

## **EJTF MEMBER PREFERENCES SUMMARY POINTS ON MEETING LOGISTICS**

### **Meeting Frequency**

- Members are about evenly split on meeting four vs. six times per year.
- Four scheduled meetings plus needed additional meetings may be a possible compromise.

### **Time of Day and Duration for Meetings**

- 4:00-6:00 pm meetings remain preferred by a majority.
- But varying the times for some meetings of the EJTF may be warranted (ongoing late afternoon conflict for one member).
- Though difficult for at least one member, further exploring a luncheon meeting may be an option.
- Smaller, equally split numbers favor longer or shorter meetings.

### **Day of Week for Meetings**

- As was true in previous assessments, meetings on Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday work better for the majority (depending upon week of month).
- No day of the week will apparently be problem free.
- Rotating meeting days may be advisable.

### **Meeting Location**

- Independence *First* is appreciated by consensus for meeting location.
- More prefer meetings to remain in Milwaukee County or in a set location than to move about.

### **Sub-Regional Meetings/Alternatives**

- There is some openness to sub-regional meetings, particularly for the Racine-Kenosha area.
- This varies from support by some, to others reflectively seeing potential benefit.
- About half of the EJTF, however, prefers full regional meetings and some see diminishment with departure from that.
- Several conditions were voiced on grouping counties, bringing information back to the Task Force, and staff-led efforts constituting localized meetings.

### **Food and Refreshments**

- About equal numbers of members prefer food availability at meetings vs. not caring about it.
- More support exists for lighter refreshments than for sandwiches/meals (alternatives to be tried).
- Having something at meetings to eat/drink is either ok with or appreciated by most.

### **Audience Comments and Participation**

- More than half of EJTF members prefer that audience comments be allowed throughout meetings, and most of these expressed value regarding the public participation dynamics. Speakers addressing questions of clarification as they arise was also supported.
- However, more than half also raised concerns tied to sometimes curtailed or even disrupted Task Force discussions, the need to set time limits, and adhering to or needing to restructure agendas.
- There was a general sense regarding being systematic, but not on whether discrete agenda item(s) for public comment vs. comments on each item before or after EJTF discussion was best.