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ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Crawford called the meeting of the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee to order at 2:00 p.m. He noted that attendance will be taken by sign-in sheets.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman Crawford stated that the next item on the agenda is the solicitation of public comment. Chairman Crawford noted that the purpose of this meeting is for the Committee to consider approval of the final recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan. He stated that following approval by the Committee, the final recommended plan would first be forwarded to the Public Works Committee of the Walworth County Board of Supervisors. Following their consideration and
recommendation of Board approval, the final plan would then be forwarded to the Walworth County Board of Supervisors for their consideration and adoption. He noted that the public would have opportunity to provide comment at the meetings of the Board’s Public Works Committee and Walworth County Board of Supervisors.

Chairman Crawford stated that prior to the meeting, his office predominately received questions and concerns regarding the recommendations of the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan relating to USH 12 between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater and STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67. Mr. Yunker noted that the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan under consideration for final approval by the Committee at this meeting continues to recommend the long planned extension of the USH 12 freeway between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater. Mr. Yunker stated that the preliminary recommended jurisdictional highway system plan also recommends widening of STH 50 between North Shore Road and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year 2035 of the plan. He noted that the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) is currently conducting preliminary engineering and environmental assessment study for the reconstruction of STH 50 between IH 43 and STH 67. He added that the recommendations contained in the Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan are advisory, and that while WisDOT would consider the plan recommendations for STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67, final determination of how STH 50 would be reconstructed would be made by WisDOT at the conclusion of preliminary engineering and environmental impact study.

The following comments from the public were made:

1. Ms. Rita Siok expressed support for the Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan continuing to recommend the planned extension of the USH 12 freeway between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater, and requested that WisDOT initiate preliminary engineering as soon as possible.

2. Ms. Catherine McQueen expressed opposition to the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67 from two to four lanes based on the belief that there are alternative routes that would potentially divert enough traffic from STH 50 to eliminate the need for four lanes on this segment of STH 50. She also requested that the Committee hold another meeting to discuss and allow further public comment on the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway plan recommendations for the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year of the plan.

3. Ms. Sylvia Baker expressed gratitude to the Committee for approving the continuation of the Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan recommendation for the long-planned extension of the USH 12 freeway between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater, and opposing the widening of the existing route of USH 12 from two to four traffic lanes between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater.

4. Mr. Steve Hollenbeck stated that he is the Pastor at the East Delavan Baptist Church, and expressed opposition to the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67 from two to four lanes based on the belief that there are alternative routes that would potentially divert enough
traffic from STH 50 to eliminate the need for four lanes on this segment of STH 50, the desire to preserve the rural character along STH 50, the potential impacts to businesses and residences along STH 50, the loss of tax base due to acquisition of businesses and residences along STH 50, and the belief that traffic volumes are not expected to increase with some developments not advancing, such as the Jackson Creek (Sho-Deen) development. He also requested that the Committee hold another meeting to discuss and allow further public comment on the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway plan recommendations for the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year of the plan.

5. Mr. Fritz Kreiss expressed opposition to the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67 from two to four lanes based on potential impacts to Delavan Lake and the local economy.

6. Mr. Larry Malsch expressed opposition to the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) from two to four lanes, and requested that the Committee not take action on any recommendations for this section of STH 50 at this meeting.

7. Ms. Eileen Weyrauch requested that the Committee hold another meeting to discuss and allow further public comment on the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway plan recommendations for the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67. She questioned the notice provided for the Committee meeting, and the perception that the public meeting held for the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan was focused on USH 12.

8. Ms. Jioti Gill expressed opposition to the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67 from two to four lanes based on the effect on businesses and Delavan Lake, the desire to preserve the small town character of STH 50, the potential effect on tourism, and the potential increase in traffic noise.

There being no other public comment, Chairman Crawford asked the WisDOT representative for an update of the WisDOT preliminary engineering study of STH 50 between IH 43 and STH 67. Mr. Longtin responded that WisDOT staff is currently conducting a preliminary engineering and environmental impact study for the reconstruction of STH 50 between IH 43 and STH 67. He noted that during preliminary engineering and environmental impact study, WisDOT would analyze alternative needed improvements to this segment of STH 50 in greater detail, and that WisDOT would work with the local municipalities and residents in developing the final recommended improvement for STH 50 between IH 43 and STH 67. He suggested that residents interested in the project attend the next WisDOT public meeting for the project tentatively scheduled for January 2010.

Responding to an inquiry by Ms. Burwell, Mr. Longtin stated that the project manager for the STH 50 project is aware of the concerns residents have with respect to the STH 50 reconstruction project between IH 43 and STH 67. Ms. Burwell then asked whether WisDOT staff would be available to answer questions at a possible subsequent committee meeting on their STH 50 reconstruction project between IH 43 and STH 67. Mr. Longtin responded that someone from WisDOT staff would be present at such a meeting, but WisDOT would not be prepared to discuss in detail the alternatives being analyzed and
considered under preliminary engineering and environmental impact study by WisDOT for the reconstruction of STH 50 between IH 43 and STH 67 until the planned public meeting for the project tentatively scheduled for January 2011.

Ms. Burwell motioned that the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee hold another meeting to consider whether the plan should retain the planned widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year 2035 of the plan. Mr. Jordan seconded the motion, and Chairman Crawford asked the Committee for discussion and comments regarding the motion.

1. Mr. Jordan asked about the function of STH 50 in the State highway system. Mr. Yunker responded that STH 50 together with STH 11 provide an east-west facility in southern Wisconsin linking IH 94 and IH 90, as well as providing connections across all of southern Wisconsin.

2. Mr. Yunker added that the county jurisdictional plan recommends four traffic lanes on STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) based on the current year 2006 average weekday traffic volumes exceeding the design capacity of the existing two traffic lane facility. He added that an increase in traffic volume would occur regardless of whether STH 50 would be widened to four traffic lanes. He noted that the current and forecast level of traffic on STH 50 would make it difficult for vehicles to enter STH 50 at driveways and cross-streets.

3. Ms. Burwell stated that she recognizes that current and future traffic volumes on STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) would potentially require the need for four traffic lanes, but believes that the plan should consider alternatives to the widening of STH 50 to four traffic lanes. Mr. Yunker noted that the Committee could add to the final plan a recommendation that WisDOT consider alternative routes of STH 50 having the potential to eliminate the need to widen STH 50, and consider a narrower cross-section for the widening of STH 50 that would minimize the impact to adjacent residences and businesses. Ms. Burwell expressed opposition to any widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) based on the potential effect on Delavan Lake from the increased salt needed for maintaining the roadway in winter. She noted that chloride levels measured in Delavan Lake increased over 400 percent over the last 50 years. She added that Federal, State, and local funds have been spent to preserve Delavan Lake.

4. Mr. Duwe stated that should the Committee defer approval of the final recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan to a subsequent Committee meeting, the final plan may not be completed and approved by the Walworth County Board of Supervisors in time for it to be considered by WisDOT during preliminary engineering and environmental impact study for the STH 50 reconstruction project between IH 43 and STH 67.

5. Responding to an inquiry by Ms. Russell, Ms. Burwell responded that she believed diverting STH 50 traffic over STH 67 and Mound Road could potentially divert enough traffic to eliminate the need for four traffic lanes on STH 50 between CTH F (north) and STH 67. Mr. Yunker stated that Commission staff had analyzed that particular route, as documented in
Attachment A to the minutes of the November 4, 2009, Committee meeting, and determined that this alternative route would not be expected to divert enough vehicles travelling to the City of Delavan area from the Walworth/Fontana on Geneva Lake area traffic from STH 50 to eliminate the need for the provision of four traffic lanes on STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south). He added that because of the additional travel length and time around the north shore of Delavan Lake, any such diversion would be unlikely to attract enough traffic to eliminate the need for four traffic lanes on STH 50. Ms. Burwell then suggested that use of the alternative route should be required for truck traffic, and perhaps this restriction would provide sufficient relief to STH 50. Mr. Yunker stated that truck traffic cannot be restricted on state highways. He added that in order to restrict trucks from utilizing STH 50, it would have to be transferred to local or county jurisdiction. Mr. Monroe questioned diverting traffic from STH 50 based on the potential affect to businesses located along the existing route of STH 50.

There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Crawford asked for the motion to be put to a vote. The motion for the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee to hold another meeting to consider whether the plan should retain the planned widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year of the plan was carried unanimously by the Committee.

Responding to an inquiry by Mr. Peter Spaulding, Mr. Yunker stated that the table comparing the costs and impacts of the two alternative improvements to USH 12 between the Cities of Elkhorn and Whitewater, as presented in the newsletter for the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan, was revised to include a separate category identifying the number of potentially disruptions to residences, businesses and institutions by each alternative improvement. He noted that a disruption was defined as any residential unit, or commercial or institutional lot located along or adjacent to each alternative improvement.

[Secretary’s Note: Attachment A to these minutes contains a copy of two signed petitions received by Commission staff during the public comment period of the meeting.]

APPROVAL OF THE NOVEMBER 4, 2009, MINUTES

Chairman Crawford asked Mr. Yunker to briefly review the minutes for the previous meeting of the Committee held on November 4, 2009. Following Mr. Yunker’s review, Ms. Fischer noted that on page 3 in the second paragraph, the highway listed as “IH 43” should be “CTH D” instead. Chairman Crawford stated the minutes would be corrected. There being no further discussion, the minutes were approved as amended on a motion by Mr. Shimer, seconded by Mr. Monroe, and carried unanimously by Committee.

REVIEW OF SEWRPC DOCUMENT, “RECORD OF PUBLIC COMMENTS, A JURISDICTIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PLAN FOR WALWORTH COUNTY: 2035”

Chairman Crawford asked Mr. Yunker to briefly present SEWRPC Document, “Record of Public Comments, A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County: 2035”. Mr. Yunker noted that this document contains the oral and written comments received on the preliminary recommended year 2035 jurisdictional highway system plan, newspaper articles concerning the jurisdictional highway
system plan, materials announcing the public information meeting and hearing, summary materials distributed at the meeting, and minutes from past meetings of the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee where public comment was given. Mr. Yunker noted that no action on this document was needed by the Committee.

**REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF ADDITIONAL SECTIONS OF CHAPTER IV, “APPLICATION OF JURISDICTIONAL CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA” OF SEWRPC PLANNING REPORT NO.15 (2ND EDITION), A JURISDICTIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PLAN FOR WALWORTH COUNTY**

Chairman Crawford asked Mr. Yunker to review with the Committee the preliminary draft of additional sections of Chapter IV, “Application of Jurisdictional Classification Criteria to Develop Jurisdictional Systems” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, *A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County*. Mr. Yunker noted that the first additional section to Chapter IV summarizes the public comment on the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan. He noted that the second section would summarize the Committee’s action on the final year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan, and that the section was written assuming Committee approval of the preliminary recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan as the final plan, including retaining the five plan recommendations from the preliminary plan on which the Commission staff had received public and local municipality comment. He stated that by approving this chapter as written, the Committee would also be approving to retain these recommendations in the final jurisdictional highway system plan. Mr. Yunker noted, however, that based on the Committee’s earlier action, this section would be revised to note the Committee decision for further analysis and discussion on the two plan recommendations relating to STH 50—the planned widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year of the plan.

There being no further discussion, Ms. Russell made a motion to approve the preliminary draft of additional sections of Chapter IV, “Application of Jurisdictional Classification Criteria to Develop Jurisdictional Systems” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, *A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County*, including revisions noting the Committee’s decision to defer action on, and to hold a subsequent meeting to further discuss, the planned widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) from two to four traffic lanes and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future widening of the facility with additional lanes beyond the design year 2035 of the plan. Mr. Jordan seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously by the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee.

**REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY DRAFT CHAPTER V, “RECOMMENDED WALWORTH COUNTY JURISDICTIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PLAN” OF SEWRPC PLANNING REPORT NO.15 (2ND EDITION), A JURISDICTIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PLAN FOR WALWORTH COUNTY**

Chairman Crawford asked Mr. Yunker to review with the Committee the preliminary draft of Chapter V, “Recommended Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway System Plan” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, *A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County*. Mr. Yunker noted the recommended plan chapter contains the final recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan, including the capacity improvements and the jurisdictional responsibility transfers recommended in that plan. Mr. Yunker noted that the chapter was written assuming the Committee would
approve the final recommended year 2035 Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan with no changes to the preliminary recommended plan, including retaining the five plan recommendations from the preliminary plan that the Commission staff had received public comment on, as listed on the first page of the draft chapter. Mr. Yunker noted that this chapter would be revised should the Committee agree to not retain the plan recommendations for the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future improvement of the facility beyond the design year of the plan that the Committee had decided would be discussed and considered at a subsequent meeting.

There being no further discussion, Mr. Hoffmann made a motion to approve the preliminary draft of Chapter V, “Recommended Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway System Plan” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County, excluding the recommendations for the widening of STH 50 between CTH F (north) and CTH F (south) from two to four traffic lanes and the reservation of right-of-way along STH 50 between CTH F (south) and STH 67 to accommodate possible future widening of the facility with additional lanes beyond the design year 2035 of the plan which would be further discussed and considered by the Committee for inclusion in the final plan at a subsequent meeting. Mr. Mangold seconded the motion, and the following discussion occurred:

Mr. Rendenius stated that while he would be willing to vote to approve the entire Walworth County jurisdictional highway system plan, the Town of Richmond remains opposed to the plan’s recommendation of the jurisdictional transfer of CTH M between STH 89 and CTH P to local jurisdiction.

There being no further discussion the motion, Chairman Crawford asked for the motion to be put to a vote. Mr. Mangold seconded. The motion was passed unanimously by the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee.

REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY DRAFT CHAPTER VI, “SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS” OF SEWRPC PLANNING REPORT NO.15 (2ND EDITION), A JURISDICTIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PLAN FOR WALWORTH COUNTY

Chairman Crawford asked Mr. Yunker to review with the Committee the preliminary draft of Chapter VI, “Summary and Conclusions” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County. Mr. Yunker noted that this chapter provides a summary of the Walworth County jurisdictional highway system planning effort.

There being no further discussion, Mr. Hoffmann made a motion to approve the preliminary draft of Chapter VI, “Summary and Conclusions” of SEWRPC Planning Report No.15, 2nd Edition, A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Walworth County. Mr. Mangold seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously by the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway Planning Committee.

DETERMINATION OF NEXT MEETING DATE AND LOCATION

Following discussion by the Committee, the next meeting of the Committee was scheduled for October 13, 2010, at 5:30 p.m., within the Auditorium in the Walworth County Health and Human Services Center.
ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 3:05 p.m. on a motion by Mr. Monroe, seconded by Mr. Jordan, and carried unanimously by the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth R. Yunker
Secretary
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As a citizen of the Delavan Township, I am disappointed that the Walworth County Jurisdictional Highway System Planning Committee did not give a 30 day notice for the public hearing prior to the meeting Wednesday, August 11, 2010 and that the meeting was scheduled for 2:00 pm. I ask that the WCHSC please consider rescheduling a meeting with a full 30 day notice and that it be scheduled at a more convenient time in the evening when I can attend after getting off work.

HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION
The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2015 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

NAME ADDRESS DATE
Dale Klade N6200 CTY P Delavan 5-25-10
Bill Runow 905 Summer St 5-25-10
Bill Holtemeyer 20736 72nd St Delavan 5-25-10
Bill Holtemeyer 20736 72nd St Delavan 5-25-10
Brian Guffey 9780 Hwy 5 Delavan 5-25-10
Allie Gump 12402 233 Towner W 5-25-10
Cutty Lash 5722 Orangeberry Rd Delavan 5-25-10
Russ Larson 13555 Whitehall Dr 5-25-10
Clifford Costello 507 Center Dr 5-25-10
Evans Skeyes 10713 Waukesha Blvd 5-25-10
John Roper 20727 72nd St Delavan 5-25-10
Frank Loewen 12007 Packer Dr 5-25-10
Joe Huber 5015 E. Elizabeth St, Delavan 5-25-10
Gary Tomaszewski 2234 W. County Rd O, Delavan 5-25-10
Donna Rockoff 58340 Hwy 83, Delavan 5-25-10
Frank Wolf 124 Pleasant St, Sharon 5-25-10
Kenneth Beck Racine, WI 5-25-10

HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION
The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2015 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

NAME ADDRESS DATE
Jared Blank 2-7048 Center Rd Delavan 5-25-10
Tom Roth 18348 3rd Ave Delavan 5-25-10
Pedro Argando 3165 Prairie Rd Delavan 5-25-10
Gary Bublitz 9197 Country Rd Delavan 5-25-10
Steve Strieff 387 Jefferson St Whitewater 5-25-10
Dee Sarge 11763 Kid Rd Burlington 5-25-10
Bill Vickers 10400 Clinton St Delavan 5-25-10
John Norton 5007 Allen Ave Delavan, WI 5-25-10
Paul Vorderman 13720 Wisconsin Pk Delavan 5-25-10
### HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEVEN KLEINER</td>
<td>4372 Orange St</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Hamn</td>
<td>2665 US Hwy 51</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Gandrud</td>
<td>1152 Stage Rd</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell S. Coutts</td>
<td>1541 West Dundee</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott J. Coleman</td>
<td>331 N. 7th St</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1965 S. 9th St</td>
<td>5-26-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janis York</td>
<td>423 Oak Ridge</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. Roux</td>
<td>423 Oak Ridge</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1701 W. Lake St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hertze</td>
<td>1561 N. 9th Ct</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Hertze</td>
<td>1830 Sugar Hill Rd</td>
<td>Aug 2, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G. A. Hamn</td>
<td>331 N. 7th St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1965 S. 9th St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell S. Coutts</td>
<td>1541 West Dundee</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. Roux</td>
<td>423 Oak Ridge</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1701 W. Lake St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hertze</td>
<td>1561 N. 9th Ct</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Hertze</td>
<td>1830 Sugar Hill Rd</td>
<td>Aug 2, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G. A. Hamn</td>
<td>331 N. 7th St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1965 S. 9th St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell S. Coutts</td>
<td>1541 West Dundee</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. Roux</td>
<td>423 Oak Ridge</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Betty Mauny</td>
<td>1701 W. Lake St</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hertze</td>
<td>1561 N. 9th Ct</td>
<td>5-27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Hertze</td>
<td>1830 Sugar Hill Rd</td>
<td>Aug 2, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Sign on other side*
**HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION**

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the effect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beth E. Bestel</td>
<td>73 Holly Dr, Waukesha</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Sheil</td>
<td>951 Lincoln Dr, LG</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Miller</td>
<td>54998 Pion Rd, DLV</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Weeks</td>
<td>2900 Wabasso Ln</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Woods</td>
<td>5120 Sugar Ridge</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean James</td>
<td>1704 Orange St</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice Rebus</td>
<td>1597 Ely St</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Jordan</td>
<td>3216 S Lakeshore Dr</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION**

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the effect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary Schreiber</td>
<td>18 Waukesha W1B</td>
<td>6-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Samson</td>
<td>201 St. Louis</td>
<td>6-2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan McLean</td>
<td>5533 Edgewater CT</td>
<td>6-2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Allen</td>
<td>1578 Waukesha</td>
<td>6-2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janine Armstrong</td>
<td>400 First St</td>
<td>6-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delane Myers</td>
<td>1407 Waukesha Ave</td>
<td>6-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John England</td>
<td>1201 Waukesha Ave</td>
<td>6-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Lauer</td>
<td>1250 Oak Grove Rd</td>
<td>6-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Lauer</td>
<td>111 Heritage Ln</td>
<td>6-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jones</td>
<td>5165 S Lakeshore Dr</td>
<td>6-9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Bilder</td>
<td>2537 Miller St</td>
<td>6/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Zhang</td>
<td>202 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrina</td>
<td>123 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary McPherson</td>
<td>28 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Lewis</td>
<td>32 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Carlson</td>
<td>5551 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Allen</td>
<td>99 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brown</td>
<td>200 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Johnson</td>
<td>33 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Jackson</td>
<td>5555 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td>88 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Williams</td>
<td>222 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Anderson</td>
<td>444 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION**

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of sal chlorate on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colin Gille</td>
<td>218 Randal Dr</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jones</td>
<td>3333 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Smith</td>
<td>5555 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Johnson</td>
<td>4444 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Carlson</td>
<td>5555 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brown</td>
<td>2222 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Williams</td>
<td>1111 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Anderson</td>
<td>4444 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Martin</td>
<td>5555 Mill St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**HIGHWAY 50 EXPANSION PETITION**

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the affect of sal chlorate on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Musgrove</td>
<td>5933 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Musgrove</td>
<td>1111 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Musgrove</td>
<td>2222 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Carlson</td>
<td>5555 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brown</td>
<td>2222 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Williams</td>
<td>1111 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Anderson</td>
<td>4444 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Martin</td>
<td>5555 Main St</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the effect of salicylate on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect it. We consider the different route for a four-lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

NAME | ADDRESS | DATE
--- | --- | ---
Julie Audron | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Mark Koontz | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Laurel Austin | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Tim Heitbrink | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Tricia J. Jelinek | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10

The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the effect of salicylate on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect it. We consider the different route for a four-lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

NAME | ADDRESS | DATE
--- | --- | ---
John E. Johnson | 3035 E. Grove St, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
David Helvig | 3035 E. Grove St, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
David Helvig | 3035 E. Grove St, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
David Helvig | 3035 E. Grove St, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
Kevin Schuerger | 204 Polk Rd, Walworth, WI 53184 | 6/8/10
The undersigned object to the present proposal in the Walworth County Highway System 2035 proposed plan. The lack of available land will require disruption to businesses and residential units. Recent studies have raised concerns about the effect of salt/chloride on lakes, streams and rivers. Taxpayers have spent a considerable amount of money to maintain Delavan Lake and we must protect the lake. Please consider a different route for a four lane highway expansion of Highway 50.

NAME | ADDRESS | DATE
--- | --- | ---
Judy Lapp | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Sue Jennissen | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Linda Purnell | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Candice Lape | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Marcia Lape | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Nancy Vosburg | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10
Dennis Lape | 4000 Pine St | 6/21/10
John Lape | 265 Pine Ave | 6/21/10

Las personas afirmadas abajo oponen el proyecto presente en el plan propuesto de Walworth County Highway System 2035. La falta de tierra disponible requerirá interrupción a los negocios y las unidades residenciales. Los estudios recientes han creado preocupaciones acerca del efecto de sal y cloro en lagos, arroyos y los ríos. Los contribuyentes han gastado una cantidad considerable de dinero para mantener el Lago de Delavan y nosotros debemos proteger el lago. Consideré por favor una ruta diferente para la ampliación de cuatro carriles para Highway 50.