the July 2010 historic storm, as well as 10-year, 50-year, 100-year and 500-year recurrence interval (10-percent, 2-percent, 1-percent, and 0.2-percent annual chance, respectively) synthetic design storm events.

Although the City operates a rain gage just outside the watershed at City Hall, during the July 2010 event the gage did not properly record the extremely intense rainfall. Therefore, we obtained the rainfall data recorded at the U.S. Geological Survey gaging station on the Menomonee River at 70<sup>th</sup> Street. This station is very close to the Schoonmaker Creek watershed and provided 5-minute interval rainfall data. The July 2010 storm exceeded a 100-year recurrence interval event based on the characteristics shown in Table 1.

As also shown in Table 1, two other locations in the Milwaukee area recorded rainfall amounts during this storm greater than at the 70<sup>th</sup> Street gage.

Storm Event	Max 1-hour Rainfall	Max 2-hour Rainfall	Max 3-hour Rainfall
100-Year Recurrence Interval	2.82 Inches	3.64 inches	3.89 inches
July 2010 - 70th Street	3.03 Inches	3.58 inches	4.16 inches
July 2010 - Menomonee Falls	4.02 inches	4.42 Inches	4.64 inches
July 2010 - 5335 N Teutonia Ave.	3.74 inches	5.72 Inches	6.15 Inches

#### Table 1. July 2010 Storm Characteristics

Source: SEWRPC

Additional extreme historic storm events were also considered for the analysis. Severe rainfall events occurred in the Milwaukee area in 1986, June 1997, and August 1998. Limited data is available for these events, but most appear to have been most intense in the 6- to 24-hour duration time period. Because the Schoonmaker Creek watershed is relatively small and steep, the most severe flows will occur with intense shorter duration storm events like the July 2010 event.

For the synthetic design storm events, the SCS 24-hour duration with Type II storm distribution was used with rainfall volumes obtained from SEWRPC Technical Report 40, as shown in Table 2.



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.doi

Storm Event	24-hour Rainfall
10-year Recurrence Interval (10-percent Annual Chance)	3.62 inches
50-year Recurrence Interval (2-percent Annual Chance)	5.11 Inches
100-year Recurrence Interval (1-percent Annual Chance)	5.88 inches
500-year Recurrence Interval (0.2-percent Annual Chance)	8.02 inches

#### **Table 2. Design Storm Characteristics**

#### 4.2 Existing Condition Model Setup

The 2011 version of XP-Storm was chosen for the hydrologic and hydraulic modeling in this study. XP-Storm is proprietary software developed and supported by XP Software, Inc. The program was used to quantify the flows, volume, and timing of storm runoff for various rainfall events. The model performed hydrograph routing through storm sewers, overland flow routes, culverts, and open channels in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed.

#### 4.2.1 Hydrologic Parameters

The watershed was divided into 75 subbasins based on the topography as shown in Figure 3. The parameters affecting the volume and rate of runoff were determined for each subbasin. These parameters consisted of the subbasin area, runoff curve number, and time of concentration. The runoff curve number was derived from the hydrologic soil type and land cover in each subbasin. Times of concentration were determined based on length of flow path and slope of each subbasin. The subbasins ranged in size from 0.9 acre to 95.9 acres. The subbasin parameters were utilized in the model to determine runoff hydrographs from each subbasin. The subbasin flow hydrographs were connected to storm sewers at designated manhole locations.

#### 4.2.2 Sewers & Channels

Data provided by the City was used to develop a model of the primary conveyance system including storm sewers, open channels, roadway culverts, and extended length box culvert sections in the watershed. The modeled sewers and channel segments are shown in Figure 3. The model included the mainline storm sewers and storm sewers that drain locations where sag conditions or very flat gradients exist. This approach assumes that runoff exceeding the capacity of the non-modeled storm sewers will follow overland flow routes to either sag locations or open channel reaches.

#### 4.2.3 Overland Flow and Ponding

In the model, overland flow routes were identified and modeled in two ways. In well-defined flow paths following the streets, the overland flow route was represented as an open channel based on the street cross section and the slope from manhole to manhole or intersection to intersection. These routes are show in Figure 4. In areas without easily defined overland flow routes, the overland flow was represented in the model based on the topography. The modeling utilized the 1-foot contour data and street centerline elevation data provided by the City to simulate ponding and non-discrete overland flows.

#### **R.A. Smith National**

Beyond Surveying

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

Hydraulic Model Development



#### Hydraulic Model Development



A WATERCOURSE SYSTEM PLAN FOR SCHOONMAKER CREEK – APPENDIX A | 85

#### 4.3 Menomonee River Flood Elevations

Flood stages on the Menomonee River affect flooding on State Street, but have limited effect on the Schoonmaker Creek hydraulic profile upstream of Martin Drive. In addition, the peak flows on Schoonmaker Creek would be expected to precede peak stages on the Menomonee River for a given storm event because of the difference in watershed size. For the existing condition and alternative analyses, the 10-year recurrence interval flood stage on the Menomonee River was used as the outfall condition on Schoonmaker Creek. The Menomonee River flood elevation data is shown in the following table.

#### **Table 3. Menomonee River Flood Elevations**

Flood Event	Menomonee River Stage (Wauwatosa Datum)	Source
10-year Recurrence Interval Flood	51.32 feet	Flood Insurance Study
50-year Recurrence Interval Flood	54.22 feet	Flood Insurance Study
100-year Recurrence Interval Flood	54.52 feet	MMSD – with County Grounds Detention
July 2010 Storm Event	53.72 feet	Estimated

#### 4.4 Milwaukee Stormwater Runoff

The Schoonmaker Creek watershed includes areas in the City of Milwaukee to the north and to the east of Wauwatosa, as shown in Figure 3. The runoff from these areas was included in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed analysis. The City of Milwaukee sewers were not included in the modeling.

Runoff from the area north of Center Street is collected by storm sewers connecting to a large storm sewer in Center Street that flows west to the Menomonee River. In the watershed model, the Center Street storm sewer was assumed to flow at full capacity and runoff exceeding the sewer capacity was directed to overland flow paths following the lowest streets. Ponding storage in the sag condition at 74<sup>th</sup> Street and Center Street was modeled based on topography.

Runoff from the area east of 60<sup>th</sup> Street is collected by the combined sewer system in the City of Milwaukee. The combined sewer outlet is estimated to have capacity for up to a 5-year recurrence interval storm event. In the watershed model, runoff exceeding the capacity of the combined sewer was directed to overland flow paths flowing south and west toward Schoonmaker Creek. Ponding storage at 60<sup>th</sup> Street and Clarke Street was modeled based on topography.



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

## Section 5 Existing Condition Modeling Results

The XP-STORM model was used to simulate the performance of the existing Schoonmaker Creek drainage system for the July 2010 historic storm event and the 10-year design event. The results of these simulations were evaluated relative to historic flooding observations obtained from the City and media reports. The results appeared to be consistent with the reports and available data on ponding depths in select locations.

#### 5.1 Stormwater Ponding & Overland Flow

In locations where the runoff rate exceeds the storm sewer capacity, the model simulates stormwater ponding and overland flow routes based on the topography. The ponding depths and overland flow rates fluctuate during the course of the storm event. Figure 5 illustrates the extent of overland flow and ponding simulated in the Schoonmaker Creek watershed for the July 2010 storm event. In this figure, stormwater flooding areas are shown in various colors representing depth, with blue indicating greater than 0.25 feet and red indicating greater than 2.5 feet.

Location	July 2010 Storm Event Peak Ponding Depth
74 <sup>th</sup> and Center	2.8 feet
72 <sup>nd</sup> and Clarke	0.9 feet
70 <sup>th</sup> and Wright	1.3 feet
70 <sup>th</sup> and Melnecke	2.3 feet
68 <sup>th</sup> and North	1.8 feet
67 <sup>th</sup> and Garfield	0.7 feet
62 <sup>rd</sup> and State	2.6 feet

#### **Table 4. Simulated Ponding Depths**

#### 5.2 Sewer Capacity

The modeling analysis identified many storm sewer segments inadequate to carry the peak flows that would result from a 10-year recurrence interval storm event. Those segments, as shown in Figure 6, were identified as sewers with capacities less than the peak flow tributary to the segment. This evaluation did include the ponding that occurs within the existing watershed conditions. The unintentional ponding provides storage which reduces downstream flows. Additional segments with deficient capacity may be identified once ponding in the upstream areas is reduced.

**R.A. Smith National** 

d Surveying

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx





#### 5.3 Identified Flooding Problem Areas

In addition to the deficient sewer segments, the hydraulic modeling identified the potential for significant ponding and possible flooding in these areas, as shown in Figure 7:

- 74<sup>th</sup> Street and Center Street
- 72<sup>nd</sup> Street and Clark Street
- 72<sup>nd</sup> Street and Wright Street
- 70<sup>th</sup> to 71<sup>st</sup> Streets and Meinecke Avenue
- 68th Street and North Avenue
- 66th Street and Lloyd Street
- State Street between 60<sup>th</sup> and 63<sup>rd</sup> Streets



#### **Alternatives Evaluation**

Section 6

Possible improvements considered in the alternatives evaluation included additional storm sewer capacity, modification of street grades, additional inlets, detention storage near ponding areas and detention storage along the open channel segment. Based on the results of the existing system analysis, it is apparent that upgrades are necessary for the system to handle a 10-year recurrence interval storm event.

The topography of the Schoonmaker Creek watershed concentrates the runoff into a corridor less than 800 feet wide. North of Lloyd Street, this corridor is oriented diagonal to the street rights-of-way where it is preferable to construct public works. With the topography and right-of-way constraints, the practicable alternative sewer routes in the watershed are limited.

#### 6.1 Alternative Screening

Various alternatives were considered and those with potential to mitigate flooding in the problem areas were identified for further analysis. Some alternatives were dropped from further consideration.

#### **6.1.1 Eliminated Alternatives**

Installing additional inlets was not considered to be a viable solution because storm sewers draining the flooded areas do not have capacity to accept additional runoff. For additional inlets to be effective, the storm sewer capacity must be available. Adequate inlet capacity, with consideration to potential clogging, is an essential part of all alternatives considered for adding storm sewer capacity.

Detention storage on lots that are presently vacant or city-owned was considered, but eliminated because the magnitude of excess flood waters is far beyond the detention volume that could be created by excavation in a limited space. For example, on an 80-foot by 120-foot lot, an open detention basin could provide approximately 20,000 cubic feet of storage and an underground concrete tank would provide approximately 34,000 cubic feet of storage, assuming gravity drainage. In comparison, the typical ponding volume for a 10-year storm at one of the flooding problem areas is in excess of 100,000 cubic feet, approximately three to five times greater than the volume of a detention basin. Detention storage is further addressed in Alternatives E and F.

Detention storage along the open channel portions of Schoonmaker Creek between Lloyd Street and Milwaukee Avenue was also considered but eliminated. With existing conditions, there is flood storage upstream of each crossing due to the limited capacity of the culverts at Revere Avenue, Washington Circle, Upper Parkway North, and Milwaukee Avenue. The modeling indicates that floodwaters also overtop Martha Washington Drive beneath W. Washington Boulevard. Further restriction or raising these crossings to increase storage would cause flooding on adjacent properties. Although some additional storage could be created by excavation along the creek

#### **R.A. Smith National**

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docs

17

between W. Washington Boulevard and Milwaukee Avenue, the storage volume gained would be insufficient to affect any benefits downstream.

#### 6.1.2 Retained Alternatives

Alternatives remaining in consideration for mitigating the flooding problems may be characterized as conveyance measures. These consist of replacing existing storm sewers with larger sewers (upsizing), adding additional storm sewers, upsizing or adding culverts, enlarging channels, and modifying street grades to increase capacity for overland flow. Proposed improvements are located within public right-of-way, unless noted otherwise.

To the extent practicable, alternatives were developed to address flooding problems throughout the watershed. This was necessary because changes in one area would affect the stormwater ponding or conveyance in other areas. Problem areas not adequately addressed by the primary alternatives are discussed in subsequent sections. Estimated construction costs for the viable alternatives are presented at the end of this chapter.

#### 6.2 Alternative A – 10-year Design Storm Sewer

Alternative A consists of storm system modifications to convey the 10-year design storm flows with the hydraulic grade line within the pipes – no surcharging. The key features of Alternative A include:

- Upsize approximately 9,600 lineal feet of storm sewer with inlets for design runoff
- Construct second box culverts (or construct new crossings) at Revere Drive, Washington Circle, and Upper Parkway North
- Construct a second box culvert in Martha Washington Drive from Milwaukee Avenue to Martin Drive
- Construct a box culvert in Martin Drive and 60th Street and outfall to the Menomonee River
- Enclose the existing culvert between State Street and the railroad

Alternative A, as shown in Figure 8 and described in Table 5, includes measures to provide increased capacity downstream of Lloyd Street because the larger sewers, while reducing the ponding, convey the runoff downstream at higher flow rates.

Alternative A focuses on constructing a large sewer through the center of the upper watershed, relieving flow from the west trunk sewer, which flows through backyards south of North Avenue, and upsizing the 65<sup>th</sup> Street storm sewer to capture runoff from the east. Directing flows from the west into a larger central trunk sewer avoids the need to upsize the west trunk sewer.

Alternative A would lower the elevation of the 65<sup>th</sup> Street storm sewer downstream of North Avenue. This would eliminate the existing "inverted siphon" at North Avenue. Upsizing the storm sewer in 65<sup>th</sup> Street would create an east trunk sewer to capture all runoff from the watershed area east of 65<sup>th</sup> Street. Existing sewers flowing west in Meinecke Avenue and Wright Street would be redirected into the 65<sup>th</sup> Street sewer. The 65<sup>th</sup> Street sewer upsizing could be constructed in

#### **R.A. Smith National**

Bewond Surveying

d Engin

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.
 Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx.

conjunction with sanitary sewer replacement contemplated in several of the alternatives proposed in the study of sewershed WA4002.

To relieve flows from the west trunk sewer, an existing diversion sewer flowing east in Meinecke at 70<sup>th</sup> Street would be upsized and a new sewer segment in Wright Street at 71<sup>st</sup> Street would divert flow into the upsized central sewer. At North Avenue, connections to the west trunk would be eliminated.

The replacement central trunk sewer invert would be lower than the existing sewer by as much as 4 feet in 67<sup>th</sup> Street, North Avenue, and 69<sup>th</sup> Street, and only slightly less in Garfield Avenue, Meinecke Avenue, and 70<sup>th</sup> Street. Changes to sanitary sewers would likely be required due to grade conflicts and may be part of the sanitary system upgrades being considered. With limited data available at this time regarding other utilities, the design is hydraulically valid but is a conceptual design.

Street	Block	Existing Size	Proposed Size	ize Comments	
60 <sup>th</sup> Street	Menomonee River to Martin	None	5 ft. x12 ft. Box	A.	
Martin Street	60th to M. Washington	None	5 ft. x12 ft. Box		
M. Washington Dr.	Martin to Milwaukee	4.4 ft.x7.5 ft.	5 ft. x7 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert	
Upper Parkway North	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	5 ft. x 7 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert	
Washington Circle	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	5 ft. x 7 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert	
Revere Avenue	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	5 ft. x 7 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert	
65th Street	Lloyd to Garfield	36-inch	66-Inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
65th Street	Garfield to North	36-inch	60-Inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	North to Meinecke	30 to 24-inch	36-inch	the second s	
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Melnecke to Wright	24-Inch	36-inch	and the second sec	
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Wright to Clark	24-inch	36 to 30-Inch	11	
66 <sup>th</sup> Street	Lloyd to Garfield	60-inch	84-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
Garfield Street	66th to 67th	54-inch	84-Inch		
67th Street	Garfield to North	54-inch	84-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
North Avenue	67 <sup>th</sup> to 69 <sup>th</sup>	48 to 60-inch	84-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
69 <sup>th</sup> Street	North to Meinecke	60-inch	72-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
Meinecke Avenue	69 <sup>th</sup> to 70 <sup>th</sup>	60-inch	72-inch		
70 <sup>th</sup> Street	Meinecke to Wright	54-inch	72 to 66-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
Wright Street	70 <sup>th</sup> to 72 <sup>nd</sup>	48-inch	66 to 54-Inch		
71 <sup>st</sup> Street	Wright to Clarke	36 to 42-inch	60-Inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer	
Clarke Street	69 <sup>th</sup> to 72 <sup>nd</sup>	30-inch	54-Inch		

#### Table 5. Alternative A - 10-year Design Components

R.A. Smith National Beyond Surveying DRAFT FOR

nd Em

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

19

**Alternative Evaluations** 



The performance of Alternative A was modeled for a range of storm events. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the alternative, the ponding depths at key locations are compared in Table 6. Since Alternative A is designed to convey the 10-year storm without surcharging, significant ponding would still occur for more severe events. Significant ponding occurs at State Street for all events because of high stages on the Menomonee River.

Location	July 2010 Storm Event Peak Ponding Depth	Alternative A 10-year Event	Alternative A 50-year Event	Alternative A 100-year Event	Alternative A 500-year Event
72 <sup>M and Clarke</sup>	0.9 feet	-4.2 feet	0.3 feet	0.3 feet	0.8 feet
72 <sup>rd</sup> and Wright	1.3 feet	-4.5 feet	0.3 feet	0.5 feet	0.9 feet
70 <sup>th</sup> and Meinecke	2.3 feet	-3.9 feet	1.5 feet	2.3 feet	2.9 feet
68 <sup>th</sup> and North	1.8 feet	-6.4 feet	-1.4 feet	0.1 feet	1.6 feet
67 <sup>th</sup> and Garfield	0.7 feet	-5.6 feet	+1.1 feet	-0.6 feet	1.0 feet
66 <sup>th</sup> and Lloyd	0.6 feet	-2.2 feet	+1.0 feet	-0.7 feet	0.6 feet
62 <sup>nd</sup> and State	2.6 feet	2.1 feet	2.2 feet	2.2 feet	2.5 feet

Table 6.	Alternative	A-	Ponding	Depth	Comparison
----------	-------------	----	---------	-------	------------

Note: Negative values indicate the hydraulic gradeline is below ground elevation.

#### 6.3 Alternative B – 100-year Design Storm Sewer

Alternative B consists of storm system modifications to convey the 100-year design storm flows with the hydraulic grade line below the ground surface. Alternative B follows the design format of Alternative A. The key features of Alternative B include:

- Upsize approximately 10,700 lineal feet of storm sewer with inlets for design runoff
- Construct second box culverts (or construct new crossings) at Revere Drive, Washington Circle, and Upper Parkway North
- Construct a second box culvert in Martha Washington Drive from Milwaukee Avenue to Martin Drive
- Construct a box culvert in Martin Drive and 60th Street and outfall to the Menomonee River
- Enclose the existing culvert between State Street and the railroad

Alternative 8, as shown in Figure 9 and described in Table 7, includes measures to provide increased capacity downstream of Lloyd Street because the larger storm sewers, while reducing the ponding, convey the runoff downstream at higher flow rates.

Alternative B focuses on constructing a large sewer through the center of the upper watershed, relieving flow from the west trunk sewer, which flows through backyards south of North Avenue, and upsizing the 65<sup>th</sup> Street storm sewer to capture runoff from the east. Directing flows from the west into a larger central trunk sewer avoids the need to upsize the west trunk sewer.

#### **R.A. Smith National**

nd Surveying

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

21

Alternative B would lower the elevation of the 65<sup>th</sup> Street storm sewer downstream of North Avenue. Upsizing the storm sewer in 65<sup>th</sup> Street would create an east trunk sewer to capture all runoff from the watershed area east of 65<sup>th</sup> Street. Existing sewers flowing west in Meinecke Avenue and Wright Street would be redirected into the 65<sup>th</sup> Street sewer. The 65<sup>th</sup> Street sewer upsizing could be constructed in conjunction with sanitary sewer replacement contemplated in several of the alternatives proposed in the study of sewershed WA4002.

To relieve flows from the west trunk sewer, an existing diversion sewer flowing east in Meinecke at 70<sup>th</sup> Street would be upsized and a new sewer segment in Wright Street at 71<sup>st</sup> Street would divert flow into the upsized central sewer. At North Avenue, connections to the west trunk would be eliminated.

The replacement central trunk sewer invert would be lower than the existing sewer by as much as 7.5 feet in Garfield Avenue, 67<sup>th</sup> Street, North Avenue, and 69<sup>th</sup> Street, and only slightly less in 66<sup>th</sup> Street, Meinecke Avenue, and 70<sup>th</sup> Street. Changes to sanitary sewers would likely be required due to grade conflicts and may be part of the sanitary system upgrades being considered. With limited data available at this time regarding other utilities, the design is hydraulically valid but is a conceptual design.

Street	Block	Existing Size	Proposed Size	Comments
60 <sup>th</sup> Street	River to Martin	None	Double 6 ft.x8 ft. Box	nulla sua
Martin Street	60 <sup>th</sup> to M. Washington	None	Double 6 ft.x8 ft. Box	is all write market
M. Washington Dr.	Martin to Milwaukee	4.4 ft.x7.5 ft.	6 ft. x 9 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert
Upper Parkway North	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	6 ft. x 9 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert
Washington Circle	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	6 ft. x 9 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert
Revere Avenue	Creek crossing	5.5 ft. x 9 ft.	6 ft. × 9 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Lloyd to Garfield	36-inch	72-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Garfield to North	36-inch	66-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	North to Meinecke	30 to 24-inch	48-inch	(Galio) and
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Meinecke to Wright	24-inch	48 to 42-inch	
65 <sup>th</sup> Street	Wright to Clark	24-inch	42-inch	
Clarke Street	65 <sup>th</sup> to 64 <sup>th</sup>	24-inch	36-Inch	o Autaba
66 <sup>th</sup> Street	Lloyd to Garfield	60-Inch	120-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
Garfield Street	66th to 67th	54-inch	120-inch	and the second se
67 <sup>th</sup> Street	Garfield to North	54-Inch	120-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
North Avenue	67 <sup>th</sup> to 69 <sup>th</sup>	48 to 60-inch	120-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
69 <sup>th</sup> Street	North to Meinecke	60-Inch	120-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
Meinecke Avenue	69 <sup>th</sup> to 70 <sup>th</sup>	60-inch	108-inch	dan unit utiliser est
70 <sup>th</sup> Street	Melnecke to Wright	54-inch	108 to 96-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
Wright Street	70 <sup>th</sup> to 72 <sup>nd</sup>	48-inch	96 to 68-inch	a series and the second se
71 <sup>st</sup> Street	Wright to Clarke	36 to 42-inch	84-inch	Add parallel sanitary sewer
Clarke Street	68 <sup>th</sup> to 72 <sup>nd</sup>	36 to 27-inch	72 to 54-inch	adverte son all souther

#### Table 7. Alternative B - 100-year Storm Sewer Components

Terretaria de la completa de la comp en la completa de la comp en la completa de la completa

#### **R.A. Smith National**

Beyond Surveying

**DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.** 

OSES ONLY.

**Alternative Evaluations** 



The performance of Alternative B was modeled for the 100-year and 500-year recurrence interval storm events. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the alternative, the ponding depths at key locations are compared in Table 8. Except on State Street, the increased conveyance capacity would mitigate flooding for the 100-year event and significantly reduce ponding for the 500-year event. Significant ponding occurs at State Street for all events because of high stages on the Menomonee River.

Location	July 2010 Storm Event Peak Ponding Depth	Alternative B 100-year Event	Alternative B 500-year Event
72 <sup>nd</sup> and Clarke	0.9 feet	-3.1 feet	0.4 feet
72 <sup>nd</sup> and Wright	1.3 feet	-6.5 feet	0.4 feet
70 <sup>th</sup> and Melnecke	2.3 feet	-6.3 feet	0.8 feet
68 <sup>th</sup> and North	1.8 feet	-6.6 feet	-0.7 feet
67 <sup>th</sup> and Garfield	0.7 feet	-5.2 feet	-1.5 feet
66 <sup>th</sup> and Lloyd	in the stands	-1.9 feet	-1.0 feet
62 <sup>nd</sup> and State	2.6 feet	2.3 feet	2.8 feet

#### Table 8. Alternative B - Ponding Depth Comparison

Note: Negative values indicate the hydraulic gradeline is below ground elevation.

#### Alternative C - 100-year Design Tunnel 6.4

Alternative C consists of a tunnel and storm sewers to collect and convey the 100-year design storm flows that exceed the capacity of the existing storm sewer system. The key features of Alternative D include:

- Approximately 6,100 feet of 12-foot diameter concrete lined tunnel ٠
- Five shafts for stormwater flows and maintenance access to tunnel .
- ٠ Approximately 1,000 lineal feet of box culvert outfall to the Menomonee River
- Approximately 6,400 lineal feet of storm sewer with inlets to collect excess runoff from low points and convey to tunnel shafts

Alternative C, as shown in Figure 10, would reduce the extent of construction disruption compared to Alternative B, while providing similar conveyance capacity. Localized changes to sanitary sewers would likely be required due to grade conflicts and may be part of the sanitary system upgrades being considered. The tunnel would generally follow the 68<sup>th</sup> Street right-of-way. However the specific location of the tunnel and shafts would be dependent on easements.

Alternative C would collect excess runoff from the low points in the watershed to avoid surcharging the existing storm sewer system and lower the hydraulic grade line below the ground surface.

#### DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.

Beyond Surveying Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

**R.A. Smith National** 

nd Engl
### Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study

**Alternative Evaluations** 



# 6.5 Alternative D – 10-year Sewer Design with Street Grade Modification

Alternative D includes all the conveyance system modifications of Alternative A and the addition of street grade modifications to reduce ponding during storm events more severe than the 10-year recurrence interval. Street grade modifications were considered to reduce ponding at 68<sup>th</sup> Street and North Avenue as shown in Figure 11. This location was selected for evaluation because the topography showed the availability of sufficient elevation difference within reasonable distance.



Bryand Survying and Engineering Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011. doce Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study

Although the 70<sup>th</sup> and Meinecke intersection had residual ponding depths larger than at 68<sup>th</sup> Street and North Avenue, improving the overland flow capacity from 70<sup>th</sup> and Meinecke would require lowering the street grades over five blocks from 70<sup>th</sup> and Meinecke to 66<sup>th</sup> and Lloyd. This would require extensive reconstruction of streets along the primary route as well as adjacent streets, for transition, and was deemed not feasible.

The performance of Alternative D was modeled for the 100-year and 500-year recurrence interval storm events. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the alternative, the ponding depths at key locations are compared in Table 9. The street lowering had minimal effect on the 100-year ponding depths. For the 500-year event, the ponding at 68<sup>th</sup> and North would be reduced by 0.8 feet, but ponding downstream at Garfield and at Lloyd increased by 0.2 feet.

	July 2010 Storm Event	100-уеа	ar Event	500-yea	ar Event
Location	Peak Ponding Depth	Alternative A	Alternative D	Alternative A	Alternative D
68 <sup>th</sup> and North	1.8 feet	0.1 feet	0.0 feet	1.6 feet	0.8 feet
67 <sup>th</sup> and Garfield	0.7 feet	-0.6 feet	-0.6 feet	1.0 feet	1.2 feet
66 <sup>th</sup> and Lloyd	0.6 feet	-0.7 feet	-0.7 feet	0.6 feet	0.8 feet

### Table 9. Alternative D - Ponding Depth Comparison

Note: Negative values indicate the hydraulic gradeline is below ground elevation.

The street grade modifications in Alternative D provide marginal improvement in flooding associated with the 100-year event. For the 500-year event, Alternative D provides significant reduction in ponding depth at North Avenue, but produces a increases in ponding depths at locations downstream. Overall, Alternative D does not provide significant benefits over Alternative A.

# 6.6 Alternative E – 10-year Sewer Design with Underground Storage

Alternative E includes all the conveyance system modifications of Alternative A (10-year conveyance design) and the addition of underground storage at 70<sup>th</sup> Street and Meinecke Avenue, as shown in Figure 11. The underground storage would serve to reduce ponding during storm events more severe than the 10-year recurrence interval.

The underground storage would be provided by constructing a reasonable size facility beneath 70<sup>th</sup> Street, north of Meinecke Avenue. Approximately 550 feet of double 6-foot by 10-foot reinforced concrete box culverts would be constructed within the existing right-of-way. The 65,000 cubic feet of storage would be constructed immediately west of the proposed 72-inch storm sewer and would require relocating a sanitary sewer and a water main.

The performance of Alternative E was modeled for the 50-year, 100-year, and 500-year recurrence interval storm events. Alternative E was found to provide no significant reduction in ponding depths.

### **R.A. Smith National**

Beyond Surveying

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.door

27

This underground storage volume, although reasonable to construct, is insufficient to provide a measureable improvement in the flooding in this area. To eliminate flooding at this location during a 100-year event would require approximately six storage facilities similar in size to this one.



Figure 12. Alternative E – Underground Storage at 70<sup>th</sup> and Melnecke

# 6.7 Alternative F - 100-year Storage

Alternate F would provide facilities for temporary detention of storm water runoff that exceeds the capacity of the existing storm sewer system. The watershed model was utilized to determine the detention storage needed to avoid surface flooding at the flood-prone locations. The required storage volumes are shown in Table 10. Additional storm sewer capacity would still be needed

## **R.A. Smith National**

Beyond Surveying

and Eng

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.
 Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study

downstream of Milwaukee Avenue to convey the peak 100-year flows with the hydraulic grade line below the ground surface. The required storm sewer capacity upgrades would be similar to that shown for the area south of Milwaukee Avenue as part of Alternative A (Figure 8) and listed in Table 10.

Street	Block	Existing Size	Proposed Size	Comments
60 <sup>m</sup> Street	Menomonee River to Martin	None	5 ft. x12 ft. Box	- net - Angle
Martin Street	60 <sup>th</sup> to M. Washington	None	5 ft. x12 ft. Box	11.140 16h 15hhn
M. Washington Dr.	Martin to Milwaukee	4.4 ft.x7.5 ft.	5 ft. x7 ft. Box	In addition to existing culvert

Table 10. A	Iternative F	Storm Sewer	Upgrade	Component
-------------	--------------	-------------	---------	-----------

Because there are no vacant or undeveloped sites within the vicinity of these storage locations, stormwater detention storage facilities would need to be constructed underground. As discussed for Alternative E, underground storage that is shallow enough to drain by gravity into the storm sewer system would not be feasible due to the large size required to provide the necessary volume.
 Deeper storage facilities with pumped discharges would be required.

One alternative storage facility design approach would be concrete tanks constructed beneath streets. Assuming construction within the street right-of-way and allowing room for sanitary and water lines, the maximum storage tank dimensions would be 25 feet wide and 20 feet deep. The tanks would occupy a total of approximately five blocks, with the approximate lengths shown in Table 10. Pumping facilities would be required to empty the storage tanks.

Another alternative storage design would consist of vertical shafts excavated within street right-ofway. The approximate total depths needed for 20-foot diameter shafts are shown in Table 11. Multiple shafts may be required at each location. Pumping facilities would be required to empty the storage shafts.

the second se				
Location	Volume (Million Gallons)	Equivalent Water Depth (feet) on a Football Field	Length of Tank (feet) 25' wide & 20' deep	Depth of 20-foot Diameter Shaft (feet)
72 <sup>nd</sup> and Clarke	1.5	4.2	400	650
72 <sup>nd</sup> and Wright	2.1	6.4	560	900
70 <sup>th</sup> and Meinecke	4.0	11.3	1080	1800
68 <sup>th</sup> and North	1.3	4.1	360	600

### Table 11. Alternative F – 100-year Detention Storage Volumes

# 6.8 State Street Flooding

The flooding problem on State Street between 60<sup>th</sup> Street and 63<sup>rd</sup> Street would not be resolved by the alternatives discussed above. The flooding on State Street is caused by flood stages on the Menomonee River and by Schoonmaker Creek runoff in excess of the existing culvert capacity.

### **R.A. Smith National**

ond Surveying

DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011. docs

29

Schoonmaker Creek Watershed Study

Prevention of State Street flooding caused by runoff from Schoonmaker Creek would require adding additional conveyance capacity from Milwaukee Avenue to the river, hydraulically isolating the existing box culvert from inlets south of Martin Drive, and enclosing the existing box culvert between State Street and the railroad. The inlets south of Martin Drive would require a new outfall to the river.

High stages on the Menomonee River will continue to cause flooding on State Street. The 10-year recurrence interval flood stage on the river is 0.1 feet above the low point along State Street. This is similar to the situation at 63<sup>rd</sup> Street and River Parkway. The levee constructed by the MMSD along River Parkway prevents overland flooding from the river, but the 63<sup>rd</sup> Street storm sewer outfall provides a hydraulic connection between the river and the low point at 63<sup>rd</sup> Street.

The MMSD plans to remove the box culvert and construct an open channel for Schoonmaker Creek south of the railroad to the river will not change the flooding on State Street.

It appears the only solution for flooding on State Street would be to extend the levee east from 63<sup>rd</sup> Street and construct an interior drainage system for the area behind the levee. The interior drainage system would require a pumped outlet or a new outfall with adequate capacity to a downstream discharge point where flood stages are lower.

# 6.9 Flooding at 74th Street and Center Street

The alternatives discussed above do not resolve the flooding at 74<sup>th</sup> Street and Center Street. Center Street is drained by a storm sewer system flowing west to the Menomonee River. The Schoonmaker Creek modeling accounts for excess runoff that overflows from Center Street into the Schoonmaker Creek storm sewer system.

Providing additional sewer capacity to adequately drain Center Street into Schoonmaker Creek would require lowering the slope and further increasing the size of proposed sewers from Center Street to Lloyd Street. Additional capacity increases may also be needed downstream of Lloyd Street to convey additional stormwater from Center Street.

To evaluate the Center Street flooding and mitigation alternatives, a separate model of the Center Street storm sewer system would be needed.

The Barriers of Monterson Street Larger Lash were by "Last Landon Street". Street Landon on the street of the Monterson of Street Street Street Landon Street Street Landon Street Landon Street Landon Street Landon Street Landon Street Street Street Street



DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY. Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx

30

# 6.10 Estimated Capital Costs

The capital costs were estimated for the alternatives. These costs are planning level capital costs based on estimates of the construction costs, including a 30-percent contingency, and a 25-percent allowance for engineering and administration. The construction costs were based on unit costs derived from a variety of sources, including MMSD's Hart Park project construction bids and recent local stormwater and sewer system projects. The alternative costs include costs of changes in sanitary sewers, water mains, and other utilities anticipated to be necessary for the construction of the storm system improvements, and the costs for restoration of areas disturbed for construction of the stormwater system upgrades.

Cost for land acquisition or easements outside of public rights-of-way and annual operation & maintenance are **not** included in the costs.

These alternatives do not resolve flooding at 74<sup>th</sup> Street and Center Street, which is drained by a storm sewer system flowing west to the Menomonee River. Flooding on State Street would not be resolved by these alternatives, because the flood stages on the Menomonee River are above the low point along State Street.

Estimated planning level capital costs for the alternatives are presented in Table 12. These costs are subject to refinement in the final recommendations and during design.

Alternative	Description	Cost
A. 10-year Storm Sewer	Storm sewer system modifications for no surcharging during a 10-year recurrence interval storm event	\$35,800,000
B. 100-year Storm Sewer	Storm sewer system modifications for no street closures during a 100-year recurrence interval storm event	\$45,900,000
C. 100-year Tunnel	Tunnel and storm sewers for no street closures during a 100-year recurrence interval storm event	\$56,000,000
D. 10-year Storm Sewer with Localized Street Grade Modifications	Not feasible	-
E. 10-year Storm Sewer with Localized Underground Storage	Not feasible	-
F. 100-year Storage	Underground storage tanks or shafts with pumped discharges and storm sewer upgrades south of Milwaukee Avenue	\$77,000,000

### Table 12. Estimated Capital Costs



**Recommended Plan** (To be added later)

Section 7

	(1) Solution and address of the second state of the second stat	
	Construction of the second se second second sec	
ang Crest	na presenta a composición de la serva. A presenta a composición de la serva. A presenta a composición de la serva de la serva de la serva de la serva A presenta a composición de la serva de	N S SV-DO
	data ar i di	

**R.A. Smith National DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY.** 

Schoonmaker Watershed Study - 2nd DRAFT Dec 2011.docx.

# **APPENDIX B**

# **EXISTING CONDITION XPSTORM INPUT FILE**

Current Directory: C:\PROGRA~1\XPSOLU~1\XPSTOR~1 Engine Name: C:\PROGRA~1\XPSOLU~1\XPSTOR~1\SWMMEN~2.EXE Input File : 012\Existing Conditions\EX\_2D\_WMFinal\_MilwSewers\_Dec2015\_100-3.XP (#247986)

\*

mm er Management Model P Solutions Inc.	e, 2014 2 6 6
xpsw Storm and Wastewat Developed by X	Last Update : Jun Interface Version: 201: Engine Version : 12. Data File Version: 12.

Engine Name: C:\PROGRA~1\XPSOLU~1\XPSTOR~1\SWMMEN~2.EXE

###########	АТА #	#	###########
***	Table R1. SUBCATCHMENT	Physical Hydrology Data	***
#	#	#	#

00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	25.960	1.0000	102	102#2	7
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	2.7300	1.0000	102	102#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	23.990	1.0000	105	105#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	2.0800	1.0000	106	106#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	6.0600	1.0000	111	111#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	5.4200	1.0000	115	115#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	4.4100	1.0000	457	457#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	10.210	1.0000	125	125#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	22.910	1.0000	139	139#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	5.2500	1.0000	165A	165A#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	7.7800	1.0000	170	170#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	1.6700	1.0000	201	201#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	13.530	1.0000	317	317#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	4.0500	1.0000	187	187#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	3.8500	1.0000	203	203#1	
00.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	1.2800	1.0000	PR207	PR207#1	
0.00	0.00 1.000 0.020 0.020 0.000 0.000	11.710	1.0000	PROP491	PROP491#1	
		(ac) =======	==============			ij
Deten	cent Slope "n" "n" Storge Strge	Area	Width	Channel	atchment	pCo
Zero	Persion -sion					
Prcnt	Deprs Deprs					

00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00
000 0 000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000	000 0.000
0.020.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.(	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.(	0.020 0.0	0.020 0.0
000 0 000	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020	.000 0.020
0,001	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1	0.00 1
24.250	13.450	10.450	71.520	2.6400	4.9700	9.8100	5.2000	5.9900	3.7600	3.8000	1.8700	2.7600	6.2100	4.4500	4.1300	1.3600	10.050	14.540	50.760	28.000	.92000	11.630	7.5800	26.980	95.880	3.9600	11.250	25.900	8.9300	5.7200	4.8500	4.3600	4.7800	10.990	5.6700	4.9000	8.3900	18.710	4.1100	25.490	7.9700	16.840	4.4800	15.750	1.1800	5.3700
049 1 0000	505 1.0000	498 1.0000	Node748 1.0000	206 1.0000	227 1.0000	230 1.0000	491 1.0000	291 1.0000	238 1.0000	240 1.0000	271 1.0000	268 1.0000	267 1.0000	267 1.0000	267 1.0000	267 1.0000	255 1.0000	254 1.0000	Node753 1.0000	Node754 1.0000	Node754 1.0000	248 1.0000	246 1.0000	Node749 1.0000	Node749 1.0000	244 1.0000	496 1.0000	022 1.0000	066 1.0000	063 1.0000	060 1.0000	016 1.0000	016 1.0000	015 1.0000	415 1.0000	152 1.0000	151 1.0000	013 1.0000	012 1.0000	11326 1.0000	10939 1.0000	10587 1.0000	10587 1.0000	10487 1.0000	10250 1.0000	10183 1.0000
049#1	505#1	498#1	Node748#1	206#1	227#1	230#1	491#1	291#1	238#1	240#1	271#1	268#1	267#1	267#2	267#3	267#4	255#1	254#1	Node753#1	Node754#1	Node754#2	248#1	246#1	Node749#2	Node749#3	244#1	496#1	022#1	066#1	063#1	060#1	016#1	016#2	015#1	415#1	152#1	151#1	013#1	012#1	11326#1	10939#1	10587#1	10587#2	10487#1	10250#1	10183#1
18	010	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64

`##############################	:####	# # # # # # # # # # #	***	****	***	#
# Table R2. 5	SUBC2	ATCHMENT DA	TA		#	#
- -	Infi	ltration or	Time of Concentrat	cion Data	#	#
#					#	#
# Infiltration Type	Ĥ	nfl #1(#5)	Infl #2(#6)	Infl #3(#7)	Infl #4(#8) #	#
# SCS	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Comp CN	Time Conc	Shape Factor	Depth or Fraction #	#
# SBUH	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Comp CN	Time Conc	N/A	N/A #	#
# Green Ampt	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Suction	Hydr Cond	Initial MD	N/A #	#
# Horton	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Max Rate	Min Rate	Decay Rate (1/sec)	Max. Infilt. Volume #	#
# Proportional	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Constant	N/A	N/A	N/A #	#
# Initial/Cont Loss	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Initial	Continuing	N/A	N/A #	#
<pre># Initial/Proportional</pre>	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	Initial	Constant	N/A	N/A #	#
# Laurenson Parameters	$\stackrel{\wedge}{_{\rm I}}$	B Value	Pervious "n"	Impervious Cont	Exponent #	#
# Rational Formula	Â	Tc Method	Flow Path Length	Flow Path Slope	Roughness or Retardance #	#
#		(#1 -	#4 is Impervious	Data / #5 - #8 is Per	vious Data) 🕴	#
#	Rat	ional Formul	a Tc Method: 1 = C	Constant	Ŧ	#
#			2 = F	rriend's Equation	#	#
#			3 = 14	Kinematic Wave	#	#
#			4 = P	Alameda Method	#	#
#			5 = I	[zzard's Formula	#	#
#			6 = 7	<pre><cr pre="" style<=""></cr></pre>	#	#
#			7 = F	<pre>{irpich's Equation</pre>	#	#
#			е В В	Sransby Williams Equat	ion #	#
#			н Н П П	Federal Aviation Autho	rity Equation #	#
****	:####	# # # # # # # # # # #	###################	***	*****	#

#	
#	
#	
-#=	
=	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
-#=	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
*	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
#	
*	
#	
=	
#	
#	
#	
#	
*	
-++-	
#	
#	
#	
*	
_	
*	
# # #	
###	
# # # #	
#####	
#######	
########	
##########	
###########	
# # # # # # # # # # #	Z Z
###########	T.P.
###############	АТАС
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	DATA
****	ЛАТА
****	т пата
***	ИТ ПАТА
***	AF.NT DATA
***	HMENT DATA
***	CHMENT DATA
***	ТСНМЕИТ ДАТА
***	АТСНМЕИТ ПАТА
****	САТСНМЕИТ ПАТА
****	IRCATCHMENT DATA
****	SURCATCHMENT DATA
***	SURCATCHMENT DATA
****	SURCATCHMENT DATA
****	SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	22 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	SUBCATCHMENT DATA
***	LA R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
*****	ble r2 surcatchment data
***	JADIA R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	TABLE R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	TADIA R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	TADIA R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	TABLE R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
*****	TABLE R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
*****	TADJA R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
*****	TABLE R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA
****	Table R2 SUBCATCHMENT DATA

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	
13.690	16.080	17.090	48.220	7.1800	11.640	12.340	13.790	5.7600	17.780	12.660	39.740	6.0800	24.890	26.900	15.960	11.040	6.9800	6.4600	
9600 1.0000	9309 1.0000	8700 1.0000	N1 1.0000	N1 1.0000	Junction 1 1.0000	Junct 3 1.0000	517 1.0000	330A049 1.0000	330A024 1.0000	330D026 1.0000	346B007 1.0000	345A007 1.0000	345D005 1.0000	346B073 1.0000	368B800 1.0000	368B007 1.0000	369A900 1.0000	330B039 1.0000	
9600#1	9309#1	8700#1	N1#1	N1#2	Junction 1#1	Junct 3#1	517#1	330A049#1	330A024#1	330D026#1	346B007#1	345A007#1	345D005#1	346B073#1	368B800#1	368B007#1	369A900#1	330B039#1	
65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	ΓL	78	79	80	81	82	83	

Subcat ber	cchment Name	Infl # 1	Infl # 2	INfl # 3	тл£1 # 4	Infl # 5	Infl # 6	тл£1 # 7	
	PROP491#1	85.0000	0.3333	484.0000	0.2000				
	PR207#1	83.0000	0.2833	484.0000	0.2000				
	203#1	86.0000	0.3000	484.0000	0.2000				
	187#1	86.0000	0.3000	484.0000	0.2000				
	317#1	85.0000	0.4333	484.0000	0.2000				
	201#1	86.0000	0.3000	484.0000	0.2000				
	170#1	86.0000	0.3000	484.0000	0.2000				
	165A#1	87.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	139#1	86.0000	0.3667	484.0000	0.2000				
	125#1	88.0000	0.2333	484.0000	0.2000				
	457#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	115#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	111#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	106#1	87.0000	0.1667	484.0000	0.2000				
	105#1	85.0000	0.3833	484.0000	0.2000				
	102#1	86.0000	0.1667	484.0000	0.2000				
	102#2	85.0000	0.3833	484.0000	0.2000				
	049#1	85.0000	0.4500	484.0000	0.2000				
	505#1	88.0000	0.2667	484.0000	0.2000				
	498#1	86.0000	0.4167	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node748#1	86.0000	0.3667	484.0000	0.2000				
	206#1	82.0000	0.8833	484.0000	0.2000				
	227#1	86.0000	0.2167	484.0000	0.2000				
	230#1	85.0000	0.5000	484.0000	0.2000				
	491#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	291#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	238#1	85.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	240#1	87.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	271#1	85.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	268#1	87.0000	0.1667	484.0000	0.2000				
	267#1	86.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	267#2	85.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	267#3	85.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				
	267#4	87.0000	0.1667	484.0000	0.2000				
	255#1	86.0000	0.2000	484.0000	0.2000				
	254#1	86.0000	0.4667	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node753#1	85.0000	0.5167	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node754#1	87.0000	0.4833	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node754#2	87.0000	0.1667	484.0000	0.2000				
	248#1	87.0000	0.2667	484.0000	0.2000				
	246#1	86.0000	0.2833	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node749#2	86.0000	0.4833	484.0000	0.2000				
	Node749#3	87.0000	0.5333	484.0000	0.2000				
	244#1	87.0000	0.2500	484.0000	0.2000				

Infl ##8 =====

0.2000		0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000
484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000	484.0000
0.5667	0.4833	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2333	0.2500	0.3000	0.2667	0.4167	0.2500	0.3333	0.2667	0.2667	0.2833	0.5333	0.2000	0.2667	0.3333	0.4167	0.3000	0.5000	0.4333	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.1530	0.2570	0.1270	0.2180	0.1940	0.1730	0.1530	0.1380	0.1330	0.1270	0.1550
86.0000	8/.UUUU	87.0000	87.0000	87.0000	87.0000	87.0000	87.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	87.0000	85.0000	82.0000	83.0000	82.0000	83.0000	80.0000	82.0000	81.0000	84.0000	85.0000	85.0000	86.0000	86.0000	84.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000	86.0000
496#1	0.7.7.4 T # 7.7.0	063#1	060#1	016#1	016#2	015#1	415#1	152#1	151#1	013#1	012#1	11326#1	10939#1	10587#1	10587#2	10487#1	10250#1	10183#1	9600#1	9309#1	8700#1	N1#1	N1#2	Junction 1#1	Junct 3#1	517#1	330A049#1	330A024#1	330D026#1	346B007#1	345A007#1	345D005#1	346B073#1	368B800#1	368B007#1	369A900#1	330B039#1
45	4 0 7 0	4 / 4	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Maximum Maximum	Left Overbank Length : 20.0 ft Main Channel Length : 20.0 ft
3.0 Channel se	Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :
Channel OPEN2	Natural Cross-Section information for
Max cen	Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft
Max rig	
64.5 Max lef	" " : 0.060 Beyond station
Maximum	" " : 0.033 in main Channel
55.8 Max top	Manning N : 0.060 to Station
Maximum	
Maximum	Right Overbank Length : 340.0 ft
Maximur	Main Channel Length : 340.0 ft
Maximur	Left Overbank Length : 340.0 ft
2.0 Channel se	Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :
Channel OPEN1	Natural Cross-Section information for
Max cer	Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft
Max rig	
13.0 Max lef	" " : 0.020 Beyond station
Maximun	" : 0.020 in main Channel
0.0 Max top	Manning N : 0.060 to Station
Maximun	Right Overbank Length : 128.0 ft
Maximun	Main Channel Length : 128.0 ft
Махітит	Left Overbank Length : 128.0 ft
1.0 Channel se	Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :
Channel WashBlv 	Natural Cross-Section information for
*	HYDRAULICS TABLES IN THE OUTPUT FILE *====================================
*==================	

9.66E+01 ft
158.06 ft^2
112.07 ft^2

85.3125 ft^2

..

Max center channel area

Max right bank area

Max left bank area

125.15 ft. 9.90 ft. 355.4389 ft^2 3.68 ft. 89.23 ft.

.. ..

...

Maximum hydraulic radius

Maximum Section Area

••

Maximum Wetted Perimeter

Max topwidth

•••

Maximum Elevation

Maximum Depth

 $\sim$ 

2.0 Channel sequence number :

3.0 Channel sequence number :

m

Left Overbank Length		20.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		122.20 ft.	
Main Channel Length		20.0 ft		Maximum Depth		8.70 ft.	
Right Overbank Length		20.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		15.5303 ft^2	
				Maximum hydraulic radius		3.05 ft.	
Manning N : 0.060	0 to	Station	43.8	Max topwidth		62.86 ft.	
	3 in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	: 7	.08E+01 ft	
	0 Be	yond station	54.5	Max left bank area		67.31 ft^2	
				Max right bank area		57.92 ft^2	
Allowable Encroachment	t Dep	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		90.3000 ft^2	

: 99.70 ft. : 7.70 ft. : 311.7000 ft^2 : 2.93 ft. : 90.00 ft.

Maximum hydraulic radius

Max topwidth

Maximum Section Area

----

1.0 Channel sequence number :

Maximum Elevation

Maximum Depth

Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 1.06E+02 ft Max left bank area : 0.00 ft^2

219.50 ft^2 92.2000 ft^2

...

Max center channel area

Max right bank area

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel OPEN5

4.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

4

Left Overban	k Length		180.0 ft		Maximum Elevation	••	114.10	ft.
Main Channel	Length		180.0 ft		Maximum Depth		7.00	ft.
Right Overba	nk Length		180.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	•••	111.0555	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	••	2.57	ft.
Manning N :	0.070	to	Station	50.0	Max topwidth		37.36	ft.
 = =	0.033	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		4.31E+01	ft
 = =	0.070	Be	yond station	61.2	Max left bank area		21.06	ft^2
					Max right bank area		19.50	ft^2
Allowable En	croachment	Dep	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	70.4924	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel OPEN6

5.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 

	Cross-6	Section II	) (from	n X1 card) :	5.0 Ch	nannel sequence number :	ц)		
Left O	verbank	Length		75.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		111.15	ft.
Main Cł	hannel I	length		75.0 ft		Maximum Depth		6.80	ft.
Right (	<b>Dverban</b> <sup>k</sup>	c Length		75.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		95.2645	ft^2
						Maximum hydraulic radius		2.35	ft.
Manning	ч ч	0.060	to St	ation	55.5	Max topwidth		34.71	ft.
=	 =	0.033	in ma	in Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		.05E+01	ft
=	 =	0.100	Beyor	nd station	66.8	Max left bank area		17.66	ft^2
						Max right bank area		11.10	ft^2
Allował	ble Enci	coachment	Depth	: 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		66.5000	ft^2
4	Natural	Cross-Sec	tion i	.nformation for	Channel	l open7			
	Cross-6	Section II	(from		6.0 Ch	======================================	Û		

Cross-Sectio	DI C	(from X1	. card) :	6.0 CF	lannel sequence number		0		
Left Overbank Length		275.	0 ft		Maximum Elevation		106.90	ft.	
Main Channel Length		275.	.0 ft		Maximum Depth		6.80	ft.	
Right Overbank Lengt	th	275.	.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		145.6050	ft^2	
					Maximum hydraulic rad	ius :	2.15	ft.	
Manning N : 0.(	090	to Stati	-on	105.0	Max topwidth		61.00	ft.	
	033	in main	Channel		Maximum Wetted Perime	ter:	6.78E+01	ft	
	090	Beyond s	station	122.0	Max left bank area		18.75	ft^2	
					Max right bank area		26.11	ft^2	
Allowable Encroachm	ent D	epth :	0.00 ft		Max center channel ar	ea.	100.7450	ft^2	

	TT /from V1 Card) .		servel securence unmher
Cross-section	IU (IYOM XI CAYA) :		lanner sequence number
Left Overbank Length	: 270.0 ft		Maximum Elevation
Main Channel Length	: 270.0 ft		Maximum Depth
Right Overbank Length	h : 270.0 ft		Maximum Section Area
			Maximum hydraulic rad
Manning N : 0.00	60 to Station	84.5	Max topwidth
	33 in main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perime
	60 Beyond station	0.06	Max left bank area
Allowable Encroachmer	nt Depth : 0.00 ft		Max right bank area Max center channel ar
Natural Cross-	Section information fo	r Channe	L OPEN9
Cross-Section	ID (from X1 card) :	8.0 Cł	======== lannel sequence number
Left Overbank Length	: 530.0 ft		Maximum Elevation
Main Channel Length	: 530.0 ft		Maximum Depth
Right Overbank Length	h : 530.0ft		Maximum Section Area
			Maximum hydraulic rad
Manning N : 0.05	50 to Station	94.0	Max topwidth
	33 in main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perime
	60 Beyond station	106.0	Max left bank area
			Max right bank area
Allowable Encroachmen	nt Depth : 0.00 ft		Max center channel ar
Natural Cross-9	Section information fo	r Channe	L OPEN10
Cross-Section	ID (from X1 card) :	9.0 Cł	
Left Overbank Length	: 360.0 ft		Maximum Elevation
Main Channel Length	: 360.0 ft		Maximum Depth
Right Overbank Length	h : 360.0 ft		Maximum Section Area
			Maximum hydraulic rad
Manning N : 0.05	50 to Station	110.0	Max topwidth
	33 in main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perime
	60 Beyond station	126.0	Max left bank area

93.64 ft. 7.50 ft. 402.0625 ft^2 2.47 ft.

..

hydraulic radius

••• •• •• ••

ω

157.00 ft.

Wetted Ferimeter : 1.63E+02 ft : bank area : 195.55 ft^2 it bank area : 122.61 ft^2 it bank area : 83.9025 ft^2

239.11 ft^2 259.16 ft^2

••• ••

Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 2.25E+02 ft

: 106.8350 ft^2

Max center channel area

0.00 ft

Allowable Encroachment Depth :

Max right bank area

: 7.10 ft. : 605.1079 ft^2

2.68 ft. 221.47 ft.

••

hydraulic radius

90.74 ft.

••

თ

6.15 ft. 76.7520 ft^2

••

1.64 ft. 38.34 ft.

•••

hydraulic radius

102.42 ft.

•••

0

0.00 ft^2 2.86 ft^2

4.67E+01 ft

Wetted Perimeter :

73.8870 ft^2

..

cer channel area

••

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel OPEN8B 

10.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

10

ft.	ft.	£t^2	ft.	ft.	ft	£t^2	£t^2	ft^2
102.42	6.15	76.7520	1.64	38.34	4.67E+01	0.00	2.86	73.8870
	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	
Maximum Elevation	Maximum Depth	Maximum Section Area	Maximum hydraulic radius	Max topwidth	Maximum Wetted Perimeter	Max left bank area	Max right bank area	Max center channel area
				84.5		0.06		
: 190.0 ft	: 190.0 ft	: 190.0 ft		to Station	in main Channel	Beyond station		Depth : 0.00 ft
				20	33	00		с Т
Left Overbank Length	Main Channel Length	Right Overbank Length		Manning N : 0.06	" : 0.03	" : 0.10		Allowable Encroachmen

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel OPEN3

11.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 

11

Left Overbank Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		122.40	ft.
Main Channel Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Depth		8.50	ft.
Right Overbank Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		180.2092	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		3.23	ft.
Manning N : 0.060	to	Station	25.8	Max topwidth		47.11	ft.
" " : 0.033	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		5.58E+01	ft
" : 0.060	ВеУ	vond station	37.8	Max left bank area		37.46	ft^2
				Max right bank area		41.35	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment I	Dept	:h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	101.4000	ft^2
Natural Cross-Sect	t.ion	information for	Channel	73rdST			
Cross-Section ID	ξ (fr	com X1 card) :	12.0 Chá	annel sequence number :	Ч	2	

100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. 22.6000 ft^2 0.38 ft. 60.00 ft. ∴ 6.02E+01 ft .. .. .. ••• Maximum hydraulic radius Maximum Section Area Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth 400.0 ft 400.0 ft 400.0 ft .. .. .. Right Overbank Length Left Overbank Length Main Channel Length

Maximum Wetted Perimeter Max center channel area Max right bank area Max left bank area Max topwidth 17.5 42.5 0.00 ft to Station in main Channel Beyond station Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.030 0.014 0.030 Manning N : " " : " :

4.38 ft^2
4.38 ft^2
13.8500 ft^2

..

: 0.00 ft^2 : 22.6000 ft^2 s : 0.38 ft. : 60.00 ft. 4.38 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 0.00 ft. 4.38 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 : 22.6000 ft^2 : 0.38 ft. : 0.80 ft^2 Max center channel area : 13.8500 ft^2 Max center channel area : 13.8500 ft^2 60.00 ft. 100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. 100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. 100.00 ft. Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft 14 15 13 Maximum hydraulic radius Maximum hydraulic radius Maximum hydraulic radius 14.0 Channel sequence number : 13.0 Channel sequence number : 15.0 Channel sequence number : Maximum Elevation Max right bank area Max right bank area Max right bank area Maximum Section Area Maximum Section Area Maximum Section Area Max left bank area Max left bank area Max left bank area Maximum Elevation Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Maximum Depth Maximum Depth Max topwidth Max topwidth Max topwidth Natural Cross-Section information for Channel Link659 Natural Cross-Section information for Channel 70thST 17.5 42.5 17.5 42.5 17.5 42.5 Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 0.030 to Station 0.014 in main Channel 0.030 Beyond station in main Channel in main Channel 0.014 in main Channel 0.030 Beyond station Beyond station : 650.0 ft : 650.0 ft h : 650.0 ft 620.0 ft 620.0 ft 620.0 ft Left Overbank Length : 250.0 ft Main Channel Length : 250.0 ft Right Overbank Length : 250.0 ft 0.030 to Station to Station Right Overbank Length : .. .. Main Channel Length : Right Overbank Length : 0.014 0.030 0.030 Right Overbank Length Left Overbank Length Left Overbank Length Main Channel Length Main Channel Length Manning N : " " : " :

: 13.8500 ft^2

Max center channel area

т Т

0.00

Allowable Encroachment Depth :

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel Link660

Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 16.0 Channel sequence number :

16

T.eft Over	bank Tengt	,c		620.0 ft		Maximum Elevation	•	100-00	+
Main Chan	nel Length			620.0 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	њч.
Right Ove:	rbank Leng	ťh		620.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	••	22.6000	ft^2
						Maximum hydraulic radius		0.38	ft.
Manning N	.0	030	to	Station	17.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
=	.0	014	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		6.02E+01	ft
:	.0	030	Be	yond station	42.5	Max left bank area		4.38	ft^2
						Max right bank area		4.38	ft^2
Allowable	Encroachm	ent	Dept	ch : 0.00	ft	Max center channel area		13.8500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel Link661

Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 17.0 Channel sequence number :

17

н	1						0 0 7	4 0	Ļ
Leit Uverbank L	engtn		62U.U IT		Maximum Elevation		. UUL :		÷
Main Channel Le	ngth		620.0 ft		Maximum Depth		.0	80 f	Ļ.
Right Overbank	Length		620.0 ft		Maximum Section A1	rea	: 22.60	00 f	t^2
					Maximum hydraulic	radius	.0	38 £	Ļ.
Manning N :	0.030	to	Station	17.5	Max topwidth		: 60.	00 E	Ļ.
 = =	0.014	in.	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Pe	rimeter	: 6.02E+	01 f	ц.
 = =	0.030	Be	yond station	42.5	Max left bank area	đ	. 4.	38 £	t^2
					Max right bank are	0 0	. 4.	38 £	t^2
Allowable Encro	achment	Dept	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channe]	l area	: 13.85	Ψ 00	t^2
Natural C	ross-Sec	tior	n information for	Channel	WrtWRd1				
Cross-Se	ction ID	(f)	rom X1 card) :	18.0 Ch	======== annel sequence numk	oer :	18		

Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 18.0 Channel sequence number : 18

Left Overbank Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		350.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		24.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.05	30 to	o Station	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
" : 0.01	l4 ir	ı main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		5.02E+01	ft
:	30 Be	yond station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area		3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachmer	nt Dep	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	17.1500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel WrtWRd2 

19.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

19

				-	0 0 1	i
Left Overbank Length	••	245.1 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		245.1 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		245.1 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	Ť	o Station	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
" " : 0.014	-i-	n main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
" " : 0.030	ň	eyond station	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	De]	pth : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-Se	ecti	on information for (	Channel	WrtWRd5		

20.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

20

Left Overbank Length		123.0 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00 f	ц Ц
Main Channel Length		123.0 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80 f	£t.
Right Overbank Length		123.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000 f	Ę
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41 f	цt.
Manning N : 0.030	t t	Station	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00 f	цt.
" : 0.014	ч Ч	I main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01 f	τ
	Be	yond station	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62 f	ц Ц
				Max right bank area	 3.62 f	Ę
Allowable Encroachment	: Dep	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500 f	f t
Natural Cross-Se	ctio	n information for (	Channel	WrtWRd6		

 $\sim$ 

000

21.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Cross-	Section II	) (fro	m X1 card) :	21.0 CJ	hannel sequence number :	2		i
Left Overbank	Length		119.5 ft		Maximum Elevation	••	100.00	ft.
Main Channel ]	Length		119.5 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overban	k Length		119.5 ft		Maximum Section Area		24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	to S	tation	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	in m	ain Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		5.02E+01	ft
 = =	0.030	Beyo	nd station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	£t^2
					Max right bank area		3.62	£t^2
Allowable Enc:	roachment	Depth	. 0.00 f	t	Max center channel area		17.1500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel Wright\_1 

22.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

22

Left Overbank Length		157.7 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		157.7 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		157.7 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
" " : 0.014	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
" " : 0.030	ВеУ	ond station	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	Dept	h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-Sec	ction	information for	Channel	North_1		

23.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

23

Left Overbank Length	••	263.2 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.10	ft.
Main Channel Length	••	263.2 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length	••	263.2 ft		Maximum Section Area	 21.3500	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.32	ft.
Manning N : 0.020	0 t	o Station	9.0	Max topwidth	 66.00	ft.
	بر ب	n main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.69E+01	ft
" : 0.020	в 0	eyond station 5	7.0	Max left bank area	 1.80	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 1.80	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	t De	pth : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.7500	ft^2
-	-					
Natural Cross-Se	ectı	ON INTORMALION TOR C	лаппет	WEEWRAJ		

24 24.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Left Overbank Length	••	37.	1 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		37.	1 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Lengt	ч ч	37.	1 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.0	30 t	co Stati	uc	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
	14	in main (	Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 02E+01	ft
	30 E	seyond s	tation	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
					Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachme	nt De	epth :	0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 .7.1500	ft^2

Natural ====== Cross-	Left Overbank Main Channel Right Overban	Manning N : " " : " :	Allowable Enc	Natural ====== Cross-	Left Overbank Main Channel Right Overban	Manning N : " " : " :	Allowable Enc	Natural ====== Cross-	
-----------------------------	--	-----------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------	--	-----------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------	--

: 24.4000 ft^2 : 0.41 ft. : 60.00 ft. 3.62 ft^2 3.62 ft^2 3.62 ft^2 3.62 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 4.38 ft^2 : 24.4000 ft^2 : 0.41 ft. : 17.1500 ft^2 Max center channel area : 17.1500 ft^2 : 22.6000 ft^2 60.00 ft. 0.38 ft. 60.00 ft. 100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. 100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. 100.00 ft. 0.80 ft. Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft : 6.02E+01 ft 26 27 Maximum hydraulic radius Max center channel area Maximum Wetted Perimeter Maximum hydraulic radius Maximum hydraulic radius 26.0 Channel sequence number : 27.0 Channel sequence number : Maximum Section Area Max right bank area Maximum Section Area Max right bank area Maximum Section Area Max left bank area Maximum Elevation Max left bank area Max left bank area Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Maximum Depth Maximum Depth Max topwidth Max topwidth Max topwidth Cross-Section information for Channel Wright\_3 Cross-Section information for Channel 70th\_1 14.5 45.5 45.5 17.5 42.5 14.5 Section ID (from X1 card) : roachment Depth : 0.00 ft roachment Depth : 0.00 ft Section ID (from X1 card) : in main Channel in main Channel in main Channel 0.030 Beyond station Beyond station Beyond station 264.8 ft 264.8 ft 264.8 ft 44.0 ft 44.0 ft 44.0 ft Left Overbank Length : 346.5 ft Main Channel Length : 346.5 ft Right Overbank Length : 346.5 ft to Station to Station to Station .. .. .. .. .. k Length : Main Channel Length : Right Overbank Length : 0.014 0.030 0.014 0.030 0.030 0.030 0.014 0.030 k Length Length Length Length Manning N : " " : " :

: 13.8500 ft^2

Max center channel area

т Т

00.00

Allowable Encroachment Depth :

Max right bank area

25

25.0 Channel sequence number :

Cross-Section information for Channel WrtWRd4

Section ID (from X1 card) :

Length

Maximum Elevation

28.0 Channel sequence number : Natural Cross-Section information for Channel 70th\_2 Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

28

Left Overbank 1	Length		339.2 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel L(	ength		339.2 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank	Length		339.2 ft		Maximum Section Area		22.6000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius		0.38	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	to	Station	17.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	in	nain Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		5.02E+01	ft
 = =	0.030	Beyo	ond station	42.5	Max left bank area		4.38	ft^2
					Max right bank area		4.38	ft^2
Allowable Encr	oachment	Dept	n : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	13.8500	ft^2
) [eviteN	00 10 00 20 20		information for	l ou ue q J	Mainaatia 1			

<u>|</u>  29.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

29

Left Overbank Length			286.0	) ft		Maxim	um Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length			286.0	) ft		Maxim	um Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Lengt	4		286.0	) ft		Maxim	um Section Area		22.6000	ft^2
						Maxim	um hydraulic radius	••	0.38	ft.
Manning N : 0.0	030	toS	tatic	u	17.5	Max to	opwidth		60.00	ft.
	014	in m	ain O	hannel		Maxim	um Wetted Perimeter	••	6.02E+01	ft
	030	Beyo	nd st	ation	42.5	Max l(	eft bank area		4.38	ft^2
						Max r:	ight bank area		4.38	ft^2
Allowable Encroachme	ent I	Depth		0.00 ft		Max C	enter channel area	••	13.8500	ft^2
Natival Caritan		۲ ۱۰	ب ب ب	mation for	[ouueq]	יישא ארט ני	ריכ			
	וֹנ ונ									
Cross-Section		(fro		card) :	30.0 Chá	nnel	 sequence number :	(*)	0	

ב בר 2 1

Left Overbank Length		390.3 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		390.3 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		390.3 ft		Maximum Section Area	 22.6000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.38	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	to	Station	17.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
" " : 0.014	i	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
" : 0.030	Bey	ond station	42.5	Max left bank area	 4.38	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 4.38	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	Dept	:h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 13.8500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for	r Channe	1 67th_1	
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	31.0 C	hannel sequence number : 31	
Left Overbank Length : 661.5 ft Main Channel Length : 661.5 ft Right Overbank Length : 661.5 ft		Maximum Elevation : 100.00 f Maximum Depth : 0.80 f Maximum Section Area : 22.6000 f Maximum budraulic radius : 0.38 f	+ + + + +
<pre>Manning N : 0.030 to Station     " : 0.014 in main Channel     " : 0.030 Beyond station</pre>	17.5	Maximum nyutautic tautus : 0.00 f Max topwidth : 60.01 f Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 f Max left bank area : 4.38 f Max richt bank area . 4.38 f	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Natural Cross-Section information for	r Channe	Max center channel area : 13.8500 f	ц, с 1, с
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	32.0 C	hannel sequence number : 32	
Left Overbank Length : 236.4 ft Main Channel Length : 236.4 ft Right Overbank Length : 236.4 ft		Maximum Elevation : 100.00 f Maximum Depth : 0.80 f Maximum Section Area : 24.4000 f Maximum hydraulic radius : 0.41 f	
<pre>Manning N : 0.030 to Station     " : 0.014 in main Channel     " : 0.030 Beyond station</pre>	14.5 45.5	Max topwidth : 60.00 f Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 f Max left bank area : 3.62 f Max right bank area : 3.62 f	444. 700
Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Natural Cross-Section information for	r Channe	Max center channel area : 17.1500 f	t, c
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	======================================	hannel sequence number : 33	
Left Overbank Length : 26.5 ft Main Channel Length : 26.5 ft Right Overbank Length : 26.5 ft		Maximum Elevation : 100.00 f Maximum Depth : 0.80 f Maximum Section Area : 24.4000 f Maximum budraulic radius : 0.41 f	+ t, t, t
Manning N : 0.030 to Station " : 0.014 in main Channel	14.5	Max topwidth : 60.00 f Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 f	ى ب
" : 0.030 Beyond station	45.5	Max left bank area : 3.62 f	t^2

SEWRPC MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 241 – APPENDIX B

: 3.62 ft^2 : 3.62 ft^2 : 17.1500 ft^2

Max center channel area

0.00 ft

Allowable Encroachment Depth :

Max right bank area Max left bank area

45.5

Beyond station

0.030

124

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel Clarke\_3 

34.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

34

Left Overbank Length	••	24.6	ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		24.6	ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Lengt	ų.	24.6	ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.0	130	to Static	u	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
	14	in main C	hannel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
	130	Beyond st	ation	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
					Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachme	ent D	epth :	0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500	ft^2
		-					
NATURAL UROSS-	- Xect	TOD LUIOL	MATION IOF	Channel	CLARKE 4		

35.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Cross-Sec.	tion ID	(fr	om X1 card) :	35.0 Ch	annel sequence number :	m	10	
Left Overbank Le	ngth		237.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Len	gth		237.0 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank L	ength		237.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		6.02E+01	ft
 = =	0.030	ВеУ	ond station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
					Max right bank area		3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroa	chment	Dept	h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cr <sup>.</sup> ====================================	0 S S - Se0 ====================================	tion ===	information for ====================================	Channel ========	.clarke_5			

36 36.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Left Overbank Length		250.7 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		250.7 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length	 .c	250.7 ft		Maximum Section Area		4.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.03	30 to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
	14 in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 0.	02E+01	ft
	30 Be	yond station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area		3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachmer	nt Dep	th: 0.00	ft	Max center channel area		7.1500	ft^2

37 37.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

T.aft Owerhank T.a	л с + Ъ		38 6 ft		Mavimim Elevent		00 001	+
	117 611		0.00 1 1			•	0000	
Main Channel Len	gth		38.6 ft		Maximum Depth	••	0.80	ft.
Right Overbank L	ength		38.6 ft		Maximum Section Area	••	24.4000	£t^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	••	0.41	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	to St	cation	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	in mä	ain Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		5.02E+01	ft
 =	0.030	Веуоі	nd station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
					Max right bank area		3.62	£t^2
Allowable Encroa	chment	Depth	: 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cr	0.9.8 - 8.8 O	tion.	information for	Channel	CIREROB			

38.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

8 8 8

Left Overbank Length		259.5 ft	Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		259.5 ft	Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		259.5 ft	Maximum Section Area		24.4000	ft^2
			Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.03	000	to Station 14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
" " : 0.01	14	in main Channel	Maximum Wetted Perimeter	٥ 	.02E+01	ft
" " : 0.03	08	Beyond station 45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
			Max right bank area		3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachmen	Jt D	epth : 0.00 ft	Max center channel area		17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-S	Sect	ion information for Chanr	el ClkERd1			

: 0.80 ft. : 24.4000 ft^2 s : 0.41 ft. : 60.00 ft. c : 6.02E+01 ft 100.00 ft. 9 6 8 .. Maximum hydraulic radius 39.0 Channel sequence number : Maximum Section Area Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 49.2 ft 49.2 ft 49.2 ft .. .. .. Right Overbank Length Left Overbank Length Main Channel Length

: 3.62 ft^2 : 3.62 ft^2 : 17.1500 ft^2 Maximum Wetted Perimeter Max center channel area Max right bank area Max left bank area Max topwidth 14.5 45.5 0.00 ft to Station in main Channel Beyond station Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.030 0.014 0.030 Manning N : " " : " :

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel ClkERd4 

40.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

40

t Overbank Length : 58.9 ft Maximum Elevation : 100.00 ft. n Channel Length : 58.9 ft Maximum Depth : 0.80 ft. ht Overbank Length : 58.9 ft Maximum Section Area : 24.4000 ft^2 ning N : 0.030 to Station 14.5 Max topwidth : 60.00 ft. " : 0.014 in main Channel Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft " : 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max right bank area : 17.1500 ft^2								0001	
<pre>n Channel Length : 58.9 ft Maximum Depth : 0.80 ft. nt Overbank Length : 58.9 ft Maximum Section Area : 24.4000 ft^2 Maximum hydraulic radius : 0.41 ft. ning N : 0.030 to Station 14.5 Max topwidth : 60.00 ft. " : 0.014 in main Channel Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft " : 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max right bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max conter channel area : 17.1500 ft^2</pre>	: Overbank Len	igth	•••	58.9 ft		Maximum Elevation	••	100.00	ft.
ht Overbank Length :58.9 ftMaximum Section Area:24.4000 ft^2ning N :0.030 to Station14.5 Max topwidth:0.41 ft" :0.014 in main Channel14.5 Max topwidth:60.00 ft" :0.014 in main Channel45.5 Max left bank area:3.62 ft^2wable Encroachment Depth :0.00 ftMax conter channel area:17.1500 ft^2	n Channel Leng	th	•••	58.9 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
<pre>ning N : 0.030 to Station 14.5 Max topwidth : 0.41 ft. " : 0.014 in main Channel 14.5 Max topwidth : 60.00 ft. " : 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max right bank area : 3.62 ft^2 wax better channel : 0.00 ft 0 ft^2</pre>	ht Overbank Le	ngth	•••	58.9 ft		Maximum Section Area	••	24.4000	ft^2
<pre>ning N : 0.030 to Station 14.5 Max topwidth : 60.00 ft. " : 0.014 in main Channel Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft " : 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max right bank area : 3.62 ft^2 owable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Max center channel area : 17.1500 ft^2</pre>						Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
<ul> <li>": 0.014 in main Channel Maximum Wetted Perimeter : 6.02E+01 ft</li> <li>": 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area : 3.62 ft^2 Max right bank area : 3.62 ft^2 owable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft</li> </ul>	ning N :	0.030	to Sta	ition	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
<ul> <li>": 0.030 Beyond station 45.5 Max left bank area</li> <li>: 3.62 ft<sup>^2</sup> Max right bank area</li> <li>: 3.62 ft<sup>^2</sup> owable Encroachment Depth</li> <li>: 0.00 ft</li> <li>Max center channel area</li> <li>: 17.1500 ft<sup>^2</sup></li> </ul>	 =	0.014	in mai	.n Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		6.02E+01	ft
Max right bank area : 3.62 ft^2 ovable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Max center channel area : 17.1500 ft^2	 =	0.030	Beyonc	l station	45.5	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
owable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Max center channel area : 17.1500 ft $^2$						Max right bank area		3.62	ft^2
	owable Encroac	hment	Depth :	0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	17.1500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel ClkERd5

41.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 

41

Left Overbank Length		95.2 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		95.2 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		95.2 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
" " : 0.014	ч.	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
" : 0.030	ВеУ	ond station	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	Dept	h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-Se	ction	information for	Channel	C1kERd6		

42 42.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

T.aft Owarb	dtono.T vine		1 100	+ t		Mavimim Flatic	, C		100 00	+
TOTO OVETO	מווא חכווק נוו		T • P O V	+ C		המעדוווחווו הדבומריר	011			
Main Chann	el Length		204.1	ft		Maximum Depth			0.80	ft.
Right Over	bank Length		204.1	ft		Maximum Section	Area		24.4000	ft^2
						Maximum hydrauli	ic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N	: 0.030	to	Statio	ц	14.5	Max topwidth			60.00	ft.
:	: 0.014	ч.	main C	hannel		Maximum Wetted H	Perimeter	٥ ••	.02E+01	ft
:	: 0.030	Bey	yond st	ation	45.5	Max left bank ar	rea		3.62	ft^2
						Max right bank a	агеа		3.62	ft^2
Allowable	Encroachment	Dept	ch :	0.00 ft		Max center chanr	nel area		17.1500	ft^2

43.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

43

Left Overbank Length		162.2 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		162.2 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Lengt	 L	162.2 ft		Maximum Section Area		24.4000 :	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.0	30	to Station 14	<b>.</b>	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
	14	in main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	•	.02E+01 :	ft
	30	Beyond station 45	<b>.</b>	Max left bank area		3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area		3.62	£t^2
Allowable Encroachme	ent D	epth : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		17.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-	-Sect	ion information for Ch	annel	EWrtRd2			

44.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

44

Left Overbank	Length		163.5 ft		Maximum El	levation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel L	ength		163.5 ft		Maximum De	epth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank	Length		163.5 ft		Maximum Se	ection Area	 24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hy	ydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	t 0	Station	14.5	Max topwic	àth	 60.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	ч.	main Channel		Maximum We	etted Perimeter	 6.02E+01	ft
 = =	0.030	Be	yond station	45.5	Max left k	oank area	 3.62	ft^2
					Max right	bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encr	oachment	Dept	ch : 0.00 ft		Max center	r channel area	 17.1500	ft^2
Natural ========	Cross-Sec =======	tior ====	n information for	Channel	EWrtRd1 ======			

45 45.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Left Overbank Length		51.1 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		51.1 ft		Maximum Depth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		51.1 ft		Maximum Section Area		24.4000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	0 to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth		60.00	ft.
" : 0.014	4 in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	••	6.02E+01	ft
" : 0.030	0 Be	yond station	45.5	Max left bank area	••	3.62	ft^2
				Max right bank area	••	3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	t Dep	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		17.1500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel ClkERd3 

46.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

46

Left Overb	ank Length		175.3 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Chann	el Length		175.3 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Over	bank Length		175.3 ft		Maximum Section Area	 24.4000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.41	ft.
Manning N	: 0.030	to	Station	14.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
=	: 0.014	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
=	: 0.030	Be	yond station	45.5	Max left bank area	 3.62	ft^2
					Max right bank area	 3.62	ft^2
Allowable	Encroachment	Dept	th : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 17.1500	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel ClkERd2 

47.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	47.0 Channel segu	ience number :	47		
Left Overbank Length : 101.4 ft	Maximum E	levation		00.00	ft.
Main Channel Length : 101.4 ft	Maximum D	Jepth		0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length : 101.4 ft	Maximum S	section Area	: 24	.4000	ft^2
	Maximum h	lydraulic radius		0.41	ft.
Manning N : 0.030 to Station	14.5 Max topwi	dth		60.00	ft.
" : 0.014 in main Channel	Maximum W	Jetted Perimeter	: 6.0	2E+01	ft
" " : 0.030 Beyond station	45.5 Max left	bank area		3.62	ft^2
	Max right	: bank area		3.62	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft	Max cente	er channel area	: 17	.1500	ft^2
Natural Cross-Section information f	r Channel Revere_2				
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	48.0 Channel segu	lence number :	48		

Left Overbank Length	 _c	57.	0 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00 ft.	
Main Channel Length		57.	0 ft		Maximum Depth		2.00 ft.	
Right Overbank Lengt	th :	57.	0 ft		Maximum Section Area		76.0000 ft^2	
					Maximum hydraulic radius		1.83 ft.	
Manning N : 0.0	020	to Stati	on	0.0	Max topwidth		39.00 ft.	
	020	in main	Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	•4	.15E+01 ft	
0.0	020	Beyond s	tation	39.0	Max left bank area		0.00 ft^2	
					Max right bank area		0.00 ft^2	
Allowable Encroachme	ent D	epth :	0.00 ft		Max center channel area		76.0000 ft^2	

49.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

49

Left Overbank	c Length		102.0 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel	Length		102.0 ft		Maximum Depth	 2.00	ft.
Right Overbar	ık Length		102.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	 76.0000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius	 1.83	ft.
Manning N :	0.020	to	Station	0.0	Max topwidth	 39.00	ft.
 = =	0.020	ч	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 <b>1.15E+01</b>	ft
 = =	0.020	Be	yond station	39.0	Max left bank area	 00.00	ft^2
					Max right bank area	 00.00	ft^2
Allowable Enc	croachment	Dep	ch : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 76.0000	ft^2
		( -, +			ניני-ומהוז		
NALUTAI	CLOSSIDE -		I THITOTHIGCTON TOL	CIIdIIIET	UFFRYRG		

50.0 Channel seguence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

50

Left Overbank	Length		43.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		100.00	ft.
Main Channel I	ength		43.0 ft		Maximum Depth		2.00	ft.
Right Overbank	: Length		43.0 ft		Maximum Section Area		76.0000	ft^2
					Maximum hydraulic radius		1.83	ft.
Manning N :	0.020	to St	tation	0.0	Max topwidth		39.00	ft.
 = =	0.020	in mã	in Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter		4.15E+01	ft
 = =	0.020	Beyor	nd station	39.0	Max left bank area		00.00	ft^2
					Max right bank area		00.00	ft^2
Allowable Encr	oachment	Depth	: 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		76.0000	ft^2
		10202	•		1144 (0111001 01141104 4104	•	••••	د ۲
Natural	Cross-Sec	tion i	nformation for	Channel	Milw Rd			

51 51.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

Left Overbank Length		74.4 ft		Maximum Elevation		93.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		74.4 ft		Maximum Depth		2.00	ft.
Right Overbank Length		74.4 ft		Maximum Section Area	••	265.0000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius		1.39	ft.
Manning N : 0.020	0 to S	tation	0.0	Max topwidth		190.00	ft.
" : 0.020	0 in m	ain Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	••	l.90E+02	ft
" : 0.020	0 Beyo	nd station	190.0	Max left bank area		00.00	ft^2
				Max right bank area		00.00	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	t Depth	: 0.00 ft		Max center channel area		265.0000	ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel MWD\_2

52.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

52

Left Overbank Leng	gth	: 1134.0 ft		Maximum Elevation		2.00 ft.
Main Channel Lengt	гh	: 1134.0 ft		Maximum Depth		2.00 ft.
Right Overbank Ler	ngth	: 1134.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	н 	32.8900 ft^:
				Maximum hydraulic radius		1.24 ft.
Manning N : (	0.030	to Station	22.0	Max topwidth		105.00 ft.
	0.014	in main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 	.07E+02 ft
	0.030	Beyond station {	33.0	Max left bank area		20.00 ft^:
				Max right bank area		20.00 ft^:
Allowable Encroact	nent	Depth : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	••	92.8900 ft^:

53.0 Channel sequence number : Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :

53

Left Overbar	ık Length		146.0 ft		Maxim	um Elevation		2.00	ft.
Main Channel	L Length		146.0 ft		Maxim	um Depth		2.00	ft.
Right Overbé	ank Length		146.0 ft		Maxim	um Section Area		132.8900	ft^2
					Maxim	um hydraulic rad	ius :	1.24	ft.
Manning N :	0.030	to	Station	22.0	Max t	opwidth		105.00	ft.
 = =	0.014	ч.	main Channel		Maxim	um Wetted Perime	ter.	1.07E+02	ft
 = =	0.030	Be	yond station	83.0	Max l	eft bank area	••	20.00	ft^2
					Мах г	ight bank area		20.00	ft^2
Allowable Er	lcroachment	Dept	ch : 0.00 ft		Max c	enter channel ar	ຕ ຕ	92.8900	ft^2
Naturé	al Cross-Sec	ctior	ı information for	Channel	CtrRd	7273			
						==			
		4	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					с л	

54 ••• 54.0 Channel sequence number •• Cross-Section ID (from X1 card)

Left Overbank Length		572.0 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.10 ft.	
Main Channel Length		572.0 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80 ft.	
Right Overbank Length		572.0 ft		Maximum Section Area	 21.3500 ft^2	
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.32 ft.	
Manning N : 0.020	to	Station	0.0	Max topwidth	 66.00 ft.	
" " : 0.014	in	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 .69E+01 ft	
" : 0.020	Be	yond station	57.0	Max left bank area	 1.80 ft^2	
				Max right bank area	 1.80 ft^2	
Allowable Encroachment	Dept	ch : 0.00	ft	Max center channel area	 17.7500 ft^2	

Natural Cross-Section information for	Channel	CtrRd	
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	55.0 Ch	annel sequence number :	55
<pre>Left Overbank Length : 284.0 ft Main Channel Length : 284.0 ft Right Overbank Length : 284.0 ft</pre>		Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Maximum Section Area Maximum hydraulic radius	: 100.10 ft. : 0.80 ft. : 21.3500 ft^2 . 0 32 ft
<pre>Manning N : 0.020 to Station " " : 0.014 in main Channel " " : 0.020 Beyond station</pre>	9.0 57.0	Max topwidth Maximum Wetted Perimeter Max left bank area	. 66.00 ft. : 6.69E+01 ft : 1.80 ft^2
Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Natural Cross-Section information for	Channel	Max right bank area Max center channel area 69th_1	: 1.80 ft^2 : 17.7500 ft^2
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	56.0 Ch	======================================	5 6
Left Overbank Length : 687.9 ft Main Channel Length : 687.9 ft Right Overbank Length : 687.9 ft		Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Maximum Section Area Maximum hydraulic radius	: 100.00 ft. : 0.80 ft. : 22.6000 ft^2 : 0.38 ft.
<pre>Manning N : 0.030 to Station     " : 0.014 in main Channel     " : 0.030 Beyond station</pre>	17.5 42.5	Max topwidth Maximum Wetted Perimeter Max left bank area Max richt bank area	: 60.00 ft. : 6.02E+01 ft : 4.38 ft^2
Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft Natural Cross-Section information for	Channel	Max center channel area North_B	: 13.8500 ft^2
Cross-Section ID (from X1 card) :	57.0 Ch	======================================	57
<pre>Left Overbank Length : 340.0 ft Main Channel Length : 340.0 ft Right Overbank Length : 340.0 ft</pre>		Maximum Elevation Maximum Depth Maximum Section Area Maximum hydraulic radius	: 100.10 ft. : 0.80 ft. : 21.3500 ft^2 : 0.32 ft.
<pre>Manning N : 0.020 to Station     " : 0.014 in main Channel</pre>	0.6	Max topwidth Maximum Wetted Perimeter	: 66.00 ft. : 6.69E+01 ft
" " : 0.020 Beyond station Allowable Encroachment Depth : 0.00 ft	57.0	Max left bank area Max right bank area Max center channel area	: 1.80 ft^2 : 1.80 ft^2 : 17.7500 ft^2

Natural Cross-Section information for Channel 66th1\_Rd

cross-Section ID (from X1 card) : 58.0 Channel sequence number :

58

Left Overbank Length		693.4 ft		Maximum Elevation	 100.00	ft.
Main Channel Length		693.4 ft		Maximum Depth	 0.80	ft.
Right Overbank Length		693.4 ft		Maximum Section Area	 22.6000	ft^2
				Maximum hydraulic radius	 0.38	ft.
Manning N : 0.030	to	Station	17.5	Max topwidth	 60.00	ft.
" " : 0.014	ini	main Channel		Maximum Wetted Perimeter	 5.02E+01	ft
" : 0.030	Bey	ond station	42.5	Max left bank area	 4.38	ft^2
				Max right bank area	 4.38	ft^2
Allowable Encroachment	. Dept]	h : 0.00 ft		Max center channel area	 13.8500	ft^2

Input Information from Closed Conduit Link603

Wetted	Perimeter	feet	0.000	5.473	9.259	10.284	11.308	12.333	13.357	15.452	16.811	17.811	18.811	19.811	20.811	21.811	22.811	36.936	
Area or	Offset	feet^2	0.000	1.725	5.312	9.868	14.535	19.312	24.201	29.375	35.354	41.354	47.354	53.354	59.354	65.354	71.354	75.104	
Top	Width	feet	0.010	5.348	9.000	9.222	9.444	9.667	9.889	11.502	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	
	Depth	feet	0.000	0.500	1.000	1.500	2.000	2.500	3.000	3.500	4.000	4.500	5.000	5.500	6.000	6.500	7.000	7.312	
Point	. oN		⊣	0	m	4	ы	9	7	80	თ	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	

Cross-Section Information for Closed Conduit Link603

Maximum	Depth	•	7.31 Feet.	Maximum Section Area	 75.10 Sq.Ft.
Maximum	Hydraulic Radius		2.03 Feet.	Maximum Top Width	 12.00 Feet.
Channel	Sequence Number		66		

Input I	nformation	from Closed	Conduit	Milw_Con
Point		 doT		
. oN	Depth	Width	Offset	Perimet
	feet	feet	feet^2	feet
1	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.0
0	0.500	7.630	3.250	7.8
m	1.000	8.860	7.400	9.4
4	1.500	9.640	12.040	10.6
IJ	2.000	10.170	17.000	11.8
9	2.500	10.520	22.180	12.8
7	3.000	12.000	27.990	14.9
8	3.500	12.000	33.990	15.9
0	4.000	12.000	39.990	16.9
10	4.500	12.000	45.990	17.9
11	5.000	12.000	51.990	18.
12	5.500	12.000	57.990	19.9
13	6.000	12.000	63.990	32.9
Cross-S	ection Inf	ormation for	Closed (	Conduit 1

Perimeter Wetted

ĉ -1 - M - 1 ź C C ĉ ų ;+ 4 ÷ U

19.950 32.950

Cross-Section Information	for Clo	sed Conduit Mi	ilw_Cond			
Maximum Depth		6.00 Feet.	Maximum Sect	ion Area	 63.99 Sq.F	ъt.
Maximum Hydraulic Radius		1.94 Feet.	Maximum Top	Width	 12.00 Feet	÷
Channel Sequence Number		222				

Input Information from Closed Conduit MWD1SS

Point		Top	Area or	Wetted	
. oN	Depth	Width	Offset	Perimeter	
	feet	feet	feet^2	feet	
1	0.000	0.010	000.0	0.000	
0	0.500	4.620	1.750	4.810	
с	1.000	5.850	4.400	6.400	
4	1.500	6.640	7.530	7.670	
ß	2.000	7.160	10.990	8.800	
9	2.500	7.500	14.660	9.860	
7	3.000	9.000	18.970	11.950	
ω	3.500	9.000	23.470	12.950	
6	4.000	9.000	27.970	13.950	
10	4.500	9.000	32.470	14.950	
11	5.000	9.000	36.970	15.950	
12	5.500	9.000	41.470	16.950	

9.410 10.680 11.810 12.860 14.950 15.950 15.950 15.950 18.950 18.950

0.000 7.820

-----
Cross-Section Information for Closed Conduit MWDISS

q.Ft. eet.		apezoid Hazen Side Williams Slones c-factor																																
45.97 S 9.00 F		Tr Depth (f+)		2.0000	2.0000	3.0000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	4.0000	4.0000	4.0000	4.0000	4.5000	4.5000	4.5000	5.0000	5.0000	5.5000	5.5000	5.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3 5000
		Max Width (ft)		2.0000	2.0000	3.0000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	2.5000	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	4.0000	4.0000	4.0000	4.0000	4.5000	4.5000	4.5000	5.0000	5.0000	5.5000	5.5000	5.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000	3 5000
1 Section 7 1 Top Width		Manning Coef	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0 0130
Maximun Maximun	* — *	Area (f+^2)		3.1416	3.1416	9.0000	4.9087	4.9087	4.9087	4.9087	4.9087	7.0686	7.0686	7.0686	9.6211	9.6211	9.6211	9.6211	12.5664	12.5664	12.5664	12.5664	15.9043	15.9043	15.9043	19.6350	19.6350	23.7583	23.7583	23.7583	9.6211	9.6211	9.6211	9 6211
6.00 Feet. 1.71 Feet. 228		Conduit		Circular	Circular	Rectangle	Circular																											
л г	======================================	Length (ft)		53.6000	36.5000	35.6000	88.9000	140.0000	54.3000	36.5000	10.0000	288.2000	265.7000	21.0000	10.0000	281.5000	257.3000	95.1000	93.2000	64.2000	216.0000	65.6000	556.3000	80.5000	247.0000	357.0000	423.5000	118.5000	205.1000	583.9000	318.1000	21.1000	101.8000	182.3000
Depth Hydraulic Radi Sequence Numbe	Table E1 - C	Conduit Name		Link420	Link421	Link422	Link423	Link424	Link425	Link426	Link427	Link436	Link437	Link438	Link440	Link441	Link442	Link443	Link444	Link445	Link446	Link447	Link448	Link449	Link450	Link452	Link453	Link454	Link455	Link456	Link459	Link467	Link468	Link469
Maximum Maximum Channel		d'n Min		Ч	0	m	4	IJ	9	7	ω	<i>б</i>	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31

2	Link470	15.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link473	38.0000	Rectangle	7.0525	0.0130	3.2500	2.1700
	Link474	284.8000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link479	337.5000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link481	274.1000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link482	18.7000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link484	286.8000	Circular	4.9087	0.0130	2.5000	2.5000
	Link485	76.8000	Rectangle	7.5950	0.0130	3.5000	2.1700
_	Link486	74.5000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link490	288.8000	Circular	9.6211	0.0130	3.5000	3.5000
~ 1	Link491	51.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
~	Link496	64.1000	Circular	4.9087	0.0130	2.5000	2.5000
	Link498	38.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
10	Link499	280.5000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
10	Link508	57.3000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
2	Link509	169.3000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
~	Link510	134.7000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
0	Link511	33.3000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
0	Link513	330.8000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
1	Link514	366.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
01	Link515	227.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
m	Link517	150.4000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
đ	Link518	107.9000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
10	Link523	282.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
10	Link524	314.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
-	Link526	215.5000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
~	Link527	88.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
~	Link528	32.4000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
_	Link530	264.0000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link531	206.6000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link532	143.2000	Circular	4.9087	0.0130	2.5000	2.5000
~	Link533	10.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link534	186.5000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
10	Link535	194.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
10	Link536	35.7000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
1	Link537	121.7000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
~	Link538	167.5000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Link539	17.8000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
_	Link540	20.8000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
	Link543	40.6000	Rectangle	24.0000	0.0130	8.0000	3.0000
01	Link544	76.7000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
~	Link545	154.8000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link546	216.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link547	166.7000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
10	Link548	28.0000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
-	Link549	186.1000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000
	Link550	225.1000	Circular	7.0686	0.0130	3.0000	3.0000

5.0000	0.0130	19.6350	Circular	644.0000	Link637	125
3, 0000	0.0130	1 0086	Circular		UTENU T.ink633	124 124
38.3438	0.0330	76.7520	Natural	190.0000	OPEN8B	122
221.4742	0.0330	605.1079	Natural	360.0000	OPEN10	121
20.0000	0.0130	112.0000	Rectangle	26.0000	OpenToRR	120
8.0000	0.0130	36.0000	Rectangle	12.0000	Link624	119
5.5000	0.0130	23.7583	Circular	33.0000	Link622	118
5.5000	0.0130	23.7583	Circular	54.8000	Link621	117
5.5000	0.0130	23.7583	Circular	97.0000	Link620	116
4.0000	0.0130	12.5664	Circular	166.9000	Link619	115
4.0000	0.0130	12.5664	Circular	42.3000	Link618	114
4.0000	0.0130	12.5664	Circular	27.0000	Link617	113
2.2500	0.0130	3.9761	Circular	140.1000	Link616	112
1.7500	0.0130	2.4053	Circular	99.7000	Link615	111
1.7500	0.0130	2.4053	Circular	18.6000	Link614	110
1.7500	0.0130	2.4053	Circular	99.8000	Link613	109
1.7500	0.0130	2.4053	Circular	142.0000	Link612	108
2.5000	0.0130	4.9087	Circular	78.5000	Link611	107
2.5000	0.0130	0.1410 4.9087	Circular	30.0000	Link610	106 106
2.0000	0.0130	3.1416	Circular C. j	23./000	LINK6U8	104 107
2.0000	0.0130	3.1416	Circular	62.9000	Link607	103
2.0000	0.0130	3.1416	Circular	313.4000	Link606	102
3.4170	0.0130	6.8340	Rectangle	23.5000	Link605	101
3.0000	0.0130	7.0686	Circular	151.5000	Link604	100
12.0000	0.0130	75.1044	Closd Cnd	116.1000	Link603	66
157.0000	0.0330	402.0625	Natural	530.0000	OPEN9	98
38.3438	0.0330	76.7520	Natural	270.0000	OPEN8A	97
61.0000	0.0330	145.6050	Natural	275.0000	OPEN7	96
34.7125	0.0330	95.2645	Natural	75.0000	OPEN6	95
37.3576	0.0330	111.0555	Natural	180.0000	OPEN5	94
62.8600	0.0330	215.5303	Natural	20.0000	OPEN2	е 6
89.2300	0.0330	355.4389	Natural	340.0000	OPEN1	92
9.0000	0.0130	36.0000	Rectangle	61.5000	4x9Box	91
8.0000	0.0130	36.0000	Rectangle	700.0000	8X4.5 BOX	06
90.0000	0.0200	311.7000	Natural	128.0000	WashBlv	8 6 8
2.5000	0.0130	4.9087	Circular	231.5000	Link581	8
2.0000	0.0130	3.1416	Circular	47.9000	Link580	87
3.0000	0.0130	7.0686	Circular	282.2000	Link577	86
2.5000	0.0130	4.9087	Circular	136.4000	Link576	85
2.5000	0.0130	4.9087	Circular	131.4000	Link575	84
3.0000	0.0130	7.0686	Circular	63.9000	Link574	83
2.2500	0.0130	3.9761	Circular	42.5000	Link560	82
2.2500	0.0130	3.9761	Circular	36.4000	Link559	81
5.5000	0.0130	23.7583	Circular	145.6000	Link553	80
3.0000	0.0130	7.0686	Circular	263.0000	Link551	79
	3.0000 5.5000 2.2500 3.0000 3.0000 2.5000 3.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.17125 61.0000 8.25000 8.25000 8.25000 8.25000 1.7500 1.7500 1.7500 1.7500 1.7500 8.3438 1.77500 1.7500 8.3438 1.77500 8.3438 1.77500 8.3438 1.77500 1.7500 8.3438 1.77500 1.7500 1.7500 8.3438 1.77500 1.7500 8.3438 1.77500 8.3438 1.775000 1.77500 1.775000 1.775000 1.77500000000000000000000000000000000000	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Circular7.06860.01305.5000Circular23.75830.01305.5000Circular3.97610.01302.2500Circular7.06860.01302.5500Circular7.06860.01302.5500Circular4.90870.01302.5000Circular4.90870.01302.5000Circular1.14160.01302.5000Circular3.14160.01302.5000Circular31.170000.01302.5000Circular31.170000.01302.0000Rectangle36.00000.01302.0000Rectangle36.00000.01302.0000Natural35.00000.01302.0000Natural111.05550.033031.7125Natural115.75200.033031.7125Natural145.60500.033031.7125Natural145.60500.01302.0000Natural145.60500.01302.0000Natural145.60500.01302.0000Natural145.60500.01302.0000Natural145.60500.01302.5000Natural145.60500.01302.5000Natural145.60500.01302.5000Natural145.60500.01302.5000Circular3.14160.01302.5000Circular3.14160.01302.5000Circular2.40530.01302.7500Circular2.	265.0000Circular $7.0686$ $0.0130$ $3.0000$ $145.6000$ Circular $3.9761$ $0.0130$ $5.5000$ $42.5000$ Circular $3.9761$ $0.0130$ $2.22500$ $42.5000$ Circular $3.9761$ $0.0130$ $2.22500$ $42.5000$ Circular $3.9761$ $0.0130$ $2.25000$ $131.4000$ Circular $1.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.25000$ $131.4000$ Circular $1.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.25000$ $282.4000$ Circular $7.0686$ $0.0130$ $2.20000$ $231.5000$ Circular $1.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $231.5000$ Natural $31.17000$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $231.5000$ Natural $35.0000$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $241.5000$ Natural $111.0555$ $0.0330$ $41.7050$ $275.0000$ Natural $15.7000$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $210.0000$ Natural $14.567500$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $210.0000$ Natural $111.6555$ $0.0330$ $41.7155$ $275.0000$ Natural $112.55500$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $210.0000$ Natural $14.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $2116.1000$ Circular $3.1416$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $215.0000$ Natural $14.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $216.0000$ Natural $14.9087$ $0.0130$ $2.0000$ $216.0000$ Circular $2.4053$ $0.0130$ $2.7000$ $2$	Link551 265.000 Circular 7.0686 0.0130 5.000   Link553 145.4000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 5.2500   Link574 65.3000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 5.2500   Link574 53.4000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 2.2500   Link576 131.4000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 2.2500   Link571 138.14000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 2.2500   Link571 138.4000 Circular 3.9761 0.0130 2.2600   Link571 138.14000 Circular 3.1416 0.0130 2.0000   Link571 281.5000 Circular 3.1416 0.0130 3.1357   VEND Natural 31.17000 Natural 31.416 0.0130 3.1400   PEND 19.0000 Natural 31.416 0.0130 3.1412   OPEND 19.0000 Natural 31.416 0.0130 3.1412   <

	Wright_3	264.8000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	/Uthlss	346.5000	Circular	15.9043 0009 cc	0.0140	4.5000	4.5000
		339.2000	Circular	15.9043	0.0130	4 5000	4 5000
	70th 2	339.2000	Natural	22.6000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
2	leineckeSS	286.0000	Circular	19.6350	0.0130	5.0000	5.0000
4	Jeinecke 1	286.0000	Natural	22.6000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
$\sim$	GarfieldSS	390.3000	Circular	15.9043	0.0130	4.5000	4.5000
$\sim$	3arfield_1	390.3000	Natural	22.6000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	67th1SS	661.5000	Circular	15.9043	0.0130	4.5000	4.5000
	67th_1	661.5000	Natural	22.6000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Clarke1SS	236.4000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	Clarke_1	236.4000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Clarke2SS	26.5000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
	Clarke_2	26.5000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Clarke3SS	24.6000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
	Clarke 3	24.6000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Clarke4SS	237.0000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
	Clarke 4	237.0000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Clarke5SS	250.7000	Circular	4.9087	0.0130	2.5000	2.5000
	Clarke 5	250.7000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	CIKESSA	38.6000	Circular	4.9087	0.0130	2.5000	2.5000
	CIKERdA	38.6000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	CIKESSB	259.5000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
	CIKERdB	259.5000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS1	49.2000	Circular	3.9761	0.0130	2.2500	2.2500
	C1kERd1	49.2000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS4	58.9000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	C1kERd4	58.9000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS5	95.2000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
	CIKERd5	95.2000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS6	204.1000	Circular	2.4053	0.0130	1.7500	1.7500
	C1kERd6	204.1000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	EWrtSS3	162.2000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	EWrtRd3	162.2000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	EWrtSS2	163.5000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	EWrtRd2	163.5000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	EWrtSS1	51.1000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	EWrtRd1	51.1000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS3	175.3000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.0000
	C1kERd3	175.3000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	C1kESS2	101.4000	Circular	3.1416	0.0130	2.0000	2.000(
	C1kERd2	101.4000	Natural	24.4000	0.0140	60.0000	0.8000
	Revere_1	57.0000	Rectangle	49.5000	0.0130	9.0000	5.500(
	Revere_2	57.0000	Natural	76.0000	0.0200	39.0000	2.000
	Wash_culv	102.0000	Rectangle	49.5000	0.0130	9.0000	5.500(
	Wash Rd	102.0000	Natural	76.0000	0.0200	39.0000	2.0000

5.5000	2.0000	6.0000	2.0000	4.3300	2.0000	5.0000	5.0000	6.0000	2.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	5.0000	4.5000	0.8000	4.5000	0.8000	5.0000	0.8000	4.0000	0.8000	5.0000	0.8000	
9.0000	39.0000	12.0000	190.0000	7.5000	105.0000	9.0000	0.000.6	0000.6	105.0000	0000.6	9.0000	10.0000	10.0000	4.5000	66.0000	4.5000	66.0000	5.0000	60.0000	4.0000	66.0000	5.0000	60.0000	
0.0130	0.0200	0.0130	0.0200	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0140	0.0130	0.0140	
49.5000	76.0000	63.9900	265.0000	32.4750	132.8900	45.0000	45.0000	45.9700	132.8900	45.0000	45.0000	50.0000	50.0000	15.9043	21.3500	15.9043	21.3500	19.6350	22.6000	12.5664	21.3500	19.6350	22.6000	.000 feet
Rectangle	Natural	Closd Cnd	Natural	Rectangle	Natural	Rectangle	Rectangle	Closd Cnd	Natural	Rectangle	Rectangle	Rectangle	Rectangle	Circular	Natural	Circular	Natural	Circular	Natural	Circular	Natural	Circular	Natural	56159.1
43.0000	43.0000	74.4000	74.4000	1134.0000	1134.0000	22.2500	22.2500	146.0000	146.0000	84.4000	84.4000	32.0000	32.0000	572.0000	572.0000	284.0000	284.0000	687.9000	687.9000	359.2000	340.0000	693.4000	693.4000	nduits
UPPkycul	UPPkyRd	Milw Cond	Milw_Rd	MWD2SS	MWD 2	Box1	Box2	MWD1SS	MWD_1	WMilw_1	WMilw 2	RR1	RR2	CtrSS7273	CtrRd7273	CtrSS	CtrRd	69th1SS	69th_1	NorthB SS	North B	66th1 SS	66th1 Rd	length of all cor
220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	Total .

ĸ	_	*
11		1
1		Ш
ii -		- ii
1		
ii -		-ii
ij.		ij
	đ	
ij.	ŭ,	- ii
	Da	
ij.	7	ij
	Ю	- 11
ij.	Ť.	ij
"	ď	1
ij.	Ē	- ii
"	μ	1
ij.	÷ <u> </u>	ii.
ii -	f	ii.
1	n	
ii -	ŭ	- ii
1		
ii -	Т	- ii
	$\cap$	
ij.	Ы	- ii
	a)	
ij.	Ļ	ij
8	g	- 11
ij.	Ĥ	ij
ij.		ij
İİ		ij
7	_	*

∥ \*

ch at Which Sediment Flow anges Depth Routing 	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	.0000 0.0000 Standard - Dynamic Wave	0000 0 0000 Standard - Dwnamic Wave
low Dep ess tor n Ch 	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 000	000
Low F. g Roughne 	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1.0(	1 00
Time Weighting Parameter 	0.6500	0.6500	0.8500	0.8500	0.8500	0.8500	0.8500	0.6500	0 8500
Exp/Contc Coefficnt 	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.3000	0.0000	0.3000	0.3000	0.0000	0000 0
Exit Joss Coef	0.5000	0.0000	0.5000	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.5000	0 5000
Entrance Loss Coef I 	0.3000	0.0000	0.3000	0.5000	0.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.3000	0 3000
Number f Barrels	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1 0000
Conduit Name o	8X4.5_BOX	Link603	8X4.5Box.1	Revere_1	Revere_2	Wash_culv	UPPkycul	Box1	BOX0

*	Table E3a	- Junctior	n Data		- *		
H		0	c	-		- - - - - -	4
du T	Junction Name	Ground Elevation	Crown Elevation	Invert Elevation	Qinst cfs	Initial Depth-ft	INTERIACE Flow (%)
;   ~ 							
н с	70T		171 AF00				
N C	00T	0071.0/1	0007 L/T	120.2000			100 000
ης	2 0 0 7 0 7 0	14/.1300	14/.1300 14/ 0000	138.68UU			100,000
1' L	407 407	144.U9UU	144.0900	134./000			100,000
лv	269	149.3200	144.1300	140.6300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
ı م	770	144.63UU	140.3900	136.39UU	0.000		100.001
L (	021	144.1200	140.2900	136.2900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
ω	020	142.6400	140.7400	135.5100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
6	019	142.7100	138.5800	134.0800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
10	033	164.1800	164.1800	158.9700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
11	505	159.7300	159.7300	153.4500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
12	034	165.5600	162.6200	160.0400	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
13	025	146.2000	142.4700	138.9700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
14	024	146.2000	141.5700	138.0700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
15	049	171.4300	167.4300	165.4300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
16	048	170.2900	167.0100	164.2600	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
17	039	169.5800	166.3500	163.3500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
18	162	133.7300	131.3100	128.3100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
19	151	132.9400	132.9400	124.1000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
20	012	134.9200	130.2800	124.7800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
21	152	133.9700	133.9700	126.6700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
22	013	137.4000	131.1400	125.6400	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
23	014	137.5000	133.2000	128.2000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
24	035	168.5000	164.3000	161.6200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
25	036	170.0300	164.8000	162.3000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
26	037	170.5500	165.8000	163.3000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
27	038	168.9000	168.8000	161.6700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
28	497	147.5900	145.0200	142.0200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
29	496	147.3600	147.3600	141.6600	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
30	494	146.6200	146.6200	139.9300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
31	266	144.1800	144.1800	136.4100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
32	167	143.0400	140.3000	137.8000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
33	165	141.0000	138.5600	134.4800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
34	153	139.2300	139.2300	129.4400	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
35	160	140.9600	137.9800	134.4800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
36	159	140.1000	137.4900	133.9900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
37	415	139.6600	136.9300	133.4300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
38	015	137.9600	137.9600	130.0100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
39	018	143.9000	136.4900	131.9900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
40	017	143.2600	139.6800	131.7700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000

\*==:

-	016	140.3000	140.3000	130.5000	0.0000	00000.0	100.0000
	011	134.0000	128.7800	123.2800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	010	130.0000	125.3800	119.8800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	163	136.9300	134.5000	131.5000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	164	140.6100	136.4100	133.4100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	504	159.5600	159.5600	152.6000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	500	157.4000	157.4000	149.0200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	503	158.8100	158.8100	152.0600	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	502	157.9800	157.9800	150.5200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	498	152.3500	147.9500	144.9500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	267	145.5800	145.5800	137.4800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	023	147.1100	140.8000	136.8000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	165A	141.6500	139.4700	135.5200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	184	157.1500	154.1500	152.1500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	185	168.1900	165.0900	163.0900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	168	148.3500	146.4300	143.9300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	026	146.0400	142.6900	139.1900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	255	141.9000	141.9000	135.2300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	188	176.1700	172.4200	170.1500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	198	163.4400	159.2000	156.8000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	172	162.8000	158.5000	156.1200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	171	158.8000	154.8000	152.8000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	199	167.3800	164.4000	160.9000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	201	177.5100	177.5100	171.8600	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	203	177.7600	174.2100	172.0300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	204	184.6200	180.9800	178.9800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	270	151.4100	147.9500	142.2200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	PR207	188.6200	184.5800	181.6000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	205	187.9700	183.1900	180.9300	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	202	177.2400	173.7200	171.4700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	200	176.2800	176.2800	170.0000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	105	134.2000	130.7600	122.9600	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	106	134.2000	131.0900	123.2100	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	137	148.2800	143.7000	141.2000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	166	142.1000	139.1400	136.1400	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	123	158.5700	153.8200	152.0700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	120	157.5600	152.9000	151.1500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	118	151.6900	146.7000	144.7000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	119	157.0600	152.1400	147.1700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	113	145.2100	141.2700	138.2700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	115	148.0600	143.7800	141.7800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	114	147.3600	143.2500	140.2500	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	112	144.1400	140.6800	137.6800	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	111	144.0700	140.4000	129.9200	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	138	154.4300	150.2700	148.2700	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	110	143.8300	131.6400	128.1000	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000
	307	142.4700	138.8500	127.9900	0.0000	0.0000	100.0000

100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
126.6200	124.6900	125.4900	123.5600	116.9600	185.2300	174.5400	173.3700	170.7100	156.6600	151.2400	149.9900	147.8200	147.6700	146.7300	146.5100	146.6200	144.1500	144.0000	143.1400	146.3500	149.6800	153.2000	153.7800	149.1700	159.1100	162.9300	160.1000	168.9700	170.7100	178.6700	181.0900	118.6000	169.7200	143.3500	155.8700	118.5000	113.7500	113.2000	109.6200	108.6100	104.7300	104.3000	92.8000	90.5000	
136.2000	132.9500	128.4900	126.5600	124.1300	187.2300	180.2200	179.5300	172.9600	158.6600	153.2400	152.4900	149.8200	153.1000	152.1700	151.6800	151.8000	150.6500	147.5600	148.1700	154.0400	155.7000	160.0200	160.5300	155.2200	165.8000	169.6400	166.6000	175.8300	172.4600	180.4200	182.8400	127.1200	171.9700	146.8500	162.4500	128.4000	124.6700	124.1700	120.1800	119.7800	115.2500	114.7500	100.5000	98.2000	
139.9000	136.6000	132.2000	130.6000	128.2800	194.1400	180.2200	179.5300	176.5500	162.8000	156.7100	155.5900	153.5500	153.1400	152.1700	151.6800	151.8000	150.6500	150.5400	151.7500	154.0400	155.7000	160.0200	160.5300	155.2200	165.8000	169.6400	166.6000	175.8300	176.6100	184.0000	188.2800	127.1200	175.4700	150.4500	162.4500	128.4500	124.7000	124.7000	120.2000	119.8000	115.2500	115.2500	110.1000	110.1000	
108	107	104	103	102	PROP491	317	318	469	139	170	169	248	247	246	245	470	244	243	240	238	236	491	234	291	230	492	229	227	226	225	206	Culv1.1	187	271	493	11326	10996	10939	10587	10487	10226	10183	9450	9309	
88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	66	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	

	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	00 0.0000 0.0000 100.0000	
147.48(	140.040	115.770	101.120	54.000	53.75(	53.000	51.480	136.740	113.150	103.130	56.59(	
151.4800	144.0400	119.7700	105.6200	99.9300	60.2500	59.5000	57.9800	140.7400	121.3200	107.6300	103.1000	
166.4000	155.4000	128.4000	115.4000	108.9000	108.9000	108.9000	111.4000	153.4000	128.4000	117.4000	113.4000	
346B073	345D005	369A002	369A900	369A720	368B072	368B902	368C250	346C059	368B800	368B007	368B710	
182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	

stream vation	======	O.ULUU NO DESIGN	3.6000 No Design	1.6700 No Design	1.6800 No Design	2.3000 No Design	1.8000 No Design	D.1200 No Design	8.9700 No Design	4.9500 No Design	2.0200 No Design	1.6600 No Design	9.1900 No Design	8.9700 No Design	8.0700 No Design	6.8000 No Design	6.3900 No Design	6.2900 No Design	5.5100 No Design	4.0800 No Design	1.9900 No Design	1.7700 No Design	D.5000 No Design	8.2000 No Design	5.6400 No Design	4.7800 No Design	3.2800 No Design	9.8800 No Design
Upstream Downs Elevation Elev	ששר סטפע ששר קעפע ששר	DAT DUCH-CAT	164.2600 163	163.3500 161	163.3000 161	163.3000 162	162.3000 161	161.6200 160	160.0400 158	149.0200 144	144.9500 142	142.0200 141	139.9300 135	139.1900 138	138.9700 138	138.0700 136	136.8000 136	136.3900 136	136.2900 135	135.5100 134	134.0800 131	131.9900 131	131.7700 130	130.0100 128	128.2000 125	125.6400 124	124.7800 123	123.2800 119
Downstream Node		040	039	038	038	036	035	034	033	498	497	496	026	025	024	023	022	021	020	019	018	017	016	014	013	012	011	010
Upstream Node		049	048	039	037	037	036	035	034	500	498	497	494	026	025	024	023	022	021	020	019	018	017	015	014	013	012	011
Conduit Name			Link421	Link422	Link423	Link424	Link425	Link426	Link427	Link436	Link437	Link438	Link440	Link441	Link442	Link443	Link444	Link445	Link446	Link447	Link448	Link449	Link450	Link452	Link453	Link454	Link455	Link456
Input Numbe <i>r</i>			2	m	4	ы	9	7	80	0	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	No Design	
138.9200	132.3000	133.4300	133.9900	134.6600	133.4800	131.5000	163.0900	152.1500	151.3700	137.8000	137.3000	126.1000	140.6300	147.6700	145.0600	144.9500	145.1700	168.9700	170.7100	178.6700	181.1900	182.5800	178.9800	172.2100	170.7100	170.1700	162.4000	157.2000	152.8000	151.2400	150.3400	148.2700	141.7000	135.1100	137.4000	137.6800	138.2700	140.7300	141.7800	144.7000	150.3900	151.1500	128.6400	128.1000	133.2000	
140.6300	133.4300	133.9900	134.4800	135.5600	134.4800	133.4100	169.2000	163.0900	152.1500	143.9300	137.8000	128.3100	142.2200	147.8200	145.5300	145.1700	146.3500	170.7100	178.6700	181.0900	181.6000	185.2300	180.9300	178.9800	171.4700	170.7100	170.9400	162.0000	156.1200	152.8000	151.2400	156.6600	148.2700	141.2000	137.6800	138.2700	140.2500	141.7800	144.7000	147.1700	151.1500	152.0700	129.9200	135.8500	135.8500	
2.68	153	415	159	160	164	163	185	184	183	167	165A	151	269	247	243	270	240	227	226	225	205	PR207	204	203	469	188	199	198	171	170	169	138	137	111	111	112	113	114	115	118	119	120	110	110	108	
269	415	159	160	165	165	164	186	185	184	168	167	162	270	248	244	240	238	226	225	206	PR207	PROP491	205	204	202	469	200	199	172	171	170	139	138	137	112	113	114	115	118	119	120	123	111	307	307	
T.ink459	Link467	Link468	Link469	Link470	Link473	Link474	Link479	Link481	Link482	Link484	Link485	Link486	Link490	Link491	Link496	Link498	Link499	Link508	Link509	Link510	Link511	Link513	Link514	Link515	Link517	Link518	Link523	Link524	Link526	Link527	Link528	Link530	Link531	Link532	Link533	Link534	Link535	Link536	Link537	Link538	Link539	Link540	Link543	Link544	Link545	
2.8	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	6 E	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	

128.0900 No Design	127.7600 No Design	125.4900 No Design	123.5600 No Design	121.1300 No Design	118.6000 No Design	169.7200 No Design	169.2000 No Design	135.2300 No Design	144.3500 No Design	143.2200 No Design	128.3100 No Design	150.4400 No Design	143.9300 No Design	90.5000 No Design	48.7000 No Design	45.6700 No Design	114.0000 No Design	113.7500 No Design	105.9000 No Design	104.7300 No Design	99.5000 No Design	95.6000 No Design	84.0000 No Design	118.5000 No Design	135.0400 No Design	135.3600 No Design	153.0500 No Design	152.1600 No Design	151.9500 No Design	139.5200 No Design	139.1900 No Design	137.1800 No Design	157.0700 No Design	152.3900 No Design	152.0700 No Design	48.5000 No Design	47.5900 No Design	46.8900 No Design	46.6500 No Design	45.8800 No Design	45.0800 No Design	44.8600 No Design	44.7300 No Design	55.2300 No Design	
129.9500	128.0900	127.7600	125.4900	123.5600	119.8800	170.1500	169.7200	137.7400	145.0600	144.3500	131.5000	151.3700	149.9900	92.8000	55.2300	45.9200	118.5000	114.0000	108.6100	105.9000	104.3000	99.5000	90.5000	118.6000	136.1400	135.5200	156.7400	153.0500	152.1600	150.0700	139.4500	139.1900	162.5300	157.0700	152.3900	48.9500	48.0000	47.0000	46.8900	46.5500	45.4700	45.0800	44.8600	57.4600	
106	105	104	103	102	Culv1.1	187	186	255	271	270	162	169	168	9309	Junct 3	N4	11022	10996	10250	10226	9700	9 6 0 0	8700	11326	165	165	063	455	062	061	090	017	124	457	123	518	517	520	517	516	515	514	N4	Junction 2	
107	106	105	104	103	010	188	187	020	243	271	163	183	169	9450	Junction 2	N3	11326	11022	10487	10250	10183	9700	9309	Culv1.1	166	165A	066	063	455	062	061	090	125	124	457	519	518	520E	520	517	516	515	514	N2	
Link547	Link548	Link549	Link550	Link551	Link553	Link559	Link560	Link574	Link575	Link576	Link577	Link580	Link581	WashBlv	8X4.5_BOX	4x9Box	OPEN1	OPENZ	OPEN5	OPEN6	OPEN7	OPEN8A	OPEN9	Link603	Link604	Link605	Link606	Link607	Link608	Link609	Link610	Link611	Link612	Link613	Link614	Link615	Link616	Link617	Link618	Link619	Link620	Link621	Link622	Link624	
75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	06	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	66	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	

8000 No Design	.6200 No Design	.5000 No Design	.3000 No Design	.2500 No Design	.3000 No Design	.5600 No Design	.7800 No Design	.5000 No Design	.8000 No Design	.4000 No Design	.3800 No Design	.5000 No Design	.5400 No Design	.1400 No Design	.9200 No Design	.1000 No Design	.2500 No Design	.5000 No Design	.1700 No Design	.5100 No Design	.0500 No Design	.1000 No Design	.0400 No Design	.7700 No Design	.7500 No Design	.0000 No Design	.4800 No Design	.4300 No Design	.1200 No Design	.7400 No Design	.1500 No Design	.6300 No Design	.6000 No Design	.7500 No Design	.3100 No Design	.3600 No Design	.9300 No Design	.5200 No Design	.1800 No Design	.0200 No Design	.6000 No Design	.1400 No Design	.8200 No Design	.2900 No Design	.1600 No Design	
95,6000 92	113.2000 109.	116.9600 114.	133.1200 130.	144.9300 140.	153.2000 152.	149.8500 146.	153.2400 144.	153.2000 152.	158.8000 149.	160.8000 154.	168.0000 163.	156.8000 156.	172.0300 171.	137.2600 136.	48.7000 45.	187.1600 184.	193.5000 192.	189.4400 185.	152.0800 150.	152.5900 151.	151.5100 150.	184.1000 153.	149.7700 140.	140.0400 115.	54.0000 53.	53.7500 53.	53.0000 51	101.1200 95.	115.7700 101	147.4800 136	136.7400 113	113.1500 103	103.1300 98	56.5900 54	119.3200 117	158.9700 154	163.3800 158	152.0600 150	158.0100 157	150.5200 149	157.1800 156	141.6600 140	146.5600 145	130.5000 130	139.5000 137	153.4500 153
9450	10587	10939	Node755	Node753	247	496	267	Node754	244	291	033	172	202	166	N3	330D026	330B038	330D026	345A010	345A013	345A010	345A013	345D005	369A002	368B072	368B902	368C250	369A720	369A900	346C059	368B800	368B007	368B710	368B902	369A002	505	505	502	502	500	500	494	494	015	015	504
9600	10939	102	Node 749	Node 748	Node759	244	238	Node759	Node753	Node748	038	198	203	111	Junct 3	330A024	330B039	330A049	345A007	346B007	345A013	330D026	345A010	345D005	369A720	368B072	368B902	369A900	369A002	346B073	346C059	368B800	368B007	368B710	368B800	033	033	503	503	502	502	496	496	016	016	505
OPENSE	OPEN3	Link633	Link637	Link641	73rdST	72ndST	70thST	Link659	Link660	Link661	WrtWRd1	Link525	Link516	Link541	8X4.5Box.1	Link675	Link676	Link677	Link679	Link680	Link682	Link685	Link686	Link687	Link688	Link689	Link690	Link691	Link692	Link693	Link694	Link695	Link696	Link697	Link698	WrtWSS2	WrtWRd2	WrtWSS5	WrtWRd5	WrtWSS6	WrtWRd6	Wright1SS	Wright_1	North1SS	North_1	WrtWSS3
122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168

Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design	Design
.7600 Nc	.0600 Nc	.0100 Nc	.7300 Nc	.7800 Nc	.4100 Nc	.3800 Nc	.2300 Nc	.1000 Nc	.7600 Nc	.1000 Nc	.6000 Nc	.1400 Nc	.6700 Nc	.1700 Nc	.8700 Nc	.3700 Nc	.6200 Nc	.0000 NC	.5100 Nc	.8800 NC	.5300 Nc	.8500 Nc	.8800 Nc	.2400 Nc	.1700 Nc	.4200 Nc	.8700 Nc	.9000 Nc	.2000 Nc	.2200 Nc	.1100 Nc	.0000 Nc	.1000 Nc	.8000 Nc	.9300 Nc	.8400 Nc	.3700 Nc	.7300 Nc	.1100 Nc	.7100 Nc	.9800 Nc	.4800 Nc	.8700 Nc	.6500 Nc	.9900 Nc	.7300 Nc
158	152	158	0 137	0 144	136	0 143	135	0 141	0 134	0 141	0 124	0 132	126	0 133	146	151	0 146	151	0 146	150	0 145	0 149	146	153	149	154	0 149	154	153	159	159	0 165	0 160	0 165	162	168	0 173	0 178	0 172	0 176	0 170	0 175	0 155	0 161	153	159
158.9300	152.6000	158.7600	138.6800	146.330(	137.4800	144.7800	136.4100	143.3800	135.2300	143.2900	126.6700	133.1700	129.440(	138.4300	147.6700	152.300(	146.730(	151.3700	146.6200	151.0000	146.5100	150.8800	149.1700	154.4200	149.6800	154.900(	153.2000	159.220(	153.7800	159.7300	160.1000	165.8000	162.9300	168.8400	168.9700	175.0300	174.5400	179.4200	173.3700	178.7300	171.8600	176.7100	159.1100	165.0000	155.8700	161.6500
504	503	503	267	267	266	266	255	255	254	255	151	151	152	152	246	246	470	470	245	245	244	244	238	238	291	291	236	236	491	491	230	230	229	229	492	492	318	318	201	201	200	200	493	493	234	234
505	504	504	268	268	267	267	266	266	255	254	152	152	153	153	247	247	246	246	470	470	245	245	291	291	236	236	491	491	234	234	229	229	492	492	227	227	317	317	318	318	201	201	230	230	493	493
rtWRd3	ctWSS4	rtWRd4	ght3SS	-ght_3	)th1ss	/0th 1	th2ss	/0th_2	sckeSS	scke 1	-eldSS	eld 1	/th1SS	57th_1	rkelss	arke 1	rke2SS	arke 2	rke3SS	arke 3	cke4SS	arke 4	rke5SS	arke 5	-kessa	-kerda	- kessb	-kerdb	-kess1	-kerd1	-kess4	-kerd4	-kess5	-kerd5	-kess6	-kerd6	VrtSS3	VrtRd3	Wrtss2	VrtRd2	WrtSS1	VrtRd1	-kess3	-kerd3	-kess2	-kerd2
ΕW	τM	τM	Wriq	Mr:	7(		70		Meine	Meine	Garf:	Garf:	6		Clai	Clé	Clai	Clé	Clai	Clá	Clai	Clé	Clai	Clé	 G	U U	บ	บ	U U	0	0	บี	0	0	0	0	EI	EI	EI	EI	EL	EU	บี	0	0	0
169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215

113.2000 No Design 122.1700 No Design 108.6100 No Design 117.7800 No Design 104.3000 No Design	112.7500 No Design 77.2600 No Design 90.0000 No Design 58.4600 No Design	64.5500 No Design 45.2000 No Design 45.2000 No Design	75.7500 No Design 84.1500 No Design 43.3200 No Design	43.3200 No Design 45.2000 No Design 45.2000 No Design	135.0000 No Design 152.5000 No Design 133.5200 No Design 150.6500 No Design	132.3000 No Design 139.5000 No Design 129.4400 No Design 137.1600 No Design 118.6000 No Design 126.3200 No Design
113.7500 122.6700 109.6200 118.1800 104.7300	113.2500 78.0000 91.0000	45.2000 45.2000	77.2600 89.8500 45.2000	45.2000 45.2000 45.2000	139.7500 158.8100 135.0000 152.5000	134.7600 143.2900 131.0000 138.4000 124.1000 132.1400
10939 10939 10487 10487 10487	10183 N1 N1 N2	N 2 N 7 N 7 N 7	Junction 1 Junction 1 N8	N N 8	Node754 Node759 Node749 Node749	016 016 153 015 Culv1.1 Culv1.1
10996 10996 10587 10587 10526	10226 8418.5 8418.5 8418.5	Junction 1 N6 N6	N1 N1 N7	N7 N5 N5	Node753 Node753 Node754 Node754	254 254 015 151 151
Revere_1 Revere_2 Wash_culv Wash_Rd UPPkycul	UPPkyRd Milw_Cond Milw_Rd MWD75S	MWD_2 Box1 Box2	MWD1SS MWD_1 WMilw 1	WMilw_2 RR1 RR2	CtrSS7273 CtrRd7273 CtrSS CtrRd	69th1SS 69th_1 69th_1 NorthB_SS North_B 66th1_SS 66th1_Rd
216 217 218 219 220	221 222 223 224	225 226 2276	228 229 230	231 232 233	234 235 236 237	238 239 240 241 242 243





















### **APPENDIX C**

## **RAINFALL DEPTHS AND DURATIONS**





### Storm Hyetographs



### NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2 MILWAUKEE MT MARY COL Station ID: 47-5474 Location name: Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA\* Latitude: 43.0719°, Longitude: -88.0294° Elevation: Elevation: Elevation (station metadata): 726 ft\*\* \* source: ESRI Maps \*\* source: USGS



### POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

PDS-	based poi	int precipi	tation free	quency es	timates w	/ith 90% (	confiden	ce interva	als (in ind	ches) <sup>1</sup>
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (ye	ars)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.329	0.394	0.500	0.586	0.701	0.788	0.874	0.959	1.07	1.15
	(0.256-0.412)	(0.306-0.494)	(0.387-0.627)	(0.452-0.737)	(0.526-0.893)	(0.582-1.01)	(0.630-1.14)	(0.670-1.26)	(0.726-1.43)	(0.768-1.55)
10-min	0.481	0.577	0.732	0.858	1.03	1.15	1.28	1.40	1.56	1.68
	(0.374-0.603)	(0.449-0.724)	(0.567-0.919)	(0.662-1.08)	(0.770-1.31)	(0.852-1.48)	(0.922-1.66)	(0.981-1.85)	(1.06-2.09)	(1.12-2.27)
15-min	0.587	0.704	0.892	1.05	1.25	<b>1.41</b>	1.56	<b>1.71</b>	1.91	2.05
	(0.456-0.735)	(0.547-0.883)	(0.692-1.12)	(0.807-1.32)	(0.939-1.60)	(1.04-1.81)	(1.12-2.03)	(1.20-2.25)	(1.30-2.55)	(1.37-2.77)
30-min	0.817	0.984	1.25	1.47	1.76	1.98	2.19	2.40	2.67	2.87
	(0.635-1.02)	(0.764-1.23)	(0.969-1.57)	(1.13-1.85)	(1.32-2.24)	(1.46-2.53)	(1.58-2.84)	(1.68-3.16)	(1.82-3.57)	(1.92-3.88)
60-min	1.06	1.27	1.62	1.91	2.32	2.64	2.97	3.31	3.76	4.10
	(0.822-1.32)	(0.985-1.59)	(1.25-2.03)	(1.48-2.40)	(1.75-2.98)	(1.96-3.41)	(2.15-3.88)	(2.32-4.37)	(2.56-5.04)	(2.74-5.54)
2-hr	1.30	1.55	1.98	2.36	2.89	3.31	3.75	4.21	4.84	5.33
	(1.02-1.61)	(1.22-1.92)	(1.56-2.46)	(1.84-2.93)	(2.21-3.67)	(2.49-4.24)	(2.75-4.86)	(2.99-5.53)	(3.34-6.45)	(3.60-7.15)
3-hr	1.45	1.72	2.20	2.62	3.23	3.75	4.28	4.86	5.67	6.31
	(1.15-1.79)	(1.37-2.12)	(1.74-2.71)	(2.06-3.23)	(2.50-4.12)	(2.84-4.78)	(3.17-5.54)	(3.48-6.37)	(3.94-7.54)	(4.28-8.42)
6-hr	1.75	2.02	2.53	3.01	3.74	4.36	5.04	5.78	6.84	7.71
	(1.40-2.12)	(1.63-2.46)	(2.03-3.09)	(2.40-3.67)	(2.95-4.74)	(3.36-5.54)	(3.78-6.48)	(4.19-7.54)	(4.81-9.06)	(5.28-10.2)
12-hr	2.06	2.33	2.84	3.33	4.11	4.79	5.54	6.37	7.58	8.58
	(1.68-2.48)	(1.90-2.80)	(2.31-3.42)	(2.69-4.03)	(3.29-5.17)	(3.74-6.04)	(4.21-7.08)	(4.68-8.26)	(5.39-9.96)	(5.93-11.3)
24-hr	2.35	2.66	3.22	3.76	4.61	5.35	6.15	7.05	8.34	9.40
	(1.94-2.80)	(2.19-3.16)	(2.65-3.84)	(3.08-4.50)	(3.73-5.73)	(4.23-6.66)	(4.73-7.78)	(5.23-9.04)	(5.99-10.9)	(6.57-12.2)
2-day	2.63	3.02	3.73	4.38	5.37	6.19	7.08	8.05	9.41	10.5
	(2.20-3.09)	(2.53-3.55)	(3.11-4.39)	(3.64-5.17)	(4.38-6.56)	(4.94-7.61)	(5.49-8.83)	(6.03-10.2)	(6.82-12.1)	(7.43-13.6)
3-day	2.88	3.29	4.03	4.71	5.72	6.58	7.49	8.48	9.89	11.0
	(2.43-3.36)	(2.78-3.84)	(3.39-4.71)	(3.94-5.52)	(4.70-6.94)	(5.28-8.02)	(5.85-9.28)	(6.40-10.7)	(7.21-12.7)	(7.83-14.2)
4-day	3.10	3.53	4.28	4.97	6.00	6.87	7.80	8.81	10.2	<b>11.4</b>
	(2.63-3.60)	(2.99-4.10)	(3.62-4.98)	(4.18-5.80)	(4.96-7.25)	(5.55-8.34)	(6.12-9.62)	(6.67-11.0)	(7.50-13.1)	(8.12-14.6)
7-day	3.64	4.13	4.98	5.74	6.85	7.76	8.73	9.76	11.2	12.4
	(3.13-4.19)	(3.54-4.75)	(4.26-5.74)	(4.88-6.63)	(5.70-8.16)	(6.32-9.31)	(6.90-10.6)	(7.45-12.1)	(8.26-14.2)	(8.88-15.7)
10-day	4.13	4.68	5.60	6.41	7.58	8.53	9.51	10.6	12.0	13.1
	(3.57-4.72)	(4.04-5.34)	(4.83-6.42)	(5.49-7.36)	(6.34-8.95)	(6.98-10.1)	(7.56-11.5)	(8.09-13.0)	(8.88-15.1)	(9.48-16.6)
20-day	5.63	6.28	7.36	8.27	9.55	10.5	11.6	<b>12.6</b>	14.0	15.1
	(4.94-6.36)	(5.51-7.10)	(6.43-8.33)	(7.19-9.39)	(8.06-11.1)	(8.73-12.4)	(9.28-13.8)	(9.74-15.3)	(10.5-17.4)	(11.0-18.9)
30-day	6.94 (6.14-7.77)	7.71 (6.81-8.64)	8.95 (7.89-10.1)	9.97 (8.74-11.2)	<b>11.3</b> (9.64-13.0)	<b>12.4</b> (10.3-14.4)	13.4 (10.8-15.9)	14.5 (11.2-17.4)	15.8 (11.9-19.4)	16.8 (12.3-21.0)
45-day	8.63 (7.70-9.60)	9.60 (8.56-10.7)	<b>11.1</b> (9.89-12.4)	<b>12.3</b> (10.9-13.8)	<b>13.9</b> (11.9-15.8)	<b>15.0</b> (12.6-17.3)	<b>16.1</b> (13.1-18.8)	<b>17.1</b> (13.4-20.4)	<b>18.4</b> (13.8-22.4)	<b>19.2</b> (14.2-23.9)
60-day	<b>10.1</b> (9.06-11.2)	<b>11.3</b> (10.1-12.5)	<b>13.1</b> (11.7-14.5)	14.5 (12.9-16.2)	16.3 (13.9-18.3)	<b>17.5</b> (14.7-20.0)	18.6 (15.2-21.6)	<b>19.6</b> (15.4-23.3)	20.8 (15.7-25.2)	21.5 (16.0-26.6)

### PF tabular

<sup>1</sup> Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

### **PF** graphical



PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves Latitude: 43.0719°, Longitude: -88.0294°

## **APPENDIX D**

# **ALTERNATIVES 4 AND 5 SEWER SCHEDULES**

ALTERNATIVE 4 - 25-YEAR	SEWER		#223398		
SEWER IMPROVEMENTS -	65TH STREET SEWER				
			PROPOSED		
		EXISTING PIPE	REPLACEMENT	PROPOSED	
STREET	BLOCK	DIAMETER (FT)	SIZE (FT)	LENGTH (FT)	COMMENTS
N. 65th Street	Clarke to Wright	2	2.5	366	
N. 65th Street	Clarke to Wright	2	3	292	
N. 65th Street	Wright to Meinecke	2	3	651	
N. 65th Street	Meinecke to North	2	3	471	
N. 65th Street	Meinecke to North	2.5	3	143	
N. 65th Street	North to Garfield	3	5	642	
N. 65th Street	Garfield to Lloyd	3	5.5	674	
N. 65th Street	Lloyd to Channel	3	6	170	
SEVVER INIPROVEINIEN IS -		2.5	Г	251	
W. Clarke Street	70th to 70th	2.5	 Г	201	
W. Clarke Street	70th to 71Street	2	5	200	
W. Clarke Street	70th to 71Street	3	2	30	
W. Clarke Street	72nd to 71Street	2.5	4	190	
N. 71ct Street	Clarke to Wright	2.5	4.5	607	
W. Wright Street	70th to 71st	5.5	5	265	
W. Wright Street	72nd to 71st	25	J.J 4 5	205	New connection of 125-ft
N. 70th Street	Wright to Meinecke	3.5	4.5	203	New connection of 123-11
N. 70th Street	Wright to Meinecke	4.5	5.5	220	
N. Toth Sheet		4.5	0	222	New cross connection to
W. Meinecke Avenue	At 70th	3	Л	64	evisting line
W. Meinecke Avenue	70th to 69th	5	6	286	
N 69th Street	Meinecke to North	5	6	688	
W North Avenue	69th to 68th	5	55	263	Replace existing nine
W North Avenue	69th to 68th		7	263	New parallel pipe
W. North Avenue	68th to 67th	4	7	340	
N 67th Street	North to Garfield	45	7	662	
W. Garfield Avenue	67th to 66th	4.5	7	390	
N. 66th Street	Garfield to channel	5	7	773	
BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS					
			DRODOCED		
			PROPOSED		
		EXISTING	ADDITIONAL	PROPOSED	
STREET		BRIDGE SIZE (FT)	BRIDGE SIZE (FT)	LENGTH (FT)	COMMENTS
Revere Avenue		5.5'x9' RCB	5'x7' RCB	57	
Washington Circle		5.5'x9' RCB	5'x7' RCB	102	
Upper Parkway		5.5'x9' RCB	5'x7' RCB	43	
ENCLOSURE IMPROVEMEN	IT		DRODOCED		
CTREET		EXISTING PIPE		PROPOSED	
SIKEEI	BLOCK	DIAMETER (FT)	SIZE (FI)	LENGIH (FI)	COMMENTS
Martha Washington Drive	Milw Avenue to Martin Dr	4.33'x7.5' RCB	5'x7' RCB	1354	
W. Martin Drive	Martha Wash to 60th		2-5'X6' RCB	770	
N. 60th Street	Martin to Menom R.		2-5'X6' RCB	2500	

ALTERNATIVE 5 - 100-YEA	R SEWER		#223398		
SEWER IMPROVEMENTS -	65TH STREET SEWER				
			PROPOSED		
		EXISTING PIPE	REPLACEMENT	PROPOSED	
STREET	BLOCK	DIAMETER (FT)	SIZE (FT)	LENGTH (FT)	COMMENTS
W. Clarke Street	64th to 65th	2	3	364	
N. 65th Street	Clarke to Wright	2	3	658	
N. 65th Street	Wright to Meinecke	2	3	282	
N. 65th Street	Wright to Meinecke	2	4	369	
N. 65th Street	Meinecke to North	2	4	471	
N. 65th Street	Meinecke to North	2.5	4	143	
N. 65th Street	North to Garfield	3	5	642	
N. 65th Street	Garfield to Lloyd	3	5	674	
N. 65th Street	Lloyd to Channel	3	5	170	
W. Wright Street	64th to 65th	2	2	376	Additional pipe
W. North Ave	62nd to 63rd	1.75	2	300	Additional pipe
W. North Ave	63rd to 64th	2	2	325	Additional pipe
W. North Ave	64th to 65th	3	2	390	Additional pipe
		-			
SEWER IMPROVEMENTS -	NORTHWEST SEWER				
W. Clarke Street	73rd to Lefeber	2	3	236	Additional pipe
W. Clarke Street	Lefeber to 72nd	2.25	3	288	Additional pipe
W Clarke Street	68th to 69th	2.25	4	260	
W. Clarke Street	68th to 69th	2.5	4	39	
W. Clarke Street	69th to 70th	2.5	5	251	
W Clarke Street	70th to 71st	3	5	280	
W Clarke Street	70th to 71st	3	6	38	
W. Clarke Street	72nd to 71st	25	4	334	
N 71st Street	Clarke to Wright	3.5	6	607	
W Wright Street	74th to 72nd	3	4	634	
W Wright Street	72nd to 71st	35	5	158	
W. Wright Street	72nd to 71st		5	125	New connection
W. Wright Street	70th to 71st	4	7	265	
N 70th Street	Wright to Meinecke	45	7	347	
N 70th Street	Wright to Meinecke	4.5	8	339	
N. Four Street			0	333	New cross connection to
	At 70th	3	Д	64	evisting line
W. Meinecke Avenue	70th to 69th	5	8	286	
N 69th Street	Meinecke to North	5	8	688	
W North Avenue	69th to 68th		8	263	New parallel pipe
W. North Avenue	68th to 67th	4	8	340	
N 67th Street	North to Garfield	45	8	662	
W Garfield Avenue	67th to 66th	4.5	8	390	
N 66th Street	Garfield to channel	5	8	773	
		5		115	
BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS					
			PROPOSED		
		EXISTING BRIDGE	ADDITIONAL	PROPOSED	
STREET		SIZE (ET)	BRIDGE SIZE (ET)	I FNGTH (FT)	COMMENTS
		5 5'y9' BCB	5'v5' RCB	57	
Washington Circle		5.5'v9' RCB	5'x6' RCB	102	
Lipper Parkway		5.5 x9' RCB	5'x6' RCB	/3	
		3.5 X5 KCB	5 10 1100	ΨJ	
	  T				
ENCLOSORE IMPROVEMEN			PROPOSED		
		EXISTING PIPE	ADDITIONAL PIPE	PROPOSED	
STREET	ві оск	DIAMETER (FT)	SIZE (ET)	I FNGTH (FT)	COMMENTS
Martha Washington Drive	Milur Avo to Martin Dr			220	
Martha Washington Drive	Milw Ave to Martin Dr	4.33 X1.3 KUB		1124	
W. Martin Drive	Martha Wash to Coth	4.33 X1.3 KUB		770	
	Martin to Manam P			2790	
IN. OUTI SL	Iviai un lo ivienom K.		2-0 A/ KUB	2780	
A comparison was made of the timing of peak flows from Schoonmaker Creek relative to peak flows on the Menomonee River at the confluence of the two streams. Two scenarios were done, one for a design storm and a second using a historical event. The design storm comparison was completed using the one-percent-annual-probability storm with a six hour duration, utilizing NOAA Atlas 14 rainfall and the SEWRPC rainfall distribution. The six hour duration storm was selected as it approximately represents the watershed response time for the Menomonee River. The design storm flow hydrograph for the Menomonee River was determined using the Hydrologic Simulation Program – Fortran (HSPF) from the SEWRPC Milwaukee County Automated Mapping and Land Information System (MCAMLIS) model completed in 2012. The design storm flow hydrographs for Schoonmaker Creek were determined using the updated existing conditions XPStorm model. A comparison of the modeled hydrographs is on the next page. For the design storm event, Schoonmaker Creek peaks between 1.8 hours (existing conditions) and 2.2 hours (Alternatives 1 and 15) earlier than the Menomonee River (peaks at 4.0 hours). The Schoonmaker Creek flow contribution at the time of the peak flow on the Menomonee River is less than 2 percent for existing conditions as well as the two alternatives.

The second scenario reviewed for timing of peak flows between Schoonmaker Creek and the Menomonee River was for the June 2008 event. The actual observed flow data from the USGS gage at N. 70th Street (04087120) was used for the Menomonee River. This was compared to the June 2008 updated existing conditions XPStorm model run for Schoonmaker Creek. The comparison of gaged to modeled hydrographs can be found on the final page of this appendix. For the June 2008 storm event, Schoonmaker Creek peaks approximately 2.5 hours earlier than the first and highest peak on the Menomonee River (peak at 3.6 hours). For the second river peak flow of the June 2008 storm event, Schoonmaker Creek peaks approximately 1.6 hours earlier than the second peak on the Menomonee River (peak at 8.3 hours). The Schoonmaker Creek flow contribution at the time of the June 2008 peak flow on the Menomonee River is less than 1 percent for each of the peaks described above.

Based on the two scenarios discussed above, Schoonmaker Creek under both existing conditions and the proposed Alternatives 1 and 15 will produce peak flows to the Menomonee River ahead of the peak flow on the river. The Schoonmaker Creek flow contribution at the time of the Menomonee River peak flow will be very small as well (review indicates less than 2 percent).

## 

### Figure E.1 Hydrograph Comparison – 100-Year 6-Hour Storm



Source: SEWRPC

Figure E.2 Menomonee River and Schoonmaker Creek Flow Comparison - June 2008 Event



Source: SEWRPC

### **APPENDIX F**

# PLANNING LEVEL COST ESTIMATE DETAILS

Schoonmak	er Creek Cost Alternatives - Summa	iry					
	2014 CCI	12767					
	2019 CCI	14743.85		1.1548	multiplier (com	pared t	:o 2014)
		Total 2014	Total 2019	Total 2019			
		Construction cost	Construction cost	Construction			
		without home	(with 2019 home	Cost (with 35%	Average		
Alternative No.	Description	acquisition costs	acquisition costs)	contingency)	Annual Cost		
1	Relief Pipe at Enclosure	\$3,607,561	\$4,166,158	\$5,624,313	\$356,831		
	· ·						
2	Relief Pipe at Enclosure with Storage	\$4,301,947	\$4,968,063	\$6,706,885	\$425,514		
3	Inline Storage	\$3,414,375	\$4,365,459	\$5,893,370	\$373,901		
4	25-yr sewer	\$12,717,077	\$16,871,359	\$22,776,335	\$1,445,028		
5	100-yr Sewer	\$17,392,312	\$22,270,510	\$30,065,189	\$1,907,464		
6	100-yr Sewer Extended to 74th St	\$18,167,485	\$23,165,712	\$31,273,711	\$1,984,138		
	Milwaukee East Sewer - Additional						
7	Pipe	\$2,032,467	\$2,347,176	\$3,168,687	\$201,035		
8	Milwaukee East Sewer - Upsize	\$3,707,372	\$4,281,423	\$5,779,922	\$366,703		
9	Open Channel	\$11,086,668	\$51,285,523	\$69,235,456	\$4,392,594		
10	North Storage	\$5,147,491	\$20,896,907	\$28,210,824	\$1,789,816		
11	South Storage	\$4,143,105	\$15,085,151	\$20,364,954	\$1,292,040		
12	North & South Storage	\$5,555,647	\$31,668,786	\$42,752,862	\$2,712,425		
13	25-yr Sewer & Bypass Tunnel	\$22,589,259	\$26,086,994	\$35,217,442	\$2,234,345		
14	100-yr Sewer & Bypass Tunnel	\$25,010,391	\$28,883,015	\$38,992,070	\$2,473,824		
	100-yr Sewer Extended to 74th &						
15	Bypass Tunnel	\$25,910,476	\$29,922,469	\$40,395,334	\$2,562,853		
	100-yr Sewer Plus Open Channel						
16	Bypass	\$18,782,528	\$21,690,826	\$29,282,614	\$1,857,815		
			Ass	sumed project life:	50	years	
			Assu	med interest rate:	0.06		
	Does not include costs for changes in sanitar	y sewers, water mains,	and other utilities				
	Does not include costs for annual operation	& maintenance					
	Land acquisition costs are only included for A	Alternatives 9 & 10, 11,	12				
	No land acquisition or easement costs outsic	le of the public ROW w	ere included for th	e other alternative	s.		
	Assumed no contaminated soils						

Schoonmaker Creek Cost Alternatives				
Averages for cost estimates (2014 dollars):				
Item	Avg. Cost (2014) Unit	Item	Avg. Cost (2014)	Unit
5' x 6' RCB	\$593.44 per LF	Clearing and Grubbing	\$4,235.48	per acre
5' x 7' RCB	\$698.85 per LF	Topsoil Stripping	\$4.26	per CY
5' x 10' RCB	\$1,030.21 per LF	Topsoil	\$3.13	per SY
4' x 6' RCB	\$571.51 per LF	Seeding	\$0.72	per SY
3' x 5' RCB	\$516.04 per LF	Excavation	\$12.63	per CY
6' x 10' RCB	\$1,280.74 per LF	Hauling earth	\$7.57	per CY
5' x 5' RCB	\$546.52 per LF	Grading	\$5.43	per SY
6' x 6' RCB	\$769.91 per LF	Pavement Removal	\$6.76	per SY
6' x 7' RCB	\$995.35 per LF	Pavement Installation	\$57.05	per SY
6' x 9' RCB	\$1,111.15 per LF	Pavement Hauling	\$7.57	per CY (assume same as earth)
8' x 10' RCB	\$1,307.32 per LF	Gravel Fill	\$32.94	per CY
1' diameter pipe	\$45.86 per LF	Tunnel boring machine mobilization	8.50%	not to exceed of total bid
1.25' DIAMETER PIPE	\$46.38 per LF	Tunnel Access Shaft Construction	\$18,294.12	per vertical LF
1.5' DIAMETER PIPE	\$54.69 per LF	Tunnel Excavation and Lining	\$2,971.05	per LF
1.75' diameter pipe	\$62.40 per LF	Manholes	\$6,159.33	EACH
2' diameter pipe	\$71.48 per LF	DEWATERING - 12" PVC	\$39.07	per LF
2.5' RCP	\$101.01 per LF	DEWATERING - PUMPING	\$3,706.21	per DAY (24 hr)
3' diameter pipe	\$117.57 per LF	Tree removal	\$382.39	EACH
3.5' diameter pipe	\$155.25 per LF	Common Fill (Embankment)	\$5.29	LCY
4' diameter pipe	\$180.95 per LF	Select Fill (Clay core)	\$8.00	LCY
4.5' RCP	\$213.72 per LF	Embankment Compaction	\$0.55	ECY
5' diameter pipe	\$283.83 per LF	Riprap (Emergency Spillway)	\$107.42	CY
5.5' RCP	\$298.04 per LF	Geotextile (Emergency Spillway)	\$3.35	SY
6' diameter pipe	\$406.70 per LF	Retaining Wall (8" large)	\$18.88	SF
7' diameter pipe	\$501.06 per LF	Retaining Wall (4" small)	\$20.06	SF
8' diameter pipe	\$699.04 per LF			
8.5' RCP	\$804.64 per LF			
9' RCP	\$910.24 per LF			
Pipe Removal	\$14.44 per LF			
Pipe Installation	\$50.43 per LF			

RELIEF PIPE AT ENCLOSURE				
Alternative 1:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for pipes	17,364	CY	\$12.63	\$219,266
Pavement Removal	4,736	SY	\$6.76	\$32,015
Hauling removed pavement	1,692	CY	\$7.57	\$12,813
Hauling excess excavation	16,735	CY	\$7.57	\$126,720
Pipe Installation	3,083	LF	\$50.43	\$155,483
5' x 6' RCB	1,310	LF	\$593.44	\$777,412
5' x 10' RCB	1,773	LF	\$1,030.21	\$1,826,570
Manholes	6	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$36,956
Gravel Fill	4,559	СҮ	\$32.94	\$150,162
Pavement replacement	4,736	SY	\$57.05	\$270,163
			Total:	\$3,607,561
RELIEF PIPE AT ENCLOSURE WITH DETENTION				
Alternative 2:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for pipes	16385	CY	\$12.63	\$206,902
Pavement Removal	4469	SY	\$6.76	\$30,210
Hauling removed pavement	1597	CY	\$7.57	\$12,091
Hauling excess excavation	75132	CY	\$7.57	\$568,899
Clear & Grub	3.3	AC	\$4,235.48	\$14,019
Pipe Installation	3450	LF	\$50.43	\$173,992
5' x 6' RCB	2530	LF	\$593.44	\$1,501,414
5' x 10' RCB	560	LF	\$1,030.21	\$576,920
3' diameter pipe	360	LF	\$117.57	\$42,326
Manholes	7	EACH	\$6.159.33	\$43.115
Gravel Fill	4649	CY	\$32.94	\$153,134
Pavement replacement	4469	SY	\$57.05	\$254,930
Topsoil stripping	2670	CY	\$4.26	\$11.372
Excavation of nond	47472	CY	\$12.63	\$599.464
Grading pond	16020	sv	\$5.43	\$355,404
Tonsoil replacement (grading)	2670	CV	\$5.43	\$14 508
Seeding nond	16020	sv	\$0.72	\$11,500
	10020	51	<i>40.72</i>	\$4 301 947
				÷,;===;;=::
INLINE STORAGE ALTERNATIVE				
Alternative 3:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Topsoil stripping	2,339	CY	\$4.26	\$9,964
Excavation	17,827	CY	\$12.63	\$225,117
Hauling excavated material	22,284	CY	\$7.57	\$168,735
Clear & Grub	1.6	AC	\$4,235.48	\$6,777
Grading	14,036	SY	\$5.43	\$76,266
Seeding	16,712	SY	\$0.72	\$12,102
Pavement removal	3,631	SY	\$6.76	\$24,548
Hauling removed pavement	1,116	CY	\$7.57	\$8,452
Pavement replacement	160	SY	\$57.05	\$9,128
Retaining walls - large	10,005	SF	\$18.88	\$188,934
Retaining walls - small	495	SF	\$20.06	\$9,930
Tree removal	80	EACH	\$382.39	\$30,591
5' x 10' RCB	118	ft	\$1,030.21	\$121.565
Common Fill	7.000	LCY	\$5.29	\$37.024
Clay Core Fill	1,770	LCY	\$8.00	\$14.160
Compaction	7,893	ECY	\$0.55	\$4.365
Riprap (emergency spillway)	738	CY	\$32.94	\$24.308
Geotextile (emergency spillway)	1,108	SY	\$12.63	\$13.991
Land Purchase - impoundment and dam	3.28	AC	\$740,645	\$2,428.418
Home Acquisition (2014)	1	home	NA	\$386.000
Home Acquisition (2019)	1	home	NA	\$422.400
	Total (witho	ut home acq	uisition cost):	\$3,414,375
	Total (with 20	14 home acq	uisition cost):	\$3,800,376

RAS 10-YEAR SEWER - 25 YR SEWER				
Alternative 4:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for sewers	42,155	CY	\$12.63	\$532,324
Excavation/trenching for enclosure	19,973	CY	\$12.63	\$252,210
Pavement Removal	16,932	SY	\$6.76	\$114,468
Hauling removed pavement	6,050	CY	\$7.57	\$45,813
Hauling excess excavation	59,892	CY	\$7.57	\$453,502
Pipe Removal	9,374	LF	\$14.44	\$135,393
Pipe Installation	15,593	LF	\$50.43	\$786,378
5' x 7' RCB (3 bridges)	202	LF	\$698.85	\$141,168
Dewatering - 12" PVC	600	LF	\$39.07	\$23,445
Dewatering - pumping (16 reaches)	240	DAYS	\$3,706.21	\$889,490
5' x 7' RCB (enclosure)	1,354	LF	\$698.85	\$946,522
5' x 6' RCB (enclosure)	3,270	LF	\$593.44	\$1,940,562
Manholes	44	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$271,011
2.5' RCP	366	LF	\$101.01	\$36,969
3' RCP	1557	LF	\$117.57	\$183,037
4' RCP	262	LF	\$180.95	\$47,426
4.5' RCP	419	LF	\$213.72	\$89,571
5' RCP	1818	LF	\$283.83	\$516,092
5.5' RCP	1549	LF	\$298.04	\$461,581
6' RCP	1483	LF	\$406.70	\$603,170
7' RCP	2428	LF	\$501.06	\$1,216,678
Gravel Fill	20,445	CY	\$32.94	\$673,395
Pavement replacement	16,932	SY	\$57.05	\$965,951
Existing Open Channel Improvement				\$1,390,921.42
			Total:	\$12,717,077
100-YEAR SEWER				
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers	Amount 55,534	Unit CY	Unit Cost \$12.63	Total Cost \$701,261
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure	Amount 55,534 24,434	Unit CY CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836	Unit CY CY SY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445	Unit CY CY SY CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095	Unit CY CY SY CY CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Removal	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Removal Pipe Installation	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Removal Pipe Installation Manholes	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF LF EACH	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Removal Pipe Installation Manholes 5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 53	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF LF EACH LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152
100-YEAR SEWER Alternative 5: Excavation/trenching for sewers Excavation/trenching for enclosure Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Removal Pipe Installation Manholes 5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge) 5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF EACH LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 6' RCB (enclosure)	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 53 57 145 220	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 6' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 53 57 145 220 3,550	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 6' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134	Unit CY CY CY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$56,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040 \$99,439
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$556,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040 \$99,439 \$214,993
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$556,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040 \$99,439 \$214,993 \$418,671
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$556,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040 \$99,439 \$214,993 \$214,993
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70	Total Cost \$701,261 \$308,542 \$140,860 \$556,375 \$591,332 \$150,319 \$904,627 \$326,445 \$31,152 \$86,049 \$169,380 \$3,533,506 \$1,260,040 \$99,439 \$214,993 \$214,993 \$418,671 \$652,900
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$924,493           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299
100-YEAR SEWERAlternative 5:Excavation/trenching for sewersExcavation/trenching for enclosurePavement RemovalHauling removed pavementHauling excess excavationPipe RemovalPipe InstallationManholes5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)2' RCP3' RCP4' RCP5' RCP6' RCP7' RCP8' RCP	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP         8' RCP         Dewatering - 12" PVC	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP         8' RCP         9' RCP <td>Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285</td> <td>Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF</td> <td>Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$5283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21</td> <td>Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269</td>	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285	Unit CY CY SY CY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$5283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP         8' RCP         8' RCP         9' RCP <td>Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285 27,422</td> <td>Unit CY CY SY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF</td> <td>Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94</td> <td>Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269           \$903,222</td>	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285 27,422	Unit CY CY SY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269           \$903,222
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP         8' RCP         8' RCP         Dewatering - 12" PVC         Dewatering - pumping (19 reaches)         Gravel Fill         Pavement replacement	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285 27,422 20,836	Unit CY CY SY CY LF LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94 \$57.05	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$214,993           \$244,8671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269           \$903,222           \$1,188,659
100-YEAR SEWER         Alternative 5:         Excavation/trenching for sewers         Excavation/trenching for enclosure         Pavement Removal         Hauling removed pavement         Hauling excess excavation         Pipe Removal         Pipe Installation         Manholes         5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)         5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)         6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)         6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)         2' RCP         3' RCP         4' RCP         5' RCP         6' RCP         7' RCP         8' RCP         8' RCP         9' RCP <td>Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285 27,422 20,836</td> <td>Unit CY CY SY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF</td> <td>Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94</td> <td>Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269           \$903,222           \$1,188,659           \$1,390,921,42</td>	Amount 55,534 24,434 20,836 7,445 78,095 10,408 17,937 53 57 145 220 3,550 1134 1391 1829 2314 2300 645 611 3741 600 285 27,422 20,836	Unit CY CY SY CY LF EACH LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$14.44 \$50.43 \$6,159.33 \$546.52 \$593.44 \$769.91 \$995.35 \$1,111.15 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$180.95 \$283.83 \$406.70 \$501.06 \$699.04 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94	Total Cost           \$701,261           \$308,542           \$140,860           \$55,375           \$591,332           \$150,319           \$904,627           \$326,445           \$31,152           \$86,049           \$169,380           \$3,533,506           \$1,260,040           \$99,439           \$214,993           \$418,671           \$652,900           \$262,278           \$306,299           \$2,615,329           \$23,445           \$1,056,269           \$903,222           \$1,188,659           \$1,390,921,42

100-YEAR SEWER EXTENDED - ADDITIONAL COST FC	R 4-FT PIPE			
Alternative 6:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for sewers	5,688	CY	\$12.63	\$71,824
Pavement Removal	1,827	SY	\$6.76	\$12,351
Hauling removed pavement	653	CY	\$7.57	\$4,943
Hauling excess excavation	5,193	CY	\$7.57	\$39,319
Pipe Installation	1,860	LF	\$50.43	\$93,804
4' RCP	1860	LF	\$180.95	\$336,558
Gravel Fill	2,283	CY	\$32.94	\$75,192
Manholes	6	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$36,956
Pavement replacement	1,827	SY	\$57.05	\$104,225
			Total:	\$775,173

MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10				
Alternative 7:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for sewers	20,502	CY	\$12.63	\$258,890
Pavement Removal	5,412	SY	\$6.76	\$36,591
Hauling removed pavement	1,934	CY	\$7.57	\$14,644
Hauling excess excavation	11,929	CY	\$7.57	\$90,328
Pipe Installation	7,099	LF	\$50.43	\$358,020
Pipe Removal		LF	\$14.44	\$0
15-inch RCP (1.25')	298	LF	\$46.38	\$13,822
18-inch RCP (1.5')	658	LF	\$54.69	\$35,988
24-inch RCP (2')	891	LF	\$71.48	\$63,686
30-inch RCP (2.5')	5252	LF	\$101.01	\$530,492
Dewatering - 12" PVC	0	LF		\$0
Dewatering - pumping (12 reaches)	0	DAYS		\$0
Gravel Fill	5,265	CY	\$32.94	\$173,409
Manholes	24	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$147,824
Pavement replacement	5,412	SY	\$57.05	\$308,774
			Total:	\$2,032,467
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10	00-YEAR DESIGN			
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES:	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002	Unit CY	Unit Cost \$12.63	Total Cost \$366,221
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670	Unit CY SY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383	Unit CY SY CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371	Unit CY SY CY CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122	Unit CY SY CY CY LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 23	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$4,162
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4') 54-inch RCP (4.5')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 23 2021	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$408,922 \$4,162 \$431,933
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4') 54-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5')	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 23 2021 597	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$408,922 \$4,162 \$431,933 \$169,448
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5') Dewatering - 12" PVC	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 23 2021 597 600	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83 \$39.07	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$104,757 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$4,162 \$431,933 \$169,448 \$23,445
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (4') 54-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5') Dewatering - 12" PVC Dewatering - pumping (12 reaches)	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 2021 597 600 180	Unit CY SY CY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83 \$39.07 \$3,706.21	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$4,162 \$4,162 \$431,933 \$169,448 \$23,445 \$667,117
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4') 54-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5') Dewatering - 12" PVC Dewatering - pumping (12 reaches) Gravel Fill	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 2634 2021 597 600 180 8,062	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF CY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$18,595 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$4,162 \$431,933 \$169,448 \$23,445 \$667,117 \$265,531
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4') 54-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5') Dewatering - 12" PVC Dewatering - pumping (12 reaches) Gravel Fill Manholes	00-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 2021 597 600 180 8,062 24	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF CY CY EACH	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94 \$6,159.33	Total Cost \$366,221 \$45,094 \$18,048 \$146,674 \$359,180 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$102,863 \$47,032 \$104,757 \$408,922 \$408,922 \$44,162 \$431,933 \$169,448 \$23,445 \$667,117 \$265,531 \$147,824
MILWAUKEE EAST SEWER IMPROVEMENT ONLY - 10 Alternative 8 NEW PIPES: Excavation/trenching for sewers Pavement Removal Hauling removed pavement Hauling excess excavation Pipe Installation Pipe Removal 21-inch RCP (1.75') 24-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (2') 36-inch RCP (3') 42-inch RCP (3.5') 48-inch RCP (4.5') 60-inch RCP (5') Dewatering - 12" PVC Dewatering - pumping (12 reaches) Gravel Fill Manholes Pavement replacement	D0-YEAR DESIGN Amount 29,002 6,670 2,383 19,371 7,122 7,122 298 658 891 2634 2634 2634 2634 2634 2634 2634 2634	Unit CY SY CY LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF LF CY CY EACH SY	Unit Cost \$12.63 \$6.76 \$7.57 \$7.57 \$50.43 \$14.44 \$62.40 \$71.48 \$117.57 \$155.25 \$180.95 \$213.72 \$283.83 \$39.07 \$3,706.21 \$32.94 \$6,159.33	Total Cost           \$366,221           \$45,094           \$18,048           \$146,674           \$359,180           \$102,863           \$102,863           \$102,863           \$102,863           \$102,863           \$102,863           \$47,032           \$4408,922           \$4408,922           \$44,162           \$431,933           \$169,448           \$23,445           \$667,117           \$265,531           \$147,824           \$380,527

OPEN CHANNEL				
Alternative 9:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation for channel	50,524	CY	\$12.63	\$637,998
Hauling earth	63,155	CY	\$7.57	\$478,207
Clear & Grub	14.0	AC	\$4,235.48	\$59,312
Pavement Removal	4500	SY	\$6.76	\$30,422
Hauling removed pavement	1608	CY	\$7.57	\$12,176
8' x 10' RCB for bridges (9)	990	LF	\$1,307.32	\$1,294,243
Sewer connections (10 - 3' RCP at 50-ft)	500	LF	\$117.57	\$58,786
Bridge & Pipe Installation	1,490	LF	\$50.43	\$75,144
Pavement replacement	4500	SY	\$57.05	\$256,721
Grading	30,256	SY	\$5.43	\$164,397
Topsoil for channel	31,151	SY	\$3.13	\$97,392
Seeding	30,256	SY	\$0.72	\$21,910
Excavation/trenching for enclosure	24,434	CY	\$12.63	\$308,542
Pavement Removal	6,170	SY	\$6.76	\$41,716
Hauling removed pavement	2,205	CY	\$7.57	\$16,696
Hauling excess excavation	24,067	CY	\$7.57	\$182,236
Pipe Installation (exist channel and enclosure)	5,106	LF	\$50.43	\$257,508
Manholes	6	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$36,956
5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)	57	LF	\$546.52	\$31,152
5' x 6' RCB (1 bridge)	43	LF	\$593.44	\$25,518
6' x 9' RCB (1 bridge)	102	LF	\$1,111.15	\$113,337
6' x 6' RCB (enclosure)	220	LF	\$769.91	\$169,380
6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)	3,550	LF	\$995.35	\$3,533,506
6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)	1,134	LF	\$1,111.15	\$1,260,040
Gravel Fill	5,478	CY	\$32.94	\$180,432
Pavement replacement	6,170	SY	\$57.05	\$352,020
Existing Open Channel Improvement				\$1,390,921.42
Home Acquisition (2014)	122	homes	NA	\$33,112,156
Home Acquisition (2019)	122	homes	NA	\$38,482,189
	Total (with	out home a	acquisition cost):	\$11,086,668
	Total (with 2	014 home a	acquisition cost):	\$44,198,823

STORAGE ALTERNATIVES						
Alternative 10: North Detention	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost		
Topsoil stripping	2,985	CY	4.26	\$12,712		
Total pond excavation	42,592	CY	\$12.63	\$537,837		
Hauling earth from pond	53,240	CY	\$7.57	\$403,132		
Clear & Grub	3.7	AC	\$4,235.48	\$15,671		
Grading	17,908	SY	\$5.43	\$97,305		
Seeding	17,908	SY	\$0.72	\$12,968		
Pipe excavation	1,410	CY	\$12.63	\$17,806		
Hauling earth from trenches	1,335	CY	\$7.57	\$10,110		
Pavement removal	174	SY	\$6.76	\$1,176		
Hauling removed pavement	62	CY	\$7.57	\$471		
Pavement replacement	174	SY	\$57.05	\$9,927		
5' x 10' RCB	200	ft	\$1,030.21	\$206,043		
1' diameter pipe	100	ft	\$45.86	\$4,586		
Pipe Installation	300	ft	\$50.43	\$15,130		
Topsoil for detention	17,908	SY	\$3.13	\$55,988		
Gravel Fill	355	CY	\$32.94	\$11,681	\$1,412,542	w/out home
Excavation/trenching for enclosure	17,364	CY	\$12.63	\$219,266		acquisition, channel
Pavement Removal	4,736	SY	\$6.76	\$32,015		bridges or enclosure
Hauling removed pavement	1,692	CY	\$7.57	\$12,813		
Hauling excess excavation	16,735	CY	\$7.57	\$126,720		
Pipe Installation (exist channel and enclosure)	3,285	LF	\$50.43	\$165,671		
Manholes	6	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$36,956		
5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)	57	LF	\$546.52	\$31,152		
5' x 6' RCB (1 bridge)	145	LF	\$593.44	\$86,049		
5' x 6' RCB (enclosure)	1,310	LF	\$593.44	\$777,412		
5' x 10' RCB (enclosure)	1,773	LF	\$1,030.21	\$1,826,570		
Gravel Fill	4,559	CY	\$32.94	\$150,162		
Pavement replacement	4,736	SY	\$57.05	\$270,163		
Home Acquisition (2014)	45	homes	NA	\$12,526,875		
Home Acquisition (2019)	45	homes	NA	\$14,952,375		
	Total (withou	t home acqu	uisition costs):	\$5,147,491		
	Total (with 201	4 home acqu	uisition costs):	\$17,674,366		

Alternative 11: South Detention	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost			
Topsoil stripping	2,823	CY	4.26	\$12,025			
Total pond excavation	43,560	CY	\$12.63	\$550,060			
Hauling earth from pond	54,450	CY	\$7.57	\$412,294			
Clear & Grub	3.5	AC	\$4,235.48	\$14,824			
Grading	16,940	SY	\$5.43	\$92,045			
Seeding	16,940	SY	\$0.72	\$12,267			
Pipe excavation	1,127	CY	\$12.63	\$14,227			
Hauling earth from trenches	1,066	CY	\$7.57	\$8,073			
Pavement removal	24	SY	\$6.76	\$160			
Hauling removed pavement	8	CY	\$7.57	\$64			
Pavement replacement	24	SY	\$57.05	\$1,354			
4' x 6' RCB	100	) ft	\$571.51	\$57,151			
3' x 5' RCB	100	) ft	\$516.04	\$51,604			
1' diameter pipe	150	) ft	\$45.86	\$6,879			
Pipe Installation	350	) ft	\$50.43	\$17,651			
Topsoil for detention	16,940	SY	\$3.13	\$52,962			
Gravel Fill	264	CY	\$32.94	\$8,694			
Excavation/trenching for enclosure	14,222	CY	\$12.63	\$179,592			
Pavement Removal	3,936	SY	\$6.76	\$26,610			
Hauling removed pavement	1,406	CY	\$7.57	\$10,650			
Hauling excess excavation	13,647	CY	\$7.57	\$103,337			
Pipe Installation (enclosure)	3,083	LF	\$50.43	\$155,483			
Manholes	6	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$36,956			
5' x 5' RCB (enclosure)	1,310	LF	\$546.52	\$715,942			
5' x 7' RCB (enclosure)	1,773	LF	\$698.85	\$1,239,061			
Gravel Fill	4,208	CY	\$32.94	\$138,592			
Pavement replacement	3,936	SY	\$57.05	\$224,547	\$4,143,105	w/out home	
Home Acquisition (2014)	31	homes	NA	\$8,629,625		acquisition	
Home Acquisition (2019)	31	homes	NA	\$10,300,525			
	Total (withou	it home acqu	isition costs):	\$4,143,105			
	Total (with 201	4 home acqu	isition costs):	\$12,772,730			
Alternative 12: North & South Detention				Total Cost			
North Detention				\$1,412,542			
North Detention - home acquisition (2014)				\$12,526,875			
North Detention - home acquisition (2019)				\$14,952,375			
South Detention and enclosure				\$4,143,105			
South Detention - home acquisition (2014)				\$8,629,625			
South Detention - home acquisition (2019)				\$10,300,525			
	Total (withou	it home acqu	isition costs):	\$5,555,647			
	Total (with 201	4 home acqu	isition costs):	\$26,712,147			
				, <i>-</i> ,,			

RAS 10-YEAR SEWER ONLY (NO ENCLOSU					
Alternative 13:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
Excavation/trenching for sewers	42,155	CY	\$12.63	\$532,324	
Excavation/trenching for enclosure					
Pavement Removal	11,404	SY	\$6.76	\$77,099	
Hauling removed pavement	4,075	CY	\$7.57	\$30,857	
Hauling excess excavation	40,727	СҮ	\$7.57	\$308,382	
Pipe Removal	9,374	LF	\$14.44	\$135,393	
Pipe Installation	10,759	LF	\$50.43	\$542,618	
5' x 7' RCB (3 bridges)					
Dewatering - 12" PVC	600	LF	\$39.07	\$23,445	
Dewatering - pumping (16 reaches)	240	DAYS	\$3,706.21	\$889,490	
5' x 7' RCB (enclosure)					
5' x 6' RCB (enclosure)					
Manholes	37	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$227,895	
2.5' RCP	366	LF	\$101.01	\$36,969	
3' RCP	1557	LF	\$117.57	\$183,037	
4' RCP	262	LF	\$180.95	\$47,426	
4.5' RCP	419	LF	\$213.72	\$89,571	
5' RCP	1818	LF	\$283.83	\$516,092	
5.5' RCP	1549	LF	\$298.04	\$461,581	
6' RCP	1483	LF	\$406.70	\$603,170	
7' RCP	2428	LF	\$501.06	\$1,216,678	
Gravel Fill	16,741	CY	\$32.94	\$551,389	
Pavement replacement	11,404	SY	\$57.05	\$650,610	
			Total:	\$7,124,027	
				\$22,589,259	With Alt 14 Tunnel costs

100-YEAR SEWER with RELIEF TUNNEL (NO ENCLOSURE)					
Alternative 14:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
Excavation/trenching for sewers	55,534	СҮ	\$12.63	\$701,261	
Excavation/trenching for enclosure					
Pavement Removal	14,665	SY	\$6.76	\$99,144	
Hauling removed pavement	5,240	СҮ	\$7.57	\$39,680	
Hauling excess excavation	54,028	СҮ	\$7.57	\$409,097	
Pipe Removal	10,408	LF	\$14.44	\$150,319	
Pipe Installation	12,831	LF	\$50.43	\$647,119	
Manholes	47	EACH	\$6.159.33	\$289,489	
2' RCP	1391	LF	\$71.48	\$99,439	
3' RCP	1829	LF	\$117.57	\$214,993	
4' RCP	2314	LF	\$180.95	\$418.671	
5' RCP	2300	LF	\$283.83	\$652,900	
6' RCP	645	LF	\$406.70	\$262.278	
7' RCP	611	LE .	\$501.06	\$306,299	
8' RCP	3741	LF	\$699.04	\$2 615 329	
Dewatering - 12" PVC	600	LF	\$39.07	\$2,015,525	
Dewatering - numping (19 reaches)	285		\$3,706,21	\$1 056 269	
Gravel Fill	203	CV	\$32.94	\$722,790	
Pavement replacement	14 665	sy	\$57.05	\$836.638	
Tunnel - 7' RCP inlet	110	IF	\$501.06	\$55,030	
	70	10	\$202.00	\$30,962	
Tunnel - 5.5 RCP Inlet	70		\$296.04	\$20,803 \$772.456	
Tunnel open trench excevation	900		\$004.04	\$172,430	
Pavement Removal	1 735	sv	\$12.03	\$104,139	
Hauling removed pavement	1,733	CV	\$0.70	\$1,729	
Hauling excess exception	8 / 90	CV	\$7.57	\$4,094	
Pine Installation	8,4 <i>3</i> 0		\$7.57	\$57.493	
Gravel Fill	3 076		\$32.94	\$37,493	
Tunnel boring machine mobilization	\$12 389 293	boring	\$52.54 8 50%	\$1,053,090	
Tunnel - 8 5' hore	4170	IF	\$2 971 05	\$12 389 293	
Access Shaft (assume 1)	4170	IF	\$18 294 12	\$12,303,233	
Pavement replacement	1 735	sv	\$10,254.12	\$98,979	
	1,735	51	Total:	\$25 010 391	
		-	Total:	\$25,010,351	
			Sower Only:	\$15,405,232	
			Sewer Only.	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>	
100-YEAR SEWER EXTENDED with PELIEE	LINNEL (NO ENCI				
Alternative 15:		Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
Tuppel - 7' PCP inlet	110		\$501.06	\$55 117	
Tunnel - 7 KCP inlet	70		\$301.00	\$33,117	
	70		\$250.04	\$20,803	
Tunnel open trench excavation	900		\$910.24	\$075,831	
Pavement Removal	1 707	sv	\$12.03	\$11,774	
Hauling removed payement	642	CV	\$0.70	\$12,140	
Hauling excess excevation	9 1 7 9	CV	\$7.57	\$4,802	
Pine Installation	1 1/0		\$50.43	\$57,193	
Gravel Fill	2 276	CY	\$30.43	¢107 808	
Tunnel boring machine mobilization	\$12,389,293	boring	\$52.54 8 50%	\$1,053,090	
Tunnel - 9' bore	<u>4170</u>	LF	\$2,971.05	\$12,389,293	
Access Shaft (assume 1)	40	   F	\$18 294 12	\$731 765	
Pavement replacement	1 797	SY	\$57.05	\$102 509	
	1,757	1	Junnel Cost Only	\$15.590.144	1
			Contraction of the second seco	\$25,910.476	With Alt 14 Sewer
					and Alt 6 extension
	1	1	1	1	j

100-YEAR SEWER PLUS OPEN CHANNEL BYPASS - ALT 16				
Alternative 16:	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Excavation/trenching for sewers	55,534	CY	\$12.63	\$701,261
Excavation/trenching for enclosure	24,077	CY	\$12.63	\$304,038
Excavation/trenching for open channel bypass	18,613	CY	\$12.63	\$235,085
Pavement Removal	24,905	SY	\$6.76	\$168,368
Hauling removed pavement	8,899	CY	\$7.57	\$67,385
Hauling excess excavation	92,316	CY	\$7.57	\$699,012
Pipe Removal	10,408	LF	\$14.44	\$150,319
Pipe Installation	20,800	LF	\$50.43	\$1,049,015
Manholes	61	EACH	\$6,159.33	\$375,719
5' x 5' RCB (1 bridge)	0	LF	\$546.52	\$0
5' x 6' RCB (2 bridges)	0	LF	\$593.44	\$0
5' x 7' RCB (open channel bypass)	2,793	LF	\$698.85	\$1,951,887
6' x 6' RCB (enclosure)	146	LF	\$769.91	\$112,407
6' x 7' RCB (enclosure)	3,550	LF	\$995.35	\$3,533,506
6' x 9' RCB (enclosure)	1134	LF	\$1,111.15	\$1,260,040
2' RCP	1391	LF	\$71.48	\$99,439
3' RCP	1829	LF	\$117.57	\$214,993
4' RCP (sewer + bypass pipe)	2660	LF	\$180.95	\$481,278
5' RCP	2300	LF	\$283.83	\$652 <i>,</i> 900
6' RCP	645	LF	\$406.70	\$262,278
7' RCP	611	LF	\$501.06	\$306,299
8' RCP	3741	LF	\$699.04	\$2,615,329
Dewatering - 12" PVC	600	LF	\$39.07	\$23,445
Dewatering - pumping (19 reaches)	285	DAYS	\$3,706.21	\$1,056,269
Gravel Fill	31,620	CY	\$32.94	\$1,041,472
Pavement replacement	24,905	SY	\$57.05	\$1,420,784
			Total:	\$18,782,528

Existing Open Channel Improvement	Quantity Uni	it Unit Cost	Total Cost	Source	
Retaining Wall Demolition	16110 CF	\$2.80	\$45,111.95	RSMEANS	
Concrete Ped. Bridge Demolition	480 SF	\$24.12	\$11,577.48	RSMEANS	
Wooden Ped. Bridge Demolition	275 SF	\$14.71	\$4,044.47	RSMEANS	
Hauling Demolition Materials (retaining wall and					
ped. bridges)	999 CY	\$7.57	\$7,565.51	AVERAGE OF RSMEANS, MNDOT	
Silt Fence - Erosion Protection	5500 LF	\$1.08	\$5,953.46	RSMEANS	
Tree Removal up to 6" (includes chipping, stump					
removal and hauling)	21 Eac	h \$654.17	\$13,737.67	RSMEANS - SUMMED PARTS	
Tree Removal 8" to 12" (includes chipping, stump					
removal and hauling)	23 Eac	h \$857.72	\$19,727.61	RSMEANS - SUMMED PARTS	
Light Clearing	0 Acr	re \$244.73	\$20.23	RSMEANS	
Excavation	11000 CY	\$12.63	\$138,930.00	Average of RSMEA	ANS, MNDOT, WISDOT, LPC, Wauwatosa
Hauling Excess Excavated Material	13750 CY	\$7.57	\$104,087.50	AVERAGE OF RSM	EANS, MNDOT
Grading	10454 SY	\$5.43	\$56,762.92	Average of RSME	ANS, LPC
Topsoil Stripping	497 CY	\$5.01	\$2,492.18	RSMEANS	
Topsoil Placement	2983 SY	\$3.13	\$9,337.83	Average of WISDC	DT, LPC
Seeding	2983 SY	\$0.72	\$2,148.00	Average of MNDC	IT, LPC
Jute Mesh - Erosion Protection	2983 SY	\$2.08	\$6,212.90	RSMEANS	
Geotextile	10454 SY	\$3.35	\$35,019.48	AVERAGE OF RSM	EANS, MNDOT, Virgina Dam
Channel and Bank Stone/Riprap	6474 CY	\$73.92	\$478,555.58	Average of RSMEA	ANS, MNDOT, WISDOT, LPC
Channel Gravel Underlayer	1618 CY	\$32.94	\$53,310.27	Average of MNDC	T, WISDOT, LPC
Dewatering - Cofferdam	2250 SF	\$31.77	\$71,476.85	RSMEANS	
Dewatering - 12" PVC	1200 LF	\$39.07	\$46,884.00	Average of RSME	ANS, MNDOT
Dewatering - Pumping	75 Day	y \$3,706.21	\$277,965.51	RSMEANS	
		Total:	\$1,390,921.42		

## **APPENDIX G**

# **PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE PRESENTATIONS**



















### Green Infrastructure Analysis

- SEWRPC analyzed rain barrels as a way to reduce street flooding during storm events.
- In the study area, a 100-year storm rains 4.28" in 3 hours. This deposits approximately 3,870 gallons of water onto the average Wauwatosa roof.
- Having two 50 gallon rain barrels at the average house would decrease the flow from roof to sewer by about 2.6%.
- Rain barrels could complement the infrastructure alternatives presented here, but would not solely meet Wauwatosa's flood mitigation needs.



Above: A rain barrel set up to catch rainwater from a gutter. This prevents some rainwater from flooding the streets during a storm.

AlternativeDescriptionDraft Construction Flood Mitigation Cost EstimateOther City UtilitiesTotal Cost Estimate**1Piped Conveyance\$23.3MTBDTBD2Tunneled Conveyance\$36.5MTBDTBD3Open Channel Extension\$62.4MTBDTBD4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design* Includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency	Draft Planning Level Construction Cost Estimates								
AlternativeDescriptionDraft Construction Flood Mitigation Cost Estimate (August 2017)*Other City UtilitiesTotal Cost Estimate**1Piped Conveyance\$23.3MTBDTBD2Tunneled Conveyance\$36.5MTBDTBD3Open Channel Extension\$62.4MTBDTBD4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design* Includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency		and the							
1Piped Conveyance\$23.3MTBDTBD2Tunneled Conveyance\$36.5MTBDTBD3Open Channel Extension\$62.4MTBDTBD4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design	Alte	ernative	Description	Draft Construction Flood Mitigation Cost Estimate (August 2017)*	Other City Utilities	Total Cost Estimate**			
2Tunneled Conveyance\$36.5MTBDTBD3Open Channel Extension\$62.4MTBDTBD4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design* Includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency	1		Piped Conveyance	\$23.3M	TBD	TBD			
3Open Channel Extension\$62.4MTBDTBD4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design* Includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency	2		Tunneled Conveyance	\$36.5M	TBD	TBD			
4Storage\$39.0MTBDTBDTBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final* Includes construction, materials, property buyouts, and a 35% contingency	3		Open Channel Extension	\$62.4M	TBD	TBD			
TBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design	4		Storage	\$39.0M	TBD	TBD			
		TBD = To Be Determined at time of construction and final design							
** Current estimates do not include utility relocation in streets or annual operating and maintenance costs, and assumes no contaminated soils 10	LO								



















