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## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

An important and necessary step in the regional planning process is the projection of the probable nature and magnitude of changes in factors which are largely beyond the influence of the planning process but which must be considered in the preparation of a comprehensive plan. Among the most important of these factors are those relating to the size, distribution, and composition of the population and to the number, distribution, and types of employment opportunities, or jobs. Accordingly, the Regional Planning Commission periodically carries out demographic studies-resulting in projections of the future size, distribution, and composition of the resident population-and economic studies-resulting in projections of the future number, distribution, and types of jobs-as a basis for the updating and extending the comprehensive plan for physical development of the Region.

The Commission has undertaken a number of in-depth analyses of the Region's population and economic base since 1960. The major demographic analyses have generally coincided with the release of information from the Federal decennial census of population; the major economic base analyses have generally been carried out concurrently with the demographic studies.

This report constitutes the fifth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin. It documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2010 census and sets forth new population projections for the Region to the year 2050. This report is a companion to the fifth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 10, The Economy of Southeastern Wisconsin, which documents a concurrent analysis of the regional economy and sets forth new employment projections to the year 2050. The aforementioned reports were prepared in tandem to ensure consistency between the Commission's long-range population projections and employment projections. Together, the new population and employment projections presented in these reports provide an important part of the basis for updating and extending the currently adopted regional land use and transportation plans, along with other elements of the comprehensive plan for Southeastern Wisconsin, to the year 2050.

## PREVIOUS DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

In 1963 the Commission completed a demographic analysis for the Region, resulting in the preparation of a set of population projections through 1985. During the course of the Commission's initial land use-transportation study begun in 1963, those population projections were updated and extended to the year 1990, providing a basis for the initial design year 1990 regional land use and transportation plans. In subsequent studies over the course of the next several decades, the Commission population projections were extended to 2000, to 2010, to 2020, and to 2035-serving as a basis for the updates of the Commission's land use and transportation plans and other plan elements with corresponding design years. The reports documenting previous Commission demographic studies and projections are listed in Table 1.

Table 1
REPORTS DOCUMENTING PREVIOUS COMMISSION DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

| Name of Publication | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 4, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | June 1963 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 7, Land Use-Transportation Study, Volume Two, <br> Forecasts and Alternative Plans: 1990 | June 1966 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | December 1972 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 25, A Regional Land Use Plan and a Regional Transportation Plan for <br> Southeastern Wisconsin-2000, Volume II, Alternative and Recommended Plans |  |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 22, Recent Population Growth and Change in Southeastern Wisconsin: <br> 1970-1977 | May 1978 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 25, Alternative Futures for Southeastern Wisconsin | September 1979 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (2nd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | December 1980 |
| SEWRPC Planning Report No. 40, A Regional Land Use Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin-2010 | June 1984 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (3rd Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | January 1992 |
| SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (4th Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin | October 1995 |

Source: SEWRPC.

Table 2
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION LEVELS BY COUNTY: 2011

| County | Actual 2011 <br> Population ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Projected 2011 Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High-Growth Scenario | IntermediateGrowth Scenario | Low-Growth Scenario |
| Kenosha................................... | 166,600 | 176,000 | 167,900 | 161,800 |
| Milwaukee................................. | 948,400 | 983,200 | 956,400 | 921,100 |
| Ozaukee ................................... | 86,500 | 94,300 | 89,300 | 86,500 |
| Racine...................................... | 195,200 | 206,900 | 196,000 | 191,300 |
| Walworth.. | 102,500 | 109,700 | 106,700 | 101,600 |
| Washington............................... | 132,200 | 139,400 | 133,000 | 128,000 |
| Waukesha.................................. | 390,300 | 414,500 | 394,000 | 381,400 |
| Region | 2,021,700 | 2,124,000 | 2,043,300 | 1,971,700 |

${ }^{a}$ Wisconsin Department of Administration estimate.
Source: Wisconsin Department of Administration and SEWRPC.

The Commission's most recent population projections for the Region were prepared for the 35-year period 2000 to 2035. The projections are documented in SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11 (4th Edition), The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin, dated July 2004. As part of that work, the Commission prepared a range of population projections attendant to "high-growth," "intermediate-growth," and "low-growth" scenarios for the Region. The intermediate-growth projection was used as the basis for the preparation of the year 2035 regional land use and transportation plans.

The year 2035 population projections are shown for the Region and its seven counties in Figure 1. Under those projections, it was envisioned that the resident population of the Region would increase from about 1.93 million persons in 2000 to a 2035 level of about 2.50 million persons under the high-growth scenario, about 2.28 million persons under the intermediate-growth scenario, and about 2.09 million persons under the low-growth scenario. As shown on Figure 1 and Table 2, actual population growth for the Region as a whole since 2000 has most closely approximated the intermediate-growth scenario projection, with the actual regional population in 2011 being only 1 percent less than the projected population. Since 2000, actual population growth within each county in the Region has been within the projected range, with the actual county population being within 1 percent of the intermediate-growth projection for five counties and within 4 percent for two counties.

Figure 1
POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY: 2000-2035
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Administration, and SEWRPC.

## CURRENT DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSES AND PROJECTIONS

Following the release of information from the 2010 census, the Commission undertook another analysis of trends in population levels and characteristics for the Region. This analysis provided the basis for the preparation of new population projections, those projections being extended 15 years beyond the previous projections, to the year 2050. The analysis work and the preparation of new projections were carried out with the assistance of the Commission's Advisory Committee on Regional Population and Economic Forecasts. The membership of that Committee is set forth on the inside front cover of this report.

The new population projections are presented in Chapter IV of this report. As in the past, the Commission has projected a range of future population levels-low, intermediate, and high-for the Region. The intermediate projection is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall; it is envisioned that this projection would be used as the basis for the preparation of the new year 2050 regional land use and transportation plans. The high and low projections were developed in recognition of the considerable uncertainty that is inherent in any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The new population projections are accompanied by a new set of household projections for the Region to the year 2050. The households projections are also presented in Chapter IV.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This report documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2010 census and sets forth new population projections for the Region to the year 2050. Following this introductory chapter, Chapter II of this report presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. Chapter III describes historical trends in the components of population change-namely, natural increase and migration. Chapter IV presents a set of population and household projections for the Region covering the period 2010 to 2050. Chapter V is a summary chapter.

## Chapter II

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

## INTRODUCTION

Current and historic information on the Region's population and households is essential to the comprehensive planning program for the Region. Such information contributes to an understanding of existing development patterns and historic trends in the development of the Region, and provides a framework for preparing the projections of population and households required as a basis for updating the regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. This chapter presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. The data presented in this chapter was drawn from the 2010 Federal census and prior decennial censuses.

Demographic information is presented primarily at the Region- and county-level in this chapter. Population levels for cities, villages, and towns in the Region for census years from 1850 through 2010 are presented in Appendix A of this report.

## OVERVIEW OF CHANGE IN POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLDS BETWEEN 2000 AND 2010

The size, distribution, and characteristics of the Region's population and households continued to change between 2000 and 2010, as indicated below:

- The population of the Southeastern Wisconsin Region increased from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to 2,020,000 persons in 2010-an increase of 88,800 persons, or 4.6 percent. In comparison, the population of Wisconsin and the Nation increased by 6.0 percent and 9.7 percent during this time.
- The baby-boom generation (those born from 1946 through 1964) continued to impact the age composition of the regional population. Over the past 10 years, there was a significant increase in the population in the 50 -to-54-year, $55-$ to-59-year, and 60 -to-64-year age groups, a result of the aging of the baby-boomers. The median age of the regional population increased from 35.4 years in 2000 to 37.0 years in 2010, continuing a long-term trend of increasing median age in the Region.
- Between 2000 and 2010, the minority population of the Region (persons reported as being of Hispanic origin and/or any non-White race) increased by about 129,100 persons, while the non-Hispanic White
population of the Region decreased. The minority population comprised 28.9 percent of the total regional population in 2010, compared to 23.5 percent in 2000. The Hispanic population experienced the largest increase among all racial and ethnic groups between 2000 and 2010.
- Each of the seven counties in the Region experienced a population increase between 2000 and 2010, ranging from 0.8 percent in Milwaukee County to 12.2 percent in Washington County. Milwaukee County's increase of about 7,600 persons represents the County's first 10-year population increase since the 1960s.
- The number of households in the Region increased from 749,000 in 2000 to 800,100 in 2010, an increase of 51,100 households, or 6.8 percent. The average household size in the Region decreased slightly, from 2.52 persons in 2000 to 2.47 persons in 2010.
- Each of the seven counties in the Region also experienced an increase in households between 2000 and 2010, ranging from 1.6 percent in Milwaukee County to 17.7 percent in Washington County. The average household size for each county decreased at least slightly between 2000 and 2010.

The balance of this chapter describes in greater detail trends in the size, distribution, and characteristics of the population and households of the Region.

## POPULATION

## Population Size

The resident population of the Region was $2,020,000$ persons in 2010, compared to $1,931,200$ in 2000. The increase of 88,800 persons in the regional population between 2000 and 2010 is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970s ( 8,700 persons) and 1980s ( 45,600 persons), but less than the increase of 120,800 persons experienced during the 1990s (see Table 3 and Figure 2).

The relative increase in the Region's population between 2000 and 2010-4.6 percent-compares to an increase of 6.0 percent for Wisconsin overall and 9.7 percent for the United States. The Region's share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 36.0 to 35.5 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. As indicated in Table 3, the Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960.

## Population Distribution by County

All seven of the Region's counties gained population between 2000 and 2010 (see Table 4 and Figure 3). Following three decades of decline, Milwaukee County's population increased by about 7,600 persons, or 0.8 percent, between 2000 and 2010. Among the other six counties in the Region, the relative increases in population were 3.5 percent in Racine, 5.0 percent in Ozaukee, 8.1 percent in Waukesha, just over 11.0 percent in Kenosha and Walworth, and 12.2 percent in Washington.

Milwaukee County's share of the regional population stood at 46.9 percent in 2010-followed by Waukesha (19.3 percent), Racine ( 9.7 percent), Kenosha ( 8.2 percent), Washington ( 6.5 percent), Walworth ( 5.1 percent), and Ozaukee ( 4.3 percent). Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased by about 2 percentage points between 2000 and 2010, while the share of each of the other six counties remained about the same or increased slightly. Going back to 1950, the most notable change in the distribution of population within the Region has been the increase in Waukesha County's share-from 6.9 percent of the regional population in 1950 to 19.3 percent in 2010-and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share-from 70.2 percent in 1950 to 46.9 percent in 2010 (see Figure 4).

The counties located immediately south of the Region continued to increase in population between 2000 and 2010, but at somewhat reduced rates compared to their growth during the previous decade. As indicated in Table 5, the population of Lake County, Illinois increased by about 59,100 persons, or 9.2 percent, between 2000 and 2010, while the population of McHenry County, Illinois increased by about 48,700 persons, or about 18.7 percent. The combined population of those two counties exceeded 1.0 million persons in 2010.

Table 3
POPULATION IN THE REGION, WISCONSIN, AND THE UNITED STATES: 1850-2010

| Year | Region Population |  |  | Wisconsin Population |  |  | United States Population |  |  | Regional Population as a Percent of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Change from Preceding Census |  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent | Wisconsin | United States |
| 1850 | 113,389 | -- | -- | 305,391 | -- | -- | 23,191,876 | -- | -- | 37.1 | 0.49 |
| 1860 | 190,409 | 77,020 | 67.9 | 775,881 | 470,490 | 154.1 | 31,443,321 | 8,251,445 | 35.6 | 24.5 | 0.61 |
| 1870 | 223,546 | 33,137 | 17.4 | 1,054,670 | 278,789 | 35.9 | 38,448,371 | 7,005,050 | 22.3 | 21.2 | 0.58 |
| 1880 | 277,119 | 53,573 | 24.0 | 1,315,497 | 260,827 | 24.7 | 50,155,783 | 11,707,412 | 30.4 | 21.1 | 0.55 |
| 1890 | 386,774 | 109,655 | 39.6 | 1,693,330 | 377,833 | 28.7 | 62,947,714 | 12,791,931 | 25.5 | 22.8 | 0.61 |
| 1900 | 501,808 | 115,034 | 29.7 | 2,069,042 | 375,712 | 22.2 | 75,994,575 | 13,046,861 | 20.7 | 24.3 | 0.66 |
| 1910 | 631,161 | 129,353 | 25.8 | 2,333,860 | 264,818 | 12.8 | 91,972,266 | 15,977,691 | 21.0 | 27.0 | 0.69 |
| 1920 | 783,681 | 152,520 | 24.2 | 2,632,067 | 298,207 | 12.8 | 105,710,620 | 13,738,354 | 14.9 | 29.8 | 0.74 |
| 1930 | 1,006,118 | 222,437 | 28.4 | 2,939,006 | 306,939 | 11.7 | 122,755,046 | 17,044,426 | 16.1 | 34.2 | 0.82 |
| 1940 | 1,067,699 | 61,581 | 6.1 | 3,137,587 | 198,581 | 6.8 | 131,669,587 | 8,914,541 | 7.3 | 34.0 | 0.81 |
| 1950 | 1,240,618 | 172,919 | 16.2 | 3,434,575 | 296,988 | 9.5 | 151,325,798 | 19,656,211 | 14.9 | 36.1 | 0.82 |
| 1960 | 1,573,614 | 332,996 | 26.8 | 3,951,777 | 517,202 | 15.1 | 179,323,175 | 27,997,377 | 18.5 | 39.8 | 0.88 |
| 1970 | 1,756,083 | 182,469 | 11.6 | 4,417,821 | 466,044 | 11.8 | 203,302,031 | 23,978,856 | 13.4 | 39.7 | 0.86 |
| 1980 | 1,764,796 | 8,713 | 0.5 | 4,705,642 | 287,821 | 6.5 | 226,504,825 | 23,202,794 | 11.4 | 37.5 | 0.78 |
| 1990 | 1,810,364 | 45,568 | 2.6 | 4,891,769 | 186,127 | 4.0 | 249,632,692 | 23,127,867 | 10.2 | 37.0 | 0.73 |
| 2000 | 1,931,165 | 120,801 | 6.7 | 5,363,675 | 471,906 | 9.6 | 281,421,906 | 31,789,214 | 12.7 | 36.0 | 0.69 |
| 2010 | 2,019,970 | 88,805 | 4.6 | 5,686,986 | 323,311 | 6.0 | 308,745,538 | 27,323,632 | 9.7 | 35.5 | 0.65 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 2
POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1900-2010


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 4
POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1900-2010

| Year | Kenosha County Population |  |  |  | Milwaukee County Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change fromPreceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 21,707 | -- | -- | 4.3 | 330,017 | -- | -- | 65.8 |
| 1910 | 32,929 | 11,222 | 51.7 | 5.2 | 433,187 | 103,170 | 31.3 | 68.6 |
| 1920 | 51,284 | 18,355 | 55.7 | 6.6 | 539,449 | 106,262 | 24.5 | 68.8 |
| 1930 | 63,277 | 11,993 | 23.4 | 6.3 | 725,263 | 185,814 | 34.4 | 72.1 |
| 1940 | 63,505 | 228 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 766,885 | 41,622 | 5.7 | 71.8 |
| 1950 | 75,238 | 11,733 | 18.5 | 6.1 | 871,047 | 104,162 | 13.6 | 70.2 |
| 1960 | 100,615 | 25,377 | 33.7 | 6.4 | 1,036,041 | 164,994 | 18.9 | 65.8 |
| 1970 | 117,917 | 17,302 | 17.2 | 6.7 | 1,054,249 | 18,208 | 1.8 | 60.0 |
| 1980 | 123,137 | 5,220 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 964,988 | -89,261 | -8.5 | 54.7 |
| 1990 | 128,181 | 5,044 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 959,275 | -5,713 | -0.6 | 53.0 |
| 2000 | 149,577 | 21,396 | 16.7 | 7.7 | 940,164 | -19,111 | -2.0 | 48.7 |
| 2010 | 166,426 | 16,849 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 947,735 | 7,571 | 0.8 | 46.9 |


| Year | Ozaukee County Population |  |  |  | Racine County Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 16,363 | -- | -- | 3.3 | 45,644 | -- | -- | 9.1 |
| 1910 | 17,123 | 760 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 57,424 | 11,780 | 25.8 | 9.1 |
| 1920 | 16,335 | -788 | -4.6 | 2.1 | 78,961 | 21,537 | 37.5 | 10.1 |
| 1930 | 17,394 | 1,059 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 90,217 | 11,256 | 14.3 | 9.0 |
| 1940 | 18,985 | 1,591 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 94,047 | 3,830 | 4.2 | 8.8 |
| 1950 | 23,361 | 4,376 | 23.0 | 1.9 | 109,585 | 15,538 | 16.5 | 8.8 |
| 1960 | 38,441 | 15,080 | 64.6 | 2.5 | 141,781 | 32,196 | 29.4 | 9.0 |
| 1970 | 54,461 | 16,020 | 41.7 | 3.1 | 170,838 | 29,057 | 20.5 | 9.7 |
| 1980 | 66,981 | 12,520 | 23.0 | 3.8 | 173,132 | 2,294 | 1.3 | 9.8 |
| 1990 | 72,831 | 5,850 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 175,034 | 1,902 | 1.1 | 9.7 |
| 2000 | 82,317 | 9,486 | 13.0 | 4.2 | 188,831 | 13,797 | 7.9 | 9.8 |
| 2010 | 86,395 | 4,078 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 195,408 | 6,577 | 3.5 | 9.7 |


| Year | Walworth County Population |  |  |  | Washington County Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 29,259 | -- | -- | 5.8 | 23,589 | -- | -- | 4.7 |
| 1910 | 29,614 | 355 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 23,784 | 195 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 1920 | 29,327 | -287 | -1.0 | 3.7 | 25,713 | 1,929 | 8.1 | 3.3 |
| 1930 | 31,058 | 1,731 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 26,551 | 838 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| 1940 | 33,103 | 2,045 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 28,430 | 1,879 | 7.1 | 2.7 |
| 1950 | 41,584 | 8,481 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 33,902 | 5,472 | 19.2 | 2.7 |
| 1960 | 52,368 | 10,784 | 25.9 | 3.3 | 46,119 | 12,217 | 36.0 | 2.9 |
| 1970 | 63,444 | 11,076 | 21.2 | 3.6 | 63,839 | 17,720 | 38.4 | 3.7 |
| 1980 | 71,507 | 8,063 | 12.7 | 4.0 | 84,848 | 21,009 | 32.9 | 4.8 |
| 1990 | 75,000 | 3,493 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 95,328 | 10,480 | 12.4 | 5.3 |
| 2000 | 92,013 | 17,013 | 22.7 | 4.8 | 117,496 | 22,168 | 23.3 | 6.1 |
| 2010 | 102,228 | 10,215 | 11.1 | 5.1 | 131,887 | 14,391 | 12.2 | 6.5 |


| Year | Waukesha County Population |  |  |  | Region Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1900 | 35,229 | -- | -- | 7.0 | 501,808 | -- | -- | 100.0 |
| 1910 | 37,100 | 1,871 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 631,161 | 129,353 | 25.8 | 100.0 |
| 1920 | 42,612 | 5,512 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 783,681 | 152,520 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
| 1930 | 52,358 | 9,746 | 22.9 | 5.2 | 1,006,118 | 222,437 | 28.4 | 100.0 |
| 1940 | 62,744 | 10,386 | 19.8 | 5.9 | 1,067,699 | 61,581 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| 1950 | 85,901 | 23,157 | 36.9 | 6.9 | 1,240,618 | 172,919 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
| 1960 | 158,249 | 72,348 | 84.2 | 10.1 | 1,573,614 | 332,996 | 26.8 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 231,335 | 73,086 | 46.2 | 13.2 | 1,756,083 | 182,469 | 11.6 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 280,203 | 48,868 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 1,764,796 | 8,713 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 304,715 | 24,512 | 8.7 | 16.8 | 1,810,364 | 45,568 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| 2000 | 360,767 | 56,052 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 1,931,165 | 120,801 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 389,891 | 29,124 | 8.1 | 19.3 | 2,019,970 | 88,805 | 4.6 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 3


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 4

## SHARE OF REGIONAL POPULATION BY COUNTY: 1950 AND 2010



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 5
POPULATION IN LAKE AND MCHENRY COUNTIES, ILLINOIS: 1980-2010

| Year | Lake County Population |  |  | McHenry County Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  | Absolute | Percent |
| 1980 | 440,372 | -- | -- | 147,987 | -- | -- |
| 1990 | 516,418 | 76,046 | 17.3 | 183,241 | 35,254 | 23.8 |
| 2000 | 644,356 | 127,938 | 24.8 | 260,077 | 76,836 | 41.9 |
| 2010 | 703,462 | 59,106 | 9.2 | 308,760 | 48,683 | 18.7 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 6
POPULATION IN THE REGION BY URBANIZED AREA: 2000 AND 2010

| Urbanized Area | 2000 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Kenosha (part)....................................................... | 110,942 | 5.8 | 124,060 | 6.2 |
| Milwaukee (part) ..................................................... | 1,308,913 | 67.8 | 1,374,304 | 68.0 |
| Racine. | 129,545 | 6.7 | 133,700 | 6.6 |
| Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake (part)......... | 19,786 | 1.0 | 30,562 | 1.5 |
| West Bend ${ }^{\text {a }}$........................................................... | -- | -- | 68,444 | 3.4 |
| Subtotal Urbanized Areas .. | 1,569,186 | 81.3 | 1,731,070 | 85.7 |
| Outside Urbanized Areas ......................................... | 361,979 | 18.7 | 288,900 | 14.3 |
| Total | 1,931,165 | 100.0 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |

${ }^{a}$ West Bend did not qualify as an urbanized area in 2000.
NOTE: The total population in the Kenosha urbanized area in 2010 was 124,064 , of which four resided in Illinois. The total population in the Milwaukee urbanized area in 2010 was $1,376,476$, of which 2,172 resided in Jefferson County. The total population in the Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake urbanized area in 2010 was 290,373, of which 259,811 resided in Illinois. In 2000, total population in the Round Lake Beach—McHenry-Grayslake urbanized area was 226,848, of which 207,062 resided in Illinois.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## Population Distribution by Urbanized Area

It is also useful to analyze the population of the Region in terms of its location inside and outside Census Bureaudefined urbanized areas. An urbanized area is an area consisting of a central core and adjacent densely settled territory that together contains at least 50,000 people. For the 2010 census, urbanized areas were identified by the Census Bureau as aggregations of census tracts and blocks which meet certain population level and population density criteria, along with adjacent areas of nonresidential urban land.

As shown on Map 1, there were five urbanized areas in the Region in 2010: the Kenosha, Milwaukee, Racine and West Bend urbanized areas, which are located nearly entirely within the Region; ${ }^{1}$ and the Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake urbanized area, which consists of portions of Kenosha and Walworth Counties along with portions of Lake and McHenry Counties in Illinois. The West Bend area in Washington County was identified as an urbanized area for the first time, following the 2010 census. The combined population of the urbanized areas within the Region was $1,731,100$ persons in 2010, representing about 86 percent of the total population of the Region (see Table 6).

[^0]
## Map 1

U.S. CENSUS URBANIZED AREAS IN THE REGION: 2000 AND 2010

2000 URBANIZED AREAS
P/ 2010 URBANIZED AREAS


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

It should be noted that the Census Bureau implemented certain procedural changes in delineating urbanized areas for purposes of the 2010 census. Among these changes is the inclusion of areas of non-residential urban development located on the periphery of densely populated areas. Larger airports on the periphery of densely populated areas-such as Kenosha Regional Airport-are also included in the 2010 urbanized area delineations. These and other procedural changes in the delineation of urbanized areas between the 2000 and 2010 censuses are documented on the Census Bureau's website.

## Population Characteristics

## Age Composition

As indicated in Table 7 and Figure 5, growth in the regional population has been accompanied by change in the age composition. Among the five-year age groups, growth over the past 10 years in the Region is most evident in the 50 -to- 54 -year, the 55 -to- 59 -year, and the 60 -to- 64 -year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "babyboomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 2000 and 2010 occurred in the 35 -to-39-year and 40-to-44-year age groups, a reflection of baby-boomers moving out of those age groups coupled with the smaller number of people born in the late 1960s and early 1970s moving into those age groups. ${ }^{2}$

The median age of the regional population (the age above and below which there is an equal number of persons) was 37.0 years in 2010. As indicated in Table 8, the regional population median age has increased steadily-by almost 10 years-since 1970, when the median age was 27.6 years. Among the seven counties in the Region, the median age in 2010 ranged from 33.6 years in Milwaukee County to 42.9 years in Ozaukee County.

## Gender Composition

Males comprised 48.9 percent of the total regional population in 2010, while females comprised 51.1 percent. Males slightly outnumber females through early childhood and young adulthood. Differences in the gender makeup are most evident in the older age groups. Females comprised about 56 percent of the population age 60 years and over in the Region and about 65 percent of the population age 80 years and over (see Table 9).

## Racial Composition

In the 2010 census, as in the 2000 census, respondents were given the opportunity to specify more than one race when responding to questions on racial identity. The resulting data are summarized for the Region in Table 10 and described below:

- Persons Reporting One Race

As indicated in Table 10, the vast majority of the Region's population-1,972,900 persons, or 97.7 percent-reported only one race in the 2010 census. This includes 76.0 percent of the total population reporting White; 14.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 2.6 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.9 percent reporting some other race.

Since 2000, the population reporting its race as White alone-that is, identifying as White and no other race-increased by only about 1,600 persons, or 0.1 percent. Conversely, the population reporting a single race other than White increased by 70,900 persons, or 19.4 percent. This includes an increase of about 31,600 persons, or 12.0 percent, in the Black/African American alone population; about 900 persons, or 9.2 percent, in the American Indian/Alaska Native alone population; about 17,000 persons, or 49.3 percent, in the Asian alone population; and 21,400 persons, or 36.8 percent, in the population reporting some other single race.

[^1]- Persons Reporting More than One Race

In the 2010 census, about 47,100 persons, or 2.3 percent of the total population of the Region, reported being of more than one race. This compares to 32,400 persons, or 1.7 percent of the total population, who reported more than one race in the 2000 census. Of those identifying more than one race in 2010, about 40,700 persons, or 86.5 percent, identified White as one of their races.

The racial composition of the population of each of the seven counties in the Region in 2010 is indicated in Table 11. The White alone population represented 60.6 percent of the total population in Milwaukee County, 79.7 percent in Racine County, and 83.8 percent in Kenosha County. In Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha Counties, the White proportion of the total population ranged from 91.9 to 95.8 percent.

As indicated in Table 12, the majority of the non-White population of the Region resides in Milwaukee County. Specifically, in 2010 Milwaukee County accounted for 86.1 percent of the Region’s Black/African American population, 65.5 percent of the Region's American Indian/Alaska Native population, and 63.0 percent of the Region's Asian population.

## Hispanic Origin

The Federal census includes questions on Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. As part of the census, those who report being of Hispanic origin may be of any race.

As indicated in Table 13, about 200,200 persons in the Region, or about 9.9 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be of Hispanic origin in the 2010 census. The Hispanic population in the Region increased by about 73,800 persons, or 58.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010, far exceeding the rate of increase in the overall population ( 4.6 percent). Combined with a 46 percent increase during the 1980 s and 86 percent during the 1990s, the Region's Hispanic population more than quadrupled during the past three decades.

As further indicated in Table 13, the Hispanic population comprised more than 10.0 percent of the total population in four counties in the Region-Kenosha, Milwaukee, Racine, and Walworth Counties-in 2010. In Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties, this proportion ranged from 2.3 to 4.1 percent.

## Race and Hispanic Origin

The Census Bureau provides cross tabulations of data on race and Hispanic origin from the year 2000 and 2010 censuses. That data is summarized for the Region in Table 14. That table breaks out the total population into two groups: those who reported their race and ethnicity as non-Hispanic White alone and those who reported their race and ethnicity as something other than non-Hispanic White alone. The latter group is referred to as the minority population in this report. Stated another way, the minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

As indicated in Table 14, the minority population of the Region was about 582,900 persons in 2010, representing 28.9 percent of the total regional population. The minority population increased by about 129,100 persons, or 28.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010. Conversely, the non-Hispanic White alone population decreased by about 42,000 persons, or 2.8 percent. As a result, the minority population's share of the total regional population increased from 23.5 percent to 28.9 percent between 2000 and 2010, while the non-Hispanic White population decreased from 76.5 percent to 71.1 percent.

Among the seven counties, the minority population percentage in 2010 was highest in Milwaukee County ( 45.7 percent), followed by Racine County ( 25.6 percent), Kenosha County ( 22.0 percent), and Walworth County (13.2 percent). In Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties, the minority population comprised between 5.7 and 9.4 percent of the total county population.

Each county experienced an increase in its minority population between 2000 and 2010. In absolute terms, the largest minority population increase by far-76,100 persons-occurred in Milwaukee County. In both Milwaukee

Table 7
AGE COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1980-2010

| Age Group | 1980 Population |  | 1990 Population |  | 2000 Population |  | 2010 Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under 5.................... | 128,085 | 7.3 | 138,444 | 7.6 | 132,390 | 6.8 | 133,503 | 6.6 |
| 5-9........................... | 127,834 | 7.2 | 137,582 | 7.6 | 144,219 | 7.5 | 137,010 | 6.8 |
| 10-14....................... | 146,252 | 8.3 | 128,651 | 7.1 | 147,229 | 7.6 | 140,118 | 6.9 |
| 15-19....................... | 168,897 | 9.6 | 123,812 | 6.8 | 141,558 | 7.3 | 144,926 | 7.2 |
| 20-24........................ | 166,934 | 9.5 | 132,736 | 7.3 | 124,200 | 6.4 | 137,595 | 6.8 |
| 25-29...................... | 153,984 | 8.7 | 154,747 | 8.5 | 125,567 | 6.5 | 137,321 | 6.8 |
| 30-34....................... | 134,573 | 7.6 | 161,435 | 8.9 | 138,238 | 7.2 | 128,174 | 6.3 |
| 35-39....................... | 104,594 | 5.9 | 146,066 | 8.1 | 157,844 | 8.2 | 125,851 | 6.2 |
| 40-44........................ | 89,464 | 5.1 | 126,119 | 7.0 | 159,702 | 8.3 | 136,456 | 6.8 |
| 45-49....................... | 87,770 | 5.0 | 97,337 | 5.4 | 142,428 | 7.4 | 153,577 | 7.6 |
| 50-54....................... | 94,349 | 5.3 | 81,990 | 4.5 | 120,345 | 6.2 | 153,402 | 7.6 |
| 55-59........................ | 90,688 | 5.1 | 77,337 | 4.3 | 88,417 | 4.6 | 132,272 | 6.5 |
| 60-64.. | 76,201 | 4.3 | 77,637 | 4.3 | 69,747 | 3.6 | 105,758 | 5.2 |
| 65-69........................ | 64,547 | 3.7 | 70,577 | 3.9 | 62,281 | 3.2 | 72,622 | 3.6 |
| 70-74....................... | 50,400 | 2.9 | 56,505 | 3.1 | 60,479 | 3.1 | 54,925 | 2.7 |
| 75-79........................ | 37,502 | 2.1 | 44,570 | 2.5 | 51,372 | 2.7 | 46,609 | 2.3 |
| 80-84......................... | 24,367 | 1.4 | 29,758 | 1.6 | 35,349 | 1.8 | 39,940 | 2.0 |
| 85 and Older............... | 18,478 | 1.0 | 25,061 | 1.4 | 31,543 | 1.6 | 39,911 | 2.0 |
| All Ages | 1,764,919 | 100.0 | 1,810,364 | 100.0 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |


| Age Group | Change 1980-1990 |  | Change 1990-2000 |  | Change 2000-2010 |  | Change 1980-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Under 5..................... | 10,359 | 8.1 | -6,054 | -4.4 | 1,113 | 0.8 | 5,418 | 4.2 |
| 5-9........................... | 9,748 | 7.6 | 6,637 | 4.8 | -7,209 | -5.0 | 9,176 | 7.2 |
| 10-14........................ | -17,601 | -12.0 | 18,578 | 14.4 | -7,111 | -4.8 | -6,134 | -4.2 |
| 15-19.. | -45,085 | -26.7 | 17,746 | 14.3 | 3,368 | 2.4 | -23,971 | -14.2 |
| 20-24........................ | -34,198 | -20.5 | -8,536 | -6.4 | 13,395 | 10.8 | -29,339 | -17.6 |
| 25-29........................ | 763 | 0.5 | -29,180 | -18.9 | 11,754 | 9.4 | -16,663 | -10.8 |
| 30-34....................... | 26,862 | 20.0 | -23,197 | -14.4 | -10,064 | -7.3 | -6,399 | -4.8 |
| 35-39....................... | 41,472 | 39.7 | 11,778 | 8.1 | -31,993 | -20.3 | 21,257 | 20.3 |
| 40-44.. | 36,655 | 41.0 | 33,583 | 26.6 | -23,246 | -14.6 | 46,992 | 52.5 |
| 45-49........................ | 9,567 | 10.9 | 45,091 | 46.3 | 11,149 | 7.8 | 65,807 | 75.0 |
| 50-54....................... | -12,359 | -13.1 | 38,355 | 46.8 | 33,057 | 27.5 | 59,053 | 62.6 |
| 55-59........................ | -13,351 | -14.7 | 11,080 | 14.3 | 43,855 | 49.6 | 41,584 | 45.9 |
| 60-64........................ | 1,436 | 1.9 | -7,890 | -10.2 | 36,011 | 51.6 | 29,557 | 38.8 |
| 65-69........................ | 6,030 | 9.3 | -8,296 | -11.8 | 10,341 | 16.6 | 8,075 | 12.5 |
| 70-74........................ | 6,105 | 12.1 | 3,974 | 7.0 | -5,554 | -9.2 | 4,525 | 9.0 |
| 75-79....................... | 7,068 | 18.8 | 6,802 | 15.3 | -4,763 | -9.3 | 9,107 | 24.3 |
| 80-84........................ | 5,391 | 22.1 | 5,591 | 18.8 | 4,591 | 13.0 | 15,573 | 63.9 |
| 85 and Older ............... | 6,583 | 35.6 | 6,482 | 25.9 | 8,368 | 26.5 | 21,433 | 116.0 |
| All Ages | 45,445 | 2.6 | 122,544 | 6.8 | 87,062 | 4.5 | 255,051 | 14.5 |

NOTE: The total population by age for 1980 and 2000 reported by the Census Bureau as indicated on this table differs slightly from the Census Bureau total population count presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 5
AGE COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1980-2010


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 8
MEDIAN AGE OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2010

| County | Median Age of the Population (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Kenosha... | 31.5 | 28.8 | 26.9 | 29.3 | 32.5 | 34.8 | 36.3 |
| Milwaukee........................ | 32.5 | 30.5 | 28.6 | 30.0 | 32.2 | 33.7 | 33.6 |
| Ozaukee .......................... | 30.7 | 27.3 | 25.6 | 30.2 | 34.6 | 38.9 | 42.9 |
| Racine.............................. | 31.4 | 28.5 | 26.0 | 28.9 | 32.8 | 36.1 | 39.0 |
| Walworth........................... | 33.1 | 30.3 | 26.4 | 29.5 | 33.0 | 35.1 | 38.1 |
| Washington........................ | 30.3 | 27.0 | 24.9 | 28.1 | 32.5 | 36.6 | 40.9 |
| Waukesha......................... | 30.6 | 27.0 | 25.4 | 29.7 | 34.0 | 38.1 | 42.0 |
| Region | 32.2 | 29.7 | 27.6 | 29.7 | 32.8 | 35.4 | 37.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 9
GENDER AND AGE OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION: 2010

| Age Group | Male Population |  | Female Population |  | Total Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Under 20 | 283,895 | 51.1 | 271,662 | 48.9 | 555,557 | 100.0 |
| 20 to 39...................... | 262,592 | 49.6 | 266,349 | 50.4 | 528,941 | 100.0 |
| 40 to 59....................... | 283,141 | 49.2 | 292,566 | 50.8 | 575,707 | 100.0 |
| 60 to 69....................... | 85,405 | 47.9 | 92,975 | 52.1 | 178,380 | 100.0 |
| 70 to 79....................... | 44,326 | 43.7 | 57,208 | 56.3 | 101,534 | 100.0 |
| 80 and Older............... | 27,898 | 34.9 | 51,953 | 65.1 | 79,851 | 100.0 |
| Total | 987,257 | 48.9 | 1,032,713 | 51.1 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 10
RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION OF THE REGION: 2000 AND 2010

| Race | Population: 2000 |  | Population: 2010 |  | Change in Population:2000-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent |
| One Race Reported |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White........................................................... | 1,534,464 | 79.4 | 1,536,028 | 76.0 | 1,564 | 0.1 |
| Black or African American............................... | 263,200 | 13.6 | 294,809 | 14.6 | 31,609 | 12.0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native . | 9,510 | 0.5 | 10,386 | 0.5 | 876 | 9.2 |
| Asian.. | 34,438 | 1.8 | 51,426 | 2.6 | 16,988 | 49.3 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander............ | 716 | <0.1 | 729 | <0.1 | 13 | 1.8 |
| Other Race...................................................... | 58,157 | 3.0 | 79,535 | 3.9 | 21,378 | 36.8 |
| Subtotal | 1,900,485 | 98.3 | 1,972,913 | 97.7 | 72,428 | 3.8 |
| More than One Race Reported ............................. | 32,423 | 1.7 | 47,057 | 2.3 | 14,634 | 45.1 |
| Total | 1,932,908 | 100.0 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 | 87,062 | 4.5 |

NOTE: The total population by race for 2000 reported by the Census Bureau as indicated on this table differs slightly from the Census Bureau total population count presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 11
RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 2010

| County | Population with One Race Reported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Black or African American |  | American Indian and Alaska Native |  | Asian |  | Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander |  |
|  | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population |
| Kenosha ............. | 139,416 | 83.8 | 11,052 | 6.6 | 814 | 0.5 | 2,393 | 1.4 | 89 | 0.1 |
| Milwaukee........... | 574,656 | 60.6 | 253,764 | 26.8 | 6,808 | 0.7 | 32,422 | 3.4 | 363 | <0.1 |
| Ozaukee ............. | 82,010 | 94.9 | 1,177 | 1.4 | 208 | 0.2 | 1,509 | 1.7 | 20 | <0.1 |
| Racine ................ | 155,731 | 79.7 | 21,767 | 11.1 | 781 | 0.4 | 2,121 | 1.1 | 53 | <0.1 |
| Walworth............. | 93,935 | 91.9 | 980 | 1.0 | 308 | 0.3 | 845 | 0.8 | 43 | <0.1 |
| Washington......... | 126,317 | 95.8 | 1,155 | 0.9 | 401 | 0.3 | 1,415 | 1.1 | 30 | <0.1 |
| Waukesha........... | 363,963 | 93.3 | 4,914 | 1.3 | 1,066 | 0.3 | 10,721 | 2.7 | 131 | <0.1 |
| Region | 1,536,028 | 76.0 | 294,809 | 14.6 | 10,386 | 0.5 | 51,426 | 2.6 | 729 | <0.1 |


| County | Population with One Race Reported (continued) |  |  |  | Population with More Than One Race Reported |  | Total Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Some Other Race |  | One Race Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population |
| Kenosha ............ | 7,880 | 4.7 | 161,644 | 97.1 | 4,782 | 2.9 | 166,426 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee.......... | 51,429 | 5.4 | 919,442 | 97.0 | 28,293 | 3.0 | 947,735 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee ............. | 483 | 0.6 | 85,407 | 98.9 | 988 | 1.1 | 86,395 | 100.0 |
| Racine ................ | 10,046 | 5.1 | 190,499 | 97.5 | 4,909 | 2.5 | 195,408 | 100.0 |
| Walworth............. | 4,604 | 4.5 | 100,715 | 98.5 | 1,513 | 1.5 | 102,228 | 100.0 |
| Washington......... | 1,052 | 0.8 | 130,370 | 98.8 | 1,517 | 1.2 | 131,887 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha........... | 4,041 | 1.0 | 384,836 | 98.7 | 5,055 | 1.3 | 389,891 | 100.0 |
| Region | 79,535 | 3.9 | 1,972,913 | 97.7 | 47,057 | 2.3 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 12

RELATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF SELECTED RACIAL GROUPS BY COUNTY IN THE REGION: 2010

| County | Population With One Race Reported (Percent of Region Total) |  |  |  |  |  | Population with More Than One Race Reported (Percent of Region Total) | Total Population (Percent of Region Total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black or African American | American Indian and Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | Some Other Race |  |  |
| Kenosha ................... | 9.1 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 8.2 |
| Milwaukee ................ | 37.4 | 86.1 | 65.5 | 63.0 | 49.8 | 64.7 | 60.1 | 46.9 |
| Ozaukee .................. | 5.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 4.3 |
| Racine ..................... | 10.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 4.1 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 9.7 |
| Walworth .................. | 6.1 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 5.1 |
| Washington .............. | 8.2 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 6.5 |
| Waukesha ................ | 23.7 | 1.7 | 10.3 | 20.9 | 18.0 | 5.1 | 10.8 | 19.3 |
| Region | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 13
HISPANIC POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1980-2010

| County | Hispanic Population: |  | Hispanic Population: |  | Hispanic Population:$2000$ |  | Hispanic Population:2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population | Number | Percent of County Total Population |
| Kenosha........... | 3,578 | 2.9 | 5,580 | 4.4 | 10,757 | 7.2 | 19,592 | 11.8 |
| Milwaukee.. | 29,343 | 3.0 | 44,671 | 4.7 | 82,406 | 8.8 | 126,039 | 13.3 |
| Ozaukee | 530 | 0.8 | 517 | 0.7 | 1,073 | 1.3 | 1,956 | 2.3 |
| Racine.. | 7,201 | 4.2 | 9,034 | 5.2 | 14,990 | 7.9 | 22,546 | 11.5 |
| Walworth. | 1,330 | 1.9 | 2,017 | 2.7 | 6,136 | 6.5 | 10,578 | 10.3 |
| Washington...... | 472 | 0.6 | 670 | 0.7 | 1,529 | 1.3 | 3,385 | 2.6 |
| Waukesha. | 3,998 | 1.4 | 5,448 | 1.8 | 9,503 | 2.6 | 16,123 | 4.1 |
| Region | 46,452 | 2.6 | 67,937 | 3.8 | 126,394 | 6.5 | 200,219 | 9.9 |


| County | Change: 1980-1990 |  | Change: 1990-2000 |  | Change: 2000-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Kenosha.... | 2,002 | 56.0 | 5,177 | 92.8 | 8,835 | 82.1 |
| Milwaukee. | 15,328 | 52.2 | 37,735 | 84.5 | 43,633 | 52.9 |
| Ozaukee | -13 | -2.5 | 556 | 107.5 | 883 | 82.3 |
| Racine.. | 1,833 | 25.5 | 5,956 | 65.9 | 7,556 | 50.4 |
| Walworth. | 687 | 51.7 | 4,119 | 204.2 | 4,442 | 72.4 |
| Washington................... | 198 | 41.9 | 859 | 128.2 | 1,856 | 121.4 |
| Waukesha..................... | 1,450 | 36.3 | 4,055 | 74.4 | 6,620 | 69.7 |
| Region | 21,485 | 46.3 | 58,457 | 86.0 | 73,825 | 58.4 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
and Racine Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 was accompanied by a decrease in the non-Hispanic White population. In Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, and Waukesha Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 exceeded the increase in the non-Hispanic White population. Despite these changes, Milwaukee County continues to account for nearly three fourths of the minority population in the Region (see Figure 6).

## HOUSEHOLDS

## Number and Size of Households

In addition to total population, the number of households, or occupied housing units, is of importance in land use planning and public facility planning insofar as it greatly influences the demand for urban land as well as the demand for transportation and other public facilities and services. A household includes all persons who occupy a housing unit-defined by the Census Bureau as a house, apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single

Table 14
MINORITY POPULATION AND NON-HISPANIC WHITE POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 2000 AND 2010

| County | 2000 Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Hispanic White Alone |  | Minority ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total County Population | Number | Percent of Total County Population | Number | Percent of Total County Population |
| Kenosha................................... | 127,287 | 85.1 | 22,290 | 14.9 | 149,577 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee ................................. | 583,481 | 62.1 | 356,683 | 37.9 | 940,164 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee................................... | 78,894 | 95.8 | 3,423 | 4.2 | 82,317 | 100.0 |
| Racine ....................................... | 150,238 | 79.6 | 38,593 | 20.4 | 188,831 | 100.0 |
| Walworth ................................. | 85,428 | 91.1 | 8,331 | 8.9 | 93,759 | 100.0 |
| Washington ............................... | 113,870 | 96.9 | 3,623 | 3.1 | 117,493 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha ................................. | 339,905 | 94.2 | 20,862 | 5.8 | 360,767 | 100.0 |
| Region | 1,479,103 | 76.5 | 453,805 | 23.5 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 |


| County | 2010 Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Hispanic White Alone |  | Minority ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent of Total County Population | Number | Percent of Total County Population | Number | Percent of Total County Population |
| Kenosha .................................. | 129,892 | 78.0 | 36,534 | 22.0 | 166,426 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee ................................ | 514,958 | 54.3 | 432,777 | 45.7 | 947,735 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee .................................. | 80,689 | 93.4 | 5,706 | 6.6 | 86,395 | 100.0 |
| Racine ..................................... | 145,414 | 74.4 | 49,994 | 25.6 | 195,408 | 100.0 |
| Walworth .................................. | 88,690 | 86.8 | 13,538 | 13.2 | 102,228 | 100.0 |
| Washington ................................ | 124,348 | 94.3 | 7,539 | 5.7 | 131,887 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha ................................. | 353,114 | 90.6 | 36,777 | 9.4 | 389,891 | 100.0 |
| Region | 1,437,105 | 71.1 | 582,865 | 28.9 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |


| County | Change in Population: 2000-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Hispanic White Alone |  | Minority ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Kenosha ................................. | 2,605 | 2.0 | 14,244 | 63.9 | 16,849 | 11.3 |
| Milwaukee ................................. | -68,523 | -11.7 | 76,094 | 21.3 | 7,571 | 0.8 |
| Ozaukee .................................. | 1,795 | 2.3 | 2,283 | 66.7 | 4,078 | 5.0 |
| Racine ...................................... | -4,824 | -3.2 | 11,401 | 29.5 | 6,577 | 3.5 |
| Walworth ................................... | 3,262 | 3.8 | 5,207 | 62.5 | 8,469 | 9.0 |
| Washington ............................... | 10,478 | 9.2 | 3,916 | 108.1 | 14,394 | 12.3 |
| Waukesha ................................. | 13,209 | 3.9 | 15,915 | 76.3 | 29,124 | 8.1 |
| Region | -41,998 | -2.8 | 129,060 | 28.4 | 87,062 | 4.5 |

NOTE: The total population by race and Hispanic origin for 2000 reported by the Census Bureau as indicated on this table differs slightly from the Census Bureau total population count presented in Tables 3 and 4.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure 6
SHARE OF TOTAL AND MINORITY POPULATION BY COUNTY: 2010


NOTE: The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African Arnerican, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawailan/Pacific Islander, some ather race, or more than one race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
room that is occupied, or intended for occupancy, as a separate living quarters. ${ }^{3}$ Persons not living in households are classified by the Census Bureau as living in group quarters, such as correctional facilities, college dormitories, and military quarters. The population in households accounts for the vast majority of the total population, comprising 97.8 percent of the total regional population in 2010. Persons residing in group quarters comprised 2.2 percent of the total population (see Table 15). This proportional relationship has been stable over the past several decades.

As indicated in Table 16, the number of households in the Region stood at 800,100 in 2010, an increase of 51,000, or 6.8 percent, over 2000. Between 2000 and 2010, each county in the Region experienced an increase in the number of households, led by Waukesha County, which gained 17,400 households, an increase of 12.9 percent.

In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region between 2000 and 2010, 6.8 percent, slightly exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 4.6 percent. Households in the Region have increased at a faster rate than the regional population for each decade going back to at least 1950. Overall since 1950, the number of households in the Region increased by about 126 percent, while the total population increased by about 63 percent. Underlying these trends is a long-term decrease in household size in the Region.

[^2]HOUSEHOLD POPULATION AND GROUP QUARTERS POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 2010

| County | Population in Households |  | Population in Group Quarters |  | Total Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Kenosha.............................. | 161,825 | 97.2 | 4,601 | 2.8 | 166,426 | 100.0 |
| Milwaukee............................. | 923,245 | 97.4 | 24,490 | 2.6 | 947,735 | 100.0 |
| Ozaukee ............................... | 84,591 | 97.9 | 1,804 | 2.1 | 86,395 | 100.0 |
| Racine................................ | 190,413 | 97.4 | 4,995 | 2.6 | 195,408 | 100.0 |
| Walworth............................... | 99,519 | 97.4 | 2,709 | 2.6 | 102,228 | 100.0 |
| Washington............................ | 130,744 | 99.1 | 1,143 | 0.9 | 131,887 | 100.0 |
| Waukesha.............................. | 384,241 | 98.6 | 5,650 | 1.4 | 389,891 | 100.0 |
| Region | 1,974,578 | 97.8 | 45,392 | 2.2 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

For the Region overall, the average household size-calculated as the household population divided by the number of households—was 2.47 persons in 2010 (see Table 17 and Figure 7). Between 2000 and 2010, the average household size in the Region decreased slightly, by about 0.05 person per household, or about 2 percent. The trend of decreasing household size goes back to at least 1950, when the average household size in the Region was 3.36 persons, with a dramatic decrease in household size occurring during the 1970s. The reduction in household size has been smaller for each succeeding decade since the 1970s.

## Household Type

Of the 800,100 households in the Region in 2010, 510,700 , or 63.8 percent, were identified in the census as "family" households. The balance, 289,400, or 36.2 percent, were identified as "nonfamily" households (see Table 18). The latter generally includes one-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit. ${ }^{4}$

Between 2000 and 2010, nonfamily households in the Region increased more rapidly than family households, in both absolute and percentage terms. This represents a continuation of a trend that goes back to at least 1970. Single-person households have accounted for much of the long-term increase in nonfamily households. By 2010, single-person households comprised about 29.1 percent of all households in the Region.

Of the total of 232,800 single-person households in the Region in 2010, about 34 percent consisted of elderly persons 65 years of age and over-about the same percentage as in 2000 and somewhat lower than in 1990 (see Table 19). About 31 percent of the Region's population age 65 and over lived alone, as single-person households, in 2010.

[^3]Table 16
HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2010

| Year | Kenosha County Households |  |  |  | Milwaukee County Households |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1950 | 21,958 | -- | -- | 6.2 | 249,232 | -- | -- | 70.3 |
| 1960 | 29,545 | 7,587 | 34.6 | 6.3 | 314,875 | 65,643 | 26.3 | 67.6 |
| 1970 | 35,468 | 5,923 | 20.0 | 6.6 | 338,605 | 23,730 | 7.5 | 63.1 |
| 1980 | 43,064 | 7,596 | 21.4 | 6.9 | 363,653 | 25,048 | 7.4 | 57.9 |
| 1990 | 47,029 | 3,965 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 373,048 | 9,395 | 2.6 | 55.2 |
| 2000 | 56,057 | 9,028 | 19.2 | 7.5 | 377,729 | 4,681 | 1.3 | 50.4 |
| 2010 | 62,650 | 6,593 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 383,591 | 5,862 | 1.6 | 47.9 |


| Year | Ozaukee County Households |  |  |  | Racine County Households |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1950 | 6,591 | -- | -- | 1.9 | 31,399 | -- | -- | 8.8 |
| 1960 | 10,417 | 3,826 | 58.0 | 2.3 | 40,736 | 9,337 | 29.7 | 8.7 |
| 1970 | 14,753 | 4,336 | 41.6 | 2.7 | 49,796 | 9,060 | 22.2 | 9.3 |
| 1980 | 21,763 | 7,010 | 47.5 | 3.5 | 59,418 | 9,622 | 19.3 | 9.5 |
| 1990 | 25,707 | 3,944 | 18.1 | 3.8 | 63,736 | 4,318 | 7.3 | 9.4 |
| 2000 | 30,857 | 5,150 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 70,819 | 7,083 | 11.1 | 9.5 |
| 2010 | 34,228 | 3,371 | 10.9 | 4.3 | 75,651 | 4,832 | 6.8 | 9.5 |


| Year | Walworth County Households |  |  |  | Washington County Households |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1950 | 12,369 | -- | -- | 3.5 | 9,396 | -- | -- | 2.6 |
| 1960 | 15,414 | 3,045 | 24.6 | 3.3 | 12,532 | 3,136 | 33.4 | 2.7 |
| 1970 | 18,544 | 3,130 | 20.3 | 3.5 | 17,385 | 4,853 | 38.7 | 3.3 |
| 1980 | 24,789 | 6,245 | 33.7 | 3.8 | 26,716 | 9,331 | 53.7 | 4.3 |
| 1990 | 27,620 | 2,831 | 11.4 | 4.1 | 32,977 | 6,261 | 23.4 | 4.9 |
| 2000 | 34,505 | 6,885 | 24.9 | 4.6 | 43,843 | 10,866 | 33.0 | 5.9 |
| 2010 | 39,699 | 5,194 | 15.1 | 5.0 | 51,605 | 7,762 | 17.7 | 6.4 |


| Year | Waukesha County Households |  |  |  | Region Households |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total | Number | Change from Preceding Census |  | Percent of Region Total |
|  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |  | Absolute | Percent |  |
| 1950 | 23,599 | -- | -- | 6.7 | 354,544 | -- | -- | 100.0 |
| 1960 | 42,394 | 18,795 | 79.6 | 9.1 | 465,913 | 111,369 | 31.4 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 61,935 | 19,541 | 46.1 | 11.5 | 536,486 | 70,573 | 15.1 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 88,552 | 26,617 | 43.0 | 14.1 | 627,955 | 91,469 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 105,990 | 17,438 | 19.7 | 15.7 | 676,107 | 48,152 | 7.7 | 100.0 |
| 2000 | 135,229 | 29,239 | 27.6 | 18.1 | 749,039 | 72,932 | 10.8 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 152,663 | 17,434 | 12.9 | 19.1 | 800,087 | 51,048 | 6.8 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. The data in this chapter was drawn from the year 2010 Federal census and prior Federal censuses. A summary of key information presented in this chapter follows.

## Population Size and Distribution

- The resident population of the Region was $2,020,000$ persons in 2010, compared to $1,931,200$ in 2000. The increase of 88,800 persons, or 4.6 percent, in the regional population between 2000 and 2010 is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970 s ( 8,700 persons) and 1980 s ( 45,600 persons)-but less than the increase of 120,800 persons experienced during the 1990s.
- In relative terms, the Region's population grew at a somewhat slower rate than that of both the State and the nation between 2000 and 2010. As a result, the Region's share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 36.0 percent to 35.5 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. The Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960.
- Each of the seven counties in the Region gained population between 2000 and 2010. Milwaukee County's increase of about 7,600 persons, or 0.8 percent, represents the County's first 10 -year increase in population since the 1960s. Among the other six counties in the Region, the relative increase in population ranged from 3.5 percent in Racine County to 12.2 percent in Washington County.
- Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased from 48.7 percent in 2000 to 46.9 percent in 2010, while the share of each of the other six counties remained about the same or increased slightly. Going back to 1950, the most notable change in the distribution of population within the Region has been the increase in Waukesha County's share, from 6.9 percent to 19.3 percent of the regional population, and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share, from 70.2 percent to 46.9 percent.


## Population Characteristics

- Growth in the regional population has been accompanied by change in the age composition. Among fiveyear age groups, growth over the past 10 years in the Region is most evident in the 50-to-54-year, the 55-to-59-year, and the 60-to-64-year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "baby-boomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 2000 and 2010 occurred in the 35-to-39-year and 40-to-44-year age groups, a reflection of baby boomers moving out of those age groups coupled with the smaller number of people born in the late 1960s and early 1970s moving into those age groups. The median age of the regional population was 37.0 years in 2010. The regional median age has increased steadily-by almost 10 years-since 1970, when the median age was 27.6 years.
- Males comprised 48.9 percent of the total regional population in 2010, while females comprised 51.1 percent. Males slightly outnumber females through early childhood and young adulthood. Differences in the gender makeup are most evident in the older age groups. Females comprised about 56 percent of the population age 60 years and over in the Region and about 65 percent of the population age 80 years and over.
- Respondents to the Federal census are given the opportunity to specify more than one race when responding to questions on racial identity. The vast majority of the Region's population ( 97.7 percent) reported only one race in the 2010 census. This includes 76.0 percent reporting White; 14.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 2.6 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.9 percent reporting some other race. About 2.3 percent of the regional population reported being of more than one race.

Table 17
HOUSEHOLDS, HOUSEHOLD POPULATION, AND AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2010

| County | Data Item | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenosha | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,958 \\ 73,707 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,545 \\ 99,381 \\ 3.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,468 \\ 115,710 \\ 3.26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,064 \\ 120,460 \\ 2.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,029 \\ 125,577 \\ 2.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,057 \\ 145,553 \\ 2.60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,650 \\ 161,825 \\ 2.58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Milwaukee | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,232 \\ 831,324 \\ 3.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314,875 \\ 1,010,342 \\ 3.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338,605 \\ 1,029,104 \\ 3.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363,653 \\ 940,172 \\ 2.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 373,048 \\ 933,426 \\ 2.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 377,729 \\ 916,054 \\ 2.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 383,591 \\ 923,245 \\ 2.41 \end{array}$ |
| Ozaukee | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,591 \\ 23,122 \\ 3.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,417 \\ 38,012 \\ 3.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,753 \\ 53,951 \\ 3.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,763 \\ 66,211 \\ 3.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,707 \\ 71,732 \\ 2.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,857 \\ 80,558 \\ 2.61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,228 \\ 84,591 \\ 2.47 \end{array}$ |
| Racine | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,399 \\ 105,761 \\ 3.37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,736 \\ 138,238 \\ 3.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49,796 \\ 166,977 \\ 3.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 59,418 \\ 170,189 \\ 2.86 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63,736 \\ 172,209 \\ 2.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,819 \\ 183,360 \\ 2.59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 75,651 \\ 190,413 \\ 2.52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Walworth | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,369 \\ 40,183 \\ 3.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,414 \\ 50,532 \\ 3.28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,544 \\ 58,534 \\ 3.16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,789 \\ 67,973 \\ 2.74 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,620 \\ 71,761 \\ 2.60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,505 \\ 88,563 \\ 2.57 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,699 \\ 99,519 \\ 2.51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Washington | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,396 \\ 33,378 \\ 3.55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,532 \\ 45,585 \\ 3.64 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,385 \\ 63,135 \\ 3.63 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,716 \\ 83,946 \\ 3.14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,977 \\ 94,271 \\ 2.86 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,843 \\ 116,198 \\ 2.65 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,605 \\ 130,744 \\ 2.53 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Waukesha | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,599 \\ 82,718 \\ 3.51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,394 \\ 155,145 \\ 3.66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,935 \\ 226,789 \\ 3.66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,552 \\ 275,616 \\ 3.11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,990 \\ 300,144 \\ 2.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135,229 \\ 355,014 \\ 2.63 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152,663 \\ 384,241 \\ 2.52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Region | Households $\qquad$ <br> Household Population $\qquad$ <br> Average Household Size $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 354,544 \\ 1,190,193 \\ 3.36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465,913 \\ 1,537,235 \\ 3.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 536,486 \\ 1,714,200 \\ 3.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 627,955 \\ 1,724,567 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 676,107 \\ 1,769,120 \\ 2.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 749,039 \\ 1,885,300 \\ 2.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800,087 \\ 1,974,578 \\ 2.47 \end{array}$ |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

- The Federal census includes questions on Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. About 200,200 persons in the Region, or 9.9 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be of Hispanic origin in the 2010 census. The Hispanic population in the Region increased by about 73,800 persons, or by 58.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010, far exceeding the rate of increase in the overall population of the Region ( 4.6 percent). Combined with a 46 percent increase during the 1980 s and 86 percent during the 1990s, the Region's Hispanic population more than quadrupled during the past three decades.
- The minority population of the Region-identified on the basis of Hispanic origin and race ${ }^{5}$-was about 582,900 persons in 2010, representing 28.9 percent of the total regional population. The minority population of the Region increased by about 129,100 persons, or 28.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010. Conversely, the non-Hispanic White population decreased by 42,000 persons, or 2.8 percent. As a result, the minority population's share of the total regional population increased from 23.5 percent in 2000 to 28.9 percent in 2010.

[^4]Figure 7
AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2010


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

- Each county in the Region experienced an increase in its minority population between 2000 and 2010. In absolute terms, the largest minority population increase by far-76,100 persons-occurred in Milwaukee County. In both Milwaukee and Racine Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 was accompanied by a decrease in the non-Hispanic White population. In Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, and Waukesha Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 exceeded the increase in the non-Hispanic White population. Milwaukee County continues to account for nearly three fourths of the minority population in the Region.


## Number of Households and Household Characteristics

- The number of households in the Region stood at 800,100 in 2010, an increase of 51,000 , or 6.8 percent, over 2000. Between 2000 and 2010, each county in the Region experienced an increase in the number of households, led by Waukesha County, which gained 17,400 households, an increase of 12.9 percent.
- In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region between 2000 and 2010, 6.8 percent, slightly exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 4.6 percent. Households in the Region have increased at a faster rate than the regional population for each decade going back to at least 1950. Overall since 1950, the number of households in the Region has increased by about 126 percent, while the total population has increased by about 63 percent.
- For the Region overall, the average household size was 2.47 persons in 2010. Between 2000 and 2010, the average household size in the Region decreased slightly, by about 0.05 person per household, or about 2 percent. The trend of decreasing household size goes back to at least 1950, when the average household size in the Region was 3.36 persons, with a dramatic decrease in average size occurring during the 1970s.

Table 18
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE IN THE REGION: 1970-2010

| Household Type | Households: 1970 |  | Households: 1980 |  | Households: 1990 |  | Households: 2000 |  | Households: 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Family Households.......... | 431,003 | 80.3 | 454,705 | 72.4 | 469,674 | 69.5 | 492,902 | 65.8 | 510,678 | 63.8 |
| Nonfamily Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Person............. | 93,102 | 17.4 | 146,477 | 23.3 | 168,759 | 25.0 | 208,546 | 27.8 | 232,818 | 29.1 |
| Other Nonfamily .......... | 12,381 | 2.3 | 26,773 | 4.3 | 37,674 | 5.5 | 47,607 | 6.4 | 56,591 | 7.1 |
| Subtotal | 105,483 | 19.7 | 173,250 | 27.6 | 206,433 | 30.5 | 256,153 | 34.2 | 289,409 | 36.2 |
| Total Households | 536,486 | 100.0 | 627,955 | 100.0 | 676,107 | 100.0 | 749,055 | 100.0 | 800,087 | 100.0 |


| Household Type | Change: $1970-1980$ |  | Change: $1980-1990$ |  | Change: 1990-2000 |  | Change: 2000-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Family Households......... | 23,702 | 5.5 | 14,969 | 3.3 | 23,228 | 4.9 | 17,776 | 3.6 |
| Nonfamily Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Person........... | 53,375 | 57.3 | 22,282 | 15.2 | 39,787 | 23.6 | 24,272 | 11.6 |
| Other Nonfamily ........ | 14,392 | 116.2 | 10,901 | 40.7 | 9,933 | 26.4 | 8,984 | 18.9 |
| Subtotal | 67,767 | 64.2 | 33,183 | 19.2 | 49,720 | 24.1 | 33,256 | 13.0 |
| Total Households | 91,469 | 17.0 | 48,152 | 7.7 | 72,948 | 10.8 | 51,032 | 6.8 |

NOTE: The total number of households by type for 2000 reported by the Census Bureau as indicated on this table differs slightly from the Census Bureau count of total households presented in Tables 16 and 17.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 19
SINGLE-PERSON HOUSEHOLDS BY AGE IN THE REGION: 1990, 2000, AND 2010

| Age of Householder | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2010 |  | Change: 1990-2000 |  | Change: 2000-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Under 65 years .............. | 102,069 | 60.5 | 136,465 | 65.4 | 153,133 | 65.8 | 34,396 | 33.7 | 16,668 | 12.2 |
| 65 Years and over........... | 66,690 | 39.5 | 72,081 | 34.6 | 79,685 | 34.2 | 5,391 | 8.1 | 7,604 | 10.5 |
| Total | 168,759 | 100.0 | 208,546 | 100.0 | 232,818 | 100.0 | 39,787 | 23.6 | 24,272 | 11.6 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

- Of the 800,100 households in the Region in $2010,510,700$, or 63.8 percent, were identified in the census as "family" households. The balance, 289,400 , or 36.2 percent, were identified as "nonfamily" households. The latter generally includes single-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit.
- Between 2000 and 2010, nonfamily households in the Region increased more rapidly than family households, in both absolute and percentage terms. This represents a continuation of a trend that goes back to at least 1970, when family households and nonfamily households comprised 80.3 percent and 19.7 percent, respectively, of all households in the Region. Single-person households account for much of the long-term increase in nonfamily households in the Region. By 2010, there were 232,800 single-person households, comprising about 29.1 percent of all households in the Region. About one-third of the singleperson households in the Region consisted of elderly persons 65 years of age and over.


## Chapter III

## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE

## INTRODUCTION

The population of an area such as the Southeastern Wisconsin Region is constantly changing with the occurrence of births and deaths and with the inflow and outflow of persons migrating from one area to another. Population increases result from births and in-migration of persons; population decreases result from deaths and outmigration of persons. The balance between births and deaths is termed "natural increase" and the balance between in-migration and out-migration is termed "net migration." Information on past trends in natural increase and net migration provides insight into the causal factors underlying the historic population changes described in the previous chapter of this report. In addition, such information provides part of the basis upon which projections of future population levels may be made.

This chapter, then, examines the levels and rates of natural increase and migration which underlie the changes in the population of the Region described in the previous chapter. The first section of this chapter describes overall trends in natural increase and net migration in the Region. The second section analyzes the basic components of natural increase-namely, births and deaths-in greater detail. The third section examines population migration in greater detail.

## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE—OVERVIEW

As noted above, population change can be attributed to natural increase and net migration. Natural increase is the balance between births and deaths in an area over a given period of time; it can be measured directly from historical records on the number of births and deaths for an area. Net migration is the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period of time; as a practical matter, net migration is often determined as a derived number, obtained by subtracting natural increase from total population change for the time period concerned.

The historic trend in natural increase and net migration is presented for the Region and its counties in Table 20 and Figures 8 and 9. Information presented here on total population change is from the decennial censuses; information on natural increase is from records of births and deaths maintained by the Wisconsin Department of Health Services; and information on net migration is derived, as noted above.

The population of the Region increased from 1,931,200 in 2000 to $2,020,000$ in 2010. The overall population increase of 88,800 persons in the Region during this time was the result of a natural increase of about 109,200 and a net out-migration of about 20,400 . Over the past four decades, the level of natural increase in the Region has

## Table 20

LEVELS OF POPULATION CHANGE, NATURAL INCREASE, AND NET MIGRATION FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2010

| County | 1920-1930 |  |  | 1930-1940 |  |  | 1940-1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration |
| Kenosha................... | 11,993 | 7,571 | 4,422 | 228 | 4,391 | -4,163 | 11,733 | 8,090 | 3,643 |
| Milwaukee................. | 185,814 | 61,736 | 124,078 | 41,622 | 49,309 | -7,687 | 104,162 | 84,690 | 19,472 |
| Ozaukee ................... | 1,059 | 1,435 | -376 | 1,591 | 230 | 1,361 | 4,376 | 2,567 | 1,809 |
| Racine...................... | 11,256 | 8,867 | 2,389 | 3,830 | 5,725 | -1,895 | 15,538 | 11,351 | 4,187 |
| Walworth.................. | 1,731 | 1,005 | 726 | 2,045 | 2 | 2,043 | 8,481 | 2,349 | 6,132 |
| Washington | 838 | 2,148 | -1,310 | 1,879 | 1,756 | 123 | 5,472 | 3,656 | 1,816 |
| Waukesha................ | 9,746 | 2,651 | 7,095 | 10,386 | 2,145 | 8,241 | 23,157 | 8,424 | 14,733 |
| Region | 222,437 | 85,413 | 137,024 | 61,581 | 63,558 | -1,977 | 172,919 | 121,127 | 51,792 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1950-1960 |  |  | 1960-1970 |  |  | 1970-1980 |  |
| County | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration |
| Kenosha.......... | 25,377 | 13,931 | 11,446 | 17,302 | 15,125 | 2,177 | 5,220 | 7,746 | -2,526 |
| Milwaukee................ | 164,994 | 150,141 | 14,853 | 18,208 | 122,192 | -103,984 | -89,261 | 60,105 | -149,366 |
| Ozaukee ................... | 15,080 | 5,926 | 9,154 | 16,020 | 6,090 | 9,930 | 12,520 | 4,798 | 7,722 |
| Racine | 32,196 | 21,473 | 10,723 | 29,057 | 20,441 | 8,616 | 2,294 | 12,842 | -10,548 |
| Walworth. | 10,784 | 5,733 | 5,051 | 11,076 | 4,685 | 6,391 | 8,063 | 2,451 | 5,612 |
| Washington | 12,217 | 7,501 | 4,716 | 17,720 | 8,122 | 9,598 | 21,009 | 7,163 | 13,846 |
| Waukesha................ | 72,348 | 19,746 | 52,602 | 73,086 | 25,699 | 47,387 | 48,868 | 18,011 | 30,857 |
| Region | 332,996 | 224,451 | 108,545 | 182,469 | 202,354 | -19,885 | 8,713 | 113,116 | -104,403 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1980-1990 |  |  | 1990-2000 |  |  | 2000-2010 |  |
| County | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration | Population Change | Natural Increase | Net <br> Migration |
| Kenosha................... | 5,044 | 8,177 | -3,133 | 21,396 | 9,365 | 12,031 | 16,849 | 9,028 | 7,821 |
| Milwaukee................. | -5,713 | 69,529 | -75,242 | -19,111 | 64,145 | -83,256 | 7,571 | 64,589 | -57,018 |
| Ozaukee ................... | 5,850 | 5,141 | 709 | 9,486 | 3,916 | 5,570 | 4,078 | 2,156 | 1,922 |
| Racine...................... | 1,902 | 13,720 | -11,818 | 13,797 | 11,127 | 2,670 | 6,577 | 10,463 | -3,886 |
| Walworth.................. | 3,493 | 2,939 | 554 | 17,013 | 2,592 | 14,421 | 10,215 | 3,508 | 6,707 |
| Washington............... | 10,480 | 7,756 | 2,724 | 22,168 | 7,159 | 15,009 | 14,391 | 6,195 | 8,196 |
| Waukesha................ | 24,512 | 20,068 | 4,444 | 56,052 | 18,582 | 37,470 | 29,124 | 13,302 | 15,822 |
| Region | 45,568 | 127,330 | -81,762 | 120,801 | 116,886 | 3,915 | 88,805 | 109,241 | -20,436 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.
been relatively stable, averaging about 116,600 per decade. This is significantly lower than levels of natural increase of more than 200,000 observed during the 1950s and 1960s, which include much of the post-World War II baby-boom era (See Figure 8).

In contrast to the relative stability in natural increase over the past four decades, net migration for the Region has varied considerably. The net out-migration of 20,400 persons during the 2000s follows a net in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s, a net out-migration of 81,800 persons during the 1980s, and a net out-migration of 104,400 persons during the 1970s.

Figure 8
COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE IN THE REGION: 1920-2010


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

The above-described trends in natural increase and net migration provide insight into the overall change in the Region's population. The next two sections of this chapter examine these components of population change in greater detail.

## NATURAL INCREASE

As noted above, natural increase is the balance between births and deaths in an area over a given period of time. Since 1920, the registration of births and deaths has been relatively complete in Wisconsin. Historic trends in births and deaths, and the resultant natural increase, are presented by decade for the period 1920 to 2010 for the Region and its counties in Table 21. Annual data on births, deaths, and natural increase for the Region are presented in Figure 10.

During the period from 1920 to 2010, the number of births in the Region reached a high in 1960, about 40,000 births annually. After 1960, the annual births in the Region decreased sharply, to a level of about 25,000 births per year in the early 1970s. Over the past four decades, the number of births per year has been relatively stable, averaging about 27,300 annually. The recent decrease in the number of births in 2009 and 2010 shown on Figure 10 coincides with the national economic downturn, which officially began in December 2007.

The number of deaths per year in the Region has risen very gradually throughout the period-from about 10,000 deaths per year in the 1920s to about 16,400 per year during the 2000s. Year-to-year fluctuations in the number of deaths have been relatively small. Historically, because of the relatively stable trend in the number of deaths in the Region, the trend in the natural increase component of population change has been very similar to the trend in births (see Figure 10).

Figure 9
COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2010


The historic information on the number of births and deaths-and the attendant natural increase-described above provides insight into historic population trends in the Region. Additional insight is obtained through the calculation of birth rates and death rates. Age-specific birth rates (or "fertility rates") for the female population and age-specific death rates (or "mortality rates") for the general population are particularly useful in this respect. Trends in these rates for the Region are described below.

## Fertility Rates

One measure of fertility, referred to as the "age-specific fertility rate," is defined as the number of births per year occurring to 1,000 women of a given age. It is typically calculated for five-year age groups for women between the ages of 15 and 44 , since women between 15 and 44 include nearly all women who bear children. In accordance with generally accepted procedures, in the calculation of birth rates in this report, births to females under age 15 are included in the 15-to-19-year age group, while births to females age 45 and over are included in the 40 -to- 44 -year age group. ${ }^{1}$

Age-specific fertility rates for the Region are presented in Table 22 and Figure 11. As indicated, the fertility rates for females age 24 and under in the Region decreased between 2000 and 2010. The fertility rates for females age 30 and over increased somewhat.

Another measure of fertility, referred to as the "total fertility rate," may be calculated from the age-specific fertility rates. When fertility rates are based upon five-year age groups, as in this report, the total fertility rate is calculated as the sum of the age-specific fertility rates multiplied by a factor of five, reflecting the fact that a woman is in each age group for five years. The resulting total fertility rate is often expressed in terms of births per woman, rather than per 1,000 women. The total fertility rate indicates the number of children that a woman would bear if she were to complete her reproductive life at the age-specific fertility rates for a particular point in time. A total fertility rate of 2.1 is referred to as "replacement fertility" because, at this rate, the population is producing just enough births to sustain its size through natural increase alone.

Total fertility rates for the Region and its counties for the period from 1960 through 2010 are presented in Table 23 and Figure 12. The significant reduction in the total fertility rate between 1960 and 1980 reflects the previously described decrease in births in the Region during the 1960s and early 1970s. The total fertility rate for the Region has been relatively stable since 1990. Thus, the total fertility rate for the Region was 1.95 in 2010-slightly lower than the rates of 2.04 in 2000 and 1.98 in 1990. Among the counties in the Region, the total fertility rate in 2010 ranged from 1.76 in Walworth County to 2.16 in Racine County.

## Mortality Rates

Mortality rates, expressed as the number of deaths per 1,000 persons per year, are presented for four broad age groups of the Region's population for the period 1960 to 2010 in Table 24 and Figure 13. The long-term trend in mortality rates for each of these age groups for males and females combined has been one of gradual decline. Historically, the male mortality rate exceeds the female rate for each age group.

County-level mortality rates for 2010 are presented by age and gender in Table 25. As indicated, for the population under 75 years of age, mortality rates were generally somewhat higher for Kenosha, Milwaukee, and Racine Counties than for the other counties in the Region.

[^5]Table 21
LIVE BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND NATURAL INCREASE FOR THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2010

| County | 1920-1930 |  |  | 1930-1940 |  |  | 1940-1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase |
| Kenosha...... | 12,433 | 4,862 | 7,571 | 9,508 | 5,117 | 4,391 | 14,203 | 6,113 | 8,090 |
| Milwaukee...... | 132,260 | 70,524 | 61,736 | 122,145 | 72,836 | 49,309 | 163,512 | 78,822 | 84,690 |
| Ozaukee ....... | 3,005 | 1,570 | 1,435 | 2,127 | 1,897 | 230 | 4,525 | 1,958 | 2,567 |
| Racine. | 17,272 | 8,405 | 8,867 | 14,539 | 8,814 | 5,725 | 20,905 | 9,554 | 11,351 |
| Walworth. | 4,462 | 3,457 | 1,005 | 3,970 | 3,968 | 2 | 6,807 | 4,458 | 2,349 |
| Washington..... | 4,877 | 2,729 | 2,148 | 4,697 | 2,941 | 1,756 | 6,674 | 3,018 | 3,656 |
| Waukesha................ | 8,450 | 5,799 | 2,651 | 8,400 | 6,255 | 2,145 | 15,558 | 7,134 | 8,424 |
| Region | 182,759 | 97,346 | 85,413 | 165,386 | 101,828 | 63,558 | 232,184 | 111,057 | 121,127 |


| County | 1950-1960 |  |  | 1960-1970 |  |  | 1970-1980 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase |
| Kenosha....... | 21,768 | 7,837 | 13,931 | 24,546 | 9,421 | 15,125 | 17,839 | 10,093 | 7,746 |
| Milwaukee................ | 240,017 | 89,876 | 150,141 | 222,868 | 100,676 | 122,192 | 155,863 | 95,758 | 60,105 |
| Ozaukee ................. | 8,380 | 2,454 | 5,926 | 9,180 | 3,090 | 6,090 | 8,613 | 3,815 | 4,798 |
| Racine.. | 32,748 | 11,275 | 21,473 | 33,744 | 13,303 | 20,441 | 26,645 | 13,803 | 12,842 |
| Walworth.. | 10,965 | 5,232 | 5,733 | 10,657 | 5,972 | 4,685 | 8,902 | 6,451 | 2,451 |
| Washington............... | 10,921 | 3,420 | 7,501 | 12,458 | 4,336 | 8,122 | 12,295 | 5,132 | 7,163 |
| Waukesha................ | 28,863 | 9,117 | 19,746 | 38,592 | 12,893 | 25,699 | 33,372 | 15,361 | 18,011 |
| Region | 353,662 | 129,211 | 224,451 | 352,045 | 149,691 | 202,354 | 263,529 | 150,413 | 113,116 |


| County | 1980-1990 |  |  | 1990-2000 |  |  | 2000-2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase |
| Kenosha.. | 18,733 | 10,556 | 8,177 | 20,850 | 11,485 | 9,365 | 21,589 | 12,561 | 9,028 |
| Milwaukee. | 161,475 | 91,946 | 69,529 | 155,081 | 90,936 | 64,145 | 149,234 | 84,645 | 64,589 |
| Ozaukee ........ | 9,542 | 4,401 | 5,141 | 9,318 | 5,402 | 3,916 | 8,557 | 6,401 | 2,156 |
| Racine.. | 27,343 | 13,623 | 13,720 | 26,160 | 15,033 | 11,127 | 25,922 | 15,459 | 10,463 |
| Walworth........ | 9,614 | 6,675 | 2,939 | 10,010 | 7,418 | 2,592 | 11,742 | 8,234 | 3,508 |
| Washington.............. | 13,619 | 5,863 | 7,756 | 14,346 | 7,187 | 7,159 | 14,992 | 8,797 | 6,195 |
| Waukesha................. | 38,078 | 18,010 | 20,068 | 41,114 | 22,532 | 18,582 | 41,623 | 28,321 | 13,302 |
| Region | 278,404 | 151,074 | 127,330 | 276,879 | 159,993 | 116,886 | 273,659 | 164,418 | 109,241 |

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health Services and SEWRPC.

## MIGRATION

## Net Migration

As noted earlier in this chapter, net migration is the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period. It is often quantified by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change for a given time period. It should be noted that this approach only tabulates "net" migration; it does not indicate the magnitude of inflows and outflows of people for a given area.

Data on net migration for the Region were previously presented in the overview of the components of population change presented earlier in this chapter. These data are re-presented for ease of reference in Table 26. As indicated in that table, the Region as a whole experienced a net out-migration of 20,400 persons between 2000

Figure 10
LIVE BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND NATURAL INCREASE FOR THE REGION: 1920-2010


Source: Wisconsin Department of Health Services and SEWRPC.
and 2010. This follows a modest net in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s. With the exception of 1990s, the Region has experienced a net out-migration of population each decade going back to 1960, with particularly high levels of out-migration occurring during the 1970s (104,400 persons) and 1980s (81,800 persons).

Between 2000 and 2010, five counties in the Region-Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha-experienced a net in-migration of population. Conversely, Racine County experienced a modest net out-migration of about 3,900 persons. Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration ( 57,000 persons) for the fifth consecutive decade. Though of considerable magnitude, this represents the lowest net out-migration experienced by Milwaukee County over the past five decades. Despite their net out-migrations, Milwaukee and Racine Counties both experienced gains in total population during the 2000s owing to significant natural increase.

## County-to-County Migration

County-to-county migration data, developed jointly by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the U.S. Bureau of the Census, provide an indication of population movement from county to county within the Nation. These data are developed annually based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual taxpayers.

Like all migration-related data, the county-to-county migration data set has certain limitations. Perhaps most importantly, this data set does not reflect the in-migration of foreign-born individuals directly from abroad inasmuch as there would be no prior tax return. Because of this, the data set primarily reflects domestic migration.

Table 22
AGE-SPECIFIC FERTILITY RATES IN THE REGION: 1960-2010

| Year | Births per 1,000 Women per Year by Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 |
| 1960 | 66.9 | 267.3 | 228.7 | 133.9 | 66.8 | 18.8 |
| 1970 | 48.7 | 154.0 | 148.5 | 78.4 | 34.9 | 9.3 |
| 1980 | 45.9 | 105.9 | 118.4 | 64.9 | 19.4 | 3.6 |
| 1990 | 61.5 | 102.4 | 117.9 | 81.0 | 28.9 | 4.8 |
| 2000 | 49.0 | 100.3 | 112.3 | 99.0 | 40.3 | 7.6 |
| 2010 | 34.8 | 84.3 | 110.9 | 104.2 | 46.1 | 9.4 |

NOTE: The rates for 1960-2000 are based upon the three-year average number of births for each point in time in order to minimize the effects of extreme values in a single year. For example, in the calculation of fertility rates for 2000, the three-year average number of births for 1999 , 2000, and 2001 for each child-bearing age group was divided by the year 2000 census population for that group. The rates for 2010 are based upon the number of births for each child-bearing age group during the one-year period centered on the April 1,2010 , census divided by the 2010 census population for the age group. The three-year average number of births centered on the year 2010 was not available at the time of the preparation of this report.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 11
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[^6]Table 23
TOTAL FERTILITY RATES IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1960-2010

|  | Total Fertility Rate (Births per Woman) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kenosha | Milwaukee | Ozaukee | Racine | Walworth | Washington | Waukesha | Region |  |
| 1960 | 4.319 | 3.776 | 4.387 | 4.093 | 3.901 | 4.630 | 4.143 | 3.911 |  |
| 1970 | 2.375 | 2.362 | 2.539 | 2.436 | 2.148 | 2.676 | 2.335 | 2.369 |  |
| 1980 | 1.708 | 1.786 | 1.878 | 1.944 | 1.612 | 2.042 | 1.765 | 1.792 |  |
| 1990 | 2.077 | 2.091 | 1.800 | 2.051 | 1.616 | 1.854 | 1.748 | 1.982 |  |
| 2000 | 2.059 | 2.076 | 1.869 | 2.165 | 1.808 | 2.015 | 1.961 | 2.043 |  |
| 2010 | 1.905 | 1.979 | 1.819 | 2.158 | 1.760 | 1.963 | 1.871 | 1.949 |  |

NOTE: The total fertility rate represents the number of children that one woman would bear if she completed her reproductive life at the agespecific fertility rates for the year indicated. Like the age-specific fertility rates presented in Table 22, the total fertility rates presented in this table for 1960-2000 are based upon three-year average births, while the total fertility rates for 2010 are based upon birth data for one year.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 12
TOTAL FERTILITY RATES IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1960-2010


[^7]Table 24
AGE AND GENDER SPECIFIC MORTALITY RATES IN THE REGION: 1960-2010

| Year | Deaths per 1,000 Persons per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 0-44 Years |  |  | Age 45-64 Years |  |  | Age 65-74 Years |  |  | Age 75 Years and Over |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1960 | 2.26 | 1.52 | 1.89 | 14.22 | 7.43 | 10.78 | 49.76 | 29.27 | 38.91 | 121.49 | 98.89 | 108.30 |
| 1970 | 1.76 | 1.13 | 1.44 | 13.83 | 6.80 | 10.18 | 48.06 | 24.23 | 34.62 | 113.62 | 85.14 | 96.18 |
| 1980 | 1.42 | 0.81 | 1.12 | 11.32 | 6.08 | 8.61 | 39.80 | 20.64 | 28.77 | 110.46 | 75.95 | 87.82 |
| 1990 | 1.50 | 0.75 | 1.13 | 9.19 | 5.55 | 7.31 | 33.92 | 19.55 | 25.80 | 101.34 | 76.29 | 84.67 |
| 2000 | 1.31 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 7.59 | 4.42 | 5.97 | 30.60 | 19.29 | 24.35 | 93.82 | 78.37 | 83.84 |
| 2010 | 1.18 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 6.84 | 4.49 | 5.64 | 23.00 | 15.48 | 18.93 | 85.45 | 74.06 | 78.35 |

NOTE: The rates for 1960-2000 are based upon the three-year average number of deaths for each point in time in order to minimize the effects of extreme values in a single year. For example, in the calculation of mortality rates for 2000, the three-year average number of deaths for 1999,2000 , and 2001 for each age-sex group was divided by the year 2000 census population for that group. The rates for 2010 are based upon the number of deaths for the one-year period centered on the April 1, 2010, census for each age-sex group, divided by the year 2010 census population for that group. The three-year average number of deaths centered on the year 2010 was not available at the time of the preparation of this report.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

Figure 13
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Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

Table 25
AGE AND GENDER SPECIFIC MORTALITY RATES IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 2010

| County | Deaths per 1,000 Persons per Year by Age and Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 0-44 Years |  |  | Age 45-64 Years |  |  | Age 65-74 Years |  |  | Age 75 Years and Over |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Kenosha.............. | 1.22 | 0.57 | 0.90 | 6.45 | 5.29 | 5.87 | 27.02 | 14.99 | 20.64 | 89.91 | 72.10 | 78.81 |
| Milwaukee ........... | 1.43 | 0.76 | 1.09 | 9.03 | 5.82 | 7.37 | 27.73 | 18.00 | 22.29 | 88.99 | 74.60 | 79.77 |
| Ozaukee ............. | 0.68 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 4.37 | 2.85 | 3.59 | 17.84 | 9.71 | 13.53 | 86.15 | 77.65 | 81.04 |
| Racine................ | 1.07 | 0.70 | 0.89 | 6.98 | 3.82 | 5.39 | 22.03 | 15.41 | 18.50 | 85.77 | 71.35 | 77.02 |
| Walworth............. | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.75 | 6.01 | 3.99 | 5.00 | 17.11 | 14.62 | 15.82 | 84.15 | 83.33 | 83.66 |
| Washington......... | 0.97 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 4.86 | 3.22 | 4.04 | 19.22 | 14.54 | 16.74 | 73.53 | 72.32 | 72.80 |
| Waukesha........... | 0.79 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 4.28 | 2.88 | 3.57 | 18.13 | 12.77 | 15.28 | 80.85 | 72.26 | 75.62 |
| Region | 1.18 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 6.84 | 4.49 | 5.64 | 23.00 | 15.48 | 18.93 | 85.45 | 74.06 | 78.35 |

NOTE: The mortality rates in this table are based upon the number of deaths for the one-year period centered on the April 1, 2010, census for each agesex group, divided by the year 2010 census population for that group.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

Table 26
NET MIGRATION OF POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1920-2010

| County | Net Population Migration by Decade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1920- \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930- \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1940- \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980- \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kenosha.................. | 4,422 | -4,163 | 3,643 | 11,446 | 2,177 | -2,526 | -3,133 | 12,031 | 7,821 |
| Milwaukee............... | 124,078 | -7,687 | 19,472 | 14,853 | -103,984 | -149,366 | -75,242 | -83,256 | -57,018 |
| Ozaukee ................. | -376 | 1,361 | 1,809 | 9,154 | 9,930 | 7,722 | 709 | 5,570 | 1,922 |
| Racine....................... | 2,389 | -1,895 | 4,187 | 10,723 | 8,616 | -10,548 | -11,818 | 2,670 | -3,886 |
| Walworth.................... | 726 | 2,043 | 6,132 | 5,051 | 6,391 | 5,612 | 554 | 14,421 | 6,707 |
| Washington............... | -1,310 | 123 | 1,816 | 4,716 | 9,598 | 13,846 | 2,724 | 15,009 | 8,196 |
| Waukesha................ | 7,095 | 8,241 | 14,733 | 52,602 | 47,387 | 30,857 | 4,444 | 37,470 | 15,822 |
| Region | 137,024 | -1,977 | 51,792 | 108,545 | -19,885 | -104,403 | -81,762 | 3,915 | -20,436 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

In addition, because the county-to-county migration data is developed on the basis of year-to-year changes in addresses on individual income tax returns, it does not capture the movement of individuals who do not file an income tax return and cannot be claimed as an exemption on another person's income tax return. Likewise the data does not capture the movement of individuals with certain tax filing status changes. Finally, information regarding county-to-county movements is suppressed when county-to-county movements involve fewer than 10 tax filers in a year. These limitations notwithstanding, the data set is considered to provide a good indication of county-to-county population migration patterns.

Table 27 presents a 10-year summary of the county-to-county migration data for the Region's counties relative to each other, the remainder of Wisconsin, and the remainder of the Nation outside Wisconsin. The 10 -year summary is the sum of county-to-county moves identified each year between 2000 and 2010. The data reflects multiple moves of persons who moved between counties more than once during the decade.

As indicated on Table 27, the movement of people from the Region to the balance of the State during the 2000s exceeded the movement from the balance of the State to the Region by about 30,200 . The movement of people from the Region to other parts of the Nation (excluding Wisconsin) exceeded the movement from the Nation to the Region by about 7,900.

Within the Region, the most notable county-to-county migration pattern evident on Table 27 is the net movement of people from Milwaukee to adjacent counties. While there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County between 2000 and 2010, this was exceeded by the movement of people in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. Thus, the migration data indicate the net movement of 7,200 persons from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee County; 5,400 persons from Milwaukee County to Racine County; 9,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Washington County; and 30,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Waukesha County.

Similar county-to-county migration data for the previous 10 years-from 1990 to 2000-is presented in Table 28. From a comparison of Tables 27 and 28, it is evident that there was a decrease in the net movement of people from the Region to the balance of Wisconsin from the 1990s to the 2000s. Within the Region, there was also a decrease in the net movement of people from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties during that time.

Table 29 presents the pattern of migration between the Region and the northern Illinois counties of Cook, Lake, and McHenry between 2000 and 2010, based upon the county-to-county migration data. Particularly noteworthy is the reported net movement of people from those Illinois counties into Kenosha and Walworth Counties. The migration data indicate the net movement of 17,200 persons from those three Illinois Counties to Kenosha County and the net movement of 4,800 persons from those Counties to Walworth County between 2000 and 2010. In addition, the migration data indicate the net movement from Northern Illinois of about 2,400 people to Milwaukee County, 1,800 people to Racine County, 1,100 people to Waukesha County, and 300 people to Ozaukee and Washington Counties combined, during the 2000s. This generally represents a continuation of migration patterns between the Region's counties and Northern Illinois observed during the 1990s (See Table 30).

## Migration from Other Countries

Information regarding the foreign-born population available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides some insight into the extent of population migration from other countries. As defined by the Census Bureau, the foreign-born population includes persons who were not a U.S. citizen at birth.

The Census Bureau's 2006-2010 American Community Survey ${ }^{2}$ indicates a total of 131,200 foreign-born persons in the Region, of whom about 43,400 were reported to have entered the U.S. in or after the year 2000 (see Table 31). Of those who entered the U.S. in or after 2000, about 56 percent were from Latin America and the Caribbean, 25 percent from Asia, 12 percent from Europe, and 7 percent from other places (see Table 32). These patterns are generally similar to those reported for the Region in the 2000 decennial census for the period from 1990 to 2000. Information regarding the number of individuals who migrated from the Region to other countries is not available.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented information regarding the levels and rates of natural increase and migration which underlie the changes in the Region's population. A summary of key information presented in this chapter follows.

[^8]Table 27
COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION DATA FOR 2000-2010: REGION COUNTIES RELATIVE TO EACH OTHER, WISCONSIN, AND THE NATION

| Geographic Area | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County .......................... | -- | 4,210 | 110 | 13,030 | 3,140 | 240 | 1,160 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ........................ | 3,500 | -- | 16,840 | 18,730 | 3,910 | 18,280 | 82,640 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County.......................... | 100 | 9,610 | -- | 330 | 140 | 5,300 | 2,540 | -- |
| From Racine County ............................ | 10,060 | 13,330 | 350 | -- | 3,980 | 620 | 4,940 | -- |
| From Walworth County ......................... | 2,180 | 3,270 | 70 | 3,080 | -- | 270 | 3,910 | -- |
| From Washington County ...................... | 110 | 9,030 | 4,280 | 460 | 310 | -- | 8,640 | -- |
| From Waukesha County ........................ | 970 | 52,300 | 2,610 | 5,230 | 4,960 | 12,630 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin................ | 2,030 | 40,300 | 5,020 | 3,430 | 6,890 | 10,750 | 21,820 | 90,240 |
| From Remainder of United States........... | 36,210 | 73,210 | 1,980 | 7,630 | 12,350 | 1,110 | 21,380 | 153,870 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government)......... | 1,050 | 4,500 | 280 | 500 | 250 | 200 | 1,280 | 8,060 |
| Total In-Migration | 56,210 | 209,760 | 31,540 | 52,420 | 35,930 | 49,400 | 148,310 | 252,170 |


| Geographic Area | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From Racine County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Kenosha County ............................ | -- | 3,500 | 100 | 10,060 | 2,180 | 110 | 970 | -- |
| To Milwaukee County .......................... | 4,210 | -- | 9,610 | 13,330 | 3,270 | 9,030 | 52,300 | -- |
| To Ozaukee County ........................... | 110 | 16,840 | -- | 350 | 70 | 4,280 | 2,610 | -- |
| To Racine County ......................... | 13,030 | 18,730 | 330 | -- | 3,080 | 460 | 5,230 | -- |
| To Walworth County ............................ | 3,140 | 3,910 | 140 | 3,980 | -- | 310 | 4,960 | -- |
| To Washington County .................. | 240 | 18,280 | 5,300 | 620 | 270 | -- | 12,630 | -- |
| To Waukesha County ......................... | 1,160 | 82,640 | 2,540 | 4,940 | 3,910 | 8,640 | -- | -- |
| To Remainder of Wisconsin.. | 3,900 | 47,070 | 6,940 | 7,240 | 9,620 | 15,660 | 30,030 | 120,460 |
| To Remainder of United States............... | 19,860 | 94,100 | 2,500 | 8,250 | 7,660 | 1,770 | 27,570 | 161,710 |
| To Abroad (Military/Government)............ | 790 | 3,220 | 160 | 650 | 210 | 220 | 1,300 | 6,550 |
| Total Out-Migration | 46,440 | 288,290 | 27,620 | 49,420 | 30,270 | 40,480 | 137,600 | 288,720 |


| Geographic Area | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County ......................... | -- | 710 | 10 | 2,970 | 960 | 130 | 190 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ...................... | -710 | -- | 7,230 | 5,400 | 640 | 9,250 | 30,340 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County ......................... | -10 | -7,230 | -- | -20 | 70 | 1,020 | -70 | -- |
| From Racine County ........................... | -2,970 | -5,400 | 20 | -- | 900 | 160 | -290 | -- |
| From Walworth County ........................ | -960 | -640 | -70 | -900 | -- | -40 | -1,050 | -- |
| From Washington County ...................... | -130 | -9,250 | -1,020 | -160 | 40 | -- | -3,990 | -- |
| From Waukesha County ........................ | -190 | -30,340 | 70 | 290 | 1,050 | 3,990 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin.............. | -1,870 | -6,770 | -1,920 | -3,810 | -2,730 | -4,910 | -8,210 | -30,220 |
| From Remainder of United States........... | 16,350 | -20,890 | -520 | -620 | 4,690 | -660 | -6,190 | -7,840 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government)......... | 260 | 1,280 | 120 | -150 | 40 | -20 | -20 | 1,510 |
| Total Net Migration | 9,770 | -78,530 | 3,920 | 3,000 | 5,660 | 8,920 | 10,710 | -36,550 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual tax payers.

Because the movement of persons presented above are based upon Federal income tax returns, the data set generally does not reflect the in-migration of foreignborn individuals directly from abroad.

Source: "County-to-County Migration Data" from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and U.S. Bureau of the Census; and SEWRPC.

## Table 28

COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION DATA FOR 1990-2000: REGION COUNTIES RELATIVE TO EACH OTHER, WISCONSIN, AND THE NATION

| Geographic Area | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To <br> Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To <br> Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County .......................... | -- | 3,240 | 20 | 10,180 | 2,580 | 150 | 900 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ........................ | 3,120 | -- | 20,110 | 17,380 | 4,130 | 23,190 | 102,190 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County......................... | 70 | 9,970 | -- | 260 | 70 | 5,870 | 2,760 | -- |
| From Racine County ........................... | 8,200 | 12,290 | 400 | -- | 3,940 | 520 | 4,360 | -- |
| From Walworth County ......................... | 1,660 | 2,700 | 60 | 2,870 | -- | 260 | 3,350 | -- |
| From Washington County ..................... | 160 | 8,990 | 4,080 | 430 | 240 | -- | 8,430 | -- |
| From Waukesha County ........................ | 810 | 52,530 | 2,610 | 4,910 | 4,540 | 11,800 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin................ | 4,800 | 38,680 | 6,610 | 6,240 | 9,520 | 12,170 | 23,030 | 101,050 |
| From Remainder of United States............ | 49,530 | 113,840 | 13,210 | 26,380 | 21,780 | 11,280 | 52,220 | 288,240 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government)......... | 930 | 5,410 | 400 | 820 | 250 | 230 | 1,360 | 9,400 |
| Total In-Migration | 69,280 | 247,650 | 47,500 | 69,470 | 47,050 | 65,470 | 198,600 | 398,690 |


| Geographic Area | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From <br> Racine County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Kenosha County ........................... | -- | 3,120 | 70 | 8,200 | 1,660 | 160 | 810 | -- |
| To Milwaukee County ......................... | 3,240 | -- | 9,970 | 12,290 | 2,700 | 8,990 | 52,530 | -- |
| To Ozaukee County .................... | 20 | 20,110 | -- | 400 | 60 | 4,080 | 2,610 | -- |
| To Racine County .............................. | 10,180 | 17,380 | 260 | -- | 2,870 | 430 | 4,910 | -- |
| To Walworth County .................... | 2,580 | 4,130 | 70 | 3,940 | -- | 240 | 4,540 | -- |
| To Washington County ........................ | 150 | 23,190 | 5,870 | 520 | 260 | -- | 11,800 | -- |
| To Waukesha County ........................... | 900 | 102,190 | 2,760 | 4,360 | 3,350 | 8,430 | -- | -- |
| To Remainder of Wisconsin................... | 8,470 | 56,450 | 9,860 | 12,480 | 11,910 | 18,390 | 33,160 | 150,720 |
| To Remainder of United States............... | 34,860 | 128,990 | 13,560 | 28,450 | 15,480 | 11,210 | 53,150 | 285,700 |
| To Abroad (Military/Government)............ | 520 | 3,430 | 210 | 650 | 210 | 210 | 1,200 | 6,430 |
| Total Out-Migration | 60,920 | 358,990 | 42,630 | 71,290 | 38,500 | 52,140 | 164,710 | 442,850 |


| Geographic Area | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To <br> Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Kenosha County ........................ | -- | 120 | -50 | 1,980 | 920 | -10 | 90 | -- |
| From Milwaukee County ...................... | -120 | -- | 10,140 | 5,090 | 1,430 | 14,200 | 49,660 | -- |
| From Ozaukee County........................ | 50 | -10,140 | -- | -140 | 10 | 1,790 | 150 | -- |
| From Racine County .......................... | -1,980 | -5,090 | 140 | -- | 1,070 | 90 | -550 | -- |
| From Walworth County ......................... | -920 | -1,430 | -10 | -1,070 | -- | 20 | -1,190 | -- |
| From Washington County ..................... | 10 | -14,200 | -1,790 | -90 | -20 | -- | -3,370 | -- |
| From Waukesha County ....................... | -90 | -49,660 | -150 | 550 | 1,190 | 3,370 | -- | -- |
| From Remainder of Wisconsin................ | -3,670 | -17,770 | -3,250 | -6,240 | -2,390 | -6,220 | -10,130 | -49,670 |
| From Remainder of United States........... | 14,670 | -15,150 | -350 | -2,070 | 6,300 | 70 | -930 | 2,540 |
| From Abroad (Military/Government)......... | 410 | 1,980 | 190 | 170 | 40 | 20 | 160 | 2,970 |
| Total Net Migration | 8,360 | -111,340 | 4,870 | -1,820 | 8,550 | 13,330 | 33,890 | -44,160 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual tax payers.

[^9] born individuals directly from abroad.

Source: "County-to-County Migration Data" from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and U.S. Bureau of the Census; and SEWRPC.

## Table 29

COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION DATA FOR 2000-2010: BETWEEN REGION COUNTIES AND SELECTED ILLINOIS COUNTIES

| Geographic Area | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Kenosha County | To <br> Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined | 31,783 | 16,220 | 1,124 | 5,041 | 9,682 | 476 | 4,695 | 69,021 |


|  | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From <br> Racine <br> County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined $\qquad$ | 14,626 | 13,842 | 870 | 3,290 | 4,915 | 423 | 3,596 | 41,562 |


|  | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | To Kenosha County | To <br> Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To <br> Racine County | To <br> Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined $\qquad$ | 17,157 | 2,378 | 254 | 1,751 | 4,767 | 53 | 1,099 | 27,459 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual tax payers.

Source: "County-to-County Migration Data" from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and U.S. Bureau of the Census; and SEWRPC.

Table 30

## COUNTY-TO-COUNTY MIGRATION DATA FOR 1990-2000: BETWEEN REGION COUNTIES AND SELECTED ILLINOIS COUNTIES

|  | In-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | To Kenosha County | To Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined | 28,360 | 16,330 | 1,210 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,190 | 9,510 | $740^{\text {a }}$ | 5,240 | 66,580 |


| Geographic Area | Out-Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From Kenosha County | From Milwaukee County | From Ozaukee County | From Racine County | From Walworth County | From Washington County | From Waukesha County | From Region |
| To Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined | 11,500 | 13,390 | $760^{\text {a }}$ | 3,240 | 3,440 | $440^{\text {a }}$ | 3,670 | 36,440 |


|  | Net Migration (person moves) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Area | To Kenosha County | To <br> Milwaukee County | To Ozaukee County | To Racine County | To Walworth County | To Washington County | To Waukesha County | To Region |
| From Cook, Lake, and McHenry Counties combined | 16,860 | 2,940 | $450^{\text {a }}$ | 1,950 | 6,070 | $300^{\text {a }}$ | 1,570 | 30,140 |

NOTES: The information presented in this table is drawn from "County-to-County Migration Data," the result of a joint effort between the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Census Bureau. In this data set, migratory moves between counties are identified based upon year-to-year changes in addresses entered on Federal income tax returns filed by individual tax payers.
${ }^{\text {a D Data are unavailable for years with relatively small amounts of data due to suppression of data to protect the privacy of individuals. }}$
Source: "County-to-County Migration Data" from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and U.S. Bureau of the Census; and SEWRPC.

## Natural Increase and Net Migration-Overview

- Population change can be attributed to natural increase-the balance between births and deaths occurring within an area-and net migrationthe balance between the population migrating into and out of the area. The population of the Region increased from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to 2,020,000 persons in 2010. The overall population increase of 88,800 persons in the Region between 2000 and 2010 is the result of a natural increase of about 109,200 and a net out-migration of about 20,400.
- Looking further back in time, the level of natural increase in the Region has been relatively stable over the past four decades, averaging about 116,600 per decade. This is significantly lower than the levels experienced during the 1950s and 1960s-which include much of the post-World War II baby-boom era-when natural increase in the Region occurred at very high levels (224,500 during the 1950s and 202,400 during the 1960s).
- In contrast to the relative stability in natural increase in the Region over the past four decades, net migration has varied considerably. Prior to the net out-migration of 20,400 persons between 2000 and 2010, the Region experienced a modest net inmigration during the 1990s and a substantial net out-migration during both the 1980s and 1970s.


## Fertility and Mortality Rates

- Examination of fertility rates and mortality rates provides insight into the overall trend in natural increase in the population. The total fertility rate for child-bearing age females in the Region decreased dramatically during the 1960s and 1970s, but has been relatively stable since 1990. Thus, the total fertility rate for the Region was 1.95 in 2010-slightly lower than the rates of 2.04 in 2000 and 1.98 in 1990. Among the counties in the Region, the total fertility rate in 2010 ranged from 1.76 in Walworth County to 2.16 in Racine County.
- The long-term trend in mortality rates in the Region has been one of gradual decline. With minor exception, the mortality rates calculated for selected broad age groups ( 0 -to- 44,45 -to- 64,65 -to- 74 , and 75 -and-over) for males and females combined have decreased each decade, going back to at least 1960 . Historically, the male mortality rate exceeds the female rate for each age group.
- Mortality rates in 2010 were generally somewhat higher for Kenosha, Milwaukee, and Racine Counties than for the other counties in the Region.


## Migration Trends

- Net migration-the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period-is often quantified by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change for a given time period. It should be noted that this approach only tabulates net migration; it does not indicate the magnitude of inflows and outflows of population for the area.
- The Region as a whole experienced a net out-migration of 20,400 persons between 2000 and 2010. This compares to a net in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s and net out-migrations of 81,800 during the 1980s, 104,400 during the 1970s, and 19,900 during the 1960s.
- Between 2000 and 2010, five counties in the Region-Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha-experienced a net in-migration of population. Conversely, Racine County experienced a modest net out-migration of about 3,900 persons. Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration (57,000 persons) for the fifth consecutive decade. Though of considerable magnitude, this represents the lowest net out-migration experienced by the Milwaukee County over the past five decades. Despite their net out-migrations, Milwaukee and Racine Counties both experienced gains in total population during the 2000s owing to significant natural increase.
- County-to-county migration data prepared jointly by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides insight into the movement of people within the United States-generally excluding, however, migration from other countries. This data indicates that the movement of people from the Region to the balance of the State during the 2000s exceeded the movement from the balance of the State to the Region by about 30,200. The movement of people from the Region to other parts of the Nation (excluding Wisconsin) exceeded the movement from the Nation to the Region by about 7,900.
- Within the Region, the most notable county-to-county migration pattern is the net movement of people from Milwaukee to adjacent counties. While there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County between 2000 and 2010, this was exceeded by the movement of people in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. Thus, the migration data indicate the net movement of 7,200 persons from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee County; 5,400 persons from Milwaukee County to Racine County; 9,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Washington County; and 30,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Waukesha County. The net movement of people from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties between 2000 and 2010 was less than occurred during the 1990s.
- Information regarding the foreign-born population available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides insight into the extent of population migration from other countries. The Census Bureau's 2006-2010 American Community Survey indicates a total of 131,200 foreign-born persons in the Region, of whom about 43,400 were reported to have entered the U.S. in or after the year 2000. Of those who entered the U.S. in or after 2000, about 56 percent were from Latin America and the Caribbean, 25 percent from Asia, 12 percent from Europe, and 7 percent from other places. These patterns are generally similar to the patterns for the Region for the years 1990 to 2000 reported in the 2000 decennial census.
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## Chapter IV

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

## INTRODUCTION

The primary purpose of this study is to prepare new projections of population and households in the Region to be used as a basis for updating and extending the regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the physical development of the Southeastern Wisconsin Region. This chapter describes the methodology used in the preparation of population and household projections to the year 2050 and presents the resulting projections. This chapter also compares the new population and household projections with similar projections prepared by other agencies and projections previously prepared by the Commission.

## NATURE OF THE PROJECTIONS

Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission has projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall, and, in this sense, constitutes the Commission's "forecast," to be used as a basis for the preparation of the year 2050 regional land use and transportation plans. ${ }^{1}$ The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

## PROJECTION TARGET DATE

The target year for the Commission's projections is determined largely by the requirements of the planning work which utilizes those projections-the long-range regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The land use pattern, the supporting transportation system, and other infrastructure must be planned in consideration of anticipated demand over the long term-with estimates of demand dependent on anticipated future population, household, and employment levels.

[^10]The new population and household projections were prepared for the period 2010 to 2050. Such projections will support the preparation of new long-range regional land use and transportation plans for that time period. This timeframe will ensure that those plans are consistent with Federal transportation planning requirements. The projections will also provide a basis for other long-range regional planning efforts and will be available for use in county and local comprehensive planning and public facility planning.

## POPULATION PROJECTIONS

## Methodology and Assumptions

For the purposes of developing the new population projections, the Commission employed a widely used technique known as the cohort-component method. This name reflects the fact the method involves disaggregating the population into cohorts, or subgroups, based upon characteristics such as age and gender, and explicitly considering the three components of population change-births, deaths, and migration-with respect to each cohort, as appropriate.

The basic form of the cohort-component method involves the following: 1) establishing a base population as of the date from which the projection is to be carried forward, with the population disaggregated into age-sex cohorts; 2) establishing an estimating cycle-for Commission purposes, five years-and developing an estimated schedule of age- and sex-specific fertility, survival, and migration rates that are assumed to apply during the period following the base date; and 3) applying those rates to the base population, resulting in estimates of the population at the end of the period. This process is repeated for each cycle over the projection period, resulting in projections of the population in each age-sex cohort at the end of each cycle.

The assumptions regarding future fertility, survival, and migration rates used in the cohort component projection model for developing the 2050 population projections are described in detail in the next sections of this chapter. In general the assumptions were based upon a consideration of past and current trends and available indicators of future trends at the county, regional, State, and national levels. For example, future birth rates for child-bearing age women were initially derived for the Region as a whole, taking into account expected trends in birth rates statewide, and subsequently derived for each of the seven counties, taking into account the projected regional trends. Future migration levels were derived simultaneously for the Region and the counties, taking into account trends over the past several decades. Ultimately, the cohort component model was applied at the county level, yielding projections of population by age and sex for each five-year cycle of the 40 -year projection period. The county-level projections were summed to produce the projections for the Region.

In preparing population projections for the year 2050, the same assumptions regarding future fertility rates and future survival rates were utilized for the low-, intermediate-, and high-growth scenarios. The projections differ primarily in terms of assumed future migration.

## Future Fertility

As indicated in the previous chapter, the total fertility rate for the Region decreased dramatically between 1960 and 1980. The total fertility rate has been relatively stable since 1990, increasing somewhat during between 1990 and 2000 and then decreasing somewhat between 2000 and 2010. The lower total fertility rate observed in 2010 can be traced in part to reduced births during the economic recession that began in late 2007. Calculated on an age-specific basis, the fertility rates of younger females under the age of 25 decreased between 1990 and 2010, while the fertility rates of females age 30 and over increased.

Under the Commission projections, the total fertility rate for the Region is envisioned to rebound from the reduced rate of 2010 and then increase gradually over the course of the projection period (see Figure 14). The fertility rates of younger females under age 25 are envisioned to continue to decrease, while the fertility rates of females over age 30 are envisioned to increase, consistent with trends over the past two decades. The derivation of the future fertility rates for the Region and its counties was as follows:

Figure 14
HISTORIC AND PROJECTED TOTAL FERTILITY RATE FOR THE REGION


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

- Future fertility rates for the Region overall were developed within the context of future fertility rates for the State, prepared in conjunction with recently released State population projections. ${ }^{2}$ Future fertility rates were established for five-year age groups of females age 15 to 44 years in the Region. For each fiveyear age group, the future fertility rate was derived by applying the historic ratio between the regional and State fertility rates ${ }^{3}$ to the fertility rate for that age group projected for the State overall. This was done for each five-year cycle of the 40-year projection period. The Region's total fertility rate for each five-year cycle of the projection period was derived from the age-specific rates. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{2}$ The Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) released preliminary population projections for the State of Wisconsin for the years 2010 to 2040 in July 2012. Those projections were prepared for the Department of Administration by the University of Wisconsin Applied Population Laboratory. DOA fertility rate projections prepared as part of the new State population projections were extended to the year 2050.
${ }^{3}$ The ratio utilized was the average of the ratios observed in 1990, 2000, and 2010.
${ }^{4}$ Procedurally, the total fertility rate is derived by adding the age-specific rates and multiplying the sum by five, since a women is in each age group for five years. Total fertility rates are usually expressed in terms of births per woman.
- With the future regional age-specific and total fertility rates established for each five-year cycle of the projection period, a similar process was applied in each county. Thus, for each county, for each five-year age group of females age 15 to 44 years, the fertility rate was derived by applying the historic ratio between the county and regional fertility rates ${ }^{5}$ to the fertility rate for that age group projected for the Region. This was done for each five-year cycle of the projection period. The total fertility rate for each county for each five-year cycle of the projection period was derived from the age-specific rates.

In carrying out the above steps, the resulting rates were adjusted as appropriate to eliminate apparent extremes in the data.

As noted above, under the new Commission population projections, the total fertility rate for the Region would increase somewhat over the course of the 40 -year projection period. Each county would experience at least a slight increase in the total fertility rate.

## Future Survival Rates/Life Expectancy

As indicated in the previous chapter, there has been a steady decrease in mortality rates in the Region, a trend that goes back many decades. The new population projections assume the continuation of this long-term trend.

Mortality is incorporated into the cohort-component model in terms of "survival" rates, which indicate the probability of surviving from one age group to the next. The survival rates used in the new population projection were derived as follows:

- For each county, base survival rates for each five-year age-sex group were calculated based upon mortality experienced during the 2000s. Base survival rates for each age-sex group were first computed for the 10 -year period from 2000 to 2010 and then converted to five-year rates centered on 2005.
- For each county, the base survival rates by age and sex were projected forward based on an assumption that the age-and-sex specific survival rates for the county would improve at the same relative rate as projected for the State overall, under the new State population projections. ${ }^{6}$ The State survival rate projections were, in turn, based in part on national projections prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

With the assumed improvement in survival rates over the course of the projection period, the male life expectancy in the Region would increase by 5.6 years, from 76.4 years in 2010 to 82.0 years in 2050. Female life expectancy would increase by 4.9 years, from 81.3 years in 2010 to 86.2 years in 2050 .

## Future Migration

Migration assumptions for the new population projections were formulated within the context of historic migration trends in the Region, with due consideration of factors which may impact future migration. Different migration assumptions were made for the intermediate-, high-, and low-growth scenarios.

Historic trends in population migration were described in the previous chapter of this report. The major findings are summarized here:

- Over the past six decades, levels of net migration for the Region have fluctuated widely, with the extent of fluctuation decreasing in more recent decades. The Region as a whole experienced a net out-migration of 104,400 persons during the 1970s; a net out-migration of 81,800 persons during the 1980 s; a net inmigration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s; and a net out-migration of 20,400 persons during the 2000s.

[^11]- Five counties in the Region-Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha-experienced a net in-migration during both the 1990s and the 2000s. Racine County experienced a modest net inmigration during the 1990s and a modest net out-migration during the 2000s. Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration during the 2000s, but the out-migration of the 2000s was less than that of the 1990s and prior decades.
- Migration data prepared jointly by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides insight into the county-to-county movement of people within the United States. This data indicates the following:
- The net movement of people from the Region to the other areas of the State continued during the 2000s, but at reduced levels compared to the 1990s.
- There was a net movement of people from the Region to the rest of the Nation (outside Wisconsin) during the 2000s, as opposed to a modest net movement in the opposite direction during the 1990s.
- Within the Region, there was a continuation of the net movement of people from Milwaukee County to its adjacent counties during the 2000s-but also at somewhat reduced levels compared to the 1990s. The data also indicates a continuation of a significant net movement of population from northern Illinois to Kenosha and Walworth Counties during the 2000s.
- Levels of migration from other countries into the Region is difficult to measure, although Census Bureau data provides some insight. The 2006-2010 American Community Survey indicated that the number of persons in the Region who are foreign-born and who entered the U.S. in or after 2000 was about 43,400. Of those who entered the U.S. in or after 2000, about 56 percent were from Latin America and the Caribbean, 25 percent from Asia, 12 percent from Europe, and 7 percent from other places. These patterns are generally similar to the patterns for the Region for the years 1990 to 2000 reported in the 2000 decennial census.

Future migration levels for the Region will depend upon a number of factors including, among others, government immigration policies, the number of employment opportunities (jobs) within the Region, and the need for workers. With the aging of the regional population-in particular, the aging of the large baby-boom population, the oldest of whom are now entering retirement age-the future need for workers to accommodate normal economic growth in the Region is an especially important consideration. The entire baby-boom population will have reached the age of 65 by the year 2030. As the baby-boom population leaves the workforce, the need for replacement workers may well be expected to have an impact on migration levels.

## Future Migration under the Intermediate-Growth Scenario

For purposes of the new population projections, under the intermediate-growth scenario, it was assumed that there would be gradual modest improvement in net migration for the Region as a whole in response to economic growth in the Region over the long term and the need for additional workers as baby-boomers retire. Under this scenario, the pattern of migration for the Region would change from one of modest net out-migration during the early part of the projection period to one of modest net in-migration later in the period (see Figure 15). Associated county-level migration assumptions under the intermediate-growth scenario are as follows:

- Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha Counties

Each of these counties experienced at least some net in-migration during both the 1990s and 2000s. In each county, the level of in-migration experienced during the 2000s was lower than the level experienced during the 1990s. For purposes of the new population projections, under an intermediate growth scenario, it was assumed that net migration for Kenosha, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha Counties would gradually increase over the course of the projection period to levels between the 1990s and 2000s.

Figure 15
HISTORIC AND PROJECTED NET MIGRATION FOR THE REGION




[^12]Ozaukee County experienced a relatively small net in-migration during the 2000s compared to the 1990s. For Ozaukee County, it was assumed that net migration would increase in the early part of the projection period to levels similar to the 1990s.

- Racine County

Racine County experienced a modest net out-migration during the 2000s, following a modest net inmigration during the 1990s. For purposes of the new population projections, under an intermediate growth scenario, it was assumed that the net out-migration of the 2000s for Racine County would change to a net in-migration during the early part of the projection period, to the levels experienced during the 1990s.

- Milwaukee County

Milwaukee County has experienced a net out-migration of population each decade since 1960, with a particularly large out-migration occurring in the 1970s. With the exception of the 1970s, the long-term trend in net out-migration for Milwaukee County has been one of a general decline-the net outmigration during the 2000s being the lowest in five decades. For the new population projections, under an intermediate-growth scenario, it was assumed that the net out-migration of the 2000s would continue to gradually decrease-by about one-third-over the course of the projection period.

The cohort-component population projection model requires that total future migration be allocated to the various age/sex groups for the area concerned. For the purposes of the new population projections, it was assumed that the future pattern of migration among the various age/sex groups in each county would be similar to the pattern that occurred in the 2000s.

Future Migration under the High-Growth and Low-Growth Scenarios
Under a high-growth scenario, it is envisioned that there would be a relatively steady net migration of population into the Region as a whole over the course of the projection period (see Figure 15). Net in-migration for Kenosha, Ozaukee, Racine, Walworth, Washington and Waukesha Counties under a high-growth scenario would exceed that assumed under the intermediate-growth scenario. Milwaukee County would continue to experience a net outmigration, but at reduced levels compared to the intermediate-growth scenario.

Under a low-growth scenario, it is envisioned that there would be a significant net out-migration of population from the Region as a whole (see Figure 15). Under this scenario, net in-migration for Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington and Waukesha Counties would be lower than under the intermediate-growth scenario. Net out-migration from Milwaukee County would exceed that envisioned under the intermediate-growth scenario. Racine County would experience a modest net out-migration of population, as opposed to the modest net inmigration envisioned under the intermediate-growth scenario.

## Projected Population

Population projections based upon the assumptions described in the previous section are presented for the Region in Table 33 and on Figure 16 and for the seven counties in Tables 34 to 40 and on Figure 17. The intermediate projection is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall and is intended to serve as the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the year 2050 regional land use and transportation plans and other regional plans. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

## Intermediate Population Projection

As indicated in Table 33, under the intermediate-growth scenario, the population of the Region would increase from about 2,020,000 persons in 2010 to about 2,354,000 persons in 2050. This represents an increase of about 334,000 persons, or 16.5 percent, over the 40 -year projection period.

ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 2,020,000 | -- | -- | 2,020,000 | -- | -- | 2,020,000 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 2,099,900 | 79,900 | 4.0 | 2,060,800 | 40,800 | 2.0 | 2,027,000 | 7,000 | 0.3 |
| 2020 | 2,174,600 | 74,700 | 3.6 | 2,109,000 | 48,200 | 2.3 | 2,043,300 | 16,300 | 0.8 |
| 2025 | 2,251,600 | 77,000 | 3.5 | 2,159,700 | 50,700 | 2.4 | 2,066,400 | 23,100 | 1.1 |
| 2030 | 2,326,000 | 74,400 | 3.3 | 2,207,800 | 48,100 | 2.2 | 2,088,400 | 22,000 | 1.1 |
| 2035 | 2,394,800 | 68,800 | 3.0 | 2,249,800 | 42,000 | 1.9 | 2,110,800 | 22,400 | 1.1 |
| 2040 | 2,456,900 | 62,100 | 2.6 | 2,285,800 | 36,000 | 1.6 | 2,127,900 | 17,100 | 0.8 |
| 2045 | 2,515,700 | 58,800 | 2.4 | 2,318,700 | 32,900 | 1.4 | 2,143,900 | 16,000 | 0.8 |
| 2050 | 2,577,700 | 62,000 | 2.5 | 2,354,000 | 35,300 | 1.5 | 2,159,800 | 15,900 | 0.7 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 557,700 | 27.6 | -- | 334,000 | 16.5 | -- | 139,800 | 6.9 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Most of the growth in population in the Region would result from natural increase. While it is expected to remain the dominant factor driving population growth for the Region as a whole, natural increase is projected to decline significantly over the course of the projection period. Although the number of births is expected to increase moderately over the projection period, the number of deaths occurring during the period is expected to increase substantially-in large part, a result of deaths occurring to the aging baby-boom population. ${ }^{7}$

Under the intermediate-growth scenario, each county in the Region would increase in population over the 40-year projection period. Population increases projected for the Region's counties under the intermediate-growth scenario between 2010 and 2050 are as follows: Kenosha- 71,600 persons ( 43 percent); Milwaukee-28,900 persons (3 percent); Ozaukee—22,700 persons (26 percent); Racine-32,300 persons (17 percent); Walworth38,400 persons (38 percent); Washington-48,600 persons (37 percent); and Waukesha-91,500 persons (24 percent). Kenosha County is projected to have the largest relative increase in population among the seven counties, due in part to its proximity to the rapidly urbanizing northeastern Illinois region. This Illinois influence may also be expected to contribute to population growth in Walworth County.

The new projections anticipate continued change in the age composition of the regional population over the course of the projection period. As shown on Figure 18, while the broad age groups 0-19 years, 20-44 years, and 45-64 years are projected to be relatively stable, persons age 65 and over are projected to nearly double during the projection period. Persons age 65 and over would account for about 21 percent of the total population in the Region in 2050, compared to about 13 percent in 2010. As indicated on Table 41, this pattern reflects the aging of the large baby-boom population, born from 1946 through 1964.

County-level population projections by age and sex are presented for the intermediate-growth scenario in Appendix B.
${ }^{7}$ The natural increase (births minus deaths) in the Region was about 109,200 during the 10 years from 2000 to 2010. Under the intermediate-growth scenario, natural increase is projected to decline gradually to just over 45,000 during the 10-year period from 2040 to 2050.

Figure 16
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION: 1950-2050


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

## High and Low Population Projections

As further shown on Figure 16, the high-growth and low-growth population projections for the Region bracket the intermediate-growth population projection. Under the high-growth scenario, the population of the Region would increase from 2,020,000 in 2010 to 2,577,700 in 2050, an increase of 557,700 persons, or 27.6 percent. This compares to the increase of 334,000 persons, or 16.5 percent, between 2010 and 2050 projected under the intermediate-growth scenario. Under the low-growth scenario, the population of the Region would approximate $2,159,800$ persons in 2050, an increase of 139,800 persons, or 6.9 percent, over 2010. As shown on Figure 17, for each county in the Region, the high-growth and low-growth population projections bracket the intermediategrowth population projection.

## Comparison to Previous Commission Population Projections

As indicated in Chapter I, the Commission has conducted a number of major demographic studies over the past four decades-generally timed with the release of data from the respective decennial censuses-with each such study leading to the preparation of a new set of population projections, and with each succeeding set of projections extended further into the future. Each set of projections was prepared in consideration of the most current information on population trends available at the time and then-available indicators of future population change. Those projections provided the basis for the selection of a forecast that was used in the subsequent preparation of the regional land use and transportation plans extended to the new forecast year. Prior Commission population forecasts for the Region are shown on Figure 19, along with the new high, intermediate, and low projections for 2050.

Figure 17
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2050








Table 34
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 166,400 | -- | -- | 166,400 | -- | -- | 166,400 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 180,100 | 13,700 | 8.2 | 174,600 | 8,200 | 4.9 | 170,700 | 4,300 | 2.6 |
| 2020 | 192,500 | 12,400 | 6.9 | 183,700 | 9,100 | 5.2 | 175,500 | 4,800 | 2.8 |
| 2025 | 205,600 | 13,100 | 6.8 | 193,300 | 9,600 | 5.2 | 182,700 | 7,200 | 4.1 |
| 2030 | 219,100 | 13,500 | 6.6 | 202,800 | 9,500 | 4.9 | 189,800 | 7,100 | 3.9 |
| 2035 | 232,500 | 13,400 | 6.1 | 212,000 | 9,200 | 4.5 | 197,200 | 7,400 | 3.9 |
| 2040 | 244,700 | 12,200 | 5.2 | 220,700 | 8,700 | 4.1 | 204,100 | 6,900 | 3.5 |
| 2045 | 255,900 | 11,200 | 4.6 | 229,200 | 8,500 | 3.9 | 210,900 | 6,800 | 3.3 |
| 2050 | 267,400 | 11,500 | 4.5 | 238,000 | 8,800 | 3.8 | 216,000 | 5,100 | 2.4 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 101,000 | 60.7 | -- | 71,600 | 43.0 | -- | 49,600 | 29.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 35
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change fromPreceding Year |  | Population | Change fromPreceding Year |  | Population | Change fromPreceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 947,800 | -- | -- | 947,800 | -- | -- | 947,800 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 961,200 | 13,400 | 1.4 | 952,600 | 4,800 | 0.5 | 938,400 | -9,400 | -1.0 |
| 2020 | 976,800 | 15,600 | 1.6 | 959,800 | 7,200 | 0.8 | 934,300 | -4,100 | -0.4 |
| 2025 | 991,600 | 14,800 | 1.5 | 966,500 | 6,700 | 0.7 | 930,000 | -4,300 | -0.5 |
| 2030 | 1,003,800 | 12,200 | 1.2 | 970,800 | 4,300 | 0.4 | 923,800 | -6,200 | -0.7 |
| 2035 | 1,013,100 | 9,300 | 0.9 | 972,600 | 1,800 | 0.2 | 920,000 | -3,800 | -0.4 |
| 2040 | 1,021,000 | 7,900 | 0.8 | 973,300 | 700 | 0.1 | 915,300 | -4,700 | -0.5 |
| 2045 | 1,029,100 | 8,100 | 0.8 | 974,300 | 1,000 | 0.1 | 910,900 | -4,400 | -0.5 |
| 2050 | 1,038,500 | 9,400 | 0.9 | 976,700 | 2,400 | 0.2 | 908,100 | -2,800 | -0.3 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 90,700 | 9.6 | -- | 28,900 | 3.0 | -- | -39,700 | -4.2 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 36
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 86,400 | - - | - - | 86,400 | - - | -- | 86,400 | - - | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 90,500 | 4,100 | 4.7 | 88,600 | 2,200 | 2.5 | 87,700 | 1,300 | 1.5 |
| 2020 | 96,000 | 5,500 | 6.1 | 92,000 | 3,400 | 3.8 | 89,600 | 1,900 | 2.2 |
| 2025 | 100,700 | 4,700 | 4.9 | 95,600 | 3,600 | 3.9 | 91,700 | 2,100 | 2.3 |
| 2030 | 105,300 | 4,600 | 4.6 | 99,100 | 3,500 | 3.7 | 93,500 | 1,800 | 2.0 |
| 2035 | 109,500 | 4,200 | 4.0 | 102,200 | 3,100 | 3.1 | 95,200 | 1,700 | 1.8 |
| 2040 | 113,400 | 3,900 | 3.6 | 104,700 | 2,500 | 2.4 | 96,500 | 1,300 | 1.4 |
| 2045 | 116,900 | 3,500 | 3.1 | 106,800 | 2,100 | 2.0 | 97,800 | 1,300 | 1.3 |
| 2050 | 120,500 | 3,600 | 3.1 | 109,100 | 2,300 | 2.2 | 99,200 | 1,400 | 1.4 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 34,100 | 39.5 | - - | 22,700 | 26.3 | -- | 12,800 | 14.8 |

[^13]Table 37
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN RACINE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 195,400 | -- | -- | 195,400 | -- | -- | 195,400 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 203,800 | 8,400 | 4.3 | 198,000 | 2,600 | 1.3 | 194,900 | -500 | -0.3 |
| 2020 | 210,000 | 6,200 | 3.0 | 202,000 | 4,000 | 2.0 | 196,800 | 1,900 | 1.0 |
| 2025 | 217,300 | 7,300 | 3.5 | 207,300 | 5,300 | 2.6 | 199,500 | 2,700 | 1.4 |
| 2030 | 224,700 | 7,400 | 3.4 | 212,400 | 5,100 | 2.5 | 201,800 | 2,300 | 1.2 |
| 2035 | 231,400 | 6,700 | 3.0 | 217,000 | 4,600 | 2.2 | 203,600 | 1,800 | 0.9 |
| 2040 | 237,600 | 6,200 | 2.7 | 220,900 | 3,900 | 1.8 | 205,300 | 1,700 | 0.8 |
| 2045 | 244,000 | 6,400 | 2.7 | 224,400 | 3,500 | 1.6 | 206,500 | 1,200 | 0.6 |
| 2050 | 250,700 | 6,700 | 2.7 | 227,700 | 3,300 | 1.5 | 207,500 | 1,000 | 0.5 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 55,300 | 28.3 | -- | 32,300 | 16.5 | -- | 12,100 | 6.2 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 38
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 102,200 | -- | -- | 102,200 | -- | -- | 102,200 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 109,800 | 7,600 | 7.4 | 106,800 | 4,600 | 4.5 | 104,800 | 2,600 | 2.5 |
| 2020 | 116,900 | 7,100 | 6.5 | 111,900 | 5,100 | 4.8 | 108,000 | 3,200 | 3.1 |
| 2025 | 124,300 | 7,400 | 6.3 | 117,100 | 5,200 | 4.6 | 111,700 | 3,700 | 3.4 |
| 2030 | 131,400 | 7,100 | 5.7 | 122,100 | 5,000 | 4.3 | 115,300 | 3,600 | 3.2 |
| 2035 | 138,300 | 6,900 | 5.3 | 126,900 | 4,800 | 3.9 | 118,500 | 3,200 | 2.8 |
| 2040 | 145,300 | 7,000 | 5.1 | 131,500 | 4,600 | 3.6 | 121,300 | 2,800 | 2.4 |
| 2045 | 151,700 | 6,400 | 4.4 | 136,000 | 4,500 | 3.4 | 124,000 | 2,700 | 2.2 |
| 2050 | 158,300 | 6,600 | 4.4 | 140,600 | 4,600 | 3.4 | 126,800 | 2,800 | 2.3 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 56,100 | 54.9 | -- | 38,400 | 37.6 | -- | 24,600 | 24.1 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 39
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 131,900 | -- | -- | 131,900 | -- | -- | 131,900 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 143,100 | 11,200 | 8.5 | 138,200 | 6,300 | 4.8 | 134,900 | 3,000 | 2.3 |
| 2020 | 151,600 | 8,500 | 5.9 | 144,600 | 6,400 | 4.6 | 137,600 | 2,700 | 2.0 |
| 2025 | 160,500 | 8,900 | 5.9 | 151,300 | 6,700 | 4.6 | 141,600 | 4,000 | 2.9 |
| 2030 | 169,700 | 9,200 | 5.7 | 158,000 | 6,700 | 4.4 | 146,900 | 5,300 | 3.7 |
| 2035 | 178,600 | 8,900 | 5.2 | 164,500 | 6,500 | 4.1 | 151,800 | 4,900 | 3.3 |
| 2040 | 187,200 | 8,600 | 4.8 | 170,300 | 5,800 | 3.5 | 156,100 | 4,300 | 2.8 |
| 2045 | 195,300 | 8,100 | 4.3 | 175,500 | 5,200 | 3.1 | 159,600 | 3,500 | 2.2 |
| 2050 | 203,400 | 8,100 | 4.1 | 180,500 | 5,000 | 2.8 | 162,800 | 3,200 | 2.0 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 71,500 | 54.2 | -- | 48,600 | 36.8 | -- | 30,900 | 23.4 |

[^14]ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  | Population | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Population: 2010 | 389,900 | -- | -- | 389,900 | -- | -- | 389,900 | -- | -- |
| Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 411,400 | 21,500 | 5.5 | 401,900 | 12,000 | 3.1 | 395,600 | 5,700 | 1.5 |
| 2020 | 430,800 | 19,400 | 4.7 | 414,900 | 13,000 | 3.2 | 401,500 | 5,900 | 1.5 |
| 2025 | 451,700 | 20,900 | 4.9 | 428,700 | 13,800 | 3.3 | 409,300 | 7,800 | 1.9 |
| 2030 | 472,100 | 20,400 | 4.5 | 442,500 | 13,800 | 3.2 | 417,400 | 8,100 | 2.0 |
| 2035 | 491,300 | 19,200 | 4.1 | 454,600 | 12,100 | 2.7 | 424,600 | 7,200 | 1.7 |
| 2040 | 507,600 | 16,300 | 3.3 | 464,400 | 9,800 | 2.2 | 429,200 | 4,600 | 1.1 |
| 2045 | 522,700 | 15,100 | 3.0 | 472,600 | 8,200 | 1.8 | 434,200 | 5,000 | 1.2 |
| 2050 | 538,900 | 16,200 | 3.1 | 481,400 | 8,800 | 1.9 | 439,400 | 5,200 | 1.2 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 149,000 | 38.2 | -- | 91,500 | 23.5 | -- | 49,500 | 12.7 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

As shown on Figure 19, the population forecast for the year 1990 that was used as the basis for the initial design year 1990 regional land use and transportation plans anticipated very rapid population growth in the Region. That forecast was prepared prior to, and did not anticipate, the dramatic decrease in birth rates that was to occur in the 1960s and 1970s, the substantial increase in female labor force participation that was also to occur in the 1960s and 1970s, or the substantial net out-migration of population from the Region that was to occur in the 1970s and 1980s. Subsequent population forecasts adopted as the basis for Commission land use and transportation plans for the years 2000, 2010, 2020, and 2035, as well as the new high-, intermediate-, and low-growth projections for the year 2050, are all lower than the initial forecast for 1990.

As noted earlier, the new intermediate population projection for the year 2050-which is expected to serve as the basis for the year 2050 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions a 16.5 percent increase in the regional population over the 40 -year period from 2010 to 2050 . This compares to an increase of 17.9 percent over the 35 -year period from 2000 to 2035 indicated in the previous Commission forecast. The intermediate population projection of $2,354,000$ persons for the year 2050 exceeds the previous Commission population forecast for the year 2035 by 78,000 persons, or 3.4 percent.

## Comparison to Other-Agency Population Projections

The Commission population projections for the Region are compared with projections of the Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) and two independent firms that prepare long-range demographic and economic projections—namely, Moody’s Analytics and Woods \& Poole Economics, Inc.-in Figure 20. The regional projections from DOA, Moody's Analytics, and Woods \& Poole presented on Figure 20 represent the sum of their projections for the seven counties in the Region. At the time of preparation of this report, the latest DOA county-level population projections were for the period 2005 to $2035 .{ }^{8}$ Those projections were based upon 2005 population estimates; they were prepared prior to the 2010 census. Population projections prepared by Moody's Analytics and Woods \& Poole were for the period 2010 to 2040; they take into account the results of the 2010 census.
${ }^{8}$ The Wisconsin Department of Administration released preliminary statewide population projections to the year 2040 for Wisconsin in July 2012. Those projections indicate a population increase of 14 percent for the State as a whole for the 30-year period from 2010 to 2040, with the most rapid growth occurring between 2015 and 2030.


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

As shown on Figure 20, the new intermediate-growth population projection for the Region is generally between the DOA projections and the projections of Moody's Analytics and Woods \& Poole. The new high-growth and low-growth population projections for the Region bracket the other-agency projections. It should be noted that, while the population projections of Moody's Analytics and Woods \& Poole for the Region as a whole are similar to each other, their projections differ significantly for the individual counties of the Region. ${ }^{9}$

## HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

Accompanying the changes in the size of the resident population of the Region will be changes in the number and size of households. A household includes all persons who occupy a housing unit-defined by the Census Bureau as a house, apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single room that is occupied, or intended for occupancy, as a separate living quarters. Under Census Bureau definitions, the number of households is the same

[^15]Table 41

## ACTUAL AND PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION BY AGE: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| Under 5. | 133,503 | 132,574 | 137,216 | 140,037 | 141,943 | 142,431 | 142,100 | 142,690 | 145,429 |
| 5 to 9 ............................ | 137,010 | 132,098 | 131,865 | 137,117 | 140,359 | 142,726 | 143,716 | 143,856 | 144,897 |
| 10 to 14....................... | 140,118 | 139,101 | 134,433 | 134,243 | 139,642 | 143,073 | 145,761 | 147,090 | 147,460 |
| 15 to 19.......................... | 144,926 | 140,458 | 139,757 | 135,145 | 134,923 | 140,421 | 143,954 | 146,775 | 148,232 |
| Subtotal 0 to 19 | 555,557 | 544,231 | 543,271 | 546,542 | 556,867 | 568,651 | 575,531 | 580,411 | 586,018 |
| 20 to 24....................... | 137,595 | 142,227 | 138,007 | 137,820 | 133,270 | 133,024 | 138,287 | 141,607 | 144,168 |
| 25 to 29......................... | 137,321 | 138,260 | 143,254 | 138,989 | 138,780 | 134,306 | 134,196 | 139,553 | 142,928 |
| 30 to 34........................ | 128,174 | 138,906 | 139,990 | 146,365 | 142,608 | 142,113 | 137,436 | 137,497 | 143,497 |
| 35 to 39........................ | 125,851 | 128,235 | 139,722 | 140,774 | 148,111 | 145,036 | 144,657 | 140,029 | 140,299 |
| 40 to 44... | 136,456 | 124,949 | 127,657 | 139,230 | 140,077 | 148,037 | 145,673 | 145,563 | 141,035 |
| Subtotal 20 to 44 | 665,397 | 672,577 | 688,630 | 703,178 | 702,846 | 702,516 | 700,249 | 704,249 | 711,927 |
| 45 to 49.. | 153,577 | 134,804 | 123,656 | 126,410 | 137,859 | 138,682 | 147,039 | 145,233 | 145,348 |
| 50 to 54........................ | 153,402 | 150,324 | 132,208 | 121,400 | 124,185 | 135,491 | 136,465 | 145,038 | 143,651 |
| 55 to 59... | 132,272 | 146,515 | 144,347 | 127,247 | 116,965 | 119,745 | 130,741 | 131,976 | 140,680 |
| 60 to 64........................ | 105,758 | 123,487 | 137,658 | 136,328 | 120,342 | 110,726 | 113,519 | 124,149 | 125,665 |
| Subtotal 45 to 64 | 545,009 | 555,130 | 537,869 | 511,385 | 499,351 | 504,644 | 527,764 | 546,396 | 555,344 |
| 65 to 69.. | 72,622 | 95,606 | 112,504 | 126,263 | 125,667 | 111,199 | 102,561 | 105,463 | 115,694 |
| 70 to 74......................... | 54,925 | 65,029 | 86,265 | 102,150 | 115,300 | 115,462 | 102,581 | 94,932 | 97,968 |
| 75 to 79.................... | 46,609 | 47,156 | 56,542 | 75,548 | 89,990 | 102,322 | 103,365 | 92,408 | 85,915 |
| 80 to 84........................ | 39,940 | 36,722 | 37,866 | 46,070 | 62,143 | 74,737 | 85,979 | 87,999 | 79,416 |
| 85 and Older... | 39,911 | 44,344 | 46,058 | 48,601 | 55,664 | 70,229 | 87,736 | 106,837 | 121,758 |
| Subtotal 65 and Older | 254,007 | 288,857 | 339,235 | 398,632 | 448,764 | 473,949 | 482,222 | 487,639 | 500,751 |
| Total | 2,019,970 | 2,060,795 | 2,109,005 | 2,159,737 | 2,207,828 | 2,249,760 | 2,285,766 | 2,318,695 | 2,354,040 |

NOTE: Age groups which approximate the "baby boom" generation (persons born from 1946 through 1964) are shaded gray.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
as the number of occupied housing units. Persons not living in households are classified by the Census Bureau as living in group quarters, such as correctional facilities, college dormitories, and military quarters. Information on the number and characteristics of households in the Region, along with related historic trend data, is presented in Chapter II of this report.

## Methodology and Assumptions

For the intermediate growth scenario, the methodology for projecting households involved the projection of the population in households (as opposed to the group-quarters population); the projection of the average household size; and the application of the projected household size to the projected household population, resulting in the projected number of households. This methodology was applied by county for each five-year cycle of the projection period between 2000 and 2050.

For purposes of projecting the future household population, it was assumed that the relative shares of the population residing in households and group quarters by age group would remain essentially unchanged over the projection period. Under this assumption, the projected household population by age group was derived by applying the ratio of household population to total population for each age group observed in 2010 to the projected total population for the age group. ${ }^{10}$ This was done by county for each five-year cycle of the projection period.

[^16]Figure 19
COMPARISON OF YEAR 2050 POPULATION PROJECTIONS WITH PRIOR COMMISSION FORECASTS


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Preliminary household sizes for the year 2050 were then derived for each of the seven counties by dividing the projected household population by the number of households which would exist assuming that the household formation rates observed for each age group in 2010 would continue over the projection period. This resulted in a projected decrease in household size in each county from 2010 to 2050. The resulting household sizes were adjusted as appropriate to avoid unreasonably low values. Household sizes between 2010 and 2050 for each county were interpolated.

The projected number of households under the intermediate-growth scenario was then obtained by dividing the projected total household population by the projected household size. This was done for each county for each five-year cycle over the projection period.

The household projections for the high-growth and low-growth scenarios were prepared in a similar fashion. The projected household populations for the high- and low-growth scenarios were derived in the same manner as described above. It was assumed that future household sizes under the high-growth and low-growth scenarios would be the same as under the intermediate-growth scenario.

Figure 20
COMPARISON OF COMMISSION AND OTHER-AGENCY POPULATION PROJECTIONS



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census; Wisconsin Department of Administration; Moody's Analytics; Woods \& Poole Economics, Inc.; and SEWRPC.

## Projected Households

Household projections based upon the assumptions described in the previous section are presented for the Region in Table 42 and on Figure 21 and for the seven counties in Tables 43 to 49 and Figure 22. The intermediate projection is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall and is intended to serve as the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the 2050 regional land use and transportation plans and other regional plans. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of households which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

## Intermediate Household Projection

As indicated in Table 42 and Figure 21, under the intermediate-growth scenario, the number of households in the Region would increase from about 800,100 in 2010 to about 972,400 households in 2050. This represents an increase of about 172,300 households, or 21.5 percent, over the 40 -year projection period. The projected increase of 21.5 percent exceeds the projected relative increase in population under the intermediate-growth scenario (16.5 percent).

Table 42
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 800,100 | -- | -- | 800,100 | -- | -- | 800,100 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 835,600 | 35,500 | 4.4 | 820,200 | 20,100 | 2.5 | 806,800 | 6,700 | 0.8 |
| 2020 | 870,100 | 34,500 | 4.1 | 844,000 | 23,800 | 2.9 | 817,800 | 11,000 | 1.4 |
| 2025 | 905,400 | 35,300 | 4.1 | 868,700 | 24,700 | 2.9 | 831,200 | 13,400 | 1.6 |
| 2030 | 940,000 | 34,600 | 3.8 | 892,400 | 23,700 | 2.7 | 844,200 | 13,000 | 1.6 |
| 2035 | 972,300 | 32,300 | 3.4 | 913,600 | 21,200 | 2.4 | 857,200 | 13,000 | 1.5 |
| 2040 | 1,002,600 | 30,300 | 3.1 | 932,900 | 19,300 | 2.1 | 868,400 | 11,200 | 1.3 |
| 2045 | 1,032,500 | 29,900 | 3.0 | 951,700 | 18,800 | 2.0 | 879,900 | 11,500 | 1.3 |
| 2050 | 1,064,700 | 32,200 | 3.1 | 972,400 | 20,700 | 2.2 | 892,100 | 12,200 | 1.4 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 264,600 | 33.1 | -- | 172,300 | 21.5 | -- | 92,000 | 11.5 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Under the intermediate-growth scenario, each county in the Region would increase in households over the 40-year projection period. Household increases projected for the Region's counties under the intermediate-growth scenario between 2010 and 2050 are as follows: Kenosha- 32,800 households ( 52 percent); Milwaukee-26,000 households ( 7 percent); Ozaukee-10,300 households ( 30 percent); Racine- 18,100 households ( 24 percent); Walworth-19,200 households (48 percent); Washington-22,700 households (44 percent); and Waukesha43,200 households ( 28 percent). In each county, the projected percentage increase in households exceeds the projected percentage increase in population.

Under the intermediate-growth scenario, the average household size for the Region would decrease from 2.47 persons per household in 2010 to 2.36 persons per household in 2050, or by about 4.5 percent (see Table 50 and Figure 23). The average household size for each of the seven counties would decrease somewhat over the projection period. The decrease in household size may be anticipated as a result of a combination of factors, including a continued change in household types, as well as the projected increase in the older population age groups, for which average household sizes tend to be smaller.

## High and Low Household Projections

The high-growth and low-growth household projections for the Region essentially bracket the intermediategrowth household projection. Under the high-growth scenario, the number of households in the Region would increase from 800,100 in 2010 to $1,064,700$ in 2050, an increase of 264,600 households, or 33.1 percent. This compares to the increase of 172,300 households, or 21.5 percent, between 2010 and 2050 projected under the intermediate-growth scenario. Under the low-growth scenario, the number of households in the Region would increase to 892,100 in 2050, an increase of 92,000 households, or 11.5 percent, over 2010. For each county in the Region, the new high-growth and low-growth household projections bracket the intermediate-growth household projection.

## Comparison to Previous Commission Household Projections

Figure 24 presents a comparison of the new household projections for the Region for 2050 with prior household forecasts prepared by the Commission and used as a basis for previous regional land use and transportation plans. As noted above, the intermediate projection for the year 2050-which is expected to serve as the basis for the year

Figure 21
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION: 1950-2050


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

2050 regional land use and transportation plans-envisions a 21.5 percent increase in the number of households in the Region over the 40 -year period from 2010 to 2050. This compares to an increase of 23.6 percent over the 35 year period from 2000 to 2035 indicated in the previous Commission forecast. The intermediate projection of 972,400 households for the year 2050 exceeds the previous Commission forecast for the year 2035 by 46,700 households, or 5.0 percent.

A comparison of Figure 24 with Figure 19 indicates that early Commission household forecasts conformed more closely to actual experience than the early Commission population forecasts.

## Comparison to Other-Agency Household Projections

The Commission household projections for the Region are compared with projections of the Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) and those of Moody's Analytics and Woods \& Poole Economics, Inc. in Figure 25. The regional projections from DOA, Moody’s Analytics, and Woods \& Poole presented on Figure 25 represent the sum of their projections for the seven counties in the Region. At the time of preparation of this report, Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) county-level household projections were for period 2005 to 2035. Those projections were based upon 2005 household estimates; they were prepared prior to the 2010 census. Household projections prepared by Moody’s Analytics and Woods \& Poole were for the period 2010 to 2040; they take into account the results of the 2010 census.

Figure 22
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2050







Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 43
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 62,600 | -- | -- | 62,600 | -- | -- | 62,600 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 68,400 | 5,800 | 9.3 | 66,300 | 3,700 | 5.9 | 64,800 | 2,200 | 3.5 |
| 2020 | 73,700 | 5,300 | 7.7 | 70,300 | 4,000 | 6.0 | 67,200 | 2,400 | 3.7 |
| 2025 | 79,300 | 5,600 | 7.6 | 74,600 | 4,300 | 6.1 | 70,500 | 3,300 | 4.9 |
| 2030 | 85,200 | 5,900 | 7.4 | 78,900 | 4,300 | 5.8 | 73,800 | 3,300 | 4.7 |
| 2035 | 91,100 | 5,900 | 6.9 | 83,100 | 4,200 | 5.3 | 77,200 | 3,400 | 4.6 |
| 2040 | 96,600 | 5,500 | 6.0 | 87,100 | 4,000 | 4.8 | 80,500 | 3,300 | 4.3 |
| 2045 | 101,800 | 5,200 | 5.4 | 91,100 | 4,000 | 4.6 | 83,800 | 3,300 | 4.1 |
| 2050 | 107,200 | 5,400 | 5.3 | 95,400 | 4,300 | 4.7 | 86,600 | 2,800 | 3.3 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 44,600 | 71.2 | -- | 32,800 | 52.4 | -- | 24,000 | 38.3 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 44
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 383,600 | -- | -- | 383,600 | -- | -- | 383,600 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 390,700 | 7,100 | 1.9 | 387,200 | 3,600 | 0.9 | 381,400 | -2,200 | -0.6 |
| 2020 | 399,100 | 8,400 | 2.1 | 392,100 | 4,900 | 1.3 | 381,600 | 200 | 0.1 |
| 2025 | 406,800 | 7,700 | 1.9 | 396,500 | 4,400 | 1.1 | 381,500 | -100 | 0.0 |
| 2030 | 413,300 | 6,500 | 1.6 | 399,800 | 3,300 | 0.8 | 380,400 | -1,100 | -0.3 |
| 2035 | 418,700 | 5,400 | 1.3 | 402,000 | 2,200 | 0.6 | 380,300 | -100 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | 423,800 | 5,100 | 1.2 | 403,900 | 1,900 | 0.5 | 379,900 | -400 | -0.1 |
| 2045 | 429,100 | 5,300 | 1.3 | 406,300 | 2,400 | 0.6 | 379,900 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2050 | 435,500 | 6,400 | 1.5 | 409,600 | 3,300 | 0.8 | 380,800 | 900 | 0.2 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 51,900 | 13.5 | -- | 26,000 | 6.8 | - - | -2,800 | -0.7 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 45
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 34,200 | -- | -- | 34,200 | -- | -- | 34,200 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 36,000 | 1,800 | 5.3 | 35,200 | 1,000 | 2.9 | 34,900 | 700 | 2.0 |
| 2020 | 38,300 | 2,300 | 6.4 | 36,700 | 1,500 | 4.3 | 35,800 | 900 | 2.6 |
| 2025 | 40,300 | 2,000 | 5.2 | 38,300 | 1,600 | 4.4 | 36,700 | 900 | 2.5 |
| 2030 | 42,400 | 2,100 | 5.2 | 39,900 | 1,600 | 4.2 | 37,600 | 900 | 2.5 |
| 2035 | 44,200 | 1,800 | 4.2 | 41,200 | 1,300 | 3.3 | 38,400 | 800 | 2.1 |
| 2040 | 45,900 | 1,700 | 3.8 | 42,300 | 1,100 | 2.7 | 39,000 | 600 | 1.6 |
| 2045 | 47,500 | 1,600 | 3.5 | 43,300 | 1,000 | 2.4 | 39,700 | 700 | 1.8 |
| 2050 | 49,200 | 1,700 | 3.6 | 44,500 | 1,200 | 2.8 | 40,400 | 700 | 1.8 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 15,000 | 43.9 | -- | 10,300 | 30.1 | -- | 6,200 | 18.1 |

[^17]Table 46
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN RACINE COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 75,700 | -- | -- | 75,700 | -- | -- | 75,700 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 79,400 | 3,700 | 4.9 | 77,200 | 1,500 | 2.0 | 76,000 | 300 | 0.4 |
| 2020 | 82,500 | 3,100 | 3.9 | 79,400 | 2,200 | 2.8 | 77,300 | 1,300 | 1.7 |
| 2025 | 86,000 | 3,500 | 4.2 | 82,100 | 2,700 | 3.4 | 79,000 | 1,700 | 2.2 |
| 2030 | 89,600 | 3,600 | 4.2 | 84,700 | 2,600 | 3.2 | 80,500 | 1,500 | 1.9 |
| 2035 | 93,000 | 3,400 | 3.8 | 87,200 | 2,500 | 3.0 | 81,800 | 1,300 | 1.6 |
| 2040 | 96,200 | 3,200 | 3.4 | 89,500 | 2,300 | 2.6 | 83,100 | 1,300 | 1.6 |
| 2045 | 99,600 | 3,400 | 3.5 | 91,600 | 2,100 | 2.3 | 84,300 | 1,200 | 1.4 |
| 2050 | 103,200 | 3,600 | 3.6 | 93,800 | 2,200 | 2.4 | 85,400 | 1,100 | 1.3 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 27,500 | 36.3 | -- | 18,100 | 23.9 | -- | 9,700 | 12.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 47
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change fromPreceding Year |  | Households | Change fromPreceding Year |  | Households | Change fromPreceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 39,700 | -- | -- | 39,700 | -- | - - | 39,700 | - - | - - |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 43,100 | 3,400 | 8.6 | 41,900 | 2,200 | 5.5 | 41,100 | 1,400 | 3.5 |
| 2020 | 46,300 | 3,200 | 7.4 | 44,300 | 2,400 | 5.7 | 42,700 | 1,600 | 3.9 |
| 2025 | 49,600 | 3,300 | 7.1 | 46,800 | 2,500 | 5.6 | 44,600 | 1,900 | 4.4 |
| 2030 | 53,000 | 3,400 | 6.9 | 49,300 | 2,500 | 5.3 | 46,500 | 1,900 | 4.3 |
| 2035 | 56,300 | 3,300 | 6.2 | 51,700 | 2,400 | 4.9 | 48,200 | 1,700 | 3.7 |
| 2040 | 59,700 | 3,400 | 6.0 | 54,000 | 2,300 | 4.4 | 49,800 | 1,600 | 3.3 |
| 2045 | 63,000 | 3,300 | 5.5 | 56,400 | 2,400 | 4.4 | 51,500 | 1,700 | 3.4 |
| 2050 | 66,300 | 3,300 | 5.2 | 58,900 | 2,500 | 4.4 | 53,100 | 1,600 | 3.1 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 26,600 | 67.0 | -- | 19,200 | 48.4 | -- | 13,400 | 33.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
Table 48
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 51,600 | -- | -- | 51,600 | -- | -- | 51,600 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 56,400 | 4,800 | 9.3 | 54,500 | 2,900 | 5.6 | 53,200 | 1,600 | 3.1 |
| 2020 | 60,100 | 3,700 | 6.6 | 57,300 | 2,800 | 5.1 | 54,600 | 1,400 | 2.6 |
| 2025 | 64,000 | 3,900 | 6.5 | 60,300 | 3,000 | 5.2 | 56,400 | 1,800 | 3.3 |
| 2030 | 68,000 | 4,000 | 6.3 | 63,300 | 3,000 | 5.0 | 58,800 | 2,400 | 4.3 |
| 2035 | 72,000 | 4,000 | 5.9 | 66,300 | 3,000 | 4.7 | 61,200 | 2,400 | 4.1 |
| 2040 | 75,900 | 3,900 | 5.4 | 69,000 | 2,700 | 4.1 | 63,200 | 2,000 | 3.3 |
| 2045 | 79,700 | 3,800 | 5.0 | 71,600 | 2,600 | 3.8 | 65,000 | 1,800 | 2.8 |
| 2050 | 83,800 | 4,100 | 5.1 | 74,300 | 2,700 | 3.8 | 67,000 | 2,000 | 3.1 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 32,200 | 62.4 | -- | 22,700 | 44.0 | -- | 15,400 | 29.8 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

ACTUAL AND PROJECTED HOUSEHOLDS IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 2010-2050

| Year | High Projection |  |  | Intermediate Projection |  |  | Low Projection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  | Households | Change from Preceding Year |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Actual Households: 2010 | 152,700 | -- | -- | 152,700 | -- | -- | 152,700 | -- | -- |
| Projected Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 161,600 | 8,900 | 5.8 | 157,900 | 5,200 | 3.4 | 155,400 | 2,700 | 1.8 |
| 2020 | 170,200 | 8,600 | 5.3 | 163,900 | 6,000 | 3.8 | 158,600 | 3,200 | 2.1 |
| 2025 | 179,300 | 9,100 | 5.3 | 170,200 | 6,300 | 3.8 | 162,500 | 3,900 | 2.5 |
| 2030 | 188,400 | 9,100 | 5.1 | 176,600 | 6,400 | 3.8 | 166,500 | 4,000 | 2.5 |
| 2035 | 197,000 | 8,600 | 4.6 | 182,200 | 5,600 | 3.2 | 170,200 | 3,700 | 2.2 |
| 2040 | 204,500 | 7,500 | 3.8 | 187,100 | 4,900 | 2.7 | 172,900 | 2,700 | 1.6 |
| 2045 | 211,800 | 7,300 | 3.6 | 191,400 | 4,300 | 2.3 | 175,800 | 2,900 | 1.7 |
| 2050 | 219,500 | 7,700 | 3.6 | 195,900 | 4,500 | 2.4 | 178,800 | 3,000 | 1.7 |
| Change: 2010-2050 | -- | 66,800 | 43.7 | -- | 43,200 | 28.3 | -- | 26,100 | 17.1 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

As shown on Figure 25, the other-agency projections envision somewhat more rapid growth in households than the Commission's new intermediate-growth projections during the first half of the projection period-and somewhat slower growth during the second half. The Commission's new high-growth and low-growth household projections for the Region generally bracket the other-agency projections. It should be noted that, while the household projections of Moody's Analytics and Woods \& Poole for the Region as a whole are similar to each other, their projections differ significantly for the individual counties of the Region. ${ }^{11}$

## FUTURE RACIAL/ETHNIC MAKEUP OF THE POPULATION

As indicated in Chapter II, the racial/ethnic makeup of the Region's population is changing. The projected growth in the Region's population described in this chapter may be expected to be accompanied by continued change in the racial/ethnic makeup.

Table 51 indicates the size of the minority population of the Region-identified on the basis of Hispanic origin and race-based upon data from the past four censuses. The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race. As indicated on Table 51, the minority population of the Region increased from 233,100 persons in 1980 to 582,900 persons in 2010-an increase of 349,800 persons, or 150 percent. During the same time the non-Hispanic White population decreased by 94,700 persons, or 6 percent-from 1,531,800 persons in 1980 to $1,437,100$ persons in 2010. The minority share of the total regional population increased from 13 percent in 1980 to 29 percent in 2010. The non-Hispanic White share decreased from 87 percent in 1980 to 71 percent in 2010.

[^18]Figure 23
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: 1950-2050


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 50
AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE REGION BY COUNTY: ACTUAL 2010 AND PROJECTED 2050

| County | Average Household Size (Persons per Household) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Projected } \\ 2050 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Kenosha | 2.58 | 2.42 |
| Milwaukee................................... | 2.41 | 2.32 |
| Ozaukee ...................................... | 2.47 | 2.39 |
| Racine ......................................... | 2.52 | 2.36 |
| Walworth .................................... | 2.51 | 2.32 |
| Washington................................. | 2.53 | 2.39 |
| Waukesha .................................... | 2.52 | 2.41 |
| Region | 2.47 | 2.36 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table 52 shows the projected racial/ethnic composition of the Region's population, based upon a continuation of the pattern of change of the past 30 years. Specifically, Table 52 indicates the relative distribution of population by race and ethnicity for the year 2050-assuming that the average annual numeric change in population for each group experienced between 1980 and 2010 would continue over the period from 2010 to 2050. Under these circumstances, the minority share of the total regional population would increase from 29 percent in 2010 to nearly 45 percent in 2050. The non-Hispanic White share would decrease from 71 percent in 2010 to just over 55 percent in 2050.

Figure 24
COMPARISON OF YEAR 2050 HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS WITH PRIOR COMMISSION FORECASTS


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

For comparison, the racial/ethnic makeup of the population of the Nation overall, as projected by the U.S. Census Bureau, is presented in Table 53. These projections are from the set of national population projections released by the Census Bureau in 2008. The Census Bureau projections envision that the racial/ethnic makeup of the national population would change considerably, and in a manner similar to that projected for the Region, in the coming decades. The minority share of the total national population would increase from 36 percent in 2010 to 54 percent in 2050. The non-Hispanic White share of the national population would decrease from 64 percent in 2010 to 46 percent in 2050.

## SUMMARY

This chapter has presented new demographic projections for the Southeastern Wisconsin Region for the period from 2010 to 2050. The previous Commission projections, prepared in 2004, pertained to the period from 2000 to

Figure 25
COMPARISON OF COMMISSION AND OTHER AGENCY HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

— ACTUAL LEVEL
MOODY'S ANALYTICS PROJECTION
WOODS \& POOLE ECONOMICS, ING. PROJECTION _ - SEWRPC LOW PROJECTION
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION PROJECTION
".".". SEWRPC HIGH PROJECTION
— SEWRPC INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census; Wisconsin Department of Administration; Moody's Analytics; Woods \& Poole Economics, Inc.; and SEWRPC.
2035. Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission has projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socio-economic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall, and, in this sense, constitutes the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the new year 2050 regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

Table 51
POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN IN THE REGION: 1980-2010

| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 Population |  | 1990 Population |  | 2000 Population |  | 2010 Population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total | Number | Percent of Total |
| Non-Hispanic White Population. $\qquad$ Minority Population: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,531,800 | 86.8 | 1,494,797 | 82.6 | 1,479,103 | 76.5 | 1,437,105 | 71.1 |
| Non-Hispanic Black/African American ....... | 166,532 | 9.5 | 217,573 | 12.0 | 259,881 | 13.5 | 288,550 | 14.3 |
| Non-Hispanic Other Race....................... | 20,135 | 1.1 | 30,057 | 1.7 | 67,530 | 3.5 | 94,096 | 4.7 |
| Hispanic—Any Race .............................. | 46,452 | 2.6 | 67,937 | 3.7 | 126,394 | 6.5 | 200,219 | 9.9 |
| Subtotal | 233,119 | 13.2 | 315,567 | 17.4 | 453,805 | 23.5 | 582,865 | 28.9 |
| Total | 1,764,919 | 100.0 | 1,810,364 | 100.0 | 1,932,908 | 100.0 | 2,019,970 | 100.0 |


| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Change 1980-1990 |  | Change 1990-2000 |  | Change 2000-2010 |  | Change 1980-2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Hispanic White Population................... | -37,003 | -2.4 | -15,694 | -1.0 | -41,998 | -2.8 | -94,695 | -6.2 |
| Minority Population: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic Black/African American ....... | 51,041 | 30.6 | 42,308 | 19.4 | 28,669 | 11.0 | 122,018 | 73.3 |
| Non-Hispanic Other Race........................ | 9,922 | 49.3 | 37,473 | 124.7 | 26,566 | 39.3 | 73,961 | 367.3 |
| Hispanic—Any Race .............................. | 21,485 | 46.3 | 58,457 | 86.0 | 73,825 | 58.4 | 153,767 | 331.0 |
| Subtotal | 82,448 | 35.4 | 138,238 | 43.8 | 129,060 | 28.4 | 349,746 | 150.0 |
| Total | 45,445 | 2.6 | 122,544 | 6.8 | 87,062 | 4.5 | 255,051 | 14.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the 2000 and 2010 censuses, respondents were given the opportunity to specify more than one race when responding to questions on racial identity. On this table, all Non-Hispanic persons reporting more than one race in 2000 and/or 2010 are included in the "Non-Hispanic Other Race" category.
${ }^{5}$ The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

The new population projections were developed using the cohort-component population projection model, with specific assumptions made regarding future fertility, survival, and migration. The high, intermediate, and low projections all envision a moderate increase in the total fertility rate and a moderate improvement in survival rates. The three sets of projections differ primarily in terms of assumed future migration levels. The intermediate projection envisions a gradual improvement in net migration for the Region-from a modest net out-migration in the early part of the projection period to a modest net in-migration in the later part-in response to economic growth in the Region over the long term and the need for additional workers as baby-boomers retire from the workforce. The high-growth projection assumes a higher level of net migration into the Region than the intermediate projection. The low-growth projection assumes a substantial net out-migration from the Region.

Household projections were developed for each growth scenario based upon the projected total population and associated projected household population, along with projected household sizes.

A summary of the demographic projections for the Region as presented in this chapter follows:

- The Commission intermediate projection envisions that the regional population would increase by 334,000 persons, or 16.5 percent, from 2,020,000 persons in 2010 to 2,354,000 persons in 2050. The high projection indicates that the population of the Region could be as high as 2,577,700 persons in 2050, an increase of about 557,700 persons, or 27.6 percent, over the 2010 level. Conversely, the low projection indicates that the regional population could be as low as $2,159,800$ persons in 2050, an increase of 139,800 persons, or 6.9 percent, over 2010.
- The new projections anticipate continued change in the age composition of the regional population in the coming decades. Under the intermediate projection, while the broad age groups $0-19$ years, 20-44 years, and 45-64 years are projected to be relatively stable, persons age 65 and over are projected to nearly double during the projection period-a reflection of the aging of the large baby-boom population (persons born from 1946 through 1964). Persons age 65 and over would account for about 21 percent of the total population in the Region in 2050, compared to about 13 percent in 2010.
- Commission projections envision that the average household size in the Region will continue its historic decline, with the rate of decline being somewhat moderated in the coming decades however. The average household size in the Region is projected to decrease by 4.5 percent during the projection period, from 2.47 persons in 2010 to 2.36 persons in 2050. The intermediate projection envisions that the number of households in the Region would increase by 172,300 , or 21.5 percent, from 800,100 households in 2010 to 972,400 households in 2050. The projected relative increase in households under the intermediate scenario, 21.5 percent, exceeds the projected relative increase in population, 16.5 percent. The high projection indicates that the number of households in the Region could be as high as $1,064,700$ in 2050, an increase of 264,600 households, or 33.1 percent, over the 2010 level. The low projection indicates that the number of households could be as low as 892,100 in 2050, an increase of 92,000 households, or 11.5 percent, over 2010.
- In addition to changes in the overall size and age characteristics of the regional population, continued change in the racial/ethnic makeup of the Region's population may be expected in the years ahead. Extrapolation of past trends indicates a significant increase in the minority share of the regional population ${ }^{12}$ and a decrease in the non-Hispanic White share. Similar changes are projected for the Nation as a whole.

[^19]
## Chapter V

## SUMMARY

This report constitutes the fifth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 11, The Population of Southeastern Wisconsin. It documents the findings of the demographic analyses conducted by the Commission following the 2010 census and sets forth new demographic projections for the Region to the year 2050. This report is a companion to the fifth edition of SEWRPC Technical Report No. 10, The Economy of Southeastern Wisconsin, which documents a concurrent analysis of the regional economy and sets forth new employment projections to the year 2050. The afore-referenced reports were prepared in tandem to ensure consistency between the Commission's long-range population projections and employment projections. Together, the new population and employment projections presented in these reports provide an important part of the basis for updating and extending the currently adopted regional land use and transportation plans, along with other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Southeastern Wisconsin Region, to the year 2050.

This report consists of five chapters. The introductory chapter, Chapter I, provides a brief overview of previous Commission demographic analyses and projections. Chapter II presents information on existing population and household levels in the Region and information on the characteristics of the Region's population and households, along with related historic trend information. Chapter III describes historical trends in the components of population changenamely, natural increase and migration. Chapter IV presents a new set of population and household projections for the Region covering the period 2010 to 2050. This, the final chapter, provides an overall summary of the demographic inventory and analysis findings and of the new population and household projections for the Region set forth in this report.

## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

The year 2010 Federal census and prior Federal censuses provide an extensive database for analyzing the existing population and historic population trends in the Region over time. A description of trends in the size and characteristics of the population and the number and characteristics of households in the Region, based primarily on Federal census data, is presented in Chapter II. A summary of the key findings follows:

- The resident population of the Region was 2,020,000 persons in 2010, compared to $1,931,200$ in 2000. The increase of 88,800 persons, or 4.6 percent, in the regional population between 2000 and 2010 is substantially greater than the increases experienced during the 1970s (8,700 persons) and 1980s (45,600 persons)-but less than the increase of 120,800 persons experienced during the 1990s.
- In relative terms, the Region's population grew at a somewhat slower rate than the State and the Nation between 2000 and 2010. As a result, the Region’s share of Wisconsin's population decreased slightly, from 36.0 percent to 35.5 percent, with the Region's share of the national population also declining. The Region's share of the State and national population has been gradually decreasing since 1960.
- Each of the seven counties in the Region gained population between 2000 and 2010. Milwaukee County's increase of about 7,600 persons, or 0.8 percent, represents the County's first 10 -year increase in population since the 1960s. Among the other six counties in the Region, the relative increase in population ranged from 3.5 percent in Racine County to 12.2 percent in Washington County.
- Milwaukee County's share of the regional population decreased from 48.7 percent in 2000 to 46.9 percent in 2010, while the share of each of the other six counties remained about the same or increased slightly. Going back to 1950, the most notable change in the distribution of population within the Region has been the increase in Waukesha County's share, from 6.9 percent to 19.3 percent of the regional population, and the decrease in Milwaukee County's share, from 70.2 percent to 46.9 percent.
- Growth in the regional population has been accompanied by change in the age composition. Among fiveyear age groups, growth over the past 10 years in the Region is most evident in the 50-to-54-year, the 55-to-59-year, and the 60-to-64-year age groups, largely reflecting the aging of "baby-boomers" (those born from 1946 through 1964). Conversely, the largest decreases in population between 2000 and 2010 occurred in the 35 -to-39-year and 40 -to- 44 -year age groups, a reflection of baby boomers moving out of those age groups coupled with the smaller number of people born in the late 1960s and early 1970s moving into those age groups. The median age of the regional population was 37.0 years in 2010. The regional median age has increased steadily-by almost 10 years-since 1970, when the median age was 27.6 years.
- Respondents to the Federal census are given the opportunity to specify more than one race when responding to questions on racial identity. The vast majority of the Region's population ( 97.7 percent) reported only one race in the 2010 census. This includes 76.0 percent reporting White; 14.6 percent reporting Black or African American; 0.5 percent reporting American Indian or Alaska Native; 2.6 percent reporting Asian; less than 0.1 percent reporting Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; and 3.9 percent reporting some other race. About 2.3 percent of the regional population reported being of more than one race.
- The Federal census includes questions on Hispanic origin independent of questions on race. About 200,200 persons in the Region, or 9.9 percent of the Region's population, were reported to be of Hispanic origin in the 2010 census. The Hispanic population in the Region increased by about 73,800 persons, or by 58.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010, far exceeding the rate of increase in the overall population of the Region ( 4.6 percent). Combined with a 46 percent increase during the 1980s and 86 percent during the 1990s, the Region's Hispanic population more than quadrupled during the past three decades.
- The minority population of the Region—identified on the basis of Hispanic origin and race ${ }^{1}$ —was about 582,900 persons in 2010, representing 28.9 percent of the total regional population. The minority population of the Region increased by about 129,100 persons, or 28.4 percent, between 2000 and 2010. Conversely, the non-Hispanic White population decreased by 42,000 persons, or 2.8 percent. As a result, the minority population's share of the total regional population increased from 23.5 percent in 2000 to 28.9 percent in 2010.

[^20]- Each county in the Region experienced an increase in its minority population between 2000 and 2010. In absolute terms, the largest minority population increase by far-76,100 persons-occurred in Milwaukee County. In both Milwaukee and Racine Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 was accompanied by a decrease in the non-Hispanic White population. In Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, and Waukesha Counties, the increase in the minority population between 2000 and 2010 exceeded the increase in the non-Hispanic White population. Milwaukee County continues to account for nearly three-fourths of the minority population in the Region.
- The number of households in the Region stood at 800,100 in 2010 , an increase of 51,000 , or 6.8 percent, over 2000. Between 2000 and 2010, each county in the Region experienced an increase in the number of households, led by Waukesha County, which gained 17,400 households, an increase of 12.9 percent.
- In relative terms, the rate of growth in households in the Region between 2000 and 2010, 6.8 percent, slightly exceeded the rate of growth in the total population, 4.6 percent. Households in the Region have increased at a faster rate than the regional population for each decade going back to at least 1950. Overall since 1950, the number of households in the Region has increased by about 126 percent, while the total population has increased by about 63 percent.
- For the Region overall, the average household size was 2.47 persons in 2010. Between 2000 and 2010, the average household size in the Region decreased slightly, by about 0.05 person per household, or about 2 percent. The trend of decreasing household size goes back to at least 1950, when the average household size in the Region was 3.36 persons, with a dramatic decrease in average size occurring during the 1970s.
- Of the 800,100 households in the Region in $2010,510,700$, or 63.8 percent, were identified in the census as "family" households. The balance, 289,400, or 36.2 percent, were identified as "nonfamily" households. The latter generally includes one-person households as well as households comprised of unrelated persons living in the same housing unit.
- Between 2000 and 2010, nonfamily households in the Region increased more rapidly than family households, in both absolute and percentage terms. This represents a continuation of a trend that goes back to at least 1970, when family households and nonfamily households comprised 80.3 percent and 19.7 percent, respectively, of all households in the Region. Single-person households account for much of the long-term increase in nonfamily households in the Region. By 2010, single-person households comprised about 29.1 percent of all households in the Region.


## COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE

The population of an area such as the Southeastern Wisconsin Region is constantly changing with the occurrence of vital events such as births and deaths, and through the inflow and outflow of persons migrating from one area to another. Population increases result from births and in-migration of persons; population decreases result from deaths and out-migration of persons. The balance between births and deaths is termed "natural increase" and the balance between in-migration and out-migration is termed "net migration." Information on past trends in natural increase and migration provides insight into the causal factors underlying historic population changes.

Information on the levels and rates of natural increase and migration in the Region is presented in Chapter III of this report. A summary of the key findings follows:

- The population of the Region increased from 1,931,200 persons in 2000 to 2,020,000 persons in 2010. The overall population increase of 88,800 persons in the Region between 2000 and 2010 is the result of a natural increase of about 109,200 and a net out-migration of about 20,400.
- Looking further back in time, the level of natural increase in the Region has been relatively stable over the past four decades, averaging about 116,600 per decade. This is significantly lower than the levels
experienced during the 1950s and 1960s-which include much of the post-World War II baby-boom era-when natural increase in the Region occurred at very high levels (224,500 during the 1950s and 202,400 during the 1960s).
- Examination of fertility rates and mortality rates provides insight into the overall trend in natural increase in the population. The total fertility rate for child-bearing age females in the Region decreased dramatically during the 1960s and 1970s, but has been relatively stable since 1990. Thus, the total fertility rate for the Region was 1.95 in 2010-slightly lower than the rates of 2.04 in 2000 and 1.98 in 1990. Among the counties in the Region, the total fertility rate in 2010 ranged from 1.76 in Walworth County to 2.16 in Racine County.
- The long-term trend in mortality rates in the Region has been one of gradual decline. With minor exception, the mortality rates calculated for selected broad age groups ( 0 -to- 44,45 -to- 64,65 -to- 74 , and 75 -and-over) for males and females combined have decreased each decade, going back to at least 1960. Historically, the male mortality rate exceeds the female rate for each age group. Mortality rates in 2010 were generally somewhat higher for Kenosha, Milwaukee, and Racine Counties than for the other counties in the Region.
- Net migration - the balance between migration into and migration out of an area over a given period-is often quantified by subtracting natural increase (as determined by records of births and deaths) from the total population change for a given time period. It should be noted that this approach only tabulates net migration; it does not indicate the magnitude of inflows and outflows of population for the area. Through such a calculation, it was determined that the Region as a whole experienced a net out-migration of 20,400 persons between 2000 and 2010. This compares to a net in-migration of 3,900 persons during the 1990s and net out-migrations of 81,800 during the 1980s, 104,400 during the 1970 s, and 19,900 during the 1960s.
- Between 2000 and 2010, five counties in the Region-Kenosha, Ozaukee, Walworth, Washington, and Waukesha-experienced a net in-migration of population. Conversely, Racine County experienced a modest net out-migration of about 3,900 persons. Milwaukee County experienced a net out-migration ( 57,000 persons) for the fifth consecutive decade. Though of considerable magnitude, this represents the lowest net out-migration experienced by Milwaukee County over the past five decades. Despite their net out-migrations, Milwaukee and Racine Counties both experienced gains in total population during the 2000s owing to significant natural increase.
- County-to-county migration data prepared jointly by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides insight into the movement of people within the United States-generally excluding, however, migration from other countries. This data indicates that the movement of people from the Region to the balance of the State during the 2000s exceeded the movement from the balance of the State to the Region by about 30,200. The movement of people from the Region to other parts of the Nation (excluding Wisconsin) exceeded the movement from the Nation to the Region by about 7,900 .
- Within the Region, the most notable county-to-county migration pattern is the net movement of people from Milwaukee to adjacent counties. While there was significant movement of people from Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties to Milwaukee County between 2000 and 2010, this was exceeded by the movement of people in the opposite direction, particularly to Waukesha County. Thus, the migration data indicate the net movement of 7,200 persons from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee County; 5,400 persons from Milwaukee County to Racine County; 9,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Washington County; and 30,300 persons from Milwaukee County to Waukesha County. The net movement of people from Milwaukee County to Ozaukee, Washington, and Waukesha Counties between 2000 and 2010 was less than occurred during the 1990s.
- Information regarding the foreign-born population available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census provides insight into the extent of population migration from other countries. The Census Bureau's 2006-2010 American Community Survey indicates a total of 131,200 foreign-born persons in the Region, of whom about 43,400 were reported to have entered the U.S. in or after the year 2000. Of those who entered the U.S. in or after 2000, about 56 percent were from Latin America and the Caribbean, 25 percent from Asia, 12 percent from Europe, and 7 percent from other places. These patterns are generally similar to the patterns for the Region for the years 1990 to 2000 reported in the 2000 decennial census.


## YEAR 2050 POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS

Under the current population study, as in prior studies, the Commission projected a range of future population and household levels-high, intermediate, and low-for the Region. This approach recognizes the uncertainty that surrounds any effort to predict future socioeconomic conditions. The intermediate projection prepared under this study is considered the most likely to be achieved for the Region overall and constitutes the Commission's forecast, to be used as a basis for the preparation of the new regional land use and transportation plans and other elements of the comprehensive plan for the Region. The high and low projections are intended to provide an indication of the range of population and household levels which could conceivably be achieved under significantly higher and lower, but nevertheless plausible, growth scenarios for the Region.

The new population projections were developed using the cohort-component population projection model, with specific assumptions made regarding future fertility, survival, and migration. The high, intermediate, and low projections all envision a moderate increase in the total fertility rate and a moderate improvement in survival rates. The three sets of projections differ primarily in terms of assumed future migration levels. The intermediate projection envisions a gradual improvement in net migration for the Region-from a modest net out-migration in the early part of the projection period to a modest net in-migration in the later part-in response to economic growth in the Region over the long term and the need for additional workers as baby-boomers retire from the workforce. The high-growth projection assumes a higher level of net migration into the Region than the intermediate projection. The low-growth projection assumes a substantial net out-migration from the Region.

The methodology and assumptions underlying the new demographic projections are further explained in Chapter IV of this report. A summary of the resulting projections follows:

- The Commission intermediate projection envisions that the regional population would increase by 334,000 persons, or 16.5 percent, from 2,020,000 persons in 2010 to $2,354,000$ persons in 2050. The high projection indicates that the population of the Region could be as high as $2,577,700$ persons in 2050, an increase of about 557,700 persons, or 27.6 percent, over the 2010 level. Conversely, the low projection indicates that the regional population could be as low as 2,159,800 persons in 2050, an increase of 139,800 persons, or 6.9 percent, over 2010.
- The new projections anticipate continued change in the age composition of the regional population in the coming decades. Under the intermediate projection, while the broad age groups 0-19 years, 20-44 years, and 45-64 years are projected to be relatively stable, the population age 65 and over is projected to nearly double during the projection period-a reflection of the aging of the large baby-boom population, born from 1946 through 1964. Persons age 65 and over would account for about 21 percent of the total population in the Region in 2050, compared to about 13 percent in 2010.
- The intermediate projection envisions that the number of households in the Region would increase by 172,300, or 21.5 percent, from 800,100 households in 2010 to 972,400 households in 2050. The projected relative increase in households under the intermediate scenario, 21.5 percent, exceeds the projected relative increase in population, 16.5 percent. The high projection indicates that the number of households in the Region could be as high as $1,064,700$ in 2050, an increase of 264,600 households, or 33.1 percent, over the 2010 level. The low projection indicates that the number of households could be as low as 892,100 in 2050, an increase of 92,000 households, or 11.5 percent, over 2010.
- Commission projections envision that the average household size in the Region will continue its historic decline, with the rate of decline being somewhat moderated in the coming decades however. The average household size in the Region is projected to decrease by 4.5 percent during the projection period, from 2.47 persons in 2010 to 2.36 persons in 2050.
- In addition to changes in the overall size and age characteristics of the regional population, continued change in the racial/ethnic makeup of the Region’s population may be expected in the years ahead. Extrapolation of past trends indicates a significant increase in the minority share of the regional population and a decrease in the non-Hispanic White share. Assuming that the average annual numeric change in population for the various racial/ethnic groups experienced between 1980 and 2010 would continue over the projection period, the minority share of the regional population would increase from 29 percent in 2010 to nearly 45 percent in 2050, while the non-Hispanic White share would decrease from 71 percent in 2010 to just over 55 percent in 2050. Similar changes are projected for the Nation as a whole.
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## Appendix A

## POPULATION LEVELS FOR MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS IN THE REGION

Data presented in the chapters of this report pertain primarily to the geographic areas of the seven counties comprising the Southeastern Wisconsin Region. This appendix is provided for use by citizens, local government officials, and others who desire historical population information for units of government smaller than a county. It presents the resident population levels of the cities, villages, and towns within the Region from the census of 1850 through the census of 2010. Figures for 1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000 include any official revisions made subsequent to the conduct of the censuses for those years.

The population of geographic areas can increase not only as a result of natural increase or net in-migration, or both, but also through the addition of territory. Conversely, population decreases can result from the loss of territory as well as from the effects of natural decrease or net out-migration. Accordingly, changes in population levels over time for individual civil divisions should be evaluated in light of changes, if any, in the boundaries of the civil division involved. Maps A-1 through A-12 show the boundaries of the Region's cities, villages, and towns as they existed in selected census years beginning with 1850. Place names appearing on the maps are the official civil division names in use at the time of the corresponding census. Notes have been provided with the appropriate tables to assist in identifying place-name changes where they have occurred.

Table A-1
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN KENOSHA COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kenosha ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,455 | 3,990 | 4,309 | 5,039 | 6,532 | 11,606 | 21,371 | 40,472 | 50,262 | 48,765 | 54,368 | 67,899 | 78,805 | 77,685 | 80,426 | 90,352 | 99,218 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bristol ${ }^{\text {b }}$.................... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,584 |
| Genoa City ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6 |
| Paddock Lake ${ }^{\text {d }}$.......... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,470 | 2,207 | 2,662 | 3,012 | 2,992 |
| Pleasant Prairie ${ }^{\text {........ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 12,037 | 16,136 | 19,719 |
| Silver Lake ${ }^{\text {f }}$......... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 356 | 365 | 603 | 1,077 | 1,210 | 1,598 | 1,801 | 2,341 | 2,411 |
| Twin Lakes ${ }^{\text {g............. }}$ | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 409 | 637 | 1,497 | 2,276 | 3,474 | 3,989 | 5,124 | 5,989 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brighton.................... | 880 | 1,238 | 1,185 | 1,024 | 926 | 850 | 838 | 843 | 765 | 827 | 814 | 1,081 | 1,199 | 1,180 | 1,264 | 1,450 | 1,456 |
| Bristol ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 1,125 | 1,392 | 1,140 | 1,069 | 1,071 | 1,151 | 1,215 | 1,198 | 1,299 | 1,397 | 1,564 | 2,155 | 2,740 | 3,599 | 3,968 | 4,538 | 2,330 |
| Paris. | 956 | 1,374 | 1,015 | 1,002 | 871 | 818 | 869 | 898 | 842 | 1,006 | 1,073 | 1,423 | 1,744 | 1,612 | 1,482 | 1,473 | 1,504 |
| Pike ....................... | 680 | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | .- | .- | -- | -. | .- | .- |
| Pleasant Prairie ${ }^{\text {e, }}$.... | 959 | 1,400 | 1,377 | 1,386 | 1,646 | 1,776 | 3,217 | 2,030 | 3,457 | 3,892 | 6,207 | 10,287 | 12,019 | 12,703 | -- | -- | -- |
| Randalli. | -- | 662 | 533 | 451 | 658 | 784 | 950 | 1,163 | 916 | 554 | 584 | 1,013 | 1,582 | 2,155 | 2,395 | 2,929 | 3,180 |
| Salem...... | 1,123 | 1,472 | 1,386 | 1,286 | 1,493 | 1,846 | 1,820 | 1,796 | 1,555 | 1,772 | 2,867 | 5,541 | 5,555 | 6,292 | 7,146 | 9,871 | 12,067 |
| Somersh,i.. | -- | 1,277 | 1,359 | 1,458 | 1,632 | 2,044 | 1,788 | 2,084 | 3,046 | 3,641 | 5,530 | 7,139 | 7,270 | 7,724 | 7,748 | 9,059 | 9,597 |
| Southport ${ }^{\text { }}$......... | 363 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wheatland ${ }^{\text {j }}$.............. | 1,193 | 1,095 | 843 | 835 | 752 | 832 | 861 | 800 | 799 | 877 | 991 | 1,503 | 2,047 | 2,908 | 3,263 | 3,292 | 3,373 |
| County | 10,734 | 13,900 | 13,147 | 13,550 | 15,581 | 21,707 | 32,929 | 51,284 | 63,297 | 63,505 | 75,238 | 100,615 | 117,917 | 123,137 | 128,181 | 149,577 | 166,426 |

[^21]Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-2
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cudahy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,691 | 6,725 | 10,631 | 10,561 | 12,182 | 17,975 | 22,078 | 19,547 | 18,659 | 18,429 | 18,267 |
| Franklinb ......... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10,006 | 12,247 | 16,871 | 21,855 | 29,494 | 35,451 |
| Glendale ${ }^{\text {c................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9,537 | 13,426 | 13,882 | 14,088 | 13,367 | 12,872 |
| Greenfield ${ }^{\text {d.. }}$ | -- |  | -- |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17,636 | 24,424 | 31,353 | 33,403 | 35,476 | 36,720 |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {e,f,m }}$.......... | 20,061 | 45,246 | 71,440 | 115,587 | 204,468 | 285,315 | 373,857 | 457,157 | 578,249 | 587,472 | 637,392 | 741,324 | 717,372 | 636,295 | 628,088 | 596,974 | 594,833 |
| North Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {e,f }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,047 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Oak Creek ${ }^{\text {......... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9,372 | 13,928 | 16,932 | 19,513 | 28,456 | 34,451 |
| South Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {h }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,392 | 6,092 | 7,598 | 10,706 | 11,134 | 12,855 | 20,307 | 23,297 | 21,069 | 20,958 | 21,256 | 21,156 |
| St. Francis'............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. |  |  | 10,065 | 10,489 | 10,095 | 9,245 | 8,662 | 9,365 |
| Wauwatosal... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,842 | 3,346 | 5,818 | 21,194 | 27,769 | 33,324 | 56,923 | 58,676 | 51,308 | 49,366 | 47,271 | 46,396 |
| West Allisk................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6,645 | 13,745 | 34,671 | 36,364 | 42,959 | 68,157 | 71,649 | 63,982 | 63,221 | 61,254 | 60,411 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bayside ${ }^{1}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3,078 | 4,338 | 4,612 | 4,681 | 4,415 | 4,300 |
| Bay Viewe ${ }^{\text {em m }}$ | -- | -- | -- | 2,852 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .- | -- |
| Brown Deer ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11,280 | 12,582 | 12,921 | 12,236 | 12,170 | 11,999 |
| Cudahy ${ }^{\text {a ................ }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,366 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .- | .- | .- | .- | -- | .- |
| East Milwaukee ${ }^{0}$..... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 707 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Fox Point ${ }^{p}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 474 | 1,180 | 2,585 | 7,315 | 7,939 | 7,649 | 7,238 | 7,012 | 6,701 |
| Greendale ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,527 | 2,752 | 6,843 | 15,089 | 16,928 | 15,128 | 14,405 | 14,046 |
| Hales Corners ${ }^{\text {r }}$.. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5,549 | 7,771 | 7,110 | 7,623 | 7,765 | 7,692 |
| North Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {f }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,049 | 1,860 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .- | -- | -- |
| River Hills ${ }^{\text {s }}$.......... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 541 | 567 | 1,257 | 1,561 | 1,642 | 1,612 | 1,631 | 1,597 |
| Shorewood ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,650 | 13,479 | 15,184 | 16,199 | 15,990 | 15,576 | 14,327 | 14,116 | 13,763 | 13,162 |
| West Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {t }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 1,458 | 2,101 | 4,168 | 5,010 | 5,429 | 5,043 | 4,405 | 3,535 | 3,973 | 4,201 | 4,206 |
| Whitefish Bay ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 512 | 542 | 882 | 5,362 | 9,651 | 14,665 | 18,390 | 17,402 | 14,930 | 14,272 | 14,163 | 14,110 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franklin ${ }^{\text {. }}$. | 1,176 | 1,773 | 2,090 | 1,819 | 1,868 | 1,738 | 1,770 | 1,712 | 2,012 | 2,304 | 3,886 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Granvillev. | 1,713 | 2,663 | 2,401 | 2,370 | 2,272 | 2,267 | 2,382 | 2,875 | 8,020 | 11,280 | 11,784 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Greenfield ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | 1,995 | 2,491 | 2,281 | 2,674 | 3,190 | 5,814 | 3,797 | 6,293 | 7,435 | 12,060 | 20,907 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Lake ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | 1,474 | 2,133 | 2,974 | 2,578 | 4,899 | 5,302 | 8,737 | 8,876 | 10,548 | 11,923 | 18,956 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | 1,351 | 2,575 | 3,096 | 3,472 | 6,403 | 4,610 | 4,715 | 2,606 | 3,868 | 4,202 | 5,857 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Oak Creek ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | 1,259 | 2,222 | 1,959 | 2,097 | 2,087 | 1,950 | 2,052 | 2,292 | 2,923 | 3,112 | 4,807 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wauwatosa ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 2,048 | 3,415 | 3,689 | 5,088 | 10,914 | 13,860 | 11,536 | 15,082 | 11,523 | 14,611 | 23,941 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| County | 31,077 | 62,518 | 89,930 | 138,537 | 236,101 | 330,017 | 433,187 | 539,459 | 725,263 | 766,885 | 871,047 | 1,036,047 | 1,054,249 | 964,988 | 959,275 | 940,164 | 947,735 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The City of Cudahy was originally incorporated as the Village of Cudahy in 1895. In 1906 the Village was incorporated as a city.
${ }^{{ }^{5}}$ The City of Franklin was incorporated in 1956.
${ }^{{ }^{\text {The }}}$ City of Glendale was incorporated in 1950 after the conduct of the 1950 census.
${ }^{d}$ The City of Greenfield was incorporated in 1957.


 594,833 in 2010.
$f_{\text {The City of North Milwaukee was originally incorporated as the Village of North Milwaukee in 1897. In 1918, the Village was incorporated as a City. In 1929, the city was consolidated with the City of Milwaukee. }}^{\text {I }}$.
$g_{\text {The City of Oak Creek was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
$h_{\text {The City of South Milwaukee was originally incorporated as the Village of South Milwaukee in 1892. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {I }}$.
${ }^{i}$ The City of St. Francis was incorporated in 1951.
$j_{\text {The City }}$ of Wauwatosa was originally incorporated as the Village of Wauwatosa in 1892. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city.
$k_{\text {The City of }}$ West Allis was originally incorporated as the Village of West Allis in 1902. In 1906, the Village was incorporated as a city.
 only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 3,181 in 1960; 4,461 in 1970; 4,724 in 1980; 4,789 in 1990; 4,518 in 2000; and 4,389 in 2010.
$m_{\text {The Village of Bay View was incorporated in 1879. In 1887, the Village was annexed by the City of Milwaukee. }}^{\text {1 }}$.
$n_{\text {The Village of Brown Deer was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
${ }^{\circ}$ The Village of Shorewood was originally incorporated as the Village of East Milwaukee in 1900 after the conduct of the 1900 census. In 1917, the village name was changed to Shorewood.
$p_{\text {The Village of Fox Point was incorporated in } 1926 . ~}^{\text {. }}$
$q_{\text {The Village of Greendale was incorporated in } 1938 .}$
${ }^{r}$ The Village of Hales Corners was incorporated in 1952.
${ }^{s}$ The Village of River Hills was incorporated in 1930 after the conduct of the 1930 census.
${ }^{t}$ The Village of West Milwaukee was incorporated in 1906.
$u_{\text {The Village of Whitefish Bay was incorporated in } 1892 .}$
 Franklin, Granville, Greenfield, Lake, Milwaukee, Oak Creek, and Wauwatosa ceased to exist.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-3
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN OZAUKEE COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | $1850^{\text {a }}$ | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | -- | -- |  | -- | 1,361 | 1,626 | 1,777 | 1,738 | 2,055 | 2,245 | 2,810 | 5,191 | 7,697 | 9,005 | 10,086 | 11,102 | 11,412 |
| Mequon ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................. | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8,543 | 12,150 | 16,193 | 18,885 | 22,643 | 23,132 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$...... | -- | -- |  | -- | 1,659 | 3,010 | 3,792 | 3,340 | 3,693 | 4,046 | 4,755 | 5,984 | 8,752 | 8,612 | 9,338 | 10,467 | 11,250 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bayside ${ }^{\text {e }}$................... | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 103 | 123 | 112 | 108 | 103 | 89 |
| Belgium ${ }^{\text {f }}$................... | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 268 | 356 | 460 | 643 | 809 | 892 | 928 | 1,678 | 2,245 |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | -- | -- |  | 945 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Fredoniag... | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 312 | 356 | 471 | 710 | 1,045 | 1,437 | 1,558 | 1,934 | 2,160 |
| Grafton ${ }^{\text {h }}$.................. | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | 478 | 818 | 898 | 1,065 | 1,150 | 1,489 | 3,748 | 5,998 | 8,381 | 9,340 | 10,464 | 11,459 |
| Newburg ${ }^{\text {i }}$. | -- | -- |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 95 | 105 | 92 | 97 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$...... | -- | -- |  | 1,386 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Saukville ${ }^{\text {j }}$............. | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | 330 | 399 | 431 | 699 | 1,038 | 1,389 | 3,494 | 3,695 | 4,068 | 4,451 |
| Thiensville ${ }^{\text {k }}$............... | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 334 | 500 | 645 | 897 | 2,507 | 3,182 | 3,341 | 3,301 | 3,254 | 3,235 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium.................... | -- | 2,223 | 1,979 | 1,948 | 1,690 | 1,547 | 1,643 | 1,516 | 1,300 | 1,284 | 1,467 | 1,646 | 1,625 | 1,424 | 1,405 | 1,513 | 1,415 |
| Cedarburg................. | -- | 2,235 | 2,557 | 1,591 | 1,507 | 1,450 | 1,449 | 1,283 | 1,346 | 1,324 | 1,568 | 2,248 | 3,774 | 5,244 | 5,143 | 5,550 | 5,760 |
| Fredonia................... | -- | 1,785 | 1,688 | 1,839 | 1,666 | 1,652 | 1,421 | 1,444 | 1,149 | 1,164 | 1,191 | 1,475 | 1,746 | 2,144 | 2,043 | 2,083 | 2,172 |
| Grafton..................... | -- | 1,782 | 1,864 | 1,570 | 1,444 | 1,060 | 960 | 916 | 867 | 955 | 1,225 | 1,996 | 3,127 | 3,588 | 3,745 | 3,980 | 4,053 |
| Mequon ${ }^{\text {C }}$.................. | -- | 3,368 | 3,156 | 3,023 | 2,902 | 2,792 | 2,610 | 2,408 | 2,681 | 3,068 | 4,065 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {d }}$...... | -- | 2,565 | 2,390 | 1,218 | 1,067 | 1,081 | 1,103 | 1,066 | 773 | 891 | 1,079 | 1,303 | 1,528 | 1,436 | 1,480 | 1,631 | 1,643 |
| Saukville . | -- | 1,724 | 1,930 | 1,941 | 1,647 | 1,667 | 1,550 | 1,062 | 986 | 1,070 | 1,185 | 1,306 | 1,516 | 1,583 | 1,671 | 1,755 | 1,822 |
| County | -- | 15,682 | 15,564 | 15,461 | 14,943 | 16,363 | 17,123 | 16,335 | 17,394 | 18,985 | 23,361 | 38,441 | 54,461 | 66,981 | 72,831 | 82,317 | 86,395 |

 8,281 persons in 1850, were detached from the remainder of Washington County to form Ozaukee County.
${ }^{\circ}$ The City of Cedarburg was originally incorporated as the Village of Cedarburg in 1874. In 1885, the Village was incorporated as a city.
$c_{\text {In 1 1 }}$ 1957, the remaining territory of the Town of Mequon was incorporated as the City of Mequon and the Town of Mequon ceased to exist.
 reported population for the Town of Port Washington in those years. In 1882, the village was incorporated as a city.
 population for the Village (both county portions) was 3,181 in 1960; 4,461 in 1970; 4,724 in 1980; 4,789 in 1990; 4,518 in 2000; and 4,389 in 2010.
$f_{\text {The Village of Belgium was incorporated in } 1922 .}$
$g_{\text {The Village of Fredonia was incorporated in } 1922 .}$
$h_{\text {The Village of Grafton was incorporated in } 1896 .}$
 population for the Village (both county portions) was 783 in 1980; 958 in 1990; 1,119 in 2000; and 1,254 in 2010.
$j_{\text {The Village of Saukville was incorporated in } 1915 .}$
$k_{\text {The Village of Thiensville was incorporated in } 1910 .}$
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-4
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN RACINE COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlingtona............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,526 | 3,212 | 3,626 | 4,114 | 4,414 | 4,780 | 5,856 | 7,479 | 8,385 | 8,851 | 9,936 | 10,464 |
| Racine ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 5,107 | 7,822 | 9,880 | 16,031 | 21,014 | 29,102 | 38,002 | 58,593 | 67,542 | 67,195 | 71,193 | 89,144 | 95,162 | 85,725 | 84,298 | 81,855 | 78,860 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {a }}$.............. | -- | 993 | 1,589 | 1,611 | 2,043 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Caledonia ${ }^{\text {c }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 24,705 |
| Corliss ${ }^{\text {d }}$............. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 525 | 564 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Elmwood Parke .......... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 456 | 483 | 534 | 474 | 497 |
| Mt. Pleasant ${ }^{\dagger}$....... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 26,197 |
| North Bay ${ }^{\text {g............... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 264 | 263 | 219 | 246 | 260 | 241 |
| Rochester ${ }^{\text {h, }}$..... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 220 | 246 | 288 | 333 | 413 | 436 | 746 | 978 | 1,149 | 3,682 |
| Sturtevant ${ }^{\text {d } . . . . . . ~}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 746 | 803 | 1,176 | 1,488 | 3,376 | 4,130 | 3,803 | 5,287 | 6,970 |
| Union Grovej ..... | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 520 | 616 | 729 | 755 | 973 | 1,358 | 1,970 | 2,703 | 3,517 | 3,669 | 4,322 | 4,915 |
| Waterfordk. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 581 | 668 | 739 | 786 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 1,922 | 2,051 | 2,431 | 4,048 | 5,368 |
| Wind Point ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 463 | 1,251 | 1,695 | 1,941 | 1,853 | 1,723 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {m }}$. | 1,629 | 1,270 | 1,173 | 1,127 | 1,097 | 1,052 | 1,129 | 1,133 | 999 | 1,257 | 2,270 | 3,765 | 4,963 | 5,629 | 5,833 | 6,384 | 6,502 |
| Caledonia ${ }^{\text {c,n }}$. | 1,090 | 2,438 | 2,800 | 2,654 | 2,732 | 2,805 | 3,073 | 3,479 | 3,031 | 4,019 | 5,713 | 9,696 | 16,748 | 20,940 | 20,999 | 23,614 | -- |
| Dover. | 839 | 1,108 | 1,047 | 927 | 924 | 853 | 820 | 1,100 | 1,473 | 1,782 | 2,450 | 3,503 | 3,780 | 3,419 | 3,631 | 3,908 | 4,051 |
| Mt. Pleasant ${ }^{\text {f, }}$. | 1,086 | 1,818 | 3,560 | 2,166 | 2,192 | 2,911 | 4,219 | 4,070 | 5,379 | 6,760 | 11,339 | 12,358 | 16,368 | 19,340 | 20,084 | 23,142 | -- |
| Norway.................... | 751 | 971 | 1,040 | 981 | 841 | 913 | 888 | 888 | 1,044 | 1,354 | 2,272 | 3,341 | 4,620 | 4,619 | 5,493 | 7,600 | 7,948 |
| Racine ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$........ | 780 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Raymond ................. | 1,021 | 1,274 | 1,608 | 1,667 | 1,784 | 1,601 | 1,512 | 1,458 | 1,598 | 1,549 | 1,734 | 2,344 | 3,735 | 3,610 | 3,243 | 3,516 | 3,870 |
| Rochester ${ }^{1}, \mathrm{~m}$. | 1,672 | 933 | 876 | 775 | 699 | 750 | 766 | 439 | 450 | 460 | 530 | 919 | 1,019 | 1,478 | 1,844 | 2,254 | -- |
| Waterford ${ }^{\text {m }}$........ | -- | 1,450 | 1,580 | 1,451 | 1,551 | 1,564 | 935 | 861 | 919 | 1,153 | 1,863 | 2,681 | 3,483 | 3,984 | 4,255 | 5,938 | 6,344 |
| Yorkville ................... | 998 | 1,283 | 1,587 | 1,532 | 1,391 | 1,047 | 1,146 | 1,133 | 1,182 | 1,254 | 1,474 | 2,076 | 3,074 | 3,162 | 2,901 | 3,291 | 3,071 |
| County | 14,973 | 21,360 | 26,740 | 30,922 | 36,268 | 45,644 | 57,424 | 78,961 | 90,217 | 94,047 | 109,585 | 141,781 | 170,838 | 173,132 | 175,034 | 188,831 | 195,408 |

[^22]Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-5
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WALWORTH COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington ${ }^{\text {a }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- |
| Delavan ${ }^{\text {b.................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,244 | 2,450 | 3,016 | 3,301 | 3,444 | 4,007 | 4,846 | 5,526 | 5,684 | 6,073 | 7,956 | 8,463 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c.................. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,731 | 1,707 | 1,991 | 2,340 | 2,382 | 2,935 | 3,586 | 3,992 | 4,605 | 5,337 | 7,305 | 10,084 |
| Lake Geneva ${ }^{\text {d........... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,297 | 2,585 | 3,079 | 2,632 | 3,073 | 3,238 | 4,300 | 4,929 | 4,890 | 5,612 | 5,979 | 7,148 | 7,651 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4,359 | 3,405 | 3,224 | 3,215 | 3,465 | 3,689 | 5,101 | 6,380 | 10,129 | 9,098 | 10,170 | 10,826 | 11,150 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darien ${ }^{\text {f... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 805 | 839 | 1,152 | 1,158 | 1,572 | 1,580 |
| Delavan ${ }^{\text {b }}$.. | -- | 1,549 | 1,688 | 1,798 | 2,038 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - |
| East Troy ${ }^{\text {g }}$. | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 673 | 773 | 800 | 925 | 1,052 | 1,455 | 1,711 | 2,385 | 2,664 | 3,564 | 4,281 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c..... }}$ | -- | 1,081 | 1,205 | 1,122 | 1,447 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Fontana on Geneva <br> Lake ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 385 | 461 | 726 | 1,326 | 1,464 | 1,764 | 1,635 | 1,754 | 1,672 |
| Geneva ${ }^{\text {d }}$....... |  | -- |  | 1,969 | -- | -- | -- | - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Genoa City ${ }^{\text {i }}$........ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 683 | 715 | 866 | 1,005 | 1,085 | 1,202 | 1,277 | 1,949 | 3,036 |
| Genoa Junction ${ }^{\text {i }}$........ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 709 | 656 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Mukwonago ${ }^{\text {j }}$.. | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 101 |
| Sharon ${ }^{\text {k }}$...... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 945 | 879 | 908 | 733 | 812 | 1,013 | 1,167 | 1,216 | 1,280 | 1,250 | 1,549 | 1,605 |
| Walworth ${ }^{1}$..... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 755 | 757 | 920 | 875 | 1,137 | 1,494 | 1,637 | 1,607 | 1,614 | 2,304 | 2,816 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$.... | -- | -- | -- | 3,617 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | - | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | -- |
| Williams Bay ${ }^{\text {m }}$........... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 436 | 630 | 717 | 1,118 | 1,347 | 1,554 | 1,763 | 2,108 | 2,415 | 2,564 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bloomfield. | 879 | 1,146 | 1,091 | 1,097 | 1,197 | 1,314 | 776 | 725 | 735 | 971 | 1,442 | 2,154 | 2,481 | 3,277 | 3,723 | 5,537 | 6,278 |
| Darien .... | 1,013 | 1,590 | 1,583 | 1,394 | 1,218 | 1,371 | 1,249 | 1,146 | 1,220 | 1,358 | 1,569 | 1,119 | 1,413 | 1,495 | 1,490 | 1,747 | 1,693 |
| Delavan.... | 1,268 | 884 | 821 | 762 | 677 | 993 | 903 | 925 | 1,065 | 1,377 | 2,064 | 3,138 | 3,798 | 4,182 | 4,195 | 4,559 | 5,285 |
| East Troy ...... | 1,318 | 1,717 | 1,431 | 1,407 | 1,406 | 1,513 | 925 | 838 | 765 | 898 | 1,585 | 2,247 | 2,743 | 3,583 | 3,687 | 3,830 | 4,021 |
| Elkhorn ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | 42 | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Geneva ${ }^{\text {d, }} \mathrm{n}$ | 1,557 | 2,281 | 1,040 | 930 | 1,073 | 1,191 | 1,142 | 1,153 | 1,103 | 1,444 | 1,778 | 2,253 | 3,490 | 3,933 | 3,472 | 4,642 | 4,993 |
| Hudson ${ }^{0}$ | 1,189 | 1,338 | 1,312 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| LaFayette ${ }^{\text {n }}$.. | 1,048 | 1,122 | 1,032 | 1,028 | 933 | 924 | 894 | 851 | 827 | 814 | 811 | 899 | 979 | 1,024 | 1,276 | 1,708 | 1,979 |
| LaGrange........ | 1,050 | 1,255 | 1,039 | 921 | 844 | 882 | 779 | 794 | 769 | 757 | 915 | 1,087 | 1,311 | 1,661 | 1,643 | 2,444 | 2,454 |
| Linn... | 630 | 1,008 | 895 | 823 | 854 | 1,082 | 1,201 | 1,112 | 1,220 | 1,179 | 1,455 | 1,620 | 1,910 | 2,064 | 2,062 | 2,194 | 2,383 |
| Lyons ${ }^{\text {0 }}$......... | -- | -- | -- | 1,312 | 1,328 | 1,298 | 1,261 | 1,229 | 1,170 | 1,150 | 1,251 | 1,878 | 2,143 | 2,659 | 2,579 | 3,440 | 3,698 |
| Richmond.... | 744 | 1,016 | 1,017 | 882 | 799 | 770 | 686 | 624 | 710 | 751 | 761 | 935 | 1,251 | 1,649 | 1,405 | 1,835 | 1,884 |
| Sharon ... | 1,169 | 1,681 | 1,865 | 1,956 | 2,038 | 1,127 | 1,050 | 894 | 890 | 909 | 924 | 1,030 | 1,058 | 945 | 1,016 | 912 | 907 |
| Spring Prairie ............ | 1,418 | 1,311 | 1,209 | 1,107 | 1,155 | 1,126 | 1,007 | 946 | 980 | 921 | 1,070 | 1,164 | 1,197 | 1,777 | 1,752 | 2,089 | 2,181 |
| Sugar Creek.............. | 1,227 | 1,139 | 992 | 980 | 1,004 | 931 | 917 | 876 | 867 | 896 | 1,161 | 1,532 | 1,811 | 2,599 | 2,661 | 3,331 | 3,943 |
| Troy................. | 1,094 | 1,238 | 1,176 | 964 | 972 | 1,018 | 928 | 888 | 857 | 842 | 962 | 1,060 | 1,265 | 1,794 | 2,051 | 2,328 | 2,353 |
| Walworth.................. | 987 | 1,403 | 2,291 | 1,278 | 1,372 | 2,003 | 1,698 | 1,255 | 876 | 917 | 936 | 1,064 | 1,370 | 1,443 | 1,341 | 1,676 | 1,702 |
| Whitewater ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$.............. | 1,229 | 3,737 | 4,285 | 902 | 849 | 806 | 722 | 687 | 674 | 661 | 645 | 848 | 1,181 | 1,270 | 1,378 | 1,399 | 1,471 |
| County | 17,862 | 26,496 | 25,972 | 26,249 | 27,860 | 29,259 | 29,614 | 29,327 | 31,058 | 33,103 | 41,584 | 52,368 | 63,444 | 71,507 | 75,000 | 92,013 | 102,228 |

 county portions) was 8,385 in 1980; 8,855 in 1990; 9,936 in 2000; and 10,464 in 2010.
$b_{\text {The City of Delavan was originally incorporated as the Village of Delavan in 1856. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {. }}$
cln 1857, the Town of Elkhorn was incorporated as the Village of Elkhorn and the Town of Elkhorn ceased to exist. In 1897, the Village was incorporated as a city.
 population for the Town of Geneva for those years. In 1886, the Village was incorporated as a city, changing its name to Lake Geneva at the same time.


 county portions) was 6,380, in 1960; 12,038 in 1970; 11,520 in 1980; 12,636 in 1990; 13,437 in 2000; and 14,390 in 2010.
$f_{\text {The Village of Darien was incorporated in } 1951 .}$

$h_{\text {The Village of Fontana-on-Geneva Lake was incorporated in } 1924 .}$

 1990; 1,949 in 2000; and 3,042 in 2010.
 population for the Village (both county portions) was 6,162 in 2000 and 7,355 in 2010.
$k_{\text {The Village of Sharon was incorporated in } 1892 .}$
IThe Village of Walworth was incorporated in 1901.
$m_{\text {The Village of Williams Bay was incorporated in } 1919 .}$


 amount.
${ }^{\circ}$ Between 1870 and 1880, the name of the Town of Hudson was changed to the Town of Lyons.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-6
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | $1850^{\text {a }}$ | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hartford ${ }^{\text {b }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,296 | 1,632 | 2,982 | 4,515 | 3,754 | 3,910 | 4,549 | 5,627 | 6,499 | 7,159 | 8,179 | 10,895 | 14,223 |
| Milwaukee ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- | - - |
| West Bend ${ }^{\text {d, }}$ e | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,296 | 2,119 | 2,462 | 3,378 | 4,760 | 5,452 | 6,849 | 9,969 | 16,555 | 21,484 | 24,470 | 28,152 | 31,078 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barton ${ }^{\text {d,e }}$...... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 811 | 900 | 1,039 | 1,569 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Germantown ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 255 | 292 | 357 | 622 | 6,974 | 10,729 | 13,658 | 18,260 | 19,749 |
| Hartford ${ }^{\text {b }}$................. | -- | -- | -- | 1,341 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .- | - - | - - |
| Jacksong. | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | 230 | 227 | 302 | 361 | 458 | 561 | 1,817 | 2,486 | 4,938 | 6,753 |
| Kewaskum ${ }^{\text {h }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 679 | 625 | 707 | 799 | 880 | 1,183 | 1,572 | 1,926 | 2,381 | 2,514 | 3,277 | 4,004 |
| Newburg ${ }^{\text {i }}$........ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | 688 | 853 | 1,027 | 1,157 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {a,j.. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - |
| Richfield ${ }^{\text {k }}$............... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11,300 |
| Schliesingerville ${ }^{\text {l ........ }}$ |  |  |  | 358 | 432 | 549 | 538 | 730 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Slinger ${ }^{\text {²....... }}$ | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | 760 | 775 | 919 | 1,141 | 1,216 | 1,612 | 2,340 | 3,901 | 5,068 |
| West Bend ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -- | -- | 1,058 | 1,273 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | -- | - - | - - |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addison........ | 1,144 | 2,046 | 1,833 | 1,774 | 1,863 | 1,810 | 1,632 | 1,587 | 1,616 | 1,629 | 1,672 | 2,072 | 2,375 | 2,834 | 3,051 | 3,341 | 3,495 |
| Barton ${ }^{\text {m }}$. |  | 1,242 | 1,376 | 1,275 | 1,169 | 1,260 | 1,272 | 1,336 | 782 | 848 | 1,029 | 1,204 | 1,624 | 2,493 | 2,586 | 2,546 | 2,637 |
| Belgium ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,134 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Cedarburg ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,226 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Erin .. | 840 | 1,445 | 1,266 | 1,273 | 1,301 | 1,200 | 1,086 | 1,081 | 916 | 955 | 995 | 1,133 | 1,641 | 2,455 | 2,817 | 3,664 | 3,747 |
| Farmington................ | 504 | 1,718 | 1,885 | 1,770 | 1,501 | 1,461 | 1,263 | 1,193 | 1,247 | 1,251 | 1,320 | 1,433 | 1,734 | 2,386 | 2,523 | 3,239 | 4,014 |
| Fredonia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 671 | - - | -- | - - | -- | - - | -- | - - | - - | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- |
| Germantown .............. | 1,714 | 2,344 | 1,954 | 1,979 | 2,026 | 1,937 | 1,805 | 1,844 | 1,544 | 1,626 | 2,100 | 3,984 | 416 | 267 | 258 | 278 | 254 |
| Grafton ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 710 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - |
| Hartford.. | 1,050 | 2,510 | 2,685 | 1,398 | 1,339 | 1,354 | 1,278 | 1,231 | 1,222 | 1,239 | 1,429 | 1,870 | 2,368 | 3,269 | 3,243 | 4,031 | 3,609 |
| Jackson.. | 1,038 | 1,891 | 1,978 | 1,844 | 1,680 | 1,760 | 1,660 | 1,231 | 1,126 | 1,244 | 1,299 | 1,576 | 2,844 | 3,180 | 3,172 | 3,516 | 4,134 |
| Kewaskum ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | -- | 1,056 | 1,309 | 1,436 | 1,572 | 851 | 765 | 765 | 730 | 736 | 824 | 897 | 1,166 | 1,243 | 1,139 | 1,119 | 1,053 |
| Mequon ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,100 | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | -- | - - |
| Newark ${ }^{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{o}}$. | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| North Bend ${ }^{\text {n,o }} \ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 672 |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Polk | 1,260 | 2,457 | 2,220 | 1,679 | 1,639 | 1,554 | 1,352 | 1,162 | 1,294 | 1,224 | 1,401 | 2,090 | 2,846 | 3,486 | 3,540 | 3,938 | 3,937 |
| Port Washington ${ }^{\text {a,j }}$.... | 1,600 |  | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- |
| Richfield ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 1,134 | 1,920 | 1,654 | 1,708 | 1,584 | 1,617 | 1,615 | 1,467 | 1,487 | 1,564 | 2,077 | 3,172 | 5,923 | 8,390 | 8,993 | 10,373 | -- |
| Saukville ${ }^{\text {a }}$................. | 840 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - |  | - - |  |  |  | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | -- |
| Trenton. | 504 | 1,744 | 2,035 | 1,890 | 1,760 | 1,572 | 1,432 | 1,348 | 1,304 | 1,499 | 1,776 | 2,657 | 3,178 | 3,914 | 3,967 | 4,440 | 4,732 |
| Wayne...................... | 672 | 1,630 | 1,710 | 1,594 | 1,471 | 1,391 | 1,239 | 1,150 | 1,066 | 1,055 | 1,128 | 1,081 | 1,214 | 1,471 | 1,374 | 1,727 | 2,169 |
| West Bend ${ }^{0}$.............. | 672 | 1,619 | 956 | 850 | 822 | 843 | 778 | 758 | 851 | 1,049 | 1,595 | 1,992 | 2,779 | 3,588 | 4,165 | 4,834 | 4,774 |
| County | 19,485 | 23,622 | 23,919 | 23,442 | 22,751 | 23,589 | 23,784 | 25,713 | 26,551 | 28,430 | 33,902 | 46,119 | 63,839 | 84,848 | 95,328 | 117,496 | 131,887 |

 detached from Washington County to form Ozaukee County.
 City since 1990 is for the Washington County portion only. Total population for the City (both county portions) was 8,188 in 1990; 10,905 in 2000; and 14,223 in 2010.
 (both county portions) was 717,372 in 1970; 636,297 in 1980; 628,088 in 1990; 596,974 in 2000; and 594,833 in 2010.
$d_{\text {The City of West Bend was originally incorporated as the Village of West Bend in 1868. In 1885, the Village was incorporated as a city. In 1961, the City was consolidated with the Village of Barton. }}^{\text {W }}$
${ }^{\text {The Village of Barton was incorporated in 1925. In 1961, the Village was consolidated with the City of West Bend. }}$
${ }^{\prime}$ The Village of Germantown was incorporated in 1927.
$g_{\text {The Village of Jackson was incorporated in } 1912 .}$
$h_{\text {The Village of Kewaskum was incorporated in } 1895 .}$
 population for the Village (both county portions) was 783 in 1980; 958 in 1990; 1,119 in 2000; and 1,254 in 2010.
 year.
$k_{\text {In 2008, the Town }}$ the Richfield was incorporated as the Village of Richfield and the Town of Richfield ceased to exist.
 the Town of Polk in that year. In 1921, the village name was changed to Slinger.
$m_{\text {Between } 1850 \text { and 1860, the name of the Town of Newark was changed to the Town of Barton. }}^{\text {The }}$
$n_{\text {Between }} 1850$ and 1860, the name of the Town of North Bend was changed to the Town of Kewaskum.


 prior to any

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table A-7
POPULATION LEVELS OF CITIES, VILLAGES, AND TOWNS IN WAUKESHA COUNTY: 1850-2010

| Civil Division | 1850 | 1860 | 1870 | 1880 | 1890 | 1900 | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brookfield ${ }^{\text {a }}$....... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19,812 | 32,140 | 34,035 | 35,184 | 38,649 | 37,920 |
| Delafield ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,334 | 3,182 | 4,083 | 5,347 | 6,472 | 7,085 |
| Muskego ${ }^{\text {c }}$................ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11,573 | 15,277 | 16,813 | 21,397 | 24,135 |
| New Berlin ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 15,788 | 26,910 | 30,529 | 33,592 | 38,220 | 39,584 |
| Oconomowoc ${ }^{\text {e }}$. | -- | -- | -- | 2,174 | 2,729 | 2,880 | 3,054 | 3,301 | 4,190 | 4,562 | 5,345 | 6,682 | 8,741 | 9,909 | 10,993 | 12,382 | 15,759 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {f }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | 11,783 | 13,195 |
| Waukesha ${ }^{\text {g.............. }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7,419 | 8,740 | 12,558 | 17,176 | 19,242 | 21,233 | 30,004 | 40,271 | 50,365 | 56,894 | 64,825 | 70,718 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Bend ${ }^{\text {h }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 309 | 298 | 480 | 797 | 1,148 | 1,345 | 1,299 | 1,278 | 1,290 |
| Butler ${ }^{\text {i }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 703 | 778 | 1,047 | 2,274 | 2,261 | 2,059 | 2,079 | 1,881 | 1,841 |
| Chenequaj.. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 339 | 288 | 270 | 445 | 642 | 532 | 601 | 583 | 590 |
| Dousmank. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | - | 235 | 256 | 272 | 328 | 410 | 451 | 1,153 | 1,277 | 1,584 | 2,302 |
| Eagle ${ }^{1} . . . .$. | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 324 | 339 | 394 | 392 | 391 | 460 | 620 | 745 | 1,008 | 1,182 | 1,707 | 1,950 |
| Elm Grove ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4,994 | 7,201 | 6,735 | 6,261 | 6,249 | 5,934 |
| Hartland ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 629 | 728 | 800 | 945 | 998 | 1,190 | 2,088 | 2,763 | 5,559 | 6,906 | 7,905 | 9,110 |
| Lac La Belle ${ }^{\text {O }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - | - - | - - | 66 | 174 | 276 | 227 | 289 | 258 | 329 | 289 |
| Lannonp | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 434 | 378 | 438 | 1,084 | 1,056 | 987 | 924 | 1,009 | 1,107 |
| Menomonee Falls $q$.. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 687 | 919 | 1,019 | 1,291 | 1,469 | 2,469 | 18,276 | 31,697 | 27,845 | 26,840 | 32,647 | 35,626 |
| Merton ${ }^{\text {r }}$............ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 232 | 254 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mukwonagos | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 615 | 697 | 846 | 855 | 1,207 | 1,877 | 2,367 | 4,014 | 4,464 | 6,162 | 7,254 |
| Nashotah ${ }^{\text {t }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 321 | 410 | 513 | 567 | 1,266 | 1,395 |
| New Butler'. | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | .- | 564 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| North Prairie ${ }^{\mathrm{u}}$. | -- | -- |  | -- |  | -- | -- | 263 | 292 | 375 | 424 | 489 | 669 | 938 | 1,322 | 1,571 | 2,141 |
| Oconomowoc ${ }^{\text {e }}$......... | -- | -- | 1,408 |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - - |
| Oconomowoc Lake ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 414 | 599 | 524 | 493 | 564 | 595 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {w }}$.. | -- | -- | -- | 566 | 680 | 714 | 749 | 800 | 1,067 | 1,352 | 1,792 | 2,484 | 3,271 | 4,637 | 5,287 | 8,170 | 8,166 |
| Sussex ${ }^{\text {x }}$... | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | - - | 496 | 548 | 679 | 1,087 | 2,758 | 3,482 | 5,039 | 8,828 | 10,518 |
| Wales ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- |  | -- | 132 | 170 | 237 | 356 | 691 | 1,992 | 2,471 | 2,523 | 2,549 |
| Waukesha ${ }^{\text {g .............. }}$ | -- | 1,456 | 2,633 | 2,969 | 6,321 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | - - |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brookfield. | 1,938 | 2,104 | 2,281 | 2,096 | 1,960 | 2,174 | 2,132 | 1,973 | 2,547 | 4,196 | 7,425 | 1,990 | 3,924 | 4,364 | 4,232 | 6,390 | 6,116 |
| Delafield. | 1,134 | 1,343 | 1,364 | 1,451 | 1,684 | 1,250 | 1,346 | 1,672 | 1,890 | 2,494 | 3,740 | 2,822 | 3,750 | 4,597 | 5,735 | 7,820 | 8,400 |
| Eagle.... | 816 | 1,280 | 1,256 | 1,155 | 1,020 | 744 | 734 | 683 | 718 | 742 | 947 | 1,103 | 1,250 | 1,758 | 2,028 | 3,117 | 3,507 |
| Genesee ... | 1,289 | 1,628 | 1,462 | 1,368 | 1,327 | 1,481 | 1,432 | 1,296 | 1,350 | 1,484 | 1,686 | 2,183 | 3,172 | 5,126 | 5,986 | 7,284 | 7,340 |
| Lisbon. | 1,036 | 1,426 | 1,384 | 1,437 | 1,443 | 1,510 | 1,580 | 1,540 | 1,104 | 1,158 | 1,532 | 2,885 | 4,709 | 8,352 | 8,277 | 9,359 | 10,157 |
| Menomonee ${ }^{\text {q }}$. | 1,340 | 2,267 | 2,350 | 2,258 | 2,480 | 2,178 | 2,384 | 2,175 | 1,936 | 2,205 | 3,793 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Merton. | 966 | 1,475 | 1,612 | 1,577 | 1,604 | 1,530 | 1,572 | 1,642 | 1,317 | 1,426 | 2,214 | 3,077 | 4,424 | 6,025 | 6,430 | 7,988 | 8,338 |
| Mukwonago. | 1,094 | 1,373 | 1,261 | 1,084 | 1,217 | 1,263 | 831 | 808 | 833 | 801 | 1,269 | 1,579 | 1,930 | 4,979 | 5,967 | 6,868 | 7,959 |
| Muskego ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,111 | 1,384 | 1,409 | 1,422 | 1,390 | 1,349 | 1,318 | 1,294 | 1,576 | 2,495 | 4,157 | 8,888 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| New Berlind | 1,293 | 1,903 | 1,809 | 1,620 | 1,519 | 1,579 | 1,584 | 1,642 | 2,197 | 3,034 | 5,334 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Oconomowoc | 1,216 | 2,198 | 1,523 | 1,336 | 1,373 | 1,330 | 925 | 1,368 | 1,747 | 2,081 | 3,288 | 4,465 | 6,010 | 7,340 | 7,323 | 7,451 | 8,408 |
| Ottawa | 793 | 1,072 | 922 | 841 | 880 | 883 | 934 | 709 | 683 | 675 | 764 | 1,092 | 1,698 | 2,795 | 2,988 | 3,758 | 3,859 |
| Pewaukee ${ }^{\text {f }}$. | 1,106 | 1,553 | 1,818 | 1,626 | 2,077 | 1,708 | 1,800 | 1,778 | 1,593 | 3,299 | 5,493 | 5,797 | 7,551 | 8,922 | 9,339 | -- | -- |
| Summit. | 924 | 1,151 | 1,358 | 1,138 | 1,130 | 1,275 | 1,185 | 1,208 | 1,492 | 1,617 | 2,571 | 3,472 | 3,809 | 4,050 | 4,003 | 4,999 | 4,674 |
| Vernon.... | 889 | 1,145 | 1,180 | 1,195 | 1,277 | 1,307 | 1,231 | 1,235 | 1,113 | 1,201 | 1,464 | 2,037 | 2,857 | 6,372 | 7,549 | 7,227 | 7,601 |
| Waukesha. | 2,313 | 2,073 | 1,244 | 1,644 | 1,159 | 1,015 | 968 | 958 | 1,162 | 1,540 | 2,108 | 3,540 | 3,832 | 6,668 | 7,566 | 8,596 | 9,133 |
| County | 19,258 | 26,831 | 28,274 | 28,957 | 33,270 | 35,229 | 37,100 | 42,612 | 52,358 | 62,744 | 85,901 | 158,249 | 231,335 | 280,203 | 304,715 | 360,767 | 389,891 |

a The City of Brookfield was incorporated in 1954.
$b_{\text {The City of Delafield was incorporated in } 1959 .}$
cIn 1964, the Town of Muskego was incorporated as the City of Muskego and the Town of Muskego ceased to exist.
dIn 1959, the Town of New Berlin was incorporated as the City of New Berlin and the Town of New Berlin ceased to exist.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ The City of Oconomowoc was originally incorporated as the Village of Oconomowoc in 1865. In 1875, the Village was incorporated as a city.
fln 1999, the Town of Pewaukee was incorporated as the City of Pewaukee and the Town of Pewaukee ceased to exist.
$g_{\text {The City of }}$ Waukesha was originally incorporated as the Village of Prairieville in 1846. In 1847, the village name was changed to Waukesha. In 1848, the village charter was repealed by the Territorial Legislature. The Village of Waukesha was again incorporated in 1852. In 1896, the Village was incorporated as a city.
$h_{\text {The Village of Big Bend was incorporated in } 1928 .}$
${ }^{i}$ The Village of Butler was originally incorporated as the Village of New Butler in 1913. In 1920, the Village name was changed to Butler.
$j_{\text {The Village of Chenequa was incorporated in } 1928 .}$
kThe Village of Dousman was incorporated in 1917.
IThe Village of Eagle was incorporated in 1899.
$m_{\text {The Village of Elm Grove was incorporated in } 1955 .}$
$n_{\text {The Village of Hartland was incorporated in } 1892 .}$
${ }^{\circ}$ The Village of Lac La Belle was incorporated in 1931. The Village of Lac La Belle began to annex territory in Jefferson County between 2000 and 2010. The population presented for the Village in 2010 is for the Waukesha County portion only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 290 in 2010.
$p_{\text {The Village of Lannon was incorporated in } 1930 .}$
$q_{\text {The Village of Menomonee Falls was incorporated in 1892. Between } 1950 \text { and 1960, the remaining }}$ territory of the Town of Menomonee was annexed by the Village of Menomonee Falls and the Town of Menomonee ceased to exist.
${ }^{r}$ The Village of Merton was incorporated in 1922.
${ }^{\text {SThe Village of Mukwonago was incorporated in 1905. The Village of Mukwonago in Waukesha County }}$ began to annex territory in Walworth County between 1990 and 2000. The population presented for the Village since 2000 is for the Waukesha County portion only. Total population for the Village (both county portions) was 6,162 in 2000 and 7,355 in 2010.
${ }^{t}$ The Village of Nashotah was incorporated in 1957.
$u_{\text {The Village of North Prairie was incorporated in } 1919 .}$
$v_{\text {The Village of Oconomowoc Lake was incorporated in } 1959 .}$
$w_{\text {The Village of Pewaukee was incorporated in } 1876 .}$
$x_{\text {The Village of Sussex was incorporated in } 1924 .}$
$y_{\text {The Village of Wales was incorporated in } 1922 .}$
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.
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## Appendix B

## PROJECTED POPULATION BY AGE AND SEX FOR THE SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGION BY COUNTY: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE GROWTH SCENARIO)

Table B-1
PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE REGION BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ................. | 67,910 | 65,593 | 133,503 | 67,793 | 64,781 | 132,574 | 70,162 | 67,054 | 137,216 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 70,012 | 66,998 | 137,010 | 67,190 | 64,908 | 132,098 | 67,429 | 64,436 | 131,865 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 71,432 | 68,686 | 140,118 | 71,078 | 68,023 | 139,101 | 68,360 | 66,073 | 134,433 |
| 15 to 19 . | 74,541 | 70,385 | 144,926 | 71,738 | 68,720 | 140,458 | 71,545 | 68,212 | 139,757 |
| 20 to 24 . | 69,086 | 68,509 | 137,595 | 72,126 | 70,101 | 142,227 | 69,628 | 68,379 | 138,007 |
| 25 to 29 ... | 67,748 | 69,573 | 137,321 | 68,732 | 69,528 | 138,260 | 71,927 | 71,327 | 143,254 |
| 30 to 34 ............................ | 63,579 | 64,595 | 128,174 | 68,342 | 70,564 | 138,906 | 69,374 | 70,616 | 139,990 |
| 35 to 39 . | 62,179 | 63,672 | 125,851 | 63,437 | 64,798 | 128,235 | 68,554 | 71,168 | 139,722 |
| 40 to 44 . | 67,411 | 69,045 | 136,456 | 61,543 | 63,406 | 124,949 | 62,992 | 64,665 | 127,657 |
| 45 to 49 . | 75,255 | 78,322 | 153,577 | 66,334 | 68,470 | 134,804 | 60,685 | 62,971 | 123,656 |
| 50 to 54 ............................ | 75,561 | 77,841 | 153,402 | 73,280 | 77,044 | 150,324 | 64,731 | 67,477 | 132,208 |
| 55 to 59 ............................ | 64,914 | 67,358 | 132,272 | 71,793 | 74,722 | 146,515 | 69,996 | 74,351 | 144,347 |
| 60 to 64 | 51,486 | 54,272 | 105,758 | 60,077 | 63,410 | 123,487 | 66,948 | 70,710 | 137,658 |
| 65 to 69. | 33,919 | 38,703 | 72,622 | 45,570 | 50,036 | 95,606 | 53,637 | 58,867 | 112,504 |
| 70 to 74 | 24,566 | 30,359 | 54,925 | 29,562 | 35,467 | 65,029 | 40,064 | 46,201 | 86,265 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 19,760 | 26,849 | 46,609 | 20,178 | 26,978 | 47,156 | 24,644 | 31,898 | 56,542 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 15,512 | 24,428 | 39,940 | 14,664 | 22,058 | 36,722 | 15,287 | 22,579 | 37,866 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 12,386 | 27,525 | 39,911 | 14,265 | 30,079 | 44,344 | 15,202 | 30,856 | 46,058 |
| Total Population | 987,257 | 1,032,713 | 2,019,970 | 1,007,702 | 1,053,093 | 2,060,795 | 1,031,165 | 1,077,840 | 2,109,005 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 71,611 | 68,426 | 140,037 | 72,589 | 69,354 | 141,943 | 72,843 | 69,588 | 142,431 |
| 5 to 9 ............................. | 70,107 | 67,010 | 137,117 | 71,772 | 68,587 | 140,359 | 72,987 | 69,739 | 142,726 |
| 10 to 14 | 68,628 | 65,615 | 134,243 | 71,380 | 68,262 | 139,642 | 73,142 | 69,931 | 143,073 |
| 15 to 19 | 68,835 | 66,310 | 135,145 | 69,087 | 65,836 | 134,923 | 71,901 | 68,520 | 140,421 |
| 20 to 24 | 69,606 | 68,214 | 137,820 | 66,964 | 66,306 | 133,270 | 67,165 | 65,859 | 133,024 |
| 25 to 29 | 69,408 | 69,581 | 138,989 | 69,364 | 69,416 | 138,780 | 66,803 | 67,503 | 134,306 |
| 30 to 34 . | 73,218 | 73,147 | 146,365 | 70,853 | 71,755 | 142,608 | 70,729 | 71,384 | 142,113 |
| 35 to 39 | 69,553 | 71,221 | 140,774 | 73,865 | 74,246 | 148,111 | 71,769 | 73,267 | 145,036 |
| 40 to 44 . | 68,144 | 71,086 | 139,230 | 69,028 | 71,049 | 140,077 | 73,657 | 74,380 | 148,037 |
| 45 to 49 | 62,171 | 64,239 | 126,410 | 67,256 | 70,603 | 137,859 | 68,121 | 70,561 | 138,682 |
| 50 to 54 | 59,294 | 62,106 | 121,400 | 60,806 | 63,379 | 124,185 | 65,817 | 69,674 | 135,491 |
| 55 to 59 | 61,989 | 65,258 | 127,247 | 56,859 | 60,106 | 116,965 | 58,382 | 61,363 | 119,745 |
| 60 to 64 | 65,642 | 70,686 | 136,328 | 58,254 | 62,088 | 120,342 | 53,532 | 57,194 | 110,726 |
| 65 to 69 | 60,220 | 66,043 | 126,263 | 59,328 | 66,339 | 125,667 | 52,804 | 58,395 | 111,199 |
| 70 to 74 | 47,502 | 54,648 | 102,150 | 53,716 | 61,584 | 115,300 | 53,265 | 62,197 | 115,462 |
| 75 to 79 | 33,698 | 41,850 | 75,548 | 40,263 | 49,727 | 89,990 | 45,974 | 56,348 | 102,322 |
| 80 to 84 | 19,012 | 27,058 | 46,070 | 26,313 | 35,830 | 62,143 | 31,810 | 42,927 | 74,737 |
| 85 and Older | 16,405 | 32,196 | 48,601 | 19,436 | 36,228 | 55,664 | 25,566 | 44,663 | 70,229 |
| Total Population | 1,055,043 | 1,104,694 | 2,159,737 | 1,077,133 | 1,130,695 | 2,207,828 | 1,096,267 | 1,153,493 | 2,249,760 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 72,674 | 69,426 | 142,100 | 72,977 | 69,713 | 142,690 | 74,384 | 71,045 | 145,429 |
| 5 to 9 ................................ | 73,495 | 70,221 | 143,716 | 73,568 | 70,288 | 143,856 | 74,102 | 70,795 | 144,897 |
| 10 to 14 | 74,520 | 71,241 | 145,761 | 75,201 | 71,889 | 147,090 | 75,394 | 72,066 | 147,460 |
| 15 to 19 | 73,731 | 70,223 | 143,954 | 75,194 | 71,581 | 146,775 | 75,953 | 72,279 | 148,232 |
| 20 to 24 | 69,822 | 68,465 | 138,287 | 71,529 | 70,078 | 141,607 | 72,854 | 71,314 | 144,168 |
| 25 to 29 | 67,084 | 67,112 | 134,196 | 69,756 | 69,797 | 139,553 | 71,464 | 71,464 | 142,928 |
| 30 to 34 | 68,062 | 69,374 | 137,436 | 68,439 | 69,058 | 137,497 | 71,394 | 72,103 | 143,497 |
| 35 to 39 | 71,745 | 72,912 | 144,657 | 69,084 | 70,945 | 140,029 | 69,571 | 70,728 | 140,299 |
| 40 to 44 | 71,891 | 73,782 | 145,673 | 72,041 | 73,522 | 145,563 | 69,417 | 71,618 | 141,035 |
| 45 to 49 | 72,949 | 74,090 | 147,039 | 71,454 | 73,779 | 145,233 | 71,741 | 73,607 | 145,348 |
| 50 to 54 | 66,762 | 69,703 | 136,465 | 71,681 | 73,357 | 145,038 | 70,398 | 73,253 | 143,651 |
| 55 to 59 | 63,253 | 67,488 | 130,741 | 64,325 | 67,651 | 131,976 | 69,279 | 71,401 | 140,680 |
| 60 to 64 | 55,097 | 58,422 | 113,519 | 59,821 | 64,328 | 124,149 | 61,064 | 64,601 | 125,665 |
| 65 to 69 ............................ | 48,685 | 53,876 | 102,561 | 50,313 | 55,150 | 105,463 | 54,818 | 60,876 | 115,694 |
| 70 to 74 | 47,646 | 54,935 | 102,581 | 44,142 | 50,790 | 94,932 | 45,840 | 52,128 | 97,968 |
| 75 to 79 | 46,016 | 57,349 | 103,365 | 41,468 | 50,940 | 92,408 | 38,659 | 47,256 | 85,915 |
| 80 to 84 | 36,850 | 49,129 | 85,979 | 37,404 | 50,595 | 87,999 | 34,092 | 45,324 | 79,416 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 32,664 | 55,072 | 87,736 | 40,338 | 66,499 | 106,837 | 45,958 | 75,800 | 121,758 |
| Total Population | 1,112,946 | 1,172,820 | 2,285,766 | 1,128,735 | 1,189,960 | 2,318,695 | 1,146,382 | 1,207,658 | 2,354,040 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table B-2
PROJECTED POPULATION IN KENOSHA COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ................. | 5,583 | 5,412 | 10,995 | 5,681 | 5,438 | 11,119 | 6,207 | 5,947 | 12,154 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 6,065 | 5,785 | 11,850 | 5,753 | 5,578 | 11,331 | 5,858 | 5,609 | 11,467 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 6,365 | 5,945 | 12,310 | 6,468 | 6,171 | 12,639 | 6,143 | 5,957 | 12,100 |
| 15 to 19 ............................ | 6,773 | 6,256 | 13,029 | 6,727 | 6,355 | 13,082 | 6,845 | 6,605 | 13,450 |
| 20 to 24 ............................ | 5,754 | 5,553 | 11,307 | 6,539 | 6,180 | 12,719 | 6,502 | 6,279 | 12,781 |
| 25 to 29 ...................... | 5,146 | 5,231 | 10,377 | 5,718 | 5,676 | 11,394 | 6,501 | 6,320 | 12,821 |
| 30 to 34 | 5,192 | 5,304 | 10,496 | 5,431 | 5,652 | 11,083 | 6,044 | 6,142 | 12,186 |
| 35 to 39 | 5,514 | 5,621 | 11,135 | 5,464 | 5,700 | 11,164 | 5,724 | 6,086 | 11,810 |
| 40 to 44 | 6,034 | 6,038 | 12,072 | 5,654 | 5,822 | 11,476 | 5,610 | 5,911 | 11,521 |
| 45 to 49 | 6,905 | 6,862 | 13,767 | 6,037 | 6,078 | 12,115 | 5,664 | 5,866 | 11,530 |
| 50 to 54 ........................... | 6,167 | 6,228 | 12,395 | 6,793 | 6,817 | 13,610 | 5,948 | 6,045 | 11,993 |
| 55 to 59 | 5,150 | 5,098 | 10,248 | 5,960 | 6,099 | 12,059 | 6,578 | 6,683 | 13,261 |
| 60 to 64 | 3,855 | 3,911 | 7,766 | 4,782 | 4,814 | 9,596 | 5,552 | 5,774 | 11,326 |
| 65 to 69 | 2,636 | 2,959 | 5,595 | 3,481 | 3,694 | 7,175 | 4,339 | 4,560 | 8,899 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 1,935 | 2,210 | 4,145 | 2,291 | 2,680 | 4,971 | 3,046 | 3,360 | 6,406 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 1,385 | 1,912 | 3,297 | 1,562 | 1,888 | 3,450 | 1,866 | 2,305 | 4,171 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 1,107 | 1,738 | 2,845 | 1,033 | 1,536 | 2,569 | 1,183 | 1,533 | 2,716 |
| 85 and Older ..................... | 878 | 1,919 | 2,797 | 1,024 | 2,065 | 3,089 | 1,085 | 2,068 | 3,153 |
| Total Population | 82,444 | 83,982 | 166,426 | 86,398 | 88,243 | 174,641 | 90,695 | 93,050 | 183,745 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ..... | 6,603 | 6,323 | 12,926 | 6,907 | 6,610 | 13,517 | 7,084 | 6,780 | 13,864 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 6,401 | 6,134 | 12,535 | 6,803 | 6,515 | 13,318 | 7,111 | 6,807 | 13,918 |
| 10 to 14 ........................... | 6,253 | 5,989 | 12,242 | 6,821 | 6,538 | 13,359 | 7,238 | 6,933 | 14,171 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 6,498 | 6,375 | 12,873 | 6,605 | 6,398 | 13,003 | 7,196 | 6,973 | 14,169 |
| 20 to 24 | 6,616 | 6,527 | 13,143 | 6,276 | 6,298 | 12,574 | 6,376 | 6,319 | 12,695 |
| 25 to 29 ........................... | 6,467 | 6,422 | 12,889 | 6,582 | 6,671 | 13,253 | 6,246 | 6,435 | 12,681 |
| 30 to 34 | 6,872 | 6,839 | 13,711 | 6,827 | 6,935 | 13,762 | 6,941 | 7,191 | 14,132 |
| 35 to 39 ............................ | 6,371 | 6,612 | 12,983 | 7,235 | 7,349 | 14,584 | 7,180 | 7,440 | 14,620 |
| 40 to 44 | 5,879 | 6,312 | 12,191 | 6,540 | 6,851 | 13,391 | 7,424 | 7,609 | 15,033 |
| 45 to 49 ............................ | 5,625 | 5,959 | 11,584 | 5,896 | 6,362 | 12,258 | 6,560 | 6,906 | 13,466 |
| 50 to 54 | 5,588 | 5,838 | 11,426 | 5,554 | 5,934 | 11,488 | 5,827 | 6,338 | 12,165 |
| 55 to 59 | 5,771 | 5,933 | 11,704 | 5,430 | 5,736 | 11,166 | 5,406 | 5,836 | 11,242 |
| 60 to 64 ........................... | 6,144 | 6,337 | 12,481 | 5,401 | 5,632 | 11,033 | 5,091 | 5,450 | 10,541 |
| 65 to 69 | 5,057 | 5,484 | 10,541 | 5,613 | 6,032 | 11,645 | 4,948 | 5,372 | 10,320 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 3,818 | 4,162 | 7,980 | 4,473 | 5,024 | 9,497 | 4,989 | 5,547 | 10,536 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,504 | 2,909 | 5,413 | 3,165 | 3,621 | 6,786 | 3,738 | 4,396 | 8,134 |
| 80 to 84 | 1,434 | 1,890 | 3,324 | 1,949 | 2,407 | 4,356 | 2,495 | 3,022 | 5,517 |
| 85 and Older | 1,222 | 2,102 | 3,324 | 1,461 | 2,367 | 3,828 | 1,911 | 2,870 | 4,781 |
| Total Population | 95,123 | 98,147 | 193,270 | 99,538 | 103,280 | 202,818 | 103,761 | 108,224 | 211,985 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 7,216 | 6,910 | 14,126 | 7,410 | 7,092 | 14,502 | 7,727 | 7,394 | 15,121 |
| 5 to 9 . | 7,294 | 6,982 | 14,276 | 7,434 | 7,120 | 14,554 | 7,637 | 7,310 | 14,947 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 7,567 | 7,245 | 14,812 | 7,768 | 7,438 | 15,206 | 7,922 | 7,587 | 15,509 |
| 15 to 19 | 7,637 | 7,396 | 15,033 | 7,990 | 7,733 | 15,723 | 8,206 | 7,943 | 16,149 |
| 20 to 24 | 6,947 | 6,887 | 13,834 | 7,379 | 7,305 | 14,684 | 7,723 | 7,639 | 15,362 |
| 25 to 29 . | 6,347 | 6,456 | 12,803 | 6,917 | 7,039 | 13,956 | 7,349 | 7,467 | 14,816 |
| 30 to 34 . | 6,588 | 6,939 | 13,527 | 6,702 | 6,968 | 13,670 | 7,309 | 7,601 | 14,910 |
| 35 to 39 ....................... | 7,302 | 7,717 | 15,019 | 6,940 | 7,455 | 14,395 | 7,064 | 7,491 | 14,555 |
| 40 to 44 | 7,372 | 7,707 | 15,079 | 7,504 | 8,000 | 15,504 | 7,137 | 7,733 | 14,870 |
| 45 to 49 . | 7,453 | 7,674 | 15,127 | 7,408 | 7,777 | 15,185 | 7,546 | 8,077 | 15,623 |
| 50 to 54 | 6,491 | 6,884 | 13,375 | 7,383 | 7,655 | 15,038 | 7,345 | 7,762 | 15,107 |
| 55 to 59 | 5,680 | 6,238 | 11,918 | 6,336 | 6,782 | 13,118 | 7,218 | 7,547 | 14,765 |
| 60 to 64 | 5,081 | 5,553 | 10,634 | 5,350 | 5,945 | 11,295 | 5,981 | 6,473 | 12,454 |
| 65 to 69 | 4,680 | 5,211 | 9,891 | 4,688 | 5,321 | 10,009 | 4,952 | 5,707 | 10,659 |
| 70 to 74 | 4,422 | 4,956 | 9,378 | 4,204 | 4,823 | 9,027 | 4,230 | 4,940 | 9,170 |
| 75 to 79 . | 4,203 | 4,879 | 9,082 | 3,753 | 4,382 | 8,135 | 3,595 | 4,285 | 7,880 |
| 80 to 84 ............................. | 2,983 | 3,700 | 6,683 | 3,393 | 4,141 | 7,534 | 3,064 | 3,749 | 6,813 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 2,514 | 3,593 | 6,107 | 3,192 | 4,507 | 7,699 | 3,889 | 5,414 | 9,303 |
| Total Population | 107,777 | 112,927 | 220,704 | 111,751 | 117,483 | 229,234 | 115,894 | 122,119 | 238,013 |

[^23]Table B-3
PROJECTED POPULATION IN MILWAUKEE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 35,292 | 34,072 | 69,364 | 35,597 | 34,026 | 69,623 | 35,888 | 34,301 | 70,189 |
| 5 to 9 | 32,693 | 31,596 | 64,289 | 32,100 | 30,981 | 63,081 | 32,608 | 31,160 | 63,768 |
| 10 to 14 | 32,288 | 30,844 | 63,132 | 31,808 | 30,747 | 62,555 | 31,287 | 30,203 | 61,490 |
| 15 to 19 | 35,373 | 34,539 | 69,912 | 31,860 | 30,547 | 62,407 | 31,410 | 30,465 | 61,875 |
| 20 to 24 | 38,234 | 39,723 | 77,957 | 37,213 | 37,775 | 74,988 | 33,630 | 33,594 | 67,224 |
| 25 to 29 | 37,969 | 40,281 | 78,250 | 39,158 | 41,199 | 80,357 | 38,197 | 39,281 | 77,478 |
| 30 to 34 | 33,404 | 34,684 | 68,088 | 36,504 | 38,450 | 74,954 | 37,747 | 39,455 | 77,202 |
| 35 to 39 | 29,633 | 30,598 | 60,231 | 30,998 | 32,205 | 63,203 | 34,054 | 35,895 | 69,949 |
| 40 to 44 | 29,198 | 29,872 | 59,070 | 27,559 | 28,738 | 56,297 | 28,968 | 30,383 | 59,351 |
| 45 to 49 | 30,449 | 32,153 | 62,602 | 27,558 | 28,503 | 56,061 | 26,107 | 27,516 | 53,623 |
| 50 to 54 | 31,212 | 33,376 | 64,588 | 28,865 | 30,811 | 59,676 | 26,213 | 27,399 | 53,612 |
| 55 to 59 | 27,373 | 29,323 | 56,696 | 28,805 | 31,086 | 59,891 | 26,759 | 28,816 | 55,575 |
| 60 to 64 | 21,175 | 23,248 | 44,423 | 24,694 | 26,939 | 51,633 | 26,154 | 28,653 | 54,807 |
| 65 to 69 | 13,119 | 16,081 | 29,200 | 18,021 | 20,632 | 38,653 | 21,198 | 24,071 | 45,269 |
| 70 to 74 | 9,754 | 12,930 | 22,684 | 10,944 | 14,127 | 25,071 | 15,191 | 18,260 | 33,451 |
| 75 to 79 | 8,142 | 12,152 | 20,294 | 7,558 | 10,919 | 18,477 | 8,591 | 12,039 | 20,630 |
| 80 to 84 | 6,685 | 11,283 | 17,968 | 5,696 | 9,484 | 15,180 | 5,388 | 8,642 | 14,030 |
| 85 and Older ..................... | 5,724 | 13,263 | 18,987 | 6,234 | 14,288 | 20,522 | 6,160 | 14,147 | 20,307 |
| Total Population | 457,717 | 490,018 | 947,735 | 461,172 | 491,457 | 952,629 | 465,550 | 494,280 | 959,830 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 35,136 | 33,583 | 68,719 | 33,994 | 32,487 | 66,481 | 33,081 | 31,611 | 64,692 |
| 5 to 9 | 33,047 | 31,578 | 64,625 | 32,455 | 31,014 | 63,469 | 31,470 | 30,069 | 61,539 |
| 10 to 14 ........................... | 31,826 | 30,418 | 62,244 | 32,280 | 30,851 | 63,131 | 31,721 | 30,317 | 62,038 |
| 15 to 19 | 30,912 | 29,938 | 60,850 | 31,455 | 30,158 | 61,613 | 31,912 | 30,593 | 62,505 |
| 20 to 24 | 33,237 | 33,644 | 66,881 | 32,758 | 33,144 | 65,902 | 33,370 | 33,446 | 66,816 |
| 25 to 29 | 34,578 | 35,003 | 69,581 | 34,214 | 35,097 | 69,311 | 33,750 | 34,606 | 68,356 |
| 30 to 34 | 36,897 | 37,712 | 74,609 | 33,448 | 33,656 | 67,104 | 33,131 | 33,784 | 66,915 |
| 35 to 39 | 35,355 | 36,982 | 72,337 | 34,648 | 35,436 | 70,084 | 31,469 | 31,680 | 63,149 |
| 40 to 44 | 31,941 | 33,979 | 65,920 | 33,242 | 35,079 | 68,321 | 32,638 | 33,663 | 66,301 |
| 45 to 49 | 27,520 | 29,168 | 56,688 | 30,404 | 32,678 | 63,082 | 31,693 | 33,781 | 65,474 |
| 50 to 54 | 24,903 | 26,516 | 51,419 | 26,305 | 28,156 | 54,461 | 29,110 | 31,586 | 60,696 |
| 55 to 59 | 24,394 | 25,712 | 50,106 | 23,242 | 24,943 | 48,185 | 24,609 | 26,535 | 51,144 |
| 60 to 64 | 24,430 | 26,639 | 51,069 | 22,367 | 23,808 | 46,175 | 21,389 | 23,123 | 44,512 |
| 65 to 69 | 22,619 | 25,748 | 48,367 | 21,253 | 24,043 | 45,296 | 19,560 | 21,568 | 41,128 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 18,029 | 21,439 | 39,468 | 19,382 | 23,051 | 42,433 | 18,336 | 21,625 | 39,961 |
| 75 to 79 | 12,061 | 15,686 | 27,747 | 14,455 | 18,542 | 32,997 | 15,684 | 20,063 | 35,747 |
| 80 to 84 ........................... | 6,230 | 9,651 | 15,881 | 8,877 | 12,712 | 21,589 | 10,786 | 15,176 | 25,962 |
| 85 and Older | 6,113 | 13,837 | 19,950 | 6,682 | 14,515 | 21,197 | 8,596 | 17,098 | 25,694 |
| Total Population | 469,228 | 497,233 | 966,461 | 471,461 | 499,370 | 970,831 | 472,305 | 500,324 | 972,629 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ............................ | 32,715 | 31,259 | 63,974 | 32,740 | 31,284 | 64,024 | 32,844 | 31,377 | 64,221 |
| 5 to 9 | 30,700 | 29,331 | 60,031 | 30,465 | 29,105 | 59,570 | 30,611 | 29,246 | 59,857 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 30,777 | 29,412 | 60,189 | 30,051 | 28,716 | 58,767 | 29,853 | 28,525 | 58,378 |
| 15 to 19 | 31,368 | 30,069 | 61,437 | 30,445 | 29,179 | 59,624 | 29,740 | 28,496 | 58,236 |
| 20 to 24 ............................ | 33,894 | 33,995 | 67,889 | 33,371 | 33,505 | 66,876 | 32,451 | 32,617 | 65,068 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 34,412 | 34,955 | 69,367 | 34,993 | 35,575 | 70,568 | 34,499 | 35,115 | 69,614 |
| 30 to 34 . | 32,717 | 33,352 | 66,069 | 33,405 | 33,743 | 67,148 | 34,023 | 34,407 | 68,430 |
| 35 to 39 | 31,235 | 31,863 | 63,098 | 30,927 | 31,538 | 62,465 | 31,676 | 32,008 | 63,684 |
| 40 to 44 . | 29,703 | 30,144 | 59,847 | 29,557 | 30,385 | 59,942 | 29,350 | 30,154 | 59,504 |
| 45 to 49 | 31,168 | 32,464 | 63,632 | 28,424 | 29,123 | 57,547 | 28,348 | 29,416 | 57,764 |
| 50 to 54 | 30,397 | 32,698 | 63,095 | 29,953 | 31,476 | 61,429 | 27,376 | 28,291 | 55,667 |
| 55 to 59 | 27,296 | 29,824 | 57,120 | 28,579 | 30,945 | 59,524 | 28,243 | 29,864 | 58,107 |
| 60 to 64 ............................ | 22,726 | 24,629 | 47,355 | 25,303 | 27,734 | 53,037 | 26,601 | 28,842 | 55,443 |
| 65 to 69 | 18,800 | 21,024 | 39,824 | 20,082 | 22,485 | 42,567 | 22,483 | 25,428 | 47,911 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 16,989 | 19,489 | 36,478 | 16,443 | 19,089 | 35,532 | 17,684 | 20,515 | 38,199 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 14,972 | 18,938 | 33,910 | 13,999 | 17,177 | 31,176 | 13,671 | 16,929 | 30,600 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 11,862 | 16,582 | 28,444 | 11,478 | 15,809 | 27,287 | 10,877 | 14,481 | 25,358 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 10,922 | 20,583 | 31,505 | 13,098 | 24,078 | 37,176 | 14,412 | 26,251 | 40,663 |
| Total Population | 472,653 | 500,611 | 973,264 | 473,313 | 500,946 | 974,259 | 474,742 | 501,962 | 976,704 |

[^24]Table B-4
PROJECTED POPULATION IN OZAUKEE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ...... | 2,346 | 2,202 | 4,548 | 2,225 | 2,125 | 4,350 | 2,487 | 2,377 | 4,864 |
| 5 to 9 ................................ | 2,920 | 2,699 | 5,619 | 2,716 | 2,549 | 5,265 | 2,631 | 2,514 | 5,145 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 3,247 | 2,974 | 6,221 | 3,126 | 2,889 | 6,015 | 2,937 | 2,756 | 5,693 |
| 15 to 19 ............................ | 3,144 | 3,026 | 6,170 | 3,262 | 2,998 | 6,260 | 3,144 | 2,916 | 6,060 |
| 20 to 24 ............................ | 2,153 | 2,081 | 4,234 | 2,626 | 2,583 | 5,209 | 2,830 | 2,645 | 5,475 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 2,079 | 1,995 | 4,074 | 1,984 | 1,962 | 3,946 | 2,460 | 2,464 | 4,924 |
| 30 to 34 ............................ | 2,022 | 1,999 | 4,021 | 2,321 | 2,309 | 4,630 | 2,255 | 2,322 | 4,577 |
| 35 to 39 ........................... | 2,329 | 2,479 | 4,808 | 2,358 | 2,349 | 4,707 | 2,770 | 2,779 | 5,549 |
| 40 to 44 ............................ | 3,009 | 3,199 | 6,208 | 2,527 | 2,678 | 5,205 | 2,595 | 2,569 | 5,164 |
| 45 to 49 ............................ | 3,553 | 3,752 | 7,305 | 3,068 | 3,256 | 6,324 | 2,591 | 2,737 | 5,328 |
| 50 to 54 ............................ | 3,802 | 3,965 | 7,767 | 3,489 | 3,724 | 7,213 | 3,019 | 3,235 | 6,254 |
| 55 to 59 ............................ | 3,275 | 3,470 | 6,745 | 3,620 | 3,860 | 7,480 | 3,343 | 3,640 | 6,983 |
| 60 to 64 ........................... | 2,696 | 2,771 | 5,467 | 3,044 | 3,321 | 6,365 | 3,399 | 3,719 | 7,118 |
| 65 to 69 ........................... | 1,905 | 2,098 | 4,003 | 2,469 | 2,635 | 5,104 | 2,822 | 3,178 | 6,000 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 1,347 | 1,559 | 2,906 | 1,714 | 1,992 | 3,706 | 2,246 | 2,519 | 4,765 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 1,094 | 1,346 | 2,440 | 1,190 | 1,489 | 2,679 | 1,530 | 1,927 | 3,457 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 806 | 1,176 | 1,982 | 836 | 1,146 | 1,982 | 924 | 1,290 | 2,214 |
| 85 and Older ..................... | 613 | 1,264 | 1,877 | 760 | 1,447 | 2,207 | 879 | 1,582 | 2,461 |
| Total Population | 42,340 | 44,055 | 86,395 | 43,335 | 45,312 | 88,647 | 44,862 | 47,169 | 92,031 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ...... | 2,770 | 2,646 | 5,416 | 2,978 | 2,848 | 5,826 | 3,034 | 2,897 | 5,931 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 2,913 | 2,785 | 5,698 | 3,204 | 3,062 | 6,266 | 3,418 | 3,268 | 6,686 |
| 10 to 14 ........................... | 2,832 | 2,706 | 5,538 | 3,116 | 2,979 | 6,095 | 3,414 | 3,263 | 6,677 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 2,953 | 2,780 | 5,733 | 2,847 | 2,726 | 5,573 | 3,131 | 3,001 | 6,132 |
| 20 to 24 | 2,683 | 2,536 | 5,219 | 2,466 | 2,370 | 4,836 | 2,343 | 2,295 | 4,638 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 2,632 | 2,510 | 5,142 | 2,474 | 2,391 | 4,865 | 2,260 | 2,225 | 4,485 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,775 | 2,888 | 5,663 | 2,941 | 2,905 | 5,846 | 2,748 | 2,745 | 5,493 |
| 35 to 39 ............................ | 2,666 | 2,766 | 5,432 | 3,237 | 3,394 | 6,631 | 3,402 | 3,384 | 6,786 |
| 40 to 44 | 3,031 | 3,024 | 6,055 | 2,897 | 2,989 | 5,886 | 3,500 | 3,653 | 7,153 |
| 45 to 49 ............................ | 2,657 | 2,623 | 5,280 | 3,098 | 3,082 | 6,180 | 2,958 | 3,044 | 6,002 |
| 50 to 54 | 2,552 | 2,721 | 5,273 | 2,620 | 2,609 | 5,229 | 3,057 | 3,067 | 6,124 |
| 55 to 59 | 2,893 | 3,161 | 6,054 | 2,443 | 2,658 | 5,101 | 2,508 | 2,549 | 5,057 |
| 60 to 64 ........................... | 3,137 | 3,503 | 6,640 | 2,711 | 3,036 | 5,747 | 2,289 | 2,550 | 4,839 |
| 65 to 69 | 3,152 | 3,561 | 6,713 | 2,907 | 3,357 | 6,264 | 2,514 | 2,912 | 5,426 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 2,577 | 3,047 | 5,624 | 2,887 | 3,421 | 6,308 | 2,673 | 3,233 | 5,906 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,022 | 2,445 | 4,467 | 2,338 | 2,962 | 5,300 | 2,641 | 3,337 | 5,978 |
| 80 to 84 | 1,204 | 1,681 | 2,885 | 1,611 | 2,143 | 3,754 | 1,885 | 2,612 | 4,497 |
| 85 and Older | 1,012 | 1,773 | 2,785 | 1,265 | 2,151 | 3,416 | 1,669 | 2,705 | 4,374 |
| Total Population | 46,461 | 49,156 | 95,617 | 48,040 | 51,083 | 99,123 | 49,444 | 52,740 | 102,184 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 2,999 | 2,865 | 5,864 | 2,999 | 2,864 | 5,863 | 3,134 | 2,992 | 6,126 |
| 5 to 9 | 3,483 | 3,327 | 6,810 | 3,459 | 3,305 | 6,764 | 3,469 | 3,314 | 6,783 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 3,642 | 3,483 | 7,125 | 3,720 | 3,554 | 7,274 | 3,700 | 3,535 | 7,235 |
| 15 to 19 | 3,430 | 3,287 | 6,717 | 3,661 | 3,510 | 7,171 | 3,740 | 3,583 | 7,323 |
| 20 to 24 ............................ | 2,580 | 2,528 | 5,108 | 2,850 | 2,790 | 5,640 | 3,059 | 2,992 | 6,051 |
| 25 to 29 . | 2,148 | 2,156 | 4,304 | 2,374 | 2,380 | 4,754 | 2,629 | 2,631 | 5,260 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,512 | 2,555 | 5,067 | 2,396 | 2,489 | 4,885 | 2,655 | 2,756 | 5,411 |
| 35 to 39 . | 3,181 | 3,199 | 6,380 | 2,924 | 2,994 | 5,918 | 2,798 | 2,926 | 5,724 |
| 40 to 44 | 3,681 | 3,643 | 7,324 | 3,454 | 3,454 | 6,908 | 3,183 | 3,240 | 6,423 |
| 45 to 49 . | 3,575 | 3,722 | 7,297 | 3,766 | 3,717 | 7,483 | 3,537 | 3,526 | 7,063 |
| 50 to 54 | 2,922 | 3,031 | 5,953 | 3,536 | 3,708 | 7,244 | 3,728 | 3,707 | 7,435 |
| 55 to 59 | 2,932 | 2,998 | 5,930 | 2,808 | 2,968 | 5,776 | 3,405 | 3,635 | 7,040 |
| 60 to 64 | 2,356 | 2,447 | 4,803 | 2,764 | 2,885 | 5,649 | 2,655 | 2,860 | 5,515 |
| 65 to 69 | 2,130 | 2,451 | 4,581 | 2,204 | 2,359 | 4,563 | 2,595 | 2,788 | 5,383 |
| 70 to 74 | 2,324 | 2,814 | 5,138 | 1,981 | 2,378 | 4,359 | 2,061 | 2,297 | 4,358 |
| 75 to 79 . | 2,465 | 3,171 | 5,636 | 2,159 | 2,777 | 4,936 | 1,854 | 2,360 | 4,214 |
| 80 to 84 . | 2,155 | 2,968 | 5,123 | 2,036 | 2,848 | 4,884 | 1,804 | 2,516 | 4,320 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 2,101 | 3,396 | 5,497 | 2,564 | 4,128 | 6,692 | 2,821 | 4,590 | 7,411 |
| Total Population | 50,616 | 54,041 | 104,657 | 51,655 | 55,108 | 106,763 | 52,827 | 56,248 | 109,075 |

[^25]Table B-5
PROJECTED POPULATION IN RACINE COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ... | 6,520 | 6,227 | 12,747 | 6,397 | 6,111 | 12,508 | 6,418 | 6,129 | 12,547 |
| 5 to 9 .............................. | 6,761 | 6,472 | 13,233 | 6,602 | 6,314 | 12,916 | 6,520 | 6,236 | 12,756 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 7,098 | 6,893 | 13,991 | 6,898 | 6,619 | 13,517 | 6,798 | 6,517 | 13,315 |
| 15 to 19 | 6,908 | 6,320 | 13,228 | 6,930 | 6,739 | 13,669 | 6,781 | 6,515 | 13,296 |
| 20 to 24 ........................... | 5,928 | 5,261 | 11,189 | 6,147 | 5,631 | 11,778 | 6,407 | 6,239 | 12,646 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 5,989 | 5,974 | 11,963 | 5,689 | 5,057 | 10,746 | 5,972 | 5,478 | 11,450 |
| 30 to 34 ........................... | 5,927 | 5,906 | 11,833 | 6,205 | 6,200 | 12,405 | 5,990 | 5,333 | 11,323 |
| 35 to 39 | 6,091 | 5,951 | 12,042 | 6,057 | 6,049 | 12,106 | 6,414 | 6,422 | 12,836 |
| 40 to 44 ........................... | 6,810 | 6,884 | 13,694 | 6,128 | 5,999 | 12,127 | 6,130 | 6,133 | 12,263 |
| 45 to 49 | 7,935 | 7,982 | 15,917 | 6,765 | 6,909 | 13,674 | 6,115 | 6,047 | 12,162 |
| 50 to 54 ........................... | 7,805 | 8,056 | 15,861 | 7,747 | 7,915 | 15,662 | 6,615 | 6,857 | 13,472 |
| 55 to 59 .......................... | 6,604 | 6,613 | 13,217 | 7,434 | 7,735 | 15,169 | 7,438 | 7,655 | 15,093 |
| 60 to 64 ............................ | 5,276 | 5,478 | 10,754 | 6,067 | 6,178 | 12,245 | 6,943 | 7,337 | 14,280 |
| 65 to 69 ........................... | 3,566 | 4,036 | 7,602 | 4,694 | 5,007 | 9,701 | 5,508 | 5,753 | 11,261 |
| 70 to 74 . | 2,697 | 3,103 | 5,800 | 3,138 | 3,657 | 6,795 | 4,189 | 4,591 | 8,780 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,013 | 2,567 | 4,580 | 2,200 | 2,693 | 4,893 | 2,587 | 3,197 | 5,784 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 1,590 | 2,321 | 3,911 | 1,504 | 2,113 | 3,617 | 1,673 | 2,247 | 3,920 |
| 85 and Older ..................... | 1,253 | 2,593 | 3,846 | 1,515 | 2,960 | 4,475 | 1,660 | 3,137 | 4,797 |
| Total Population | 96,771 | 98,637 | 195,408 | 98,117 | 99,886 | 198,003 | 100,158 | 101,823 | 201,981 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ...... | 6,548 | 6,250 | 12,798 | 6,780 | 6,473 | 13,253 | 6,955 | 6,642 | 13,597 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 6,577 | 6,289 | 12,866 | 6,708 | 6,411 | 13,119 | 6,943 | 6,637 | 13,580 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 6,766 | 6,486 | 13,252 | 6,821 | 6,537 | 13,358 | 6,954 | 6,661 | 13,615 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 6,721 | 6,450 | 13,171 | 6,687 | 6,417 | 13,104 | 6,739 | 6,465 | 13,204 |
| 20 to 24 | 6,470 | 6,224 | 12,694 | 6,398 | 6,148 | 12,546 | 6,354 | 6,103 | 12,457 |
| 25 to 29 ........................... | 6,290 | 6,132 | 12,422 | 6,348 | 6,113 | 12,461 | 6,275 | 6,035 | 12,310 |
| 30 to 34 | 6,375 | 5,855 | 12,230 | 6,711 | 6,549 | 13,260 | 6,767 | 6,523 | 13,290 |
| 35 to 39 ............................ | 6,250 | 5,576 | 11,826 | 6,652 | 6,120 | 12,772 | 7,000 | 6,841 | 13,841 |
| 40 to 44 | 6,523 | 6,542 | 13,065 | 6,358 | 5,680 | 12,038 | 6,768 | 6,235 | 13,003 |
| 45 to 49 ............................ | 6,142 | 6,205 | 12,347 | 6,541 | 6,620 | 13,161 | 6,377 | 5,749 | 12,126 |
| 50 to 54 | 5,988 | 6,007 | 11,995 | 6,022 | 6,168 | 12,190 | 6,420 | 6,585 | 13,005 |
| 55 to 59 | 6,395 | 6,673 | 13,068 | 5,797 | 5,850 | 11,647 | 5,838 | 6,011 | 11,849 |
| 60 to 64 ........................... | 7,046 | 7,357 | 14,403 | 6,069 | 6,419 | 12,488 | 5,511 | 5,632 | 11,143 |
| 65 to 69 | 6,413 | 6,941 | 13,354 | 6,527 | 6,970 | 13,497 | 5,639 | 6,091 | 11,730 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 4,979 | 5,331 | 10,310 | 5,826 | 6,453 | 12,279 | 5,960 | 6,500 | 12,460 |
| 75 to 79 | 3,487 | 4,041 | 7,528 | 4,178 | 4,719 | 8,897 | 4,928 | 5,743 | 10,671 |
| 80 to 84 | 2,002 | 2,703 | 4,705 | 2,734 | 3,447 | 6,181 | 3,315 | 4,061 | 7,376 |
| 85 and Older | 1,887 | 3,410 | 5,297 | 2,244 | 3,929 | 6,173 | 2,926 | 4,809 | 7,735 |
| Total Population | 102,859 | 104,472 | 207,331 | 105,401 | 107,023 | 212,424 | 107,669 | 109,323 | 216,992 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 7,017 | 6,698 | 13,715 | 7,032 | 6,715 | 13,747 | 7,095 | 6,774 | 13,869 |
| 5 to 9 . | 7,121 | 6,811 | 13,932 | 7,186 | 6,869 | 14,055 | 7,204 | 6,887 | 14,091 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 7,195 | 6,893 | 14,088 | 7,382 | 7,076 | 14,458 | 7,450 | 7,139 | 14,589 |
| 15 to 19 | 6,871 | 6,587 | 13,458 | 7,109 | 6,817 | 13,926 | 7,296 | 6,999 | 14,295 |
| 20 to 24 ............................ | 6,398 | 6,143 | 12,541 | 6,527 | 6,262 | 12,789 | 6,759 | 6,486 | 13,245 |
| 25 to 29 . | 6,231 | 5,990 | 12,221 | 6,276 | 6,030 | 12,306 | 6,406 | 6,149 | 12,555 |
| 30 to 34 | 6,689 | 6,438 | 13,127 | 6,644 | 6,392 | 13,036 | 6,697 | 6,438 | 13,135 |
| 35 to 39 ....................... | 7,059 | 6,814 | 13,873 | 6,981 | 6,726 | 13,707 | 6,936 | 6,682 | 13,618 |
| 40 to 44 | 7,125 | 6,971 | 14,096 | 7,189 | 6,944 | 14,133 | 7,114 | 6,858 | 13,972 |
| 45 to 49 . | 6,793 | 6,312 | 13,105 | 7,157 | 7,062 | 14,219 | 7,227 | 7,038 | 14,265 |
| 50 to 54 | 6,265 | 5,722 | 11,987 | 6,681 | 6,286 | 12,967 | 7,046 | 7,037 | 14,083 |
| 55 to 59 ........................... | 6,231 | 6,421 | 12,652 | 6,090 | 5,584 | 11,674 | 6,504 | 6,141 | 12,645 |
| 60 to 64 | 5,560 | 5,793 | 11,353 | 5,947 | 6,196 | 12,143 | 5,826 | 5,398 | 11,224 |
| 65 to 69 | 5,135 | 5,354 | 10,489 | 5,198 | 5,519 | 10,717 | 5,578 | 5,916 | 11,494 |
| 70 to 74 | 5,175 | 5,699 | 10,874 | 4,737 | 5,026 | 9,763 | 4,817 | 5,198 | 10,015 |
| 75 to 79 . | 5,082 | 5,816 | 10,898 | 4,447 | 5,125 | 9,572 | 4,099 | 4,542 | 8,641 |
| 80 to 84 . | 3,958 | 4,983 | 8,941 | 4,131 | 5,088 | 9,219 | 3,656 | 4,519 | 8,175 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 3,735 | 5,862 | 9,597 | 4,686 | 7,264 | 11,950 | 5,462 | 8,382 | 13,844 |
| Total Population | 109,640 | 111,307 | 220,947 | 111,400 | 112,981 | 224,381 | 113,172 | 114,583 | 227,755 |

[^26]Table B-6

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN WALWORTH COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ............................ | 3,168 | 3,028 | 6,196 | 3,016 | 2,879 | 5,895 | 3,217 | 3,075 | 6,292 |
| 5 to 9 ........................... | 3,521 | 3,247 | 6,768 | 3,404 | 3,255 | 6,659 | 3,251 | 3,104 | 6,355 |
| 10 to 14 | 3,538 | 3,258 | 6,796 | 3,845 | 3,548 | 7,393 | 3,731 | 3,568 | 7,299 |
| 15 to 19 ............................ | 3,939 | 3,649 | 7,588 | 3,917 | 3,579 | 7,496 | 4,273 | 3,912 | 8,185 |
| 20 to 24 | 4,612 | 4,072 | 8,684 | 4,085 | 3,681 | 7,766 | 4,069 | 3,612 | 7,681 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 2,961 | 2,785 | 5,746 | 4,079 | 3,654 | 7,733 | 3,637 | 3,322 | 6,959 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,911 | 2,813 | 5,724 | 2,747 | 2,674 | 5,421 | 3,799 | 3,515 | 7,314 |
| 35 to 39 ........................... | 2,974 | 3,079 | 6,053 | 3,066 | 3,083 | 6,149 | 2,902 | 2,942 | 5,844 |
| 40 to 44 | 3,354 | 3,307 | 6,661 | 3,117 | 3,274 | 6,391 | 3,222 | 3,287 | 6,509 |
| 45 to 49 | 3,899 | 3,972 | 7,871 | 3,466 | 3,460 | 6,926 | 3,229 | 3,435 | 6,664 |
| 50 to 54 | 3,880 | 3,817 | 7,697 | 3,999 | 4,125 | 8,124 | 3,567 | 3,603 | 7,170 |
| 55 to 59 | 3,512 | 3,418 | 6,930 | 3,930 | 3,950 | 7,880 | 4,066 | 4,283 | 8,349 |
| 60 to 64 | 2,860 | 2,897 | 5,757 | 3,493 | 3,426 | 6,919 | 3,924 | 3,970 | 7,894 |
| 65 to 69 | 2,117 | 2,137 | 4,254 | 2,699 | 2,809 | 5,508 | 3,310 | 3,333 | 6,643 |
| 70 to 74 | 1,433 | 1,675 | 3,108 | 1,892 | 1,959 | 3,851 | 2,428 | 2,585 | 5,013 |
| 75 to 79 | 1,062 | 1,279 | 2,341 | 1,215 | 1,510 | 2,725 | 1,619 | 1,778 | 3,397 |
| 80 to 84 ........................... | 867 | 1,193 | 2,060 | 792 | 1,081 | 1,873 | 920 | 1,291 | 2,211 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 629 | 1,365 | 1,994 | 756 | 1,364 | 2,120 | 796 | 1,328 | 2,124 |
| Total Population | 51,237 | 50,991 | 102,228 | 53,518 | 53,311 | 106,829 | 55,960 | 55,943 | 111,903 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5. | 3,333 | 3,183 | 6,516 | 3,439 | 3,284 | 6,723 | 3,548 | 3,390 | 6,938 |
| 5 to 9 .............................. | 3,469 | 3,319 | 6,788 | 3,604 | 3,444 | 7,048 | 3,724 | 3,558 | 7,282 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 3,566 | 3,406 | 6,972 | 3,817 | 3,654 | 7,471 | 3,971 | 3,796 | 7,767 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 4,152 | 3,938 | 8,090 | 3,983 | 3,772 | 7,755 | 4,271 | 4,053 | 8,324 |
| 20 to 24 | 4,444 | 3,949 | 8,393 | 4,325 | 3,977 | 8,302 | 4,153 | 3,810 | 7,963 |
| 25 to 29 .......................... | 3,628 | 3,264 | 6,892 | 3,988 | 3,588 | 7,576 | 3,892 | 3,622 | 7,514 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,390 | 3,198 | 6,588 | 3,395 | 3,149 | 6,544 | 3,739 | 3,464 | 7,203 |
| 35 to 39 ........................... | 4,016 | 3,871 | 7,887 | 3,594 | 3,534 | 7,128 | 3,604 | 3,486 | 7,090 |
| 40 to 44 | 3,054 | 3,139 | 6,193 | 4,237 | 4,143 | 8,380 | 3,797 | 3,786 | 7,583 |
| 45 to 49 ........................... | 3,343 | 3,452 | 6,795 | 3,177 | 3,304 | 6,481 | 4,412 | 4,367 | 8,779 |
| 50 to 54 | 3,328 | 3,582 | 6,910 | 3,455 | 3,609 | 7,064 | 3,289 | 3,459 | 6,748 |
| 55 to 59 | 3,635 | 3,746 | 7,381 | 3,403 | 3,735 | 7,138 | 3,540 | 3,770 | 7,310 |
| 60 to 64 | 4,073 | 4,314 | 8,387 | 3,657 | 3,784 | 7,441 | 3,433 | 3,781 | 7,214 |
| 65 to 69 | 3,734 | 3,872 | 7,606 | 3,892 | 4,221 | 8,113 | 3,509 | 3,712 | 7,221 |
| 70 to 74 ........................... | 2,996 | 3,080 | 6,076 | 3,402 | 3,592 | 6,994 | 3,566 | 3,929 | 7,495 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,097 | 2,361 | 4,458 | 2,611 | 2,832 | 5,443 | 2,988 | 3,321 | 6,309 |
| 80 to 84 | 1,243 | 1,537 | 2,780 | 1,632 | 2,063 | 3,695 | 2,057 | 2,497 | 4,554 |
| 85 and Older | 897 | 1,448 | 2,345 | 1,137 | 1,679 | 2,816 | 1,492 | 2,138 | 3,630 |
| Total Population | 58,398 | 58,659 | 117,057 | 60,748 | 61,364 | 122,112 | 62,985 | 63,939 | 126,924 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 3,647 | 3,484 | 7,131 | 3,749 | 3,583 | 7,332 | 3,889 | 3,716 | 7,605 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 3,840 | 3,670 | 7,510 | 3,950 | 3,775 | 7,725 | 4,068 | 3,889 | 7,957 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 4,102 | 3,920 | 8,022 | 4,232 | 4,046 | 8,278 | 4,364 | 4,170 | 8,534 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 4,441 | 4,208 | 8,649 | 4,592 | 4,349 | 8,941 | 4,747 | 4,497 | 9,244 |
| 20 to 24 | 4,453 | 4,094 | 8,547 | 4,633 | 4,251 | 8,884 | 4,796 | 4,395 | 9,191 |
| 25 to 29 | 3,735 | 3,468 | 7,203 | 4,011 | 3,732 | 7,743 | 4,187 | 3,886 | 8,073 |
| 30 to 34 ............................ | 3,647 | 3,497 | 7,144 | 3,504 | 3,350 | 6,854 | 3,771 | 3,609 | 7,380 |
| 35 to 39 ......................... | 3,968 | 3,833 | 7,801 | 3,874 | 3,874 | 7,748 | 3,728 | 3,718 | 7,446 |
| 40 to 44 ............................ | 3,808 | 3,735 | 7,543 | 4,198 | 4,110 | 8,308 | 4,104 | 4,160 | 8,264 |
| 45 to 49 | 3,957 | 3,990 | 7,947 | 3,972 | 3,941 | 7,913 | 4,387 | 4,342 | 8,729 |
| 50 to 54 | 4,570 | 4,573 | 9,143 | 4,103 | 4,183 | 8,286 | 4,124 | 4,139 | 8,263 |
| 55 to 59 | 3,374 | 3,615 | 6,989 | 4,698 | 4,786 | 9,484 | 4,227 | 4,386 | 8,613 |
| 60 to 64 | 3,579 | 3,821 | 7,400 | 3,419 | 3,671 | 7,090 | 4,774 | 4,869 | 9,643 |
| 65 to 69 | 3,305 | 3,716 | 7,021 | 3,457 | 3,765 | 7,222 | 3,312 | 3,625 | 6,937 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 3,232 | 3,468 | 6,700 | 3,060 | 3,483 | 6,543 | 3,216 | 3,539 | 6,755 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 3,158 | 3,652 | 6,810 | 2,883 | 3,241 | 6,124 | 2,749 | 3,272 | 6,021 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 2,382 | 2,952 | 5,334 | 2,548 | 3,276 | 5,824 | 2,353 | 2,933 | 5,286 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 1,938 | 2,683 | 4,621 | 2,392 | 3,308 | 5,700 | 2,770 | 3,920 | 6,690 |
| Total Population | 65,136 | 66,379 | 131,515 | 67,275 | 68,724 | 135,999 | 69,566 | 71,065 | 140,631 |

[^27]Table B-7

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 .......................... | 4,133 | 4,046 | 8,179 | 3,910 | 3,735 | 7,645 | 4,132 | 3,946 | 8,078 |
| 5 to 9 .............................. | 4,626 | 4,402 | 9,028 | 4,570 | 4,475 | 9,045 | 4,346 | 4,152 | 8,498 |
| 10 to 14 ............................ | 4,742 | 4,745 | 9,487 | 4,816 | 4,586 | 9,402 | 4,767 | 4,672 | 9,439 |
| 15 to 19 ........................ | 4,454 | 4,036 | 8,490 | 4,703 | 4,658 | 9,361 | 4,778 | 4,504 | 9,282 |
| 20 to 24 ........................... | 3,095 | 2,825 | 5,920 | 3,787 | 3,510 | 7,297 | 4,027 | 4,077 | 8,104 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 3,570 | 3,558 | 7,128 | 3,133 | 2,956 | 6,089 | 3,838 | 3,681 | 7,519 |
| 30 to 34 ............................ | 3,915 | 3,708 | 7,623 | 4,023 | 4,075 | 8,098 | 3,552 | 3,408 | 6,960 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,196 | 4,160 | 8,356 | 4,264 | 4,042 | 8,306 | 4,403 | 4,463 | 8,866 |
| 40 to 44 ........................... | 5,060 | 5,146 | 10,206 | 4,397 | 4,352 | 8,749 | 4,484 | 4,240 | 8,724 |
| 45 to 49 | 5,990 | 5,991 | 11,981 | 5,160 | 5,257 | 10,417 | 4,495 | 4,455 | 8,950 |
| 50 to 54 ........................... | 5,503 | 5,321 | 10,824 | 5,983 | 6,071 | 12,054 | 5,167 | 5,338 | 10,505 |
| 55 to 59 ........................... | 4,525 | 4,682 | 9,207 | 5,428 | 5,320 | 10,748 | 5,917 | 6,082 | 11,999 |
| 60 to 64 ............................ | 3,829 | 3,826 | 7,655 | 4,408 | 4,635 | 9,043 | 5,304 | 5,279 | 10,583 |
| 65 to 69 ........................... | 2,617 | 2,866 | 5,483 | 3,567 | 3,770 | 7,337 | 4,124 | 4,585 | 8,709 |
| 70 to 74 . | 1,857 | 2,156 | 4,013 | 2,398 | 2,854 | 5,252 | 3,292 | 3,780 | 7,072 |
| 75 to 79 | 1,431 | 1,763 | 3,194 | 1,656 | 2,046 | 3,702 | 2,164 | 2,734 | 4,898 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 1,062 | 1,550 | 2,612 | 1,146 | 1,552 | 2,698 | 1,347 | 1,822 | 3,169 |
| 85 and Older ..................... | 788 | 1,713 | 2,501 | 970 | 1,938 | 2,908 | 1,135 | 2,113 | 3,248 |
| Total Population | 65,393 | 66,494 | 131,887 | 68,319 | 69,832 | 138,151 | 71,272 | 73,331 | 144,603 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ...... | 4,460 | 4,259 | 8,719 | 4,850 | 4,631 | 9,481 | 5,122 | 4,889 | 10,011 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 4,583 | 4,378 | 8,961 | 4,928 | 4,707 | 9,635 | 5,340 | 5,099 | 10,439 |
| 10 to 14 ........................... | 4,529 | 4,331 | 8,860 | 4,769 | 4,559 | 9,328 | 5,120 | 4,894 | 10,014 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 4,730 | 4,587 | 9,317 | 4,493 | 4,251 | 8,744 | 4,731 | 4,473 | 9,204 |
| 20 to 24 | 4,080 | 3,931 | 8,011 | 4,017 | 3,986 | 8,003 | 3,797 | 3,677 | 7,474 |
| 25 to 29 ........................... | 4,080 | 4,273 | 8,353 | 4,133 | 4,113 | 8,246 | 4,067 | 4,163 | 8,230 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,342 | 4,232 | 8,574 | 4,596 | 4,888 | 9,484 | 4,636 | 4,683 | 9,319 |
| 35 to 39 ............................ | 3,882 | 3,727 | 7,609 | 4,730 | 4,612 | 9,342 | 4,992 | 5,311 | 10,303 |
| 40 to 44 | 4,627 | 4,678 | 9,305 | 4,072 | 3,902 | 7,974 | 4,956 | 4,820 | 9,776 |
| 45 to 49 ............................ | 4,586 | 4,340 | 8,926 | 4,729 | 4,785 | 9,514 | 4,161 | 3,989 | 8,150 |
| 50 to 54 | 4,505 | 4,525 | 9,030 | 4,598 | 4,407 | 9,005 | 4,744 | 4,857 | 9,601 |
| 55 to 59 | 5,118 | 5,352 | 10,470 | 4,469 | 4,538 | 9,007 | 4,566 | 4,422 | 8,988 |
| 60 to 64 ........................... | 5,797 | 6,044 | 11,841 | 5,027 | 5,326 | 10,353 | 4,400 | 4,520 | 8,920 |
| 65 to 69 | 4,982 | 5,232 | 10,214 | 5,464 | 6,000 | 11,464 | 4,755 | 5,296 | 10,051 |
| 70 to 74 ............................ | 3,827 | 4,609 | 8,436 | 4,648 | 5,269 | 9,917 | 5,124 | 6,053 | 11,177 |
| 75 to 79 | 2,995 | 3,638 | 6,633 | 3,505 | 4,450 | 7,955 | 4,284 | 5,103 | 9,387 |
| 80 to 84 | 1,785 | 2,457 | 4,242 | 2,499 | 3,296 | 5,795 | 2,958 | 4,062 | 7,020 |
| 85 and Older | 1,360 | 2,419 | 3,779 | 1,756 | 3,041 | 4,797 | 2,417 | 4,003 | 6,420 |
| Total Population | 74,268 | 77,012 | 151,280 | 77,283 | 80,761 | 158,044 | 80,170 | 84,314 | 164,484 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 5,196 | 4,962 | 10,158 | 5,230 | 4,992 | 10,222 | 5,390 | 5,143 | 10,533 |
| 5 to 9 | 5,643 | 5,386 | 11,029 | 5,747 | 5,488 | 11,235 | 5,804 | 5,541 | 11,345 |
| 10 to 14 .......................... | 5,549 | 5,304 | 10,853 | 5,874 | 5,611 | 11,485 | 5,991 | 5,726 | 11,717 |
| 15 to 19 | 5,080 | 4,803 | 9,883 | 5,506 | 5,207 | 10,713 | 5,830 | 5,511 | 11,341 |
| 20 to 24 | 4,004 | 3,873 | 7,877 | 4,322 | 4,178 | 8,500 | 4,707 | 4,548 | 9,255 |
| 25 to 29 . | 3,846 | 3,842 | 7,688 | 4,059 | 4,054 | 8,113 | 4,384 | 4,381 | 8,765 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,567 | 4,744 | 9,311 | 4,340 | 4,400 | 8,740 | 4,601 | 4,665 | 9,266 |
| 35 to 39 . | 5,041 | 5,092 | 10,133 | 4,985 | 5,176 | 10,161 | 4,752 | 4,816 | 9,568 |
| 40 to 44 | 5,234 | 5,554 | 10,788 | 5,299 | 5,336 | 10,635 | 5,252 | 5,434 | 10,686 |
| 45 to 49 . | 5,068 | 4,930 | 9,998 | 5,363 | 5,689 | 11,052 | 5,439 | 5,474 | 10,913 |
| 50 to 54 | 4,179 | 4,052 | 8,231 | 5,099 | 5,017 | 10,116 | 5,404 | 5,796 | 11,200 |
| 55 to 59 | 4,718 | 4,879 | 9,597 | 4,164 | 4,076 | 8,240 | 5,090 | 5,053 | 10,143 |
| 60 to 64 | 4,506 | 4,411 | 8,917 | 4,665 | 4,876 | 9,541 | 4,127 | 4,080 | 8,207 |
| 65 to 69 | 4,177 | 4,505 | 8,682 | 4,292 | 4,410 | 8,702 | 4,457 | 4,888 | 9,345 |
| 70 to 74 | 4,483 | 5,363 | 9,846 | 3,960 | 4,586 | 8,546 | 4,088 | 4,510 | 8,598 |
| 75 to 79 . | 4,762 | 5,896 | 10,658 | 4,205 | 5,263 | 9,468 | 3,747 | 4,532 | 8,279 |
| 80 to 84 . | 3,659 | 4,699 | 8,358 | 4,122 | 5,481 | 9,603 | 3,685 | 4,936 | 8,621 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 3,110 | 5,166 | 8,276 | 3,990 | 6,414 | 10,404 | 4,868 | 7,843 | 12,711 |
| Total Population | 82,822 | 87,461 | 170,283 | 85,222 | 90,254 | 175,476 | 87,616 | 92,877 | 180,493 |

[^28]Table B-8

## PROJECTED POPULATION IN WAUKESHA COUNTY BY AGE AND SEX: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Age Group | Actual Population |  |  | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 ........................... | 10,868 | 10,606 | 21,474 | 10,967 | 10,467 | 21,434 | 11,813 | 11,279 | 23,092 |
| 5 to 9 | 13,426 | 12,797 | 26,223 | 12,045 | 11,756 | 23,801 | 12,215 | 11,661 | 23,876 |
| 10 to 14 ....................... | 14,154 | 14,027 | 28,181 | 14,117 | 13,463 | 27,580 | 12,697 | 12,400 | 25,097 |
| 15 to 19 ........................... | 13,950 | 12,559 | 26,509 | 14,339 | 13,844 | 28,183 | 14,314 | 13,295 | 27,609 |
| 20 to 24 ....................... | 9,310 | 8,994 | 18,304 | 11,729 | 10,741 | 22,470 | 12,163 | 11,933 | 24,096 |
| 25 to 29 ............................ | 10,034 | 9,749 | 19,783 | 8,971 | 9,024 | 17,995 | 11,322 | 10,781 | 22,103 |
| 30 to 34 | 10,208 | 10,181 | 20,389 | 11,111 | 11,204 | 22,315 | 9,987 | 10,441 | 20,428 |
| 35 to 39 | 11,442 | 11,784 | 23,226 | 11,230 | 11,370 | 22,600 | 12,287 | 12,581 | 24,868 |
| 40 to 44 | 13,946 | 14,599 | 28,545 | 12,161 | 12,543 | 24,704 | 11,983 | 12,142 | 24,125 |
| 45 to 49 | 16,524 | 17,610 | 34,134 | 14,280 | 15,007 | 29,287 | 12,484 | 12,915 | 25,399 |
| 50 to 54 | 17,192 | 17,078 | 34,270 | 16,404 | 17,581 | 33,985 | 14,202 | 15,000 | 29,202 |
| 55 to 59 | 14,475 | 14,754 | 29,229 | 16,616 | 16,672 | 33,288 | 15,895 | 17,192 | 33,087 |
| 60 to 64 | 11,795 | 12,141 | 23,936 | 13,589 | 14,097 | 27,686 | 15,672 | 15,978 | 31,650 |
| 65 to 69 | 7,959 | 8,526 | 16,485 | 10,639 | 11,489 | 22,128 | 12,336 | 13,387 | 25,723 |
| 70 to 74 | 5,543 | 6,726 | 12,269 | 7,185 | 8,198 | 15,383 | 9,672 | 11,106 | 20,778 |
| 75 to 79 | 4,633 | 5,830 | 10,463 | 4,797 | 6,433 | 11,230 | 6,287 | 7,918 | 14,205 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 3,395 | 5,167 | 8,562 | 3,657 | 5,146 | 8,803 | 3,852 | 5,754 | 9,606 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 2,501 | 5,408 | 7,909 | 3,006 | 6,017 | 9,023 | 3,487 | 6,481 | 9,968 |
| Total Population | 191,355 | 198,536 | 389,891 | 196,843 | 205,052 | 401,895 | 202,668 | 212,244 | 414,912 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2025 |  |  | 2030 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 12,761 | 12,182 | 24,943 | 13,641 | 13,021 | 26,662 | 14,019 | 13,379 | 27,398 |
| 5 to 9 ............................... | 13,117 | 12,527 | 25,644 | 14,070 | 13,434 | 27,504 | 14,981 | 14,301 | 29,282 |
| 10 to 14 | 12,856 | 12,279 | 25,135 | 13,756 | 13,144 | 26,900 | 14,724 | 14,067 | 28,791 |
| 15 to 19 | 12,869 | 12,242 | 25,111 | 13,017 | 12,114 | 25,131 | 13,921 | 12,962 | 26,883 |
| 20 to 24 | 12,076 | 11,403 | 23,479 | 10,724 | 10,383 | 21,107 | 10,772 | 10,209 | 20,981 |
| 25 to 29 | 11,733 | 11,977 | 23,710 | 11,625 | 11,443 | 23,068 | 10,313 | 10,417 | 20,730 |
| 30 to 34 | 12,567 | 12,423 | 24,990 | 12,935 | 13,673 | 26,608 | 12,767 | 12,994 | 25,761 |
| 35 to 39 | 11,013 | 11,687 | 22,700 | 13,769 | 13,801 | 27,570 | 14,122 | 15,125 | 29,247 |
| 40 to 44 | 13,089 | 13,412 | 26,501 | 11,682 | 12,405 | 24,087 | 14,574 | 14,614 | 29,188 |
| 45 to 49 | 12,298 | 12,492 | 24,790 | 13,411 | 13,772 | 27,183 | 11,960 | 12,725 | 24,685 |
| 50 to 54 | 12,430 | 12,917 | 25,347 | 12,252 | 12,496 | 24,748 | 13,370 | 13,782 | 27,152 |
| 55 to 59 | 13,783 | 14,681 | 28,464 | 12,075 | 12,646 | 24,721 | 11,915 | 12,240 | 24,155 |
| 60 to 64 | 15,015 | 16,492 | 31,507 | 13,022 | 14,083 | 27,105 | 11,419 | 12,138 | 23,557 |
| 65 to 69 | 14,263 | 15,205 | 29,468 | 13,672 | 15,716 | 29,388 | 11,879 | 13,444 | 25,323 |
| 70 to 74 ........................... | 11,276 | 12,980 | 24,256 | 13,098 | 14,774 | 27,872 | 12,617 | 15,310 | 27,927 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 8,532 | 10,770 | 19,302 | 10,011 | 12,601 | 22,612 | 11,711 | 14,385 | 26,096 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 5,114 | 7,139 | 12,253 | 7,011 | 9,762 | 16,773 | 8,314 | 11,497 | 19,811 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 3,914 | 7,207 | 11,121 | 4,891 | 8,546 | 13,437 | 6,555 | 11,040 | 17,595 |
| Total Population | 208,706 | 220,015 | 428,721 | 214,662 | 227,814 | 442,476 | 219,933 | 234,629 | 454,562 |


| Age Group | Projected Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2040 |  |  | 2045 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Under 5 | 13,884 | 13,248 | 27,132 | 13,817 | 13,183 | 27,000 | 14,305 | 13,649 | 27,954 |
| 5 to 9 ............................. | 15,414 | 14,714 | 30,128 | 15,327 | 14,626 | 29,953 | 15,309 | 14,608 | 29,917 |
| 10 to 14 | 15,688 | 14,984 | 30,672 | 16,174 | 15,448 | 31,622 | 16,114 | 15,384 | 31,498 |
| 15 to 19 ............................ | 14,904 | 13,873 | 28,777 | 15,891 | 14,786 | 30,677 | 16,394 | 15,250 | 31,644 |
| 20 to 24 | 11,546 | 10,945 | 22,491 | 12,447 | 11,787 | 24,234 | 13,359 | 12,637 | 25,996 |
| 25 to 29 | 10,365 | 10,245 | 20,610 | 11,126 | 10,987 | 22,113 | 12,010 | 11,835 | 23,845 |
| 30 to 34 | 11,342 | 11,849 | 23,191 | 11,448 | 11,716 | 23,164 | 12,338 | 12,627 | 24,965 |
| 35 to 39 | 13,959 | 14,394 | 28,353 | 12,453 | 13,182 | 25,635 | 12,617 | 13,087 | 25,704 |
| 40 to 44 | 14,968 | 16,028 | 30,996 | 14,840 | 15,293 | 30,133 | 13,277 | 14,039 | 27,316 |
| 45 to 49 | 14,935 | 14,998 | 29,933 | 15,364 | 16,470 | 31,834 | 15,257 | 15,734 | 30,991 |
| 50 to 54 | 11,938 | 12,743 | 24,681 | 14,926 | 15,032 | 29,958 | 15,375 | 16,521 | 31,896 |
| 55 to 59 | 13,022 | 13,513 | 26,535 | 11,650 | 12,510 | 24,160 | 14,592 | 14,775 | 29,367 |
| 60 to 64 | 11,289 | 11,768 | 23,057 | 12,373 | 13,021 | 25,394 | 11,100 | 12,079 | 23,179 |
| 65 to 69 | 10,458 | 11,615 | 22,073 | 10,392 | 11,291 | 21,683 | 11,441 | 12,524 | 23,965 |
| 70 to 74 | 11,021 | 13,146 | 24,167 | 9,757 | 11,405 | 21,162 | 9,744 | 11,129 | 20,873 |
| 75 to 79 ............................ | 11,374 | 14,997 | 26,371 | 10,022 | 12,975 | 22,997 | 8,944 | 11,336 | 20,280 |
| 80 to 84 ............................ | 9,851 | 13,245 | 23,096 | 9,696 | 13,952 | 23,648 | 8,653 | 12,190 | 20,843 |
| 85 and Older ...................... | 8,344 | 13,789 | 22,133 | 10,416 | 16,800 | 27,216 | 11,736 | 19,400 | 31,136 |
| Total Population | 224,302 | 240,094 | 464,396 | 228,119 | 244,464 | 472,583 | 232,565 | 248,804 | 481,369 |

[^29]
## Appendix C

## PROJECTED COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE IN THE REGION: 2010-2050 (INTERMEDIATE PROJECTION)

| Time Period | Births | Deaths | Natural Increase | Net Migration | Total Population <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2010-2015$ | 134,375 | 84,251 | 50,124 | $-9,299$ | 40,825 |
| $2015-2020$ | 138,410 | 85,979 | 52,431 | $-4,221$ | 48,210 |
| $2020-2025$ | 140,775 | 90,010 | 50,765 | -33 | 50,732 |
| $2025-2030$ | 142,405 | 96,823 | 45,582 | 2,509 | 48,091 |
| $2030-2035$ | 142,720 | 105,828 | 36,892 | 5,040 | 41,932 |
| $2035-2040$ | 142,225 | 113,798 | 28,427 | 7,579 | 36,006 |
| $2040-2045$ | 142,575 | 119,773 | 22,802 | 10,127 | 32,929 |
| $2045-2050$ | 144,970 | 122,295 | 22,675 | 12,670 | 35,345 |
| $2010-2050$ | $1,128,455$ | 818,757 | 309,698 | 24,372 | 334,070 |

Source: SEWRPC.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A small portion of the Kenosha urbanized area extends into Lake County, Illinois; and a small portion of the Milwaukee urbanized area extends into Jefferson County, Wisconsin.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The year 2010 population of each county in the Region is presented by five-year age group and gender in Appendix B of this report.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Separate living quarters are defined as those in which the occupants live separately from any other persons in the building and which have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The Census Bureau categorizes households as family or non-family based upon the status of the "householder." In the census, one person in each household is designated as the householder. In most cases, this is the person, or one of the people, in whose name the home is owned, being bought, or rented. If there is no such person, any adult member of the household could be designated the householder. Family households are those in which there are one or more persons related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. Non-family households are those in which the householder lives alone and those households which do not have any members that are related to the householder.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 2010, births to females under age 15 in the Region numbered 28 , or 0.1 percent of all births; births to females age 45 and older numbered 56, or 0.2 percent of all births.

[^6]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

[^7]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ The 2006-2010 American Community Survey reflects demographic data obtained from sample surveys carried out under the Census Bureau's American Community Survey program over the five-year period from 2006 through 2010.

[^9]:    Because the movement of persons presented above are based upon Federal income tax returns, the data set generally does not reflect the in-migration of foreign-

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ This usage is consistent with the generally accepted distinction between the terms "projection" and "forecast." A projection is an indication of the future value of a variable, such as population or employment levels, under a set of assumptions which affect that variable. Typically, more than one projection is developed, each with its own set of assumptions. A forecast, on the other hand, involves an element of judgment, it being the projection deemed most likely to occur.

[^11]:    ${ }^{5}$ The ratio utilized was the average of the ratios observed in 2000 and 2010.
    ${ }^{6}$ For this purpose, Wisconsin Department of Administration survival rate projections, prepared as part of the new 2010-2040 population projections for the State, were extended to the year 2050.

[^12]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, and SEWRPC.

[^13]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^14]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^15]:    ${ }^{9}$ The year 2040 population projections of Moody's Analytics exceed the Woods \& Poole projections by 34 percent in Kenosha County, 15 percent in Milwaukee County, 6 percent in Racine County, and 1 percent in Walworth County. Conversely, the year 2040 population projections of Woods \& Poole exceed the Moody’s Analytics projections by 30 percent in Ozaukee County, 38 percent in Washington County, and 26 percent in Waukesha County.

[^16]:    ${ }^{10}$ The age groups considered were 1-14, 15-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, and 75 and over.

[^17]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^18]:    ${ }^{11}$ The year 2040 household projections of Moody's Analytics exceed the Woods \& Poole projections by 29 percent in Kenosha County, 15 percent in Milwaukee County, and 5 percent in Racine County. Conversely, the year 2040 household projections of Woods \& Poole exceed the Moody's Analytics projections by 31 percent in Ozaukee County, 2 percent in Walworth County, 40 percent in Washington County, and 28 percent in Waukesha County.

[^19]:    ${ }^{12}$ The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The minority population includes persons reported in the census as being of Hispanic origin and/or reporting their race as Black or African American, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race, or more than one race.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The City of Kenosha was originally incorporated as the Village of Southport in 1841. In 1850, the Village was incorporated as the City of Kenosha.
    ${ }^{b}$ A portion of the Town of Bristol was incorporated as the Village of Bristol in 2009. The remainder of the Town combined with the Village in 2010 after the conduct of the 2010 Census.
     population for the Village (both county portions) was 1,277 in 1990; 1,949 in 2000; and 3,042 in 2010.
    
    eln 1989, the Town of Pleasant Prairie was incorporated as the Village of Pleasant Prairie and the Town of Pleasant Prairie ceased to exist.
    $f_{\text {The Village of Silver Lake was incorporated in } 1926 . ~}^{\text {In }}$.
    $g_{\text {The Village of Twin Lakes was incorporated in } 1937 .}$
    
    ${ }^{i}$ The Town of Southport was dissolved in 1853 and its territory attached to the Towns of Pleasant Prairie and Somers
    $j_{\text {The }}$ Town of Randall was created in 1860 from a portion of the Town of Wheatland.

[^22]:    
     1990.
    $b_{\text {The City of Racine was originally incorporated as the Village of Racine in 1841. In 1848, the Village was incorporated as a city. }}^{\text {re }}$.
    ${ }^{c}$ The Village of Caledonia was incorporated in 2005 and the Town of Caledonia ceased to exist.
    $d_{\text {The Village of Sturtevant was originally incorporated as the Village of Corliss in 1907. In 1923, the village name was changed to Sturtevant. }}$
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ The Village of Elmwood Park was incorporated in 1960 after the conduct of the 1960 census.
    
    $g_{\text {The Village of North Bay was incorporated in } 1951 .}$
    $h_{\text {The Village of Rochester was incorporated } 1912 .}$
    ${ }^{i}$ The Town and Village of Rochester were consolidated as the Village of Rochester in 2008 and the Town of Rochester ceased to exist.
    $j_{\text {The Village of Union Grove was incorporated in } 1893 .}$
    $k_{T h e}$ Village of Waterford was incorporated in 1906.
    IThe Village of Wind Point was incorporated in 1954.
    $m_{\text {In 1852, }}$ 185 Towns of Burlington and Rochester were reorganized to form the Towns of Burlington, Rochester, and Waterford.
     dissolved and its territory attached to the Towns of Caledonia and Mt. Pleasant.

[^23]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^24]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^25]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^26]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

[^27]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC

[^28]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC

[^29]:    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

