

**MINUTES**

**SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

**QUARTERLY MEETING**

Racine County Auditorium  
Ives Grove Office Complex  
14200 Washington Avenue (STH 20 and IH 94)  
Sturtevant, Wisconsin

September 13, 2006

3:00 p.m.

Present:

Excused:

Commissioners:

Thomas H. Buestrin, Chairman  
William R. Drew, Vice-Chairman  
Anthony F. Balestrieri  
Charlene S. Brady  
Anita M. Faraone  
Adelene Greene  
Richard A. Hansen  
Kenneth C. Herro  
Gregory L. Holden  
Lee Holloway  
Michael J. Miklasevich  
Allen L. Morrison  
James E. Moyer  
Daniel S. Schmidt  
Linda J. Seemeyer  
David L. Stroik  
Paul G. Vrakas  
Gustav W. Wirth, Jr.

Leon T. Dreger  
Anselmo Villarreal

Absent:

Staff:

Philip C. Evenson  
Loretta Watson

Executive Director  
Executive Secretary

Guests:

William L. McReynolds  
Dave Cole  
Laurie Faraone

County Executive, Racine County  
WGTD Radio

## **ROLL CALL AND WELCOME**

Chairman Buestrin called the 214<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Commission to order at 3:00 p.m. Roll call was taken and a quorum declared present. Mr. Evenson indicated for the record that Commissioners Dreger and Villarreal had asked to be excused.

## **WELCOME**

Chairman Buestrin recognized Racine County Executive, William McReynolds, for welcoming remarks. Mr. McReynolds indicated that it was always a pleasure to have the Commission meet in Racine County. Racine County and its communities very much appreciate the work that the Commission staff does. That work is becoming more important given the location of Racine County between the growing Chicago and Milwaukee markets. He complimented the Commission on its budget request for next year and indicated that Racine County leadership looks forward to continuing to work with the Commission in the months and years ahead in accommodating the growth that is coming.

Chairman Buestrin thanked Mr. McReynolds for his remarks.

## **INTRODUCTION AND SEATING OF NEW COMMISSIONER**

Chairman Buestrin noted that Kenosha County had made a new appointment to the Commission and that the seating of that new Commissioner was in order at this meeting. He then recognized Commissioner Greene and asked her to introduce the newest Kenosha County Commissioner.

Ms. Greene said that it was her pleasure to introduce Ms. Anita M. Faraone to the Commission as the newest Commissioner representing Kenosha County. Ms. Faraone is a County Board Supervisor from the City of Kenosha and was elected to the Kenosha County Board in April 2002. She serves on the County Board's Administration and Finance Committees, has served on the City of Kenosha Plan Commission and Library Board, and is an active volunteer in many community activities. With her sister, Ms. Faraone owns and operates Faraone Realty and A&L Tax Service in Kenosha.

It was then moved by Ms. Greene, seconded by Mr. Balestrieri, and carried unanimously to formally seat Ms. Faraone, representing Kenosha County. On behalf of the entire Commission, Mr. Buestrin welcomed Ms. Faraone.

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JUNE 21, 2006, MEETING**

On a motion by Mr. Wirth, seconded by Mr. Drew, and carried unanimously, the minutes of the Annual Meeting of June 21, 2006, were approved as published.

## **TREASURER'S REPORT**

Chairman Buestrin asked Mr. Hansen to present the Treasurer's report. Mr. Hansen noted that a copy of the report had been provided to all Commissioners for review at the meeting. In commenting on the report, he called attention to the following items:

1. Based upon disbursements through August 20, 2006, it is projected that total disbursements for the year will approximate \$8.45 million. Revenues are projected at \$8.50 million.

2. The total amount of funds held by the Commission in the various operating, liability, and reserve accounts approximates \$2.68 million. The various accounts are identified on the second page of the report.
3. As of August 20, 2006, the Commission had in investments and cash on hand about \$3.32 million. About \$2.47 million are invested in the State of Wisconsin Local Government Pooled Investment Fund. As of August 20, 2006, that fund was yielding 5.13 percent interest. Other funds are held in savings and checking accounts at the Johnson Bank and in certificates of deposits at several banks throughout the Region.

There being no discussion, on a motion by Mr. Vrakas, seconded by Ms. Greene, and carried unanimously, the Treasurer's Report for the period ending August 20, 2006, was approved (copy attached to Official Minutes).

## **STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS**

### **Planning and Research Committee**

Noting that the Planning and Research Committee had met once since the Commission Annual meeting on June 21, 2006, Committee Chairman Schmidt reported the following items:

On August 30, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Reviewed and acted to recommend to the Commission for adoption an amendment to the regional water quality management plan pertaining to the sanitary sewer service area for the Town of Bristol. That amendment, scheduled for action later in this meeting, involves the addition of about 109 acres of land to the planned service area, of which about 35 acres are environmentally significant and will not be developed. The proposed amendment is consistent with neighborhood development plans prepared and adopted by the Town of Bristol, which plans refine and detail the adopted regional land use plan.
2. Reviewed and acted to recommend to the Commission for adoption an amendment to the regional water quality management plan pertaining to the sanitary sewer service area for the City of Hartford. That amendment, scheduled for action later in this meeting, involves the addition of two areas to the planned service area. Together, these two areas encompass about 634 acres, of which about 130 acres are environmentally significant and will not be developed. The proposed amendment is consistent with neighborhood development plans prepared and adopted by the City of Hartford, which plans refine and detail the adopted regional land use plan.
3. Reviewed a possible amendment to the regional water quality management plan that would effectively create a new sanitary sewer service area in the Village of Wales and connect that area to the City of Waukesha sewerage system. The requested amendment would modify the presently adopted regional plan which calls for treatment of sewage from the Village of Wales at the Dela-Hart wastewater treatment facility located in the City of Delafield. The amendment was recommended to the Commission for adoption subject to the Commission receiving a report today on the results of a public hearing, on any comments that might be forthcoming from the Dela-Hart wastewater treatment facility governing board, and on any action taken by the Common Council of the City of Waukesha.
4. Reviewed and approved for publication Chapter 6 of SEWRPC Planning Report No. 50, **A Regional Water Quality Management Plan Update for the Greater Milwaukee Watersheds**. This chapter sets forth information relative to the legal framework that is in place to guide and facilitate water quality plan preparation and implementation.

5. Reviewed and approved for publication certain remaining materials attendant to the proposed regional wireless telecommunications plan. That new plan has been recommended by the Committee to the Commission for adoption, an action that is scheduled for later in today's meeting.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Miklasevich, seconded by Mr. Moyer, and carried unanimously, the Planning and Research Committee report was approved.

#### **Administrative Committee**

Noting that the Administrative Committee had met three times since the Commission Annual meeting on June 21, 2006, Committee Chairman Vrakas reported the following items:

On June 29, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Reviewed and approved the Commission disbursements for two financial reporting periods: Year 2006 Nos. 11 and 12, extending over the period May 15, 2006, to June 11, 2006.
2. Reviewed the Statement of Projected Revenues and Expenditures for the financial reporting period ending June 11, 2006. With nearly one-half of the year completed, the projections indicated a year end surplus of about \$110,000.
3. Authorized the Commission's Business Manager to proceed to renew comprehensive business insurance coverage with the West Bend Mutual Insurance Company for the year beginning on July 28, 2006, supplementing that coverage with errors and omissions and employment practices liability insurance to be obtained from the Illinois National Insurance Company.
4. Received and approved a report that an Ad Hoc Committee, consisting of Commissioners Buestrin, Schmidt, and Vrakas and the Executive Director, had proposed annual salary adjustments in the amount of about 3.2 percent of base salaries. Such adjustments were made on the basis of merit.
5. Received a report that the Arbitrage Rebate Company, a division of the Foley & Lardner law firm, has made a legal determination that there is no arbitrage rebate liability on the part of the Commission relative to the industrial development revenue bonds issued by the City of Pewaukee in connection with the acquisition of the Rockwood Drive building five years ago.

On July 27, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Reviewed and approved the Commission disbursements for two financial reporting periods: Year 2006 Nos. 13 and 14, extending over the period June 12, 2006, to July 9, 2006.
2. Reviewed the Statement of Projected Revenues and Expenditures for the financial reporting period ending July 9, 2006. With slightly over one-half of the year completed, the projections indicated a year end surplus of about \$140,000.
3. Received a report that both the Wisconsin Department of Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have accepted the Commission's 2005 single audit report and that there are no issues to be resolved.
4. Received a report that the Commission's comprehensive business insurance package had been renewed at a total annual premium cost of about \$53,400. The new package includes for the first time \$1 million in coverage attendant to employment practices and errors and omissions liabilities.

To keep total premium costs to a minimum, the deductible on property, general liability, inland marine, and theft coverages was raised from \$1,000 to \$5,000.

On August 31, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Reviewed and approved the Commission disbursements for three financial reporting periods: Year 2006 Nos. 15, 16 and 17, extending over the period July 10, 2006, to August 20, 2006.
2. Reviewed the Statement of Projected Revenues and Expenditures for the financial reporting period ending August 20, 2006. With about 65 percent of the year completed, the projections indicated a year end surplus of about \$53,000.
3. Received a report that the trustee for the Rockwood Drive Building bond transaction activity, JP Morgan Chase and Company, has sold its corporate trust business to The Bank of New York. Consequently, the Commission will be dealing with a Bank of New York relationship manager in the years ahead.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Ms. Greene, seconded by Mr. Schmidt, and carried unanimously, the Administrative Committee report was approved.

#### **Executive Committee**

Noting that the Executive Committee had met three times since the Commission Annual meeting on June 21, 2006, Chairman Buestrin reported the following items:

On June 29, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Received and approved a report from the Administrative Committee relative to its meeting that day.
2. Reviewed and approved one contract for planning and related work. The details pertaining to that contract are set forth in a table included with the agenda for this meeting.
3. Recommended approval of six applications for Federal grants or loans as being in conformance or not in conflict with the regional plans prepared by the Commission. The details pertaining to those applications are set forth in a table also included with the agenda for this meeting.
4. Received a report that Kenosha County had become the fifth county in the Region to contract with the Commission to carry out the County Surveyor function.
5. Received a report that efforts continue to work with Milwaukee County to develop a program that would facilitate redevelopment activities in the 30<sup>th</sup> Street industrial corridor in the City of Milwaukee.
6. Received a report that Milwaukee County has requested the Commission to alter the allocation formula presently used in connection with the distribution of Federal Transit Administration funds provided to the Milwaukee urbanized area. Currently, those funds are distributed to Ozaukee, Milwaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties based upon a formula that takes into account population, population density, and measures of transit services provided.
7. Received a report that the Federal Highway and Federal Transit Administrations have formally made a finding that the Commission's new 2035 regional transportation plan and companion air quality conformity analyses meet all Federal planning and related air quality requirements. This finding is

valid for four years.

On July 27, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Received and approved a report from the Administrative Committee relative to its meeting that day.
2. Reviewed and approved two contracts for planning and related work. The details pertaining to these contracts are set forth in a table included with the agenda for this meeting.
3. Recommended approval of four applications for Federal grants or loans as being in conformance or not in conflict with the regional plans prepared by the Commission. The details pertaining to those applications are set forth in a table also included with the agenda for this meeting.
4. Received a report that beginning in 2007, the Federal Transit Administration funds allocated to the Milwaukee urbanized area will be distributed to the transit operators based entirely upon criteria related to transit services provided. This will result in Milwaukee County gaining about \$867,000 in Federal funds. While these Federal funds will be lost to the transit operators in Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties, the way in which State transit monies are distributed by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation will result in all but about \$100,000 of that collective loss made up by additional State funds. It was the consensus of the Committee that the Commission staff position in this matter was sound and defensible and the staff was directed to communicate this determination to the county executives and county administrators concerned so that this change can be taken into account as 2007 budgets are prepared.
5. Received a report that work on the regional wireless telecommunications plan is nearing completion and that the Commission is beginning to receive requests from counties and communities relative to refining and detailing Commission's recommendations in subareas in the Region. A briefing on the wireless telecommunications plan and attendant activities is scheduled for later in this meeting.
6. Received a report that the Commission staff is working with representatives of low-income and minority groups and disabled individuals in an effort to identify ways in which the views and concerns of such individuals and groups can be better taken into account in the Commission's regional planning activities. This group likely will request the Commission to consider establishing an Environmental Justice Task Force for this purpose.
7. Received a report that the staff had been asked to brief State Senator Neal Kedzie and staff of the Wisconsin Legislative Council on Commission water supply planning activities. Senator Kedzie is chairing a newly established Legislative Council Study Committee charged with drafting legislation to implement the agreements reached last year by the Governors of the Great Lakes States relative to water use issues. The briefing was given on August 1.
8. Received a report that the Commission staff had met with representatives from the Pittsburgh-based Regional Water Management Task Force for the purpose of briefing those individuals on the Commission's water resources planning work and the Commission's approach to regional planning operations. The Pittsburgh group had selected the Commission for study as an outstanding example of water resources planning in the United States.

On August 31, 2006, the Committee took the following actions:

1. Received and approved a report from the Administrative Committee relative to its meeting that day.

2. Reviewed and approved three contracts for planning and related work. The details pertaining to these contracts are also set forth in a table included with the agenda for this meeting.
3. Recommended approval of four applications for Federal grants or loans as being in conformance or not in conflict with the regional plans prepared by the Commission. The details pertaining to those applications are also set forth in a table included with the agenda for this meeting.
4. Approved two sets of amendments to the 2005-2007 Regional Transportation Improvement Program. These amendments make eligible for Federal funding a total of eight projects that involve highway improvement and reconstruction, transit service, and automobile purchase activities.
5. Received a report that Allen Morrison had been reappointed by the Walworth County Board of Supervisors to the Commission for a six-year term.
6. Received a report that Robert Brooks, Chairman of the Ozaukee County Board of Supervisors, has submitted his resignation from the Commission to the County Board citing the press of other duties and obligations that prevent him from fulfilling his Commission responsibilities in the manner he would like to.

There being no discussion, on a motion by Mr. Drew seconded by Mr. Herro, and carried unanimously, the Executive Committee report was approved.

#### **ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO REGIONAL WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN**

##### **Village of Grafton Sewer Service Area (Resolution No. 2006-14)**

Chairman Buestrin asked the Commission to consider Resolution No. 2005-14, noting that the Resolution would revise the Village of Grafton sewer service area. He asked Mr. Schmidt to present the resolution.

Mr. Schmidt noted that all Commissioners had received for review prior to the meeting a copy of Resolution No. 2006-14, together with a copy of SEWRPC staff memorandum dated September 2006 documenting the proposed amendment. He recalled that the proposed amendment had been reviewed by the Planning and Research Committee at a meeting held on May 30, 2006. The Committee had recommended approval of the proposed amendment subject to positive action on the amendment by the Village Board of Grafton. The Commission has been informed that the Grafton Village Board did act to adopt the amendment on August 7, 2006. Accordingly, the amendment is now ready for action by the full Commission as recommended by the Planning and Research Committee.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Mr. Wirth, and carried unanimously, Resolution No. 2006-14 was approved (copy of Resolution No. 2006-14) attached to Official Minutes).

##### **Town of Bristol Sewer Service Area Plan (Resolution No. 2006-15)**

Chairman Buestrin asked the Commission to consider Resolution No. 2006-15, noting that the Resolution would revise the Town of Bristol sewer service area plan. He asked Mr. Schmidt to present the resolution.

Mr. Schmidt noted that all Commissioners had received for review prior to the meeting a copy of Resolution No. 2006-15, together with a copy of a SEWRPC staff memorandum dated September 2006 documenting the proposed amendment. He recalled that the proposed amendment had been reviewed by the Planning and Research Committee at its meeting held on August 30, 2006, and was recommended for adoption by the Committee.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Mr. Miklasevich, and carried unanimously, Resolution No. 2006-15 was approved (copy of Resolution No. 2006-15 attached to Official Minutes).

**City of Hartford Sewer Service Area Plan** (Resolution No. 2006-16)

Chairman Buestrin asked the Commission to consider Resolution No. 2006-16, noting that the Resolution would revise the City of Hartford sewer service area plan. He asked Mr. Schmidt to present the resolution.

Mr. Schmidt noted that all Commissioners had received for review prior to the meeting a copy of Resolution No. 2006-16, together with a copy of a SEWRPC staff memorandum dated September 2006 documenting the proposed amendment. He recalled that the proposed amendment had been reviewed by the Planning and Research Committee at its meeting held on August 30, 2006, and was recommended for adoption by the Committee.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Mr. Moyer, and carried unanimously, Resolution No. 2006-16 was approved (copy of Resolution No. 2006-16 attached to Official Minutes).

**City of Waukesha Sewer Service Area Plan (Village of Wales)** (Resolution No. 2006-17)

Chairman Buestrin asked the Commission to consider Resolution No. 2006-17, noting that the Resolution would revise the City of Waukesha sewer service area plan. He asked Mr. Schmidt to present the resolution.

Mr. Schmidt noted that all Commissioners had received for review prior to the meeting a copy of Resolution No. 2006-17, together with a copy of a SEWRPC staff memorandum dated September 2006 documenting the proposed amendment. He recalled that the proposed amendment had been reviewed by the Planning and Research Committee at its meeting held on August 30, 2006, and was recommended for adoption by the Committee subject to a staff report relative to actions taken by the Common Council of the City of Waukesha and the governing board of the Dela-Hart wastewater treatment facility.

Mr. Evenson noted that the moving party in this matter was the Village of Wales, the Village seeking to amend the regional water quality management plan to create a new planned sewer service area in the Village and to connect that area via a conveyance system to the City of Waukesha wastewater treatment facility rather than the Dela-Hart wastewater treatment facility as presently recommended in the plan. Mr. Evenson reported that a public hearing on this proposal was held on August 31, 2006, before the Board of Public Works of the City of Waukesha. There was no opposition to the structural change in the regional plan as respects the conveyance of wastewater to the Waukesha system. He reported that the Common Council of the City of Waukesha had formally approved the plan amendment on September, 5, 2006, and had authorized that contractual negotiations between the Village of Wales and the City of Waukesha be undertaken to effect the subject proposal. He also reported that the governing board of the Dela-Hart wastewater treatment facility, meeting on September 12, 2006, had made a finding that it had no objection to the proposed structural change in the adopted regional plan. Accordingly, Mr. Evenson concluded, the matter is ready for action by the full Commission.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Mr. Vrakas, and carried unanimously, Resolution No. 2006-17 was approved (copy of Resolution No. 2006-17 attached to Official Minutes).

**Town of Salem Sewer Service Area Plan** (Resolution No. 2006-18)

Chairman Buestrin asked the Commission to consider Resolution No. 2006-18, noting that the Resolution would revise the Town of Salem sewer service area plan. He asked Mr. Schmidt to present the resolution.

Mr. Schmidt noted that all Commissioners had received for review prior to the meeting a copy of Resolution No. 2006-18. He noted that the proposed action reflected in the resolution would complete a plan amendment request submitted to the Commission by the Salem Town Board earlier this year. That request included two proposals to amend the sewer service area plan. One of those areas was formally added to the plan by the Commission at the June 21, 2006, Annual meeting earlier this year. The second area was held in abeyance pending formal action on the matter by the Salem Town Board which had laid the matter aside following a public hearing on both plan amendments. Given that the Commission had been notified by the Salem Town Board of its approval of the second area as well, Mr. Schmidt noted that the Planning and Research Committee would recommend to the Commission adoption of proposed Resolution No. 2006-18.

There being no questions or comments, on a motion by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Holden, and carried unanimously, Resolution No. 2006-18 was approved (copy of Resolution No. 2006-18 attached to Official Minutes).

#### **CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF REGIONAL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLAN, SEWRPC PLANNING REPORT NO. 51**

Chairman Buestrin noted that the next item of business was consideration of Resolution 2006-19 relative to adoption of a regional wireless telecommunications plan. He called upon Mr. Evenson to present a briefing on the plan to the Commission.

With the aid of a slide presentation (copy attached to Official Minutes), Mr. Evenson then briefed the Commission on the recommendations included in SEWRPC Planning Report No. 51, *A Wireless Antenna Siting and Related Infrastructure Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin*, copies of which had been provided to the Commissioners in advance of the meeting. He began by reviewing the Commission's regional telecommunications planning program, noting that the Commission had published within the last year Memorandum Report No. 164, which set forth a description of potential public enterprise telecommunications networks for southeastern Wisconsin. He noted that the new regional wireless telecommunications plan is the first of two regional plans relative to telecommunications, with work on a second plan – *A Regional Broadband Access Plan* – now underway. He indicated that one of the basic objectives of the regional wireless planning effort was to help private sector providers of wireless communications services strengthen their existing networks. Given the position of wireless service providers that the Commission should not be engaged in preparing regional telecommunications plans, that objective was not met. Indeed, he concluded, the telecommunications services providers in the Region declined to help the Commission with inventories of wireless infrastructure and with the assessment of current wireless system performance. It became impossible, therefore, for the Commission staff to develop reliable, accurate maps of present wireless service areas. Mr. Evenson then reviewed with the Commissioners the wireless objectives and standards formulated as part of the planning process and the components of a recommended wireless telecommunications plan. He concluded his presentation by noting that there has already been substantial county and local interest in these recommendations, with requests received from communities in Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties.

Following Mr. Evenson's presentation, Chairman Buestrin asked if there were any questions or comments concerning the proposed regional wireless telecommunications plan. In response to an inquiry by Mr. Stroik, Mr. Evenson indicated that the wireless service coverage areas identified on Commission plan maps are generated from radio propagation modeling efforts. The actual extent of service coverages in the field would have to be determined through further evaluation, including testing of the wireless systems in the field. This may result, he said, in modifications to antenna locations and/or proposals to add additional antenna sites. Mr. Wirth commented that the Commission's wireless communications plan

recommendations should serve as a basis for all of the counties in the Region to come together and determine a common platform for future public telecommunications systems investments. Mr. Holloway agreed, noting that Milwaukee County has been approached by a number of different vendors and that all of the public agencies in the Region who in particular deal with emergency management should come together and agree upon a common telecommunications system that will enable police, sheriffs, fire departments, and other emergency management agencies to readily communicate with one another at all times, but particularly in emergency situations. Mr. Drew concurred, noting that if public sector agencies leave all decision making to vendors in the private sector, the Region will never achieve a fully integrated telecommunications system. Ms. Seemeyer inquired as to whether the proposals included in the draft plan are product specific or based upon standards. Mr. Evenson indicated that the Commission's plan recommendations are based on a set of objectives and standards and are not vendor or product specific. Rather, over time it is expected that many vendors will develop products designed to meet the evolving open standards in the telecommunications industry. Mr. Wirth commented that because the wireless telecommunications industry is evolving rapidly, public safety agencies in the Region should try to make do with current communications systems for the next two to three years, while beginning to work together towards a common telecommunications platform that can be put in place, perhaps initially to serve data communications and ultimately to serve voice communications, with such common systems evolving over a period of years. Mr. Holloway indicated that he was disappointed that the Federal Homeland Security agency had not come forth with well conceived mandates to require truly regional systems of public safety telecommunications. Mr. Hansen commented that he supported the Commission's initiative in this respect and was pleased to be associated with such forward looking recommendations.

At the end of the discussion, Chairman Buestrin called on Mr. Schmidt, as Chairman of the Planning and Research Committee, to offer an appropriate motion. It was then moved by Mr. Schmidt, seconded by Mr. Wirth, and carried unanimously to approve Resolution No. 2006-19 adopting the recommended regional wireless telecommunications plan (copy of Resolution No. 2006-19 attached to Official Minutes).

#### **STAFF WORK IN PROGRESS REPORT**

Chairman Buestrin asked Mr. Evenson to review with the Commissioners the status of the Commission's overall work program. Mr. Evenson distributed two tables relative to the Commission's work program. The first table identifies those selected Commission work projects which have been completed since the last comprehensive work program report presented to the Commission at the Quarterly meeting on September 14, 2005. The second table identifies all of the major projects included in the Commission's work program as of September 1, 2006 (copies of tables attached to Official Minutes). Mr. Evenson then briefly reviewed with the Commissioners the entries in both tables.

At the completion of the presentation and following a brief discussion, it was moved by Mr. Drew, seconded by Ms. Seemeyer, and carried unanimously to accept the report.

#### **CORRESPONDENCE/ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mr. Evenson reported that he had placed on each Commissioner's desk two pieces of correspondence that reflect well on the Commission staff efforts in carrying out the Commission's overall work program (copies attached to Official Minutes).

- 11 -  
(Quarterly Meeting)  
September 13, 2006

**CONFIRMATION OF DATE, TIME, AND PLACE OF DECEMBER QUARTERLY MEETING**

Chairman Buestrin reminded everyone that the next meeting of the Commission has been scheduled for 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, December 6, 2006, in Milwaukee County.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Commission, on a motion by Mr. Drew, seconded by Mr. Balestrieri, and carried unanimously, the meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip C. Evenson  
Deputy Secretary

PCE/lw  
Attachments  
#121101 v1 - QuarterlySep06Min