SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE TASK FORCE FEEDBACK ON DETAILED ALTERNATIVES

1. How important is it for VISION 2050 to encourage more infill, redevelopment, and somewhat higher density development?

![Pie chart showing 50% Very Important and 50% Somewhat Important responses.]

2. How important is it for VISION 2050 to recommend a land development pattern that reflects development trends from the past 20-25 years, including very low density development?

![Pie chart showing 25% Very Important, 50% Somewhat Important, and 25% Not Important responses.]

$n = \text{number of responses}$
3. Which type of new development would you suggest be encouraged in the recommended plan?

- Small Lot Traditional Neighborhood: 62.5%
- Medium Lot Neighborhood: 37.5%

4. Which rapid transit corridors (could be BRT or light rail) would you like included in the preliminary recommended plan?

- The ten in Alt Plan II: 50%
- The best performing five to seven in Alt Plan II: 50%

n = number of responses
5. Which commuter rail lines would you like included in the preliminary recommended plan?

- Both lines (Oconomowoc-Brookfield-Milwaukee Line and Kenosha-Racine-Milwaukee Line) plus additional lines not included in the alternatives: 37.5%
- Both lines (Oconomowoc-Brookfield-Milwaukee Line and Kenosha-Racine-Milwaukee Line): 12.5%
- Oconomowoc-Brookfield-Milwaukee Line: 12.5%
- Kenosha-Racine-Milwaukee Line: 37.5%
- None of these are important: 12.5%

6. How important is it for residents to be able to reach jobs by public transit?

- Very Important: 100%
7. How important is it to provide bicycle facilities in the Region?

- Very Important: 57%
- Somewhat Important: 29%
- Not Important: 14%

n = 7

8. How important is it to separate bicyclists from motor vehicle traffic?

- Very Important: 50%
- Somewhat Important: 50%

n = 8

n = number of responses
9. How important is it to address congestion on the Region’s freeways?

- Very Important: 87.5% (n=8)
- Somewhat Important: 12.5% (n=8)

10. Which arterial street and highway widenings should be included in the preliminary recommended plan?

- Widenings to address congestion: 75% (n=8)
- Only widenings in rural and suburban areas not served by fixed-guideway transit: 25% (n=8)
- No widenings anywhere in the Region: 0%