

#### SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

KENOSHA COUNTY Francis J. Pitts Mary A. Plunkett Sheila M. Siegler

MILWAUKEE COUNTY Irene M. Brown Richard W. Cutler, Secretary Harout O. Sanasarian, Vice-Chairman

OZAUKEE COUNTY Allen F. Bruederle Sara L. Johann Alfred G. Rætz, Chairman RACINE COUNTY Raymond J. Moyer Earl G. Skagen Michael W. Wells

WALWORTH COUNTY John D. Ames Anthony F. Balestrieri Allen L. Morrison

WASHINGTON COUNTY Harold F. Ryan Thomas J. Sackett Frank F. Uttech

WAUKESHA COUNTY Robert F. Hamilton William D. Rogan, Treasurer Paul G. Vrakas

#### CITY OF FRANKLIN OFFICIALS

Theodore J. Fadrow, Mayor

#### COMMON COUNCIL

Elaine K. Franken David R. Mayer Mark E. Miazga Thomas J. Pizzo, Sr. Thomas J. Romanowicz Wallace J. Staver CITY PLAN COMMISSION

Theodore J. Fadrow, Chairman John C. Armbruster John M. Bennett, P. E. George E. Melcher Thomas J. Romanowicz Kenneth P. Skowronski

#### CITY ADMINISTRATOR/CLERK

Bernard W, Kahl

CITY ENGINEER

John M. Bennett, P. E.

CITY ATTORNEY

Gregory P. Gregory

#### SOUTHEASTERN WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF

Kurt W. Bauer, PE, AICP, RLS
Philip C. EvensonAssistant Director
Kenneth R. Yunker, PE
Robert P. Biebel, PE Chief Environmental Engineer
John W. Ernst
Leland H. Kreblin
Donald R. Martinson Chief Transportation Engineer
Thomas D. Patterson
Bruce P. Rubin
Roland O. Tonn, AICP
Joan A. Zenk

Special acknowledgment is due Mr. Curtis J. Lypek, Planner, for his efforts in the preparation of this report.

# COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PLANNING REPORT NUMBER 57

# A DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

City of Franklin Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Prepared by the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission P. O. Box 769 Old Courthouse 916 N. East Avenue Waukesha, Wisconsin 53187-1607

The preparation of this report was financed in part through a joint planning grant from the Wisconsin Department of Local Affairs and Development pursuant to Section 22.14 of the Wisconsin Statutes and from the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to Section 701 of the Federal Housing Act of 1954, as amended.

September 1983

Inside Region \$2.50 Outside Region \$5.00 (This page intentionally left blank)

# SOUTHEASTERN

# WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

916 NO, EAST AVENUE

P.O. BOX 769

WAUKESHA, WISCONSIN 53187-1607

TELEPHONE (414) 547-6721

Serving the Counties of: KENOSHA



September 21, 1983

The Honorable Theodore J. Fadrow Mayor City of Franklin Municipal Building 9229 W. Loomis Road Franklin, Wisconsin 53132

Dear Mayor Fadrow:

As you know, the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission agreed to prepare a series of neighborhood unit development plans for the City of Franklin. The Commission staff, working with the City Plan Commission, has delineated 14 neighborhood units for which such plans should eventually be prepared. This report presents a plan for one of these neighborhood units, the unit known as the "Forest Hills Neighborhood."

This report presents basic information on the present stage of development of the Forest Hills Neighborhood, including information on the existing real property boundary and land use patterns; the existing sanitary sewerage, water supply, and storm water drainage facilities; and the topography, drainage pattern, soils, woodlands, and wetlands of the neighborhood area, all of which constitute important considerations in any neighborhood planning effort. Based on the findings of these inventories and on recommended neighborhood development standards, the report sets forth a recommended neighborhood unit development plan which is consistent with both regional and local development objectives, along with certain alternatives to that recommended plan.

Upon its adoption by the City Plan Commission, the plan presented in this report is intended to be used by city officials as a point of departure in the making of development decisions affecting the Forest Hills Neighborhood.

The Regional Planning Commission staff is appreciative of the assistance provided by elected and appointed city officials in the preparation of the plan. The Commission staff stands ready, upon request, to assist the City in presenting the plan documented in this report to the public for review and evaluation prior to local adoption and to assist in subsequent implementation of the plan over time.

Sincerely,

Kurt W. Bauer Executive Director

(This page intentionally left blank)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter I - INTRODUCTION.1General Setting.1History of the City of Franklin.3The Neighborhood Unit Concept.4Community Planning in the City of Franklin.6Neighborhood Location and Boundaries.9History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction.11Topography and Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Solls.14Limitation of Soils.14Wotlands.13Floodlands.14Soils.14Community Utilities.17Chart Resource-Related Elements.17Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.23Community Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Weidlife Habitat.38Weidlife Habitat.38Weighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Weighborhood Recreational/Educat		Page
Independent of the Setting.1History of the City of Franklin.3The Neighborhood Unit Concept.4Community Planning in the City of Franklin.6Neighborhood Delineation.7Neighborhood Location and Boundaries.9History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction.11Topography and Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Sols.14Limitation of Soils.14Voodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sever.30Community Tailities.30Street and Highway Facilities.31Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Introduction.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Weighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Weighborhood Recreational/Educatio	Chaptor I . INTRODUCTION	
Deneral Setting	Compared Setting	1
Instory of the City of Franklin3The Neighborhood Unit Concept.4Community Planning in the City of Franklin6Neighborhood Delineation7Neighborhood Location and Boundaries.9History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction11Topography and Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Wetlands.13Floodlands.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Community Utilities27Santary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.31Storm Sewer.31Storm Sewer.31Storm Sewer.31Storm Sewer.31Storm Sewer.32Storm Sewer.33Storm Sewer.33Storm Sewer.34Community Facilities.37Introduction.37Introduction.37Introduction.37		1
The Neighborhood Unit Concept. 4   Community Planning in the City of Franklin. 6   Neighborhood Delineation. 7   Neighborhood Location and Boundaries. 9   History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood. 9   Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS. 11   Introduction. 11   Topography and Surface Drainage. 11   Wetlands. 13   Floodlands. 13   Solpes. 14   Limitation of Soils. 14   Woodlands. 17   Environmental Corridor Delineation. 19   Existing Land Use. 23   Community Utilities. 27   Water Supply. 27   Sanitary Sewer. 30   Community Facilities. 30   Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIG	History of the City of Franklin	3
Community Planning in the City of Franklin	The Neighborhood Unit Concept	4
Neighborhood Delineation7Neighborhood Location and Boundaries9History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS11Introduction11Topography and Surface Drainage11Wetlands13Floodlands13Slopes14Soils14Limitation of Soils14Vetlands17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements17Environmental Corridor Delineation23Community Utilities23Storm Sewer30Storm Sewer30Community Facilities30Street and Highway Facilities31Real Property Ownership34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA37Introduction37Invironmental Corridors37Isolated Natural Areas37Isolated Natural Areas37Isolated Natural Areas37Isolated Natural Areas38Wetlands38Woodlands and Vegetation38Watlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Water Supply37Isolated Natural Areas37Isolated Natural Areas37Isolated Natural Areas38Wetlands	Community Planning in the City of Franklin	6
Neighborhood Location and Boundaries9History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction.11Surface Drainage.11Wetlands.13Floodlands13Slopes.14Soils14Woodlands.14Woodlands.14Soils14Woodlands14Soils14Soils14Soils14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Soils14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Woodlands14Sointary Resource-Related Elements17Charter Natural Resource-Related Elements17Sointary Sewer23Community Utilities27Sanitary Sewer30Storm Sewer30Storm Sewer30Community Facilities33Real Property Ownership34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood34Land Use Constraintal Preservation3	Neighborhood Delineation	7
History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.9Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction.11Topography and Surface Drainage.11Wetlands.13Floodlands13Slopes.14Soils.14Modalds.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.23Community Utilities.23Community Eacilities.30Stores Sever.30Store Sever.30Store Sever.30Store Sever.30Store Sever.30Store Sever.30Store Store and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Instroduction.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.	Neighborhood Location and Boundaries	9
Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS.11Introduction11Surface Drainage11Surface Drainage11Wetlands13Floodlands13Soils14Limitation of Soils14Wodlands17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements17Environmental Corridor Delineation23Community Utilities27Water Supply30Street and Highway Facilities30Street and Highway Facilities30Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA37Introduction37Land Use and Streams37Lakes and Streams37Lakes and Streams38Wetlands37Lakes and Streams38Wetlands37Lakes and Streams38Wetlands38Water Supply37Lakes and Streams37Lakes and Streams38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Wetlands38Water Supply38Water Supply37Lakes and Streams37Lakes and Streams38Wetlands38Woollands and Vegetation38Widlife Habitat38Watking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities39	History of the Forest Hills Neighborhood	9
Introduction.11Topography and Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Wetlands.13Floodlands.13Solpes.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Street and Highway Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Wetlands.38Widlife Habitat.38Soils.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Chapter II - INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	
Introduction11Surface Drainage.11Surface Drainage.11Wetlands.13Floodlands.13Slopes.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Community Facilities.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Corridors.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Wildlife Habitat.38Wildlife Habitat.38Watlife Habitat.38Watking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Introduction	11
Notice of the set	Topography and Surface Designed	11
Sufface Drainage11Wetlands.13Floodlands.13Slopes.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Community Utilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Widlife Habitat.38Soils.38Watlands.38Watking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities. <td>Surface Drainage</td> <td>11</td>	Surface Drainage	11
wetlands.13Floodlands.13Slopes.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.38		11
Floodlands.13Slopes.14Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.14Woodlands.14Uther Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	wetlands	13
Slopes	Floodlands	13
Soils.14Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Street and Highway Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Slopes	14
Limitation of Soils.14Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Wailding Habitat.38Wildlife Habitat.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Soils	14
Woodlands.17Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Limitation of Soils	14
Other Natural Resource-Related Elements.17Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Iaslated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Woodlands	17
Environmental Corridor Delineation.19Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.31Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Other Natural Resource-Related Elements	17
Existing Land Use.23Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Environmental Corridor Delineation	19
Community Utilities.27Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Existing Land Use	23
Water Supply.27Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Community Utilities	27
Sanitary Sewer.30Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.31Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Water Supply	27
Storm Sewer.30Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Sanitary Sewer	30
Community Facilities.30Street and Highway Facilities.31Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Storm Sewer	30
Street and Highway Facilities.33Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Community Facilities	30
Real Property Ownership.34Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Street and Highway Facilities	33
Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.34Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Real Property Ownership	3/
Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Land Use Constraints in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	3/
Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA.37Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	ete ethettaines in ene forest hills herghborhood	54
Introduction.37Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Chapter III - RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA	37
Urban Design Criteria.37Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.39	Introduction	37
Environmental Preservation.37Environmental Corridors.37Isolated Natural Areas.37Lakes and Streams.38Wetlands.38Woodlands and Vegetation.38Wildlife Habitat.38Soils.38Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities.38Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities.39	Urban Design Criteria	37
Environmental Corridors	Environmental Preservation	37
Isolated Natural Areas	Environmental Corridors	37
Lakes and Streams	Isolated Natural Areas	37
Wetlands	Lakes and Streams	38
Woodlands and Vegetation	Wetlands	38
Wildlife Habitat	Woodlands and Vegetation	38
Soils	Wildlife Habitat	38
Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities	Soils	38
Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities	Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities	38
	Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities	39
Streets	Streets	39
Limitation of Access to Arterial Streets 30	Limitation of Access to Arterial Streets	30
Street Cross-Sections.	Street Cross-Sections.	40
Street Grades	Street Grades	40
	Street Intersections	40
	Street Intersections	40

# Page

Street Alignment	40
Half Streets	42
Cul-de-Sac Streets	42
Handicap and Bicycle Access	43
Blocks	43
Length	43
Pedestrian Ways	43
Width	43
Utilities	43
Lots	43
Lot Lines	43
Double Frontage	43
Access	43
Lot Size	43
Lot Depth	44
Lot Width	44
Corner Lots	44
General Landscaping	44
Soils and Landscape Tree Planting	44
Cutting and Clearing	44
Paths	44
Street Trees	44
Wind and Landscape Planting	44
Sunlight and Landscape Planting	45
Sunlight and Open Space	45
Easements	45
Storm Water Drainage and Erosion/Sedimentation Control	45
Chapter IV - THE RECOMMENDED NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT PLAN	47
Introduction	47
The Recommended Plan	47
Land Use Description	47
Circulation	51
Relationship of Population Growth Trends and Plan	55
Plan Evaluation in Terms of Lot Yield	58
Subdivision Lot Yield Efficiency Factors	58
Lot Yield Efficiency Analysis	58
Chapter v - IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN	61
	61
Public informational Meetings and Hearings	61
	61
Apple al Dist is the	61
Agricultural Districts	66
Electional District	66
Pioodiand Districts	66.
Subdivision Dist Deview	6/
Conital Improvemente Duranem	67
Capital improvements riogram	68
LUCAL FINANCING	68
Dummary	DΟ

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Page

Page

# Appendix

A	A Summary of Proposed Ultimate Development	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	73
В	Landscape Tree Planting Selection Guide for	
	Soils Found in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	74
С	Point Value Designation and Delineation of	
	Elements of Environmental Corridor and Significant	
	Isolated Areas in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	77
<b>D</b>	A Suggested City of Franklin Plan Commission	
	Resolution Adopting the Forest Hills Precise	
	Neighborhood Unit Development Plan	79
E	A Suggested City of Franklin Common Council	
	Resolution for Adopting the Forest Hills	
	Neighborhood Unit Development Plan	81

# LIST OF TABLES

# Chapter I

T-L1-	
IADIE	
10010	

1	Historic and Forecast Populations for the	
	Town and City of Franklin: 1840-2000	4
2	Land Use Distribution in a Typical	
	Medium-Density Neighborhood Unit	6
3	History of Urban Land Subdivision	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	9

# Chapter II

- 4	Limitations of Soils for Residential, Light	
	Industrial, and Commercial Development for Those	
	Soil Series Found in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	15
-5	Point Value Designation for Elements of Primary	
	Environmental Corridor, Secondary Environmental	
	Corridor, and Other Environmentally Significant Lands	20
6	Minimum Requirements for Classification of Primary	
	Environmental Corridor, Secondary Environmental	
	Corridor, and Other Environmentally Significant Lands	21
7	Requirements for Linking Separated	
	Areas With Corridor Values	21
8	Existing Land Use in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	25
9	Existing City of Franklin Zoning Districts As	
	Applied in the Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	26
10	Existing Streets and Highways in the	
	Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	33

Chapter III

	Ghupber III	
Table		Page
11	Outdoor Recreation Facility Requirements in a Typical	
	Medium-Density Residential Neighborhood Unit	39
12	Maximum Walking Distance and Travel Time Standards	
	for a Typical Medium-Density Neighborhood Unit	40
13	Street Design Criteria for the Forest Hills Neighborhood	42
	Chapter IV	
14	Existing and Proposed Land Use	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	52
15	Streets and Highways in the Forest Hills	
	Neighborhood: 1980 and Upon Ultimate Development	55
16	Ultimate Population, Developed Acreages, and	
	Residential Densities in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	56
17	Ultimate Primary and Secondary School Age Population by	
	Grade and by School Type in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	56
18	Estimated Population Distribution by	
1.0	Age Group in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	56
19	Distribution of Ultimate Residential Development	
20	In the Forest Hills Neighborhood	57
20	for the Ferent Wills Neighborhood	50
	for the rolest hills Neighborhood	
	Chantor V	
	Shapter V	
21	Summary of Recommended Zoning Districts	
	for the City of Franklin	63
	LIST OF FIGURES	
	Chapter III	
Figure		Page
1	Typical Urban Street and Highway Cross-Sections	
	for the Forest Hills Neighborhood	41

# Chapter IV

2	Civic Center Plan for the City of Franklin	49
3	Alternative Suggested Designs	
	for Landscaped Planting Screens	53

# LIST OF MAPS

# Chapter I

# Map

Page

1	Location of the City of Franklin in the		
	Southeastern Wisconsin Region and the		
	Extent of Historic Urban Development	 	2

Page

Map

2	Selected Elements of the Regional Land Use,	
	Park and Open Space, and Transportation Plans	
	for the City of Franklin Planning Area: 2000	8
	Chapter II	
3	Topography, Surface Drainage Patterns, Wetland Areas,	
	and Flood Hazard Areas in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	12
4	Soil Limitations for Residential Development on Lots	
	One Acre or More in Area Served by Onsite Private	
-	Sewage Systems in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	16
5	Soil Limitations for Residential Development on	
	Lots Served by Public Sanitary Sewer Service	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	18
6	Environmental Corridors and Significant Isolated	
	Natural Areas in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	22
7	Existing Land Use in the Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	24
8	Existing Zoning in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	28
9	Existing Public Water Supply Service	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	29
10	Existing Sanitary Sewer Service in the	
	Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	31
11	Existing Storm Sewer Service in the	
	Forest Hills Neighborhood: 1980	32
12	Existing Property Boundaries and Summary of	
	Constraints Affecting Neighborhood Design	
	in the Forest Hills Neighborhood	35
	Chapter IV	
13	Recommended Plan for the Forest Hills Neighborhood	48
	Chapter V	
14	Proposed Illtimate Zoning Man	
	for the Forest Hills Neighborhood	62
15	Tax Incremental Financing District for the	02
	City of Franklin and the Forest Hills Neighborhood	69
		09

(This page intentionally left blank)

# Chapter I

# INTRODUCTION

The Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, since its inception in 1960, has urged local plan commissions to consider the preparation of detailed neighborhood unit development plans as an important means of guiding and shaping urban land use development and redevelopment in the public interest. SEWRPC Planning Guide No. 1, <u>Land Development Guide</u>, published in November 1963, discussed the importance of neighborhood unit planning to the attainment of good residential land subdivision. This guide indicated that effective public regulation of the important process of land subdivision-a process through which much of the form and character of a community are determined--requires the preparation of detailed neighborhood unit development plans. The regional land use plan originally adopted by the Commission in December 1966 more specifically recommended that local plan commissions identify neighborhood units within areas of existing or proposed urban use and prepare detailed plans for the development of these units.

The City of Franklin on August 15, 1967, formally requested project planning services from the Regional Planning Commission and entered into an agreement with the Commission on May 20, 1969, wherein the Commission staff would assist the City in the delineation of neighborhood units as defined in this report, and in the design of precise development plans for these units. The Commission staff, working with the Plan Commission of the City of Franklin, initially identified 23 neighborhood units for which proper planning could help to meet the development objectives of the City of Franklin. Subsequent changes in the regional land use plan as it applies to the City of Franklin and realignment of some of the neighborhood boundaries with an attendant consolidation of several neighborhoods have resulted in the identification and delineation of 14 residential neighborhood units. In addition, two industrial neighborhoods, the County Line Industrial Park Neighborhood and the Franklin Industrial Park Neighborhood, are proposed.

The purpose of this report is to describe the precise development plan prepared for one of these 14 delineated residential neighborhood units--the Forest Hills Neighborhood within the City of Franklin. The plan suggests future collector and land access street alignments and attendant block configurations and identifies the locations within the neighborhood best suited for institutional, commercial, and recreational use, as well as for various kinds of residential use. The plan identifies areas that should be protected from intensive development for environmental protection and enhancement purposes, and indicates the needed reservation of land for major drainageway and utility easements.

# GENERAL SETTING

The City of Franklin is located in the southwestern portion of Milwaukee County in U. S. Public Land Survey Township 5 North, Range 21 East. The City is bordered on the east by the City of Oak Creek, on the north by the Village of Greendale and the Village of Hales Corners, on the west by the City of Muskego, and on the south by the Town of Raymond in Racine County. Map 1 shows the location of the City of Franklin in the Southeastern Wisconsin Region and the pattern of historic urban development in the Region.



Source: SEWRPC.

# HISTORY OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN<sup>1</sup>

The Town of Franklin, much of which is now the City of Franklin, was formed out of the original Town of Kinnickinnic by the territorial legislature on December 20, 1839. The name Franklin was given to the town in honor of the American statesman, diplomat, and philosopher, Benjamin Franklin. The town was originally covered with a heavy growth of timber, mostly of hardwood varieties such as walnut, butternut, hickory, oak, beech, maple, yellow poplar, whitewood, white ash, and elm. Shrubs native to Franklin originally included hazel, blackberry, huckleberry, juneberry, and hackberry. The town was noted for its abundance of game and was a favorite hunting ground for the Indians for many years even after the cession of the land to European settlers.

The Town of Franklin was among the earliest towns settled in Milwaukee County, with the first homesteader, William Shehan, arriving in 1834, and the initial sale of the public lands occurring in 1838. Among early purchasers of land in the Town were Byron Kilbourn and Martin O. Walker, two early settlers of Milwaukee. The majority of the earliest settlers in Franklin were of Irish descent, with a large number of German settlers in later years.

During the early twentieth century, Franklin was one of the most wealthy and prosperous towns in Milwaukee County. Agriculture was the principal industry, and principal agricultural pursuits included stock raising and fruit growing. Farming remained of primary importance until the early 1960's, with farms occupying more than 80 percent of the total area of the Town. By the early 1970's, approximately 35 percent of the land area of the original civil town had been converted to residential, commercial, and industrial uses.

In 1938, Franklin was the only civil town in Milwaukee County which retained its original 36.03-square-mile area intact, and was therefore coterminous with the U. S. Public Land Survey Township 5 North, Range 21 East. On November 1, 1938, the Village of Greendale was incorporated to the north of Franklin, and the incorporation included approximately 1.4 square miles of area in Franklin, with 34.6 square miles of land remaining unincorporated. On April 11, 1955, the Village of Hales Corners to the north annexed approximately 20 acres of the Town of Franklin. On August 15, 1956 the remaining approximately 34.6square-mile area of the Town was incorporated as a fourth class city. The present government is of the mayor-council type, with the mayor presiding over six aldermen elected by ward.

The population of the Franklin area remained almost entirely rural from 1840 to 1940. A small urban population existed in the unincorporated Village of St. Martins, a community within the Town of Franklin which was settled around a Roman Catholic mission in about 1848. The resident population of the Franklin area grew slowly during the first 100 years following European settlement, as shown in Table 1. The last 40 years, from 1940 to 1980, have been characterized by major changes in land use and population. Much of the increase in the population of the Franklin area over this later period was due to the development of residential areas occupied by persons who worked in commercial and industrial centers in the greater Milwaukee area.

<sup>1</sup>Frances Beverstock and Robert P. Stuckert, eds., <u>Metropolitan Milwaukee</u> <u>Fact Book: 1970</u>, (Milwaukee Urban Observatory, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1972) p. 319, and Lieutenant Colonel Jerome A. Watrous, ed., <u>Memoirs of Milwaukee</u> <u>County</u>, (Western Historical Association, Madison, Wisconsin, 1909) pp. 211-218.

### Table 1

# HISTORIC AND FORECAST POPULATIONS FOR THE TOWN AND CITY OF FRANKLIN: 1840-2000

Year	Population	Percentage of Population Change From Previous Period
1840 1850 1860 1870 1880 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970	250 <sup>a</sup> 1, 176 1, 773 2,090 1,819 1,868 1,738 1,770 1,712 2,012 2,304 3,886 b 10,006 12,247	 370.4 50.8 17.9 -13.0 2.7 -7.0 1.8 -3.3 17.5 14.5 68.7 157.5 22.4
1980 1990 2000	16,750 20,900 c 38,600 c	36.8 24.8 84.7

<sup>a</sup>Lieutenant Colonel Jerome A. Watrous, ed., Memoirs of Milwaukee County, (Western Historical Association, Madison, Wisconsin, 1909) p. 220.

<sup>b</sup>City of Franklin was incorporated from the Town of Franklin, August 15, 1956.

<sup>C</sup>Forecasts based upon regional land use plan.

Source: SEWRPC.

4

The 1980 U. S. Bureau of the Census preliminary resident population count of the City is 16,750 persons. Rapid growth of the City dictates the need for a local planning program to provide a sound basis for development decisionmaking by local officials on a dayto-day basis.

# THE NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT CONCEPT

The Regional Planning Commission's recommendation concerning the preparation of detailed neighborhood unit development plans by local plan commissions is based upon the concept that an urban area should be formed of, and developed in, a number of individual cellular units and not as a single, large, formless mass. These cellular units may be categorized by their primary or predominant land use and. as such, may be industrial, commercial, institutional, or residential. The latter type of unit-herein termed a neighborhood unit-is the concern of this report.

Insofar as possible, each neighborhood unit should be bounded by arterial streets; major park, parkway, or institutional lands; bodies of water; or other natural or cultural features which serve to clearly and physically separate each unit from the surrounding units. Each residential neighborhood unit should provide housing for that population for which, by prevailing local standards, one public elementary school of reasonable size is required. The unit should further provide, within established overall density limitations, a broad range of lot sizes and housing types; a full complement of those public and semipublic facilities needed by the family within the immediate vicinity of its dwelling, such as church, neighborhood park, and neighborhood shopping facilities; and ready access to the arterial street system and, thereby, to those urban activities and services which cannot as a practical matter be provided in the immediate vicinity of all family dwellings--namely, major employment centers, community and regional shopping centers, major recreational facilities, and major cultural and educational centers.

The internal street pattern of the residential neighborhood unit should be designed to facilitate vehicular and pedestrian circulation within the unit, but to discourage penetration of the unit by heavy volumes of through traffic. Each residential neighborhood unit should have a central feature, or focal point, around which the unit is developed to promote a sense of physical unity. In this respect, the elementary school should be located adjacent to the neighborhood park so that the school and park together may function as a neighborhood center and thus provide a focal point for the neighborhood design. The school and park should be located within walking distance of the rest of the neighborhood unit. The neighborhood unit is intended to provide a good setting for family life, by supplying healthy, safe, convenient, and attractive housing areas together with supporting commercial and institutional facilities. The neighborhood should be designed to promote stability and the preservation of amenities and should be large enough to maintain and protect its own environment. The neighborhood concept is intended to promote convenience in living and traveling within an urban area; to promote harmony and beauty in residential development; to bring the living area of the urban family into a scale that allows the individual to feel at home; and to encourage residents to take a more active part in neighborhood and community affairs. Importantly, in developing areas, the neighborhood unit concept is intended to facilitate the difficult task of good land subdivision design. The proper relationship of individual subdivisions to areawide features, to existing and proposed land uses, and to other subdivisions can best be achieved through a precise plan for neighborhood unit development.

The neighborhood unit concept also provides a means for more actively and directly involving citizens in the local planning process. A neighborhood is that area most closely associated with the daily activities of family life, such as elementary education or convenience shopping. Residential neighborhoods, however, depend on the larger community for basic employment, comparison shopping, higher education, cultural activities, and certain personal services. A group of neighborhoods which function as a unit, and which are provided with the necessary level of external services and facilities required by the neighborhoods in the group, may be described as a community. By identifying neighborhood units and grouping them into communities, public sentiment can be constructively focused on the community of interest so created. Because of its emphasis on the day-to-day needs and concerns of the family, neighborhood planning is particularly "people-oriented."

Unlike the community comprehensive, or master, plan, which is necessarily quite general, the plan developed for a neighborhood is quite precise. It explicitly depicts alternative development patterns which are practicable to meet such needs as traffic circulation, storm water drainge, sanitary sewerage, water supply, and a sound arrangement of land uses. Neighborhood planning, therefore, must involve careful consideration of such factors as soil suitability, land slopes, drainage patterns, flood hazards, and woodland and wetland cover; existing and proposed land uses in and surrounding the neighborhood unit; and real property boundaries. Although the neighborhood unit concept is most readily applicable to medium- and high-density residential areas, it can be successfully applied in low-density areas with some modifications of the design standards. Table 2 illustrates a typical land use distribution in a medium-density planned neighborhood unit and is intended to provide a basis of comparison for the specific neighborhood unit designs presented herein.

The neighborhood unit development plan, while precise, must also be flexible. The plan is intended to be used as a standard for evaluating developmental proposals of private and public agencies, as such proposals are advanced over time. It should not be presumed that private developers cannot present development plans harmonious with sound development standards, nor that any development plans which are privately advanced and at variance in some respect with adopted neighborhood plan are necessarily unacceptable. Local planning officials should remain receptive to proposed plan changes which can be shown to be better than the adopted plan, yet are still compatible with the overall objectives for the development of the neighborhood and the community as

# Table 2

# LAND USE DISTRIBUTION IN A TYPICAL MEDIUM-DENSITY NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT

	Population and Density		Land Use Allocations	
Type of Area	Number	Percent of Total	Total Acres	Percent of Total
Residential Area Single-Family Area Population Residential Acres per 1,000 Population Persons per Residential Acre Number of Dwelling Units Dwelling Units per Residential Acre Multiple-Family Area Population Residential Acres per 1,000 Population Persons per Residential Acre Number of Dwelling Units Dwelling Units per Residential Acre	 5,330 78.0 12.8 1,615 3.9  925 41.5 24.1 355 9.2	85.2   14.8 	454.4 416.0     38.4   	71.0 65.0    6.0   
Public Area Elementary School Number of Classrooms Total Number of Pupils Public Park Area Other Public and Quasipublic Area	 20 500 		32.0 9.6  16.0 6.4	5.0 1.5  2.5 1.0
Neighborhood Commercial Area		-	6.4	1.0
Street Area			147.2	23.0
Total	6,255	100.0	640.0	100.0

NOTE: Medium density is defined as 2.3 to 6.9 dwelling units per net residential acre, with a total population of 6,500 within an area of one square mile (640 acres).

Source: SEWRPC.

6

a whole, as expressed in the adopted plan. The adopted plan thus becomes an invaluable point of departure for development decision-making, subject to improvement as changing conditions may dictate.

# COMMUNITY PLANNING IN THE CITY OF FRANKLIN

A community should have an adopted comprehensive plan as a basis for the preparation of precise neighborhood unit development plans. Sound planning practice dictates that, just as neighborhood plans should be prepared within the framework of community plans, community plans should be prepared within the framework of regional plans. In October of 1965, Wm. S. Lawrence and Associates, Inc., and the North American Research Corporation, both consulting firms in Chicago, prepared a land use plan for the City of Franklin entitled Comprehensive Plan: Franklin, Wisconsin. The plan included information on the economic environment, socioeconomic factors, existing land use, community facilities, circulation, and housing, and various recommendations pertaining to each of these planning areas. The plan was prepared for the design year 1980 and did not extend beyond the then existing city boundaries. The delineation of neighborhoods was a part of the comprehensive plan. The plan delineated a total of seven neighborhood units ranging in size from 1,900 acres

to 4,530 acres. The plan contained much information of value and, while now obsolete, was carefully reviewed as a part of the current planning effort in order to incorporate in that effort those concepts still held to be valid. This plan, however, was not adopted by the City. The City has adopted the regional land use plan as a basis for its land use planning decisions. The regional land use plan together with certain other regional plan elements is in sufficient depth and detail to provide a sound basis for the preparation of precise neighborhood unit development plans. The adopted regional land use plan as it applies to the City of Franklin is shown on Map 2, together with the recommended neighborhood boundaries.

Several of the adopted regional plan elements are particularly important to the preparation of a general plan for the City of Franklin and, therefore, to the development of precise neighborhood unit development plans within the City. These elements are described in the following Regional Planning Commission reports: SEWRPC Planning Report No. 9, A Comprehensive Plan for the Root River Watershed; SEWRPC Planning Report No. 11, A Jurisdictional Highway System Plan for Milwaukee County; SEWRPC Planning Report No. 16, A Regional Sanitary Sewerage System Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin; SEWRPC Planning Report No. 20, A Regional Housing Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin; SEWRPC Planning Report No. 25, A Regional Land Use Plan and a Regional Transportation Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin: 2000; SEWRPC Planning Report No. 27, A Regional Park and Open Space Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin: 2000; and SEWRPC Planning Report No. 30, A Regional Water Quality Management Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin: 2000. The findings and recommendations of these adopted regional plan elements are reflected in the neighborhood unit development plan presented herein.

In preparation for its overall planning program, and in support of other planning and engineering programs, the City of Franklin undertook, for much, although not all, of the area of the City, the preparation, to National Map Accuracy Standards, of large-scale (1" = 100' scale, two-foot contour interval) topographic maps in May 1963, and companion cadastral maps in September 1973. The maps and attendant control surveys were completed in accordance with specifications prepared for the City by the Regional Planning Commission and involved the relocation, monumentation, and placement on the Wisconsin State Plane Coordinate System of all U. S. Public Land Survey corners within the areas to be mapped, and the determination of the grid lengths and bearings of all quarter-section lines. The resulting topographic and cadastral information was essential to the conduct of the precise neighborhood development planning program documented herein.

### NEIGHBORHOOD DELINEATION

The Plan Commission of the City of Franklin has identified 14 residential neighborhood units for which detailed neighborhood plans should eventually be developed. As shown on Map 2, these are: the Country Dale, Forest Hills, Franklin, Hillcrest, Mission Hills East, Mission Hills West, Pleasant View, Riverview North, Riverview South, St. Martins, Southwood, Whitnall, Xaverian, and Woodview Neighborhoods. The 14 neighborhoods were delineated based on the neighborhood unit concept described above, and on the general standards set forth in Table 2. The neighborhood boundaries were located along strong transportation and environmental barriers such as Loomis Road, Rawson Avenue, S. 76th Street, S. 27th Street, STH 100, and the Root River Parkway.



SELECTED ELEMENTS OF THE REGIONAL LAND USE, PARK AND OPEN SPACE, AND TRANSPORTATION PLANS FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN PLANNING AREA: 2000

Source: SEWRPC.

# Table 3

Mania di kana d	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Subdivision	Platting Date	Lots	Number of Units	Total Area (gross acres)	Average Lot Size (net square feet)	Developed Units	Undeveloped Units <sup>a</sup>
Forest Meadows Four Oaks Four Oaks	November 1978 August 1979	74 4	74 8	27.9 1.7	12,100 14,100	33 0	41 8
Addition No. 1 Forest Hill Village Forest Meadows	August 1979 October 1979	10 24	20 72	4.3 18.0	13,350 26,800	4 16	16 56
Addition No. 1 Forest Hill Village Addition No. 1	March 1980 September 1980	12 6	12 152	4.3 20.3	11,500 128,800	5 12	7 140
Total		130	338	76.5	20,300	70	268

# HISTORY OF URBAN LAND SUBDIVISION IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

<sup>a</sup>As of December 1980.

Source: SEWRPC.

# NEIGHBORHOOD LOCATION AND BOUNDARIES

The Forest Hills Neighborhood, one of the 14 residential neighborhoods delineated for the City of Franklin, is located in the central portion of the City. The neighborhood is bounded on the north by Drexel Avenue; on the east by S. 76th Street; on the south by Puetz Road; and on the west by Loomis Road (STH 36) and St. Martins Road (STH 100). Also, the Forest Hills Neighborhood is bounded on the north by the delineated Whitnall Neighborhood; on the east by the delineated Riverview South Neighborhood; on the south by the delineated Woodview Neighborhood; on the west by the delineated Mission Hills East Neighborhood; and on the southwest by a small portion of the delineated Hillcrest Neighborhood. The total area of the Forest Hills Neighborhood is approximately 757 acres.

# HISTORY OF THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Development for urban purposes of the area now known as the Forest Hills Neighborhood began in 1971, with the dedication of City Hall within the Civic Center area located along Loomis Road. Presently under construction in this area are a fire station, and an addition to the City Hall to be used by the police department and the community library. The history of residential development in the Forest Hills neighborhood is summarized in Table 3.

(This page intentionally left blank)

# Chapter II

### INVENTORY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

# INTRODUCTION

Reliable basic planning and engineering data are essential to the formulation of workable development plans. Consequently, inventory becomes the first operational step in any planning process. Factual information is particularly crucial to good neighborhood planning because of the precision of the plan to be produced. The formulation of a neighborhood plan requires that factual data be developed on the existing characteristics of the neighborhood area, including the topography and surface drainage pattern, the existence of any areas subject to special hazards such as flooding, the extent of woodlands and wetlands, the existing land use, the real property ownership, the community utilities and facilities, the street and highway facilities, and the soils.

#### TOPOGRAPHY AND SURFACE DRAINAGE

Map 3 shows the topography, surface drainage patterns, wetland areas, and flood hazard areas of and in the Forest Hills Neighborhood unit. The area consists of gently rolling terrain, with a maximum local relief of approximately 58 feet.

# Surface Drainage

A natural drainageway, located in the western portion of the neighborhood, and extending from Puetz Road to Drexel Avenue, collects storm water runoff from the western portion of the neighborhood. This drainageway also receives and conveys storm water runoff from the adjoining Mission Hills East and Woodview Neighborhoods, as well as from the nearby Mission Hills West, St. Martins and Hillcrest Neighborhoods. This storm water enters the Forest Hills Neighborhood at two points from the west along Loomis road and at one point from the south along Puetz Road, and discharges at a point along Drexel Avenue. The storm water runoff re-enters and then again exits the neighborhood area by two culverts located under Drexel Avenue as shown on Map 3.

Another natural drainageway, located through the south-central portion of the neighborhood, collects storm water runoff from the neighborhood area and discharges it, by means of a culvert located under Puetz Road, to the storm water drainage system located in the adjoining Woodview Neighborhood to the south.

A large wetland area located southwest of the intersection of Forest Hill Avenue and S. 76th Street receives runoff from the southeastern portion of the neighborhood and discharges it by means of a culvert located under S. 76th Street into the adjoining Riverview South Neighborhood to the east. Most of the storm water runoff in the area to the north of Forest Hill Avenue in the eastern part of the neighborhood is collected and transmitted by means of a storm sewer system located in the Forest Meadows and Forest Meadows Addition No. 1 subdivisions, which discharges into the adjoining Riverview South Neighborhood.



TOPOGRAPHY, SURFACE DRAINAGE PATTERNS, WETLAND AREAS,

Map 3

Source: SEWRPC.

Wetlands: Wetlands are defined as areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and with a duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstance do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Precipitation, falling as rain or snow, provides water to wetlands by becoming either surface water runoff or groundwater seepage as it percolates through the soil. Wetlands may receive mostly surface water--direct precipitation, overland flow, or lake and flood waters--or mostly groundwater--precipitation that infiltrates and moves through the ground. Surface water inflow is usually intermittent, whereas groundwater inflow is usually continuous. The location and situation of the wetland in the landscape affects the type of water it receives. Wetlands can occur in elevated locations and on slopes as well as in depressions.

In 1980 there were approximately 36 acres of wetlands in the Forest Hills neighborhood area, covering about 5 percent of the total area of the neighborhood. The greatest concentration of wetland areas occurs in the southern portion of the neighborhood. The three largest areas of wetland lie just south of Forest Hill Avenue and are located in the eastern, central, and western parts of this area.

The Forest Hills Neighborhood is located entirely within the Root River watershed. The neighborhood, however, contains four pockets of low-lying land, as shown on Map 3, which have no surface drainage outlets, and which receive runoff from a combined tributary area of about 26 acres. The largest pocket, covering approximately 13 acres, is located south of Forest Hill Avenue and 88th Street extended. Other smaller pockets are located one-third of a mile to the north, and about one-fifth of a mile to the east and to the south of this large pocket. These pockets collect water which eventually returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration or infiltrates into the soil. Map 3 shows the location of the various drainage basins or catchment areas in the neighborhood, together with the pattern of surface water flow. All the area within these drainage basins, with the exception of the previously described pockets, eventually discharge into unnamed creeks which are tributary to the Root River.

Floodlands: The floodlands of a river or stream are the wide, gently sloping areas contiguous with, and usually lying on both sides of, a river or stream channel. Rivers and streams occupy their channels most of the time. However, during even minor flood events, stream discharges increase markedly so that the channel is not able to convey all the flow. As a result, stages increase and the river or stream spreads laterally over the floodlands. The periodic flow of a river onto its floodlands is a normal phenomenon, and in the absence of major, costly structural flood control works, will occur regardless of whether urban development is permitted on the floodlands. More specifically, for planning and regulatory purposes, floodlands are normally defined as the areas, excluding the channel, subject to inundation by the 100-year recurrence interval flood event. This is the event that may be expected to be reached or exceeded in severity once on the average of every 100 years. Stated another way, there is a 1 percent chance that this event will be reached or exceeded in severity in any given year. The 100-year recurrence interval floodland contains within its boundaries the areas inundated by floods of less severe but more frequent occurrence such as the 50-, 25-, and 10-year recurrence interval events. Floodland areas are generally not well suited to urban development because of the flood hazards, high water tables, and inadequate soils.

These floodland areas are, however, generally prime locations for needed park and open space areas.

Within the Forest Hills Neighborhood, 100-year recurrence interval floodlands have been delineated along the natural drainageway located in the western and north-central parts of the neighborhood. These 100-year recurrence interval floodlands are shown on Map 3.

#### Slopes

Provided other development characteristics are favorable, slopes of less than 12 percent generally lend themselves well to urban-type development. Slopes of 12 percent and greater present difficulties for urban development, generally requiring extensive grading in order to prepare the lands for development, a practice which may destroy the natural resource base-related amenities of the area. Lands with slopes of 12 percent or more are found in the north part of the Forest Hills Neighborhood and must be carefully dealt with in the design and development of the neighborhood.

#### SOILS

Soil properties exert a strong influence on the manner in which man uses land. Soils are an irreplaceable resource and development pressures upon land are making this resource more valuable. A need exists, therefore, to examine the soils in the Forest Hills Neighborhood in terms of their influence on development. This examination requires a soils suitability study which maps the geographic location of various soils in the Forest Hills Neighborhood, and provides information on the suitability of each of the soil types for a variety of uses including residential, commercial, and industrial uses.

#### Limitation of Soils

Seven identified types of soils occur within the Forest Hills Neighborhood area. The most prevalent type of soil is the Morley silt loam, which covers over 49 percent of the total area of the neighborhood. The second most prevalent type of soil found in the neighborhood is the Blount silt loam, which covers over 32 percent of the total area of the neighborhood.

Table 4 lists all the soils found in the Forest Hills Neighborhood area and indicates the suitability of these soils for residential development with public sanitary sewer service, with onsite soil absorption sewage disposal systems on lots less than one acre in area, and with onsite soil absorption sewage disposal systems on lots one acre or more in area, and for light industrial and commercial development. The term "moderate limitation" indicates that the soil has limitations for the indicated use, but ones that can normally be overcome with proper planning, careful design, and average management. The term "severe limitations" indicates that the soil has limitations that are difficult and costly to overcome and which require above average planning, design, and management. The term "very severe limitations" indicates that development of the soil for the uses indicated will entail costs that are generally prohibitive, and major soil reclamation work will generally be required.

Map 4 shows the location and extent of the soils which have very severe limitations for residential development without public sewers on lots one acre or more in area. Much of the neighborhood area is covered by soils unsuitable

# Table 4

# LIMITATIONS OF SOILS FOR RESIDENTIAL, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL, AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT FOR THOSE SOIL SERIES FOUND IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

	Limitations of Soil for:					
Soil Number	Residential	Onsite Soil Absorption Sewage Disposal Systems on Lots			Area	
and Name	Public Sewer Service	Less Than One Acre	One Acre or More	Commercial Buildings	(acres)	Percent of Neighborhood
11W Alluvial Land, wet	Very severehigh water table; frequent overflow	Very severehigh water table; frequent overflow	Very severehigh water table; frequent overflow	Very severehigh water table; frequent overflow	3.0	0.4
297 Morleysilt Ioarn	Moderate on 0-12 per- cent and severe on steeper slopes; ero- sive on slopes; low bearing capacity; high shrink-swell potential	Severehigh water table; slow permea- bility; systems will not operate	Moderatehigh water table; slow permea- bility; systems will not operate	Moderate on 0-6 per- cent and severe on steeper slopes; low bearing capacity; high shrink-swell potential; erosive on slopes	373.9	49.4
299 Blountsilt Ioam	Moderatelow bearing capacity; high shrink-swell poten- tial; high water table	Very severehigh water table; slow permeability; systems will not operate	Very severehigh water table; slow permeability; systems will not operate	Severehigh water table; high shrink- swell potential; low bearing capacity; erosive on slopes; frost heave	243.0	32.1
327 Wallkill silt Ioam	Very severelow bear- ing capacity; subject to shrinkage or dry- ing; high water table; frequent over- flow	Very severesystems will not operate when flooded	Very severesystems will not operate when flooded	Very severehigh water table; high compressibility and instability; frequent overflow	6.1	0.8
398 Ashkum silty clay loarn	Severelow bearing capacity; high shrink-swell poten- tial; high water table	Very severehigh water table; slow permeability; systems will not operate	Very severehigh water table; slow permeability; systems will not operate	Severelow bearing capacity; high shrink-swell poten- tial; high water table	70.4	9.3
450 Houghton muck	Very severeerosive; subject to shrinkage; low bearing capacity; high water table	Very severehigh water table; systems will not operate	Very severehigh water table; systems will not operate	Very severeerosive; high compressibility and instability; high water table	44.7	5.9
456 Ogden muck	Severeerosive; sub- ject to shrinkage; high water table; clay has high shrink- swell potential	Very severehigh water table; systems will not operate	Very severehigh water table; systems will not operate	Very severehigh water table; erosive; clays have high shrink-swell poten- tial	15.9	2.1
Total					757.0	100.0

Source: SEWRPC.

SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON LOTS ONE ACRE OR MORE IN AREA SERVED BY ONSITE PRIVATE SEWAGE SYSTEMS IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD



Source: SEWRPC.

for such use, indicating the need for, and importance of, sanitary sewer service to the proper development of the neighborhood.

Map 5 shows the location of those soils with severe and very severe limitations for residential development with public sanitary sewer service including the alluvial land, Wallkill silt loam, Ashkum silty clay loam, Houghton muck and Ogden muck soils. Poorly drained soils such as these have particularly severe limitations for residential use because development on these soils usually results in wet basements, and requires costly measures to prevent water from seeping into basements. The soils having very severe limitations for urban development with sanitary sewer service cover 68 acres, or almost 9 percent of the total area of the neighborhood, with most of these soils occurring in the central and western portion of the neighborhood. The bulk of the soils having very severe limitations consist of mucky peat (two-thirds), with small amounts of silt loam on steep slopes and of alluvial soils. Characteristics within these soil groups include a high water table, low bearing capacity, and high shrink-swell potential. Soils having severe limitations for urban development with sanitary sewer service cover almost 88 acres, or about 11 percent of the total area of the neighborhood. These soils consist of silty clay loams (80 percent) scattered over various parts of the neighborhood, and muck (20 percent) located in the south-central portion of the neighborhood. Characteristics of these soils include a high shrink-swell potential and a high water table.

### WOODLANDS

Woodlands have important values beyond any potential monetary return from associated forest products. With good management, woodlands can serve a variety of uses and provide a number of important benefits. The quality of life within an area is greatly influenced by the overall quality of the environment, as measured in terms of clean air, clean water, scenic beauty, and diversity. In addition to contributing to clean air and water, the maintenance of woodlands can contribute to the scenic beauty of an area and to the maintenance of a diversity of plant and animal life in association with human life. Importantly, woodlands can add substantial value to residential areas, and their preservation should, therefore, be particularly and carefully considered in the design of such areas. The existing woodlands of the neighborhood area, which required a century or more to develop, can be destroyed through mismanagement within a comparatively short time. Such deforestation increases storm water runoff, contributes to flooding and the siltation of lakes and streams, and destroys wildlife habitat. Woodlands can and should be maintained for their total values: scenic, wildlife habitat and open space areas, educational and recreational uses, and air and water quality protection and enhancement.

Woodlands in the Forest Hills Neighborhood, as delineated in Appendix C, occupy a combined area of approximately 12 acres, or only about 1.5 percent of the total area of the neighborhood. Because of the very limited area remaining in the neighborhood area, the woodlands are a particularly valuable resource. The preservation and wise use of these woodlands should be carefully considered in any planning for the development of the neighborhood.

#### OTHER NATURAL RESOURCE-RELATED ELEMENTS

In addition to the basic elements of the underlying and sustaining natural resource base, existing and potential sites having scenic, scientific, his-

SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON LOTS SERVED BY PUBLIC SANITARY SEWER SERVICE IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD



Source: SEWRPC.

toric, and recreational value should be considered in the neighborhood planning process. Although these elements are not strictly a part of the natural resource base, they are so closely linked to that base that it is convenient to consider them along with that base. In the Forest Hills Neighborhood, an historic site located within the Civic Center area contains the original Franklin Town Hall, a pioneer school house and a log cabin. There are no other sites of historic value nor sites of scenic or scientific value within the neighborhood. No significant prairie areas remain in the neighborhood.

Scenic areas and vistas or viewpoints are defined as areas with a local relief greater than 30 feet, slopes of 12 percent or more, and a ridge of at least 200 feet in length, and a view of at least three natural resource features-including surface water, wetlands, woodlands, and agricultural lands, or other significant geological features--within approximately one-half mile of the ridge. No such scenic areas and vistas were identified within the Forest Hills Neighborhood.

### ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDOR DELINEATION

Environmental corridors are defined by the Regional Planning Commission as linear areas in the landscape which contain concentrations of high-value elements of the natural resource base. Preservation of the natural resource base and natural resource-related elements, especially where these elements are concentrated in identifiable geographic areas, is essential to the maintenance of the overall environmental quality of an area, to the continued provision of certain amenities that provide a high quality of life for the resident population, and to the avoidance of excessive costs associated with the development, operation, and maintenance of urban land uses in these areas.

Seven elements of the natural resource base are considered by the Regional Planning Commission to be essential to the maintenance of the ecological balance and overall quality of life in an area. These elements include: 1) lakes, rivers, streams, and the associated undeveloped shorelands and floodlands; 2) wetlands; 3) areas covered by wet, poorly drained, and organic soils; 4) woodlands; 5) prairie; 6) wildlife habitat areas; and 7) rugged terrain and high relief topography having slopes exceeding 12 percent. Five of these seven elements of the natural resource base as they occur in the neighborhood have been described earlier in this chapter. Good wildlife habitat is related to wetlands and woodland areas and need not, for neighborhood planning purposes, be considered separately from such uses.

The environmental corridors in the neighborhood were delineated using the following criteria:

- 1. Point values between 1 and 20 were assigned to each natural resource and natural resource-related element. These point values were based on the premise that those natural resource elements having intrinsic natural resource values and a high degree of natural diversity should be assigned relatively high point values, whereas natural resource-related elements having only implied natural values should be assigned relatively low point values. These values for each element of corridor are shown in Table 5.
- 2. Each element was then depicted on 1'' = 400' scale ratioed and rectified aerial photographs, or on 1'' = 400' scale base maps of the study area.

# Table 5

# POINT VALUE DESIGNATION FOR ELEMENTS OF PRIMARY ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDOR, SECONDARY ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDOR, AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDS

Resource Base or Related Element	Point Value
Natural Resource Base Lake	
Major (50 acres or more) Minor (5-49 acres) Rivers or Streams (perennial) Shoreland	20 20 10
Lake or Perennial River or Stream Intermittent Stream Floodland (100-year recurrence interval)	10 5 3
Wetland Wet, Poorly Drained, or Organic Soil Woodland Wildlife Habitat	10 5 10
High Value Medium Value Low Value Steep Slope	10 7 5
20 Percent or More 13-19 Percent Prairie	7 5 10
Natural Resource Base-Related Existing Park or Open Space Site Rural Open Space Site <sup>a</sup> Other Park and Open Space Sites Potential Park Site High Value Medium Value Low Value Historic Site Structure Other Cultural	5 2 3 2 1 1
Archeological Scenic Viewpoint Scientific Area State Scientific Area	2 5 15
State Significance County Significance Local Significance	15 10 5

<sup>a</sup>Includes publicly owned forests and wildlife management areas.

Source: SEWRPC.

- 3. Cumulative point values were totaled for all areas containing natural resource and natural resource-related elements. These areas of the Forest Hills Neighborhood are shown in Appendix C.
- 4. Environmental corridors were then delineated based on the following criteria, as shown in Table 6:
  - a. Areas having a point value of 10 or greater, with a minimum area of 400 acres and a minimum length of two miles, were designated as primary environmental corridors.
  - b. Areas having point values of 10 or greater, with a minimum area of 100 acres and a minimum length of one mile, were designated as secondary environmental corridors.

# Table 6

# MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDOR, SECONDARY ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDOR, AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT LANDS

Classification	Minimum	Minimum	Minimum
	Cumulative	Area	Length
	Point Value	(acres)	(miles)
Primary Environmental Corridor	10	400	2
Secondary Environmental Corridor <sup>a</sup>	10	100	1
Other isolated Natural Areas	10	5	

<sup>a</sup> Secondary environmental corridor values may serve to connect primary corridor segments or be linked to primary environmental corridor segments, particularly when such secondary corridors are related to surface drainage (no minimum area or length requirements).

Source: SEWRPC.

- c. Isolated areas having point values of 10 or greater, with a minimum area of five acres, were designated as isolated natural areas.
- d. For separate areas with corridor values, linking segments were identified to establish corridor continuity when such areas met the qualifications as set forth in Table 7.

#### Table 7

# REQUIREMENTS FOR LINKING SEPARATED AREAS WITH CORRIDOR VALUES

Acres of	Maximum Continuity
Separated	Distance Between
Corridor	Separated Areas With
Value Lands	Corridor Values
640+	2,640 feet (1/2 mile)
320-639	1,760 feet (1/3 mile)
160-319	1,320 feet (1/4 mile)
80-159	880 feet (1/6 mile)
40-79	660 feet (1/8 mile)
20-39	440 feet (1/12 mile)
5-19	220 feet (1/24 mile)

Source: SEWRPC.

In addition to the primary and secondary environmental corridors, small concentrations other. of natural resource base elements, which are isolated from the environmental corridors by urban development or agricultural uses, also have important natural value. These isolated natural areas have been delineated using the same criteria set forth for the delineation of primary and secondary corridors.

No primary environmental corridors were identified in the neighborhood area. The secondary environmental corridors and isolated natural areas delineated within the Forest Hills Neighborhood are shown on Map 6.

It is important to note that, because of the many interlocking and interacting relationships which exist between living organisms and their environment, the destruction or deterioration of any one element of the total natural resource base may lead to a chain reaction of deterioration and destruction. The draining and filling of wetlands, for example, may destroy fish spawning grounds, wildlife habitat, groundwater recharge areas, and the natural filtration action and floodwater storage functions which contribute to maintaining high levels of water quality and stable stream flows and lake stages in a watershed. The resulting deterioration of surface water quality may, in



ENVIRONMENTAL CORRIDORS AND SIGNIFICANT ISOLATED

Map 6

Source: SEWRPC.

turn, lead to the deterioration of the quality of the groundwater which serves as a source of domestic, municipal, and industrial water supply and on which low flows in rivers and streams may depend. Similarly, the destruction of woodland cover may result in soil erosion and stream siltation and more rapid storm water runoff and attendant increased flood flows and stages, as well as the destruction of wildlife habitat. Although the effects of any one of these environmental changes may not in and of itself be overwhelming, the combined effects will eventually create serious environmental and developmental problems. These problems include flooding, water pollution, deterioration and destruction of wildlife habitat, loss of groundwater recharge, and destruction of the unique natural beauty of the area. The need to maintain the integrity of the remaining environmental corridors and environmentally significant lands thus becomes apparent.

The adopted regional land use plan accordingly recommends that all remaining primary environmental corridors be maintained in essentially natural, open uses, which may, in some cases, include limited agricultural and low density residential uses. As noted previously, no primary environmental corridor areas are present in the Forest Hills Neighborhood.

The adopted regional land use plan also recommends that, as the urban planning process proceeds at the local level, all remaining secondary environmental corridors and high-value isolated natural areas be considered for preservation in essentially open uses, as drainageways and neighborhood parks. Thus, the consideration of potential open space uses for the identified secondary environmental corridors in the neighborhood area was an important consideration in the neighborhood plan design process.

# EXISTING LAND USE

The existing land uses within the Forest Hills Neighborhood, as of December 1980, are set forth in summary form in Table 8 and on Map 7. Agricultural, open, and unused land accounted for about 70 percent of the total area of the neighborhood, while existing residential and residential lands under development accounted for approximately 17 percent. About 69 percent of the residential lands consist of lands used for single-family dwelling units.

Existing urban development is concentrated north of Forest Hill Avenue in the eastern and western parts of the neighborhood. The existing development to the east consists of single-family dwelling units in the Forest Meadows and Forest Meadows Addition No. 1 subdivisions, along with several older units in the vicinity of these subdivisions. Both of these subdivisions were only partly developed in 1980. The existing residential development to the west consists of a few two-family units and a few four-unit and six-unit condominiums, along with older single-family units. Most of this area was under development in 1980.

Situated in the northwest part of the neighborhood is the City's Civic Center area. The city hall complex, situated along Loomis Road, provides facilities for the general city government, the police department, and a temporary library. Immediately to the east of this area lies Lions Legend Park, a partially developed community facility. Directly to the north of this park on Drexel Avenue is located a City of Franklin fire station.

The Forest Park Middle School, a Franklin public school, is located south of Forest Hill Avenue near the central part of the neighborhood. The remainder



Map 7

Source: SEWRPC.
	Number	Percent of
Land Use Category	of Acres	Ne i ghbo rhood
Residential Single-Family Single-Family Under Development Two-Family Two-Family Under Development Multi-Family Multi-Family Under Development	75.0 12.8 3.0 16.9 3.4 15.1	9.9 1.7 0.4 2.2 0.4 2.0
Subtota I	126.2	16.6
Commercial Neighborhood Retail and Service Community Service	1.2 1.9	0.2 0.2
Subtotai	3.1	0.4
Industrial		
Governmental/Institutional Public Private	35.2	4.6
Subtotal	35.2	4.6
Park and Recreational Neighborhood Parks Community Parks Drainageways and Walkways Other Recreational	11.7 3.5 	 1.5 0.5 
Subtotal	15.2	2.0
Transportation and Utilities Arterial Streets Collector Streets Minor Land Access Streets Utility Easements	30.2 11.2 14.1 0.4	4.0 1.5 1.9 0.1
Subtotal	55.9	7.5
Agricultural, Woodlands, Wetlands, Open, and Unused Lands	521.4	68.9
Tota I	757.0	100.0

## EXISTING LAND USE IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Source: SEWRPC.

of the southern portion consists largely of agricultural and open space uses, with scattered development consisting of single-family residential dwelling units and a few commercial businesses.

Land use development in the Forest Hills Neighborhood is regulated by the City of Franklin Zoning Ordinance. Nine of the 22 zoning districts provided in the city ordinance have been applied within the neighborhood. The boundaries of these zoning districts are shown on Map 8. Pertinent information concerning the regulations governing these nine zoning districts is set forth in Table 9.

Approximately 72 percent of the Forest Hills Neighborhood is currently zoned for residential use, with 91 percent of this use being zoned for single-family

## EXISTING CITY OF FRANKLIN ZONING DISTRICTS AS APPLIED IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD: 1980

				Mauriaum		-			Vand Braulenaders	
				Residential	M	nimum Lo	5128	erritiangua	Tatu Requirements	· ·
A 19	Permitt	ed Uses	-	Density (dwelling		Area	Width	Front	Side	Rear
Zoning	Delectori	According	Sponial Hear	units per	Total	per	Setback	Yard	Yard	Yard
DISTRICT	Principal	ACCESSORY	Special Uses	net acre;		ramity	(1000)	(1801)	(,	(1000)
R-6 Single-family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupa- tions	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agri- cultural buildings	4.4	10,000 square feet	10,000 square feet	85; 100 corner	30	10; 19 corner	30
R-7 Two-Family Residence District	Two-family dwell- ings, single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupa- tions	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agri- cultural buildings	7.0	12,500 square feet	6,250 square feet	100	40	10; 30 corner	25
R-8 General Residence Distric:	None	None	Any use permitted in the R-1 District, two- family dwellings, motels and hotels, apartments	7.0	12,500 square feet	6,250 square feet	100	25 plus one additional foot of front yard for each two feet over 35 feet in building height	10 for two-family and multiple- family dwellings plus five feet for each story over two or 30 corner; or 12 for a nonresi- dential building with a combined	25
									total of two side yards of not less than 30 feet except 30 feet required on a street side of corner lots	
1								1	and the second	1
						-				
8-1 Neighborhood Shopping District	Drugstores, food stores, hardware stores, offices, restaurants, parking lots	Business signs, off- street parking and loading	Churches, clothing stores, hospitals, public utility and governmental service establishments				<b></b> 	25	10; 25 corner	20
B-3 Business District	Banks, department stores, food stores, motels, offices, res- taurants	Off-street parking and loading	Banks (drive-in), churches, health centers, public utility and govern- mental service uses					25	10; 25 corner	20
8+4 Regional Shopping District	Banks, appliance stores, food stores, furniture stores, offices, theators	Off-street parking and loading	Hospitals, automobile sales and repair, public utility and governmental service uses					150	150	150
B-6 Professional Business District	Accounting services, dental services, legal services, medical services, real estate ser- vices, governmental offices	Off-street parking and loading	Businesses similar to permitted uses					25	10	20
C-1 Conservancy District	Fishing, wildlife preserves, soil and water conservation		Drainage, grazing, orchards, utilities							
F-2 Tributary Drainage Floodland District	Erainage, movement of water, flood overflows, public recreational areas		Bridges, utilities, storage yards, public and private recrea- tional uses			, <b></b>		*		•••
Total										

residence use. Almost 13 percent of the neighborhood is zoned for commercial use, with the remaining 15 percent of the neighborhood being zoned for conservancy and floodland use. The Forest Hills Neighborhood, despite recent rapid development, still remains largely undeveloped. Much of the neighborhood area is zoned for single-family residential use. Development for such use at this time would require onsite private sewage disposal systems, since municipal sanitary sewer is not yet available to all of the neighborhood. This excessive zoning can result in land prematurely coming onto the housing market before adequate urban services can be programmed and provided in an orderly and cost-effective manner. Early development of the lands before adequate urban services, and particularly sanitary sewerage service, are available could lead to the creation of serious public health hazards. The recommended neighborhood unit plan presented herein is intended to provide a basis for rezoning the

# Table 9 (continued)

	Mini	num Building Siz	0			1	· •····			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	One Story Three or Fewer	Muiti-Story Three or Fewer	Multi-Story Total Living	Addit Bedroom	ionat s, Add;	Maximum Buitding	Maximum Lot	Maxîmum Floor	Area of Neighborhood	Percent
Zoning District	Bedrooms (square feet)	Bedrooms (square feet)	Area (square feet)	One Story (square feet)	Muiti-Story (square feet)	(feet)	(percent)	Area Ratio	in District (acres)	of Total
R-6 Single-fámily Residence District	1,250	950	1,550	150	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	25		493.0	65.1
R-7 Two-Family Residence District	1,150	1,150 each unit	1,150 each unit	250 each unit	250 each unit	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	. 35		13.1	1.7
R-8 General Residence District	1) Two-famity One-story a) Living to less b) Add for 250 squa c) Add if Liess tha 250 squa Two-story t a) Same as c) Add to te area is area is area is area is area is	dwellings uid dings uid ger unit, th 1,150 square fe each additional re feet na 600 square fee re feet uildings above ach unit if tota less than 600 sq re feet y dwellings:	ree bedrooms et bedroom: unit is t: I basement uare feet:			100	35	0.4 Two-family; 1.0 Multiple-family; 2.0 Permitted nonresidential use	37.2	4.9
	Dwetling Unit per Building 3-4	One-Bedroom Apartment (square feet) ( 800	Add for Additional Bedroom square feet) 200						м. К	
	5-10 11 or more	700 560	150 250	1 - A.						
8-1 Neighborhood Shopping District			* <b></b>			35			1.4	0.2
8-3 Business District								2.0	1.0	0.1
B-4 Regional Shopping District								1.0	76.0	10.1
B-6 Professional Business District									18.0	2.4
C-1 Conservancy District			· · ·						53.0	7.0
F-2 Tributary Drainage Floodland District			•• ·						64.3	8.5
Total									757.0	100.0

Source: SEWRPC.

neighborhood unit into districts which are more suitable to achieving the longrange regional, community, and neighborhood development objectives expressed in the recommended plan, appropriately locating new development in both time and space.

## COMMUNITY UTILITIES

#### Water Supply

In 1980, in the Forest Hills Neighborhood, there were approximately 119 acres, or about 16 percent of the total area of the neighborhood, which were served by public water supply facilities, as shown on Map 9. This area includes lands which are capable of being readily served by existing municipal water supply facilities as well as lands actually served by such facilities. The source of supply for the existing water supply system is an 8-inch, 1,600-foot-deep well, located in the north-central part of the neighborhood. In other areas of the neighborhood, water is supplied by private onsite wells.





Source: City of Franklin and SEWRPC.





#### Sanitary Sewer

In 1980, about 77 acres, or about 10 percent of the total area of the neighborhood, were served by centralized sanitary sewer facilities or were capable of being readily served by such facilities in the near future, as shown on Map 10. The treatment and disposal of wastewater in other parts of the neighborhood was provided by onsite soil absorption sewage disposal systems.

#### Storm Sewer

In 1980, approximately 151 acres, or about 20 percent of the total area of the neighborhood, were served by urban storm sewer facilities, as shown on Map 11. This storm sewer system discharges to an open drainage channel located through the western part of the neighborhood. A drainage easement is provided for this open channel through the existing residential areas.

## COMMUNITY FACILITIES

As already noted, the Civic Center area for the City of Franklin is located in the northwest part of the Forest Hills Neighborhood. Situated within the Civic Center is the City Hall, which provides facilities for general government, the police department, and a temporary public library. Also located within the Civic Center is a City of Franklin fire station and Lions Legend Park, a community facility.

The neighborhood is located within Franklin Public School District No. 5. Middle level educational services are provided by the Forest Park Middle School, located near the central part of the neighborhood. Secondary educational services are provided by Franklin High School, located on the east side of the City, approximately one and three-quarters miles away. Primary educational services are provided by the Ben Franklin Elementary School, located directly adjacent to the neighborhood along S. 76th Street.

Active recreational facilities are provided at all of the above-mentioned school sites, with the Forest Park Middle School providing softball and basketball facilities. Franklin High School provides track and football facilities. Ben Franklin Elementary School provides basketball, softball, and playground facilities. Lions Legend Park provides picnic facilities and also contains historic buildings related to Franklin's origin. Franklin National Little League Park, located to the southeast of the neighborhood, provides baseball facilities. Croatian Park, a private facility which is also located immediately southeast of the neighborhood, provides soccer and picnic facilities. Tuckaway Country Club, a private facility located directly east of the neighborhood, provides golf facilities.

Also located within the vicinity of the Forest Hills Neighborhood are three large Milwaukee County parks. Whitnall Park, located approximately one and one quarter miles to the north of the neighborhood, provides golf, picnic, and nature study facilities; Franklin Park, located approximately two miles to the southwest, is presently undeveloped; and Oakwood Park, located approximately three miles to the southeast, provides golf facilities. Approximately one and one-half miles to the east lies a smaller county park within the Root River Parkway recently named Froemming Park, which provides softball, baseball, tennis, and shelter facilities. These public and private recreational facilities, however, will not be adequate to serve the Forest Hills







Map 11 EXISTING STORM SEWER SERVICE IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD: 198

Source: SEWRPC.

Neighborhood when it is fully developed, and provisions should be made within the delineated neighborhood for an elementary school and attendant neighborhood park facility.

Fire and police protection are provided by the City of Franklin, with stations for both departments located within the Civic Center.

General commercial facilities are currently provided by scattered commercial sites throughout the City, by a community shopping center located one mile north of the neighborhood, and by the Southridge regional shopping center, located approximately three miles to the north of the Forest Hills Neighborhood.

# STREET AND HIGHWAY FACILITIES

The existing streets and highways within and adjacent to the neighborhood are shown on Map 7. Pertinent information concerning the existing streets and highways is set forth in Table 10. Streets and highways, including one-half of the boundary arterial streets and highways, presently account for about 7 percent of the total area of the neighborhood. Arterial streets and highways in the Forest Hills Neighborhood total 4.40 miles, collector streets total 1.37 miles, and land access or minor streets total 1.56 miles.

## Table 10

Street Classification	Name	Direction	Existing Right-of-Way	Length in Miles
Arterial Streets or Highways	W. Loomis Road (STH 36)	Northeast-	65 feet to 90 feet	1.03
	St. Martins Road (STH 100)	Northwest- southeast	65 feet to 90 feet	0.16
	W. Puetz Road	East-west	40 feet to 65 feet	1.30
	S. 76th Street	North-south	60 feet	1.00
	W. Drexel Avenue	East-west	33 feet to 65 feet	0.91
Subtotal				4.40
Collector Streets	W. Forest Hill Avenue	Fast-west	65 feet to 120 feet	1 13
	S. 88th Street	North-south	80 feet	0.24
Subtotal	<b></b>			1.37
Land Access or	S. 77th Street	North-south	60 feet	0.18
Minor Streets	S. 78th Street	North-south	60 feet	0.03
	S. 79th Street	North-south	60 feet	0.22
	Legend Drive	North-south	66 feet	0.13
	Forest Hills Circle	North-south- east-west	60 feet	0.29
	W. Trebon Drive	East-west	60 feet	0.07
	W. Bur Oak Drive	East-west	60 feet	0.27
	W. Plainsview Drive	East-west	60 feet	0.13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	W. BUr Dak Court	East-west	60 feet	0.05
	W. Cascade Drive	East-west	60 feet	0.12
	Right Court	Last-west	60 feet	0.05
and the second	beacon ATTT Drive	Last-west	60 feet	0.02
Subtotal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1.56
Total				7.33

## EXISTING STREETS AND HIGHWAYS IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD: 1980

Source: SEWRPC.

33

#### REAL PROPERTY OWNERSHIP

As of December 1980, 228 separate parcels of real property existed within the Forest Hills Neighborhood, ranging in size from 0.05 acre to 47.5 acres. The boundaries of these parcels are shown in their correct location and orientation on Map 8 as well as on Map 12.

# LAND USE CONSTRAINTS IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Several constraints on good land use development are evident in the Forest Hills Neighborhood, as shown on Map 12. Several long narrow parcels of land that exist along W. Puetz Road are wasteful, resulting in excessively large lots and unusable pockets of land. The layout and size of these parcels inhibit, to a certain degree, the eventual creation of a sound neighborhood development pattern.

Several small, essentially landlocked parcels exist in the northeast part of the neighborhood and may have to be acquired by adjacent property owners if they are to be properly developed.

A single-family residence located in the northwest part of the neighborhood along Drexel Avenue hinders proper development of the Civic Center area and does not conform well to the surrounding land uses.

A 14-acre parcel, owned by the Milwaukee Catholic Archdiocese, is located at the intersection of Forest Hill Avenue and S. 76th Street. Future development of all or part of this site for church or school facilities is uncertain at this time, yet such development or alternatives thereto will affect the overall development of the neighborhood.

Insufficient rights-of-way exist along most of Puetz Road and Drexel Avenue. As areas adjoining these streets are developed, sufficient areas should be acquired to provide uniform and adequate rights-of-way for these arterial streets. A similar situation exists with parts of the right-of-way along W. Forest Hill Avenue. In addition, this street, presently serving as a collector street, has a tendency to act as an arterial street because it runs directly through the neighborhood without interruption, therefore serving as an unstablizing influence.

Soils having severe and very severe limitations for sewered urban development exist in scattered locations throughout the neighborhood. Areas covered by these soils, as well as pockets of blind drainage, will have to be carefully dealt with as the neighborhood develops if the creation of developmental and environmental problems is to be avoided. The areas shown in red on Map 12 are covered by soils which have very severe limitations, and which should, therefore, be retained in open space use. The areas shown in brown on Map 12 have excessive slopes and may be difficult to develop.

## Map 12



(This page intentionally left blank)

### Chapter III

## RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA

## INTRODUCTION

Urban design criteria may be defined as a body of information which can be applied in the development of a solution or solutions to a specific urban design problem or set of problems. Decisions concerning urban development and redevelopment should be based in part upon urban design criteria. Urban design criteria must be of a relatively high level of specificity in order to assist in the development of detailed solutions to urban development problems. Accordingly, urban design criteria are herein proposed with respect to environmental preservation; neighborhood recreation facilities; service areas of neighborhood facilities; street, block, and lot layouts and arrangements; general landscaping; utility easements; and storm water drainage and erosion/ sedimentation control. The recommended neighborhood plan discussed in Chapter IV is based, in part, upon these various urban design criteria.

## URBAN DESIGN CRITERIA

#### **Environmental Preservation**

<u>Environmental Corridors</u>: Primary environmental corridors are, by definition, a composite of the best individual elements of the natural resource base. Through the preservation of these corridors flood damage can be reduced, soil erosion abated, water supplies protected, air cleansed, wildlife populations enhanced, and opportunities provided for scientific, educational, and recreational pursuits. Accordingly, all remaining undeveloped lands within the designated primary environmental corridors should be preserved in essentially natural, open uses.

Secondary environmental corridors while containing important elements of the natural resource base, do not necessarily contain the variety of such elements that the primary corridors do, nor are the secondary corridors equivalent in extent to the primary corridors. Nevertheless, such corridors may facilitate surface water drainage, maintain "pockets" of natural resource features, and provide for the movement of wildlife, as well as for the movement and dispersal of seeds for a variety of plant species. Accordingly, such secondary corridors should also be preserved in essentially open, natural uses as urban development proceeds within an area, particularly when the opportunity is presented to incorporate such corridors into urban storm water detention areas, associated drainageways, and neighborhood parks and open spaces.

<u>Isolated Natural Areas</u>: In addition to the primary and secondary environmental corridors, other, small concentrations of natural resource base elements exist within the neighborhood. These elements are isolated from the environmental corridors by urban development or agricultural uses. Although separated from the environmental corridor network, such "isolated" natural areas also have important natural value. Isolated natural areas may provide the only available wildlife habitat in an area, provide good locations for local parks and nature study areas, and lend aesthetic character and natural diversity to an area. Accordingly, high-value isolated natural areas should be protected from urban development. Lakes and Streams: Inland lakes and streams contribute to the atmospheric water supply through evaporation; provide a suitable environment for desirable forms of plant and animal life; provide the resident population with opportunities for wholesome recreational areas; provide a desirable aesthetic setting for certain types of land use development; serve to receive, store, and convey floodwaters; and provide certain water supply needs. Accordingly, inland lakes and streams and their associated undeveloped shorelands and floodlands should be protected from urban development and from the deleterious effects of such development.

<u>Wetlands</u>: Wetlands support a wide variety of desirable and sometimes unique plant and animal life; assist in the stabilization of lake levels and streamflows; trap and store plant nutrients in runoff, which reduces the rate of enrichment of surface waters, thus aiding in the control of obnoxious weed and algae growth; contribute to the atmospheric oxygen supply; reduce storm water runoff by providing areas for floodwater impoundment and storage; trap soil particles suspended in runoff, thus reducing stream sedimentation; and provide the population with opportunities for certain scientific, educational, and recreational pursuits. Accordingly, high-value wetlands should be protected from urban development.

Woodlands and Vegetation: Woodlands assist in maintaining unique natural relationships between plants and animals; reduce storm water runoff; contribute to the atmospheric oxygen supply; contribute to the atmospheric water supply through transpiration; aid in reducing soil erosion and stream sedimentation; provide the population with opportunities for certain scientific, educational, and recreational pursuits; and provide a desirable aesthetic setting for certain types of land use development. Accordingly, high-value woodlands should be protected from urban development.

<u>Wildlife Habitat:</u> Wildlife, when provided with a suitable habitat, supplies the population with opportunities for certain scientific, educational, and recreational pursuits; constitutes an integral component of the life systems which are vital to beneficial natural processes, including the control of harmful insects and other noxious pests and the promotion of plant pollination; offers an economic resource for the recreation industries; and serves as an indicator of environmental health. Accordingly, high-value wildlife habitat areas should be protected from urban development.

<u>Soils:</u> Properly relating urban land use development to soil type and distribution can serve to avoid the creation of costly environmental problems and promote the wise use of an irreplaceable resource. Urban development should not be located in areas covered by soils identified in the regional, detailed, operational soil survey as having severe or very severe limitations for such development.

## Neighborhood Recreational/Educational Facilities

Recreational land at the neighborhood level should provide a focal point for neighborhood activities and should be located and developed in conjunction with a neighborhood elementary school. The elementary school and recreational facilities should be provided on a common site available to serve the recreation demands of both the school student and resident neighborhood population. Using a neighborhood park site standard of 1.7 acres per thousand residents, and an elementary school site standard of 1.6 acres per thousand residents,

# OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITY REQUIREMENTS IN A TYPICAL MEDIUM-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT

	Minimum Pub Requirement	Total Land	
Facility	Facility per 1,000 Urban Residents	Number of Facilities	Requirement (acres per facility)
Active Recreation Baseball Diamond Basketball Goal Ice-Skating Rink Playfield Playground Softball Diamond Tennis Court	0.09 0.91 0.15 0.39 0.35 0.53 0.50	1 6 1 3 2 2 3	4.5 0.42 0.35 minimum 4.95 minimum 1.24 minimum 5.36 0.96
Subtotal			17.78 minimum
Passive Recreation Area Other Recreation Area <sup>a</sup>	Add 10 percent active recre Add 10 percent active recre	1.8 1.8	
Subtota I			3.6
Total			21.38 minimum

NOTE: Medium density is defined as 2.3 to 6.9 dwelling units per net residential acre, with a total population of 6,500 within an area of one square mile (640 acres).

<sup>a</sup>Picnicking facilities should be provided in a neighborhood park.

Source: SEWRPC.

a total site area of 3.3 acres per thousand residents should be provided; however, the joint site should have a minimum area of 10 acres. The recreational facility requirements should be based upon the standards set forth in Table 11.

## Walking Distances to Neighborhood Facilities

Residents of the neighborhood should be afforded convenient access to existing and proposed commercial facilities, educational facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, and community facilities which meet the maximum walking distance and travel time criteria shown in Table 12.

#### Streets

Limitation of Access to Arterial Streets: Whenever proposed residential land uses abut an arterial street or highway, the character of the residential uses and the capacity and safety of the arterial facility should be protected by limiting access from the abutting land uses, and by separating through and local traffic, where possible, by reversed frontage. In addition, a planting screen should be provided in a nonaccess reservation along the rear property line.

MAXIMUM WA	LKING DISTA	NCE AND TR	AVEL TIME	STANDARDS
FOR A TY	PICAL MEDIU	M-DENSITY N	NEIGHBORHO	OD UNIT

Facility	Optimum One-Way Walking Distance (miles)	Ma×imum One-Way Walking Distance (miles)	Maximum One-Way Automobile Travel Time (minutes)
Shopping facilities Local Retail and Service Center Community Retail and Service Center Major Retail and Service Center	1/2 1 1/2 	1 3 	5 15 20
Industrial Employment Facilities Community Endustrial Center Major Endustrial Center			15 30
Local Transit Facilities	1/2	3/4	
Educational Facilities Elementary School (K-6) Junior High (7-9) Senior High (10-12) Vocational and Higher Education	1/2 1 1 1/2	1 1 1/2 3	10 20 30
Outdoor Recreational Facilities Subneighborhood Recreation Neighborhood Recreation Community Recreation Major Recreation	1/4 1/2 1 1/2	1/2 1 3 	 20 30

NOTE: Medium density is defined as 2.3 to 6.9 dwelling units per net residential acre.

Source: SEWRPC.

<u>Street Cross-Sections</u>: Table 13 lists the design criteria for arterial streets, collector streets, land access or minor streets, cul-de-sacs, and pedestrian ways used in the preparation of the neighborhood unit plan. The respective cross-sections are shown graphically in Figure 1.

<u>Street Grades:</u> Unless necessitated by exceptional topography, the maximum grade of any street should not exceed the following: arterial streets, 6 percent; collector streets, 8 percent; minor streets, alleys, and frontage streets, 12 percent; and pedestrian ways, 12 percent unless steps of acceptable design are provided. In addition, the grade of any street should not exceed 12 percent or be less than 0.5 percent. Street grades should be established so as to avoid excessive grading, the promiscuous removal of ground cover and tree growth, and unnecessary leveling of the topography.

<u>Street Intersections</u>: Streets should intersect each other at as near to right angles as topography and other limiting factors of design permit. In addition, the number of streets converging at one intersection should be held to a minimum, preferably to not more than two streets at one intersection. The number of intersections along arterial streets and highways should be held to a minimum, and the distance between such intersections should generally not be less than 1,200 feet. Property lines at street intersections should be rounded with a minimum radius of 15 feet or should be cut off by a straight line through the joints of tangency of an arc having a radius of 15 feet.

Street Alignment: When a continuous street centerline deflects at any point by more than 10 degrees, a circular curve should be introduced having a radius of curvature on the street centerline of not less than the following: arterial

## Figure 1



-LINE

PAVEMENT AREA

20.



NOTE: ESTIMATED COSTS ARE IN CONSTANT 1978 DOLLAR AMOUNTS.

4

## STREET DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Type of Street	Minimum Right-of-Way to be Dedicated	Minimum Dimensions
Arterial Streets (four lane)	130 feet	Dual 36-foot pavement (face of curb to face of curb) 26-foot median 10-foot tree banks (curb lawn) 5-foot sidewalks 1-foot outside sidewalks
Collector Streets	80 feet	40-foot pavement (face of curb to face of curb) 14-foot tree banks (curb lawn) 5-foot sidewalks 1-foot outside sidewalks
Land Access or Minor Streets Multiple-Family Area	66 feet	36-foot pavement (face of curb to face of curb) of curb) 9-foot tree banks 5-foot sidewalk 1-foot outside sidewalk
Land Access or or Minor Streets Single-Family Area	60 feet	28-foot pavement (face of curb to face of curb) 16-foot tree bank (one side of street) 10-foot tree bank (curb lawn) 5-foot sidewalk 1-foot outside sidewalk
Cul-de-sac (turnaround)	60-foot radius	48-foot outside face of curb radius 24-foot inside pavement radius (island) 6-foot tree banks (curb lawn) 5-foot sidewalks (if required) 1-foot outside sidewalks
·Pedestrian Ways	20-foot average	To be determined by the City of Franklin on a site-specific basis

Source: SEWRPC.

streets, 500 feet; collector streets, 300 feet; and minor streets, 100 feet. A tangent at least 100 feet in length should be provided between reverse curves on arterial and collector streets. In addition, minor and collector streets should not necessarily continue across arterial streets. If the distance between the centerline intersections of any street and any other intersecting street is less than 250 feet measured along the centerline of the intersecting streets, then the street location should be adjusted so that the distance is increased or the adjoinment across the intersecting street is continuous, thus avoiding a jog in the flow of traffic.

<u>Half Streets</u>: The platting of half streets should be avoided. Half streets put an unrealistic reliance on the chance that adjacent property owners will develop their adjacent properties at the same time. If half streets are allowed and then improved, their narrow width may result in street maintenance as well as traffic circulation problems.

<u>Cul-de-Sac Streets:</u> Cul-de-sacs which are designed to have one end permanently closed should generally not exceed 600 feet in length. Such cul-de-sac streets

should terminate in a circular turnaround having a design as described in Table 13, and as shown in Figure 1.

Handicap and Bicycle Access: Wheelchair and bicycle curb ramps should be installed at street intersection crosswalks pursuant to Section 66.616 of the Wisconsin Statutes.

#### Blocks

The widths, lengths, and shapes of blocks should be suited to the planned use of the land; the zoning requirements; the need for convenient access, control, and safety of street traffic; and the limitations of, and opportunities provided by, topography.

Length: Blocks in residential areas should not be less than 600 feet nor more than 1,200 feet in length unless otherwise dictated by exceptional topography or other limiting factors of good design.

Pedestrian Ways: Pedestrian ways of not less than 20 feet in width may be required near the center and entirely across any block more than 900 feet in length to provide adequate pedestrian circulation or access to schools, parks, shopping centers, churches, or transportation facilities.

<u>Width</u>: Blocks should be wide enough to provide for two tiers of lots of appropriate depth except where required to separate residential development from through traffic. The width of lots or parcels reserved or designated for commercial or industrial use shall be adequate to provide for the off-street service and parking required by the use contemplated and to meet the area zoning restrictions for such use.

<u>Utilities:</u> Telephone and electric power lines should, where practical, be placed on mid-block easements of not less than 20 feet in width centered on the property line and, where possible, along rear lot lines for underground construction.

#### Lots

The size, shape, and orientation of lots shall be appropriate for the location of the subdivision and for the type of development and use contemplated. The lots should be designed to provide an aesthetically pleasing building site and a proper architectural setting for the building contemplated.

Lot Lines: Side lot lines should be at right angles to straight street lines or radial to curved street lines on which the lots face. Lot lines should follow municipal boundary lines rather than cross them.

<u>Double Frontage</u>: Double frontage or "through" lots should be prohibited except where necessary to provide separation of residential development from arterial traffic or to overcome specific disadvantages of topography and orientation.

Access: Every lot should front or abut a public street for a distance of at least 40 feet.

Lot Size: Area and dimensions of all lots should conform to the requirements of the City of Franklin Zoning Code for subdivisions within the neighborhood.

Lot Depth: Excessive depth of lots in relation to width should be avoided, and a proportion of two to one should be considered a maximum depth-to-width ratio. The depth of lots or parcels designated for commercial or industrial use should be adequate to provide for the off-street service and parking required by the use contemplated.

Lot Width: Lots within the interior of a block should have the minimum average width required in the proposed zoning districts for the City of Franklin contained in Table 21.

<u>Corner Lots</u>: Corner lots should have an additional width of 10 feet to permit adequate building setbacks from side streets.

#### General Landscaping

Every effort should be made to protect and retain all existing trees, shrubbery, vines, and grasses not actually lying in public roadways, drainageways, paths, and trails. Trees should be protected and preserved during construction in accordance with sound conservation practices, including the preservation of trees by the use of wells, islands, or retaining walls whenever abutting grades are altered.

<u>Soils and Landscape Tree Planting</u>: A general landscape guide for the planting and selection of various trees to perform a variety of functions such as shade, street landscaping, lawn landscaping, hedges, screens, and windbreaks for the Forest Hills Neighborhood is shown in Appendix B. The landscape guide table is based upon soil types found in the neighborhood and shows the various types of trees which can be accommodated for a variety of landscape planting uses. The various soils found in the neighborhood have been grouped into categories termed "woodland suitability groups," based upon their response and suitability to the same or similar tree species. The woodland suitability groups have been numbered according to a statewide classification system.

Cutting and Clearing: Tree cutting and shrubbery clearing should not exceed 30 percent of the lot or tract and should be conducted so as to prevent erosion and sedimentation and preserve and improve scenic qualities.

<u>Paths:</u> Paths and trails in wooded and wetland areas should not exceed 10 feet in width unless otherwise approved by the City of Franklin, and should be designed and constructed so as to result in the least removal and disruption of trees and shrubs and the minimum impairment of natural beauty.

<u>Street Trees</u>: At least one street tree of an approved species and of at least six feet in height should be planted for each 50 feet of frontage on all proposed dedicated streets. However, the placement and selection of street tree species should not hamper or interfere with access to natural light and air for nearby lots. Tree species should be selected, in part, based upon soil conditions and species hardiness to soil conditions, as set forth in Appendix B.

Wind and Landscape Planting: With respect to wind, landscaping should be done in such a way so as to minimize winter wind and promote summer wind effects upon structures; winter wind protection is afforded by planting landscaping of an adequate height to the west of structures. However, if access to sunlight would be blocked, low shrubs should be used to divert or enhance winds. <u>Sunlight and Landscape Planting</u>: With respect to sunlight, landscaping planted to the south of structures should be short, broad, deciduous species with open twig patterns, affording the passage of light through the branch structure in the winter.

<u>Sunlight and Open Space</u>: In residential areas, the location of open space should be such that whenever possible it acts as a buffer between short structures and the shadows cast by neighboring structures or landscape materials.

#### Easements

Utility easements of widths adequate for the intended purpose but not less than 20 feet on each side of all rear lot lines and on side lot lines or across lots may be required by the City of Franklin where necessary or advisable for electric power and communication wires and conduits; for storm and sanitary sewers; and for gas, water, and other utility lines. Where a subdivision is traversed by a watercourse, an adequate drainageway or easement should be provided as may be required by the City Engineer.

# Storm Water Drainage and Erosion/Sedimentation Control

Storm water drainage facilities should be adequate to serve the subdivision and may include curbs and gutters, catch basins and inlets, storm sewers, road ditches, culverts, open channels, water retention structures, and settling basins. The facilities should be of adequate size and grade to hydraulically accommodate the maximum potential volumes of water flowing through and from the subdivision, and shall be so designed as to prevent and control soil erosion and sedimentation and to present no hazards to life or property.

Where feasible, storm water drainage should be provided in landscaped, open channels of adequate size and grade to hydraulically accommodate maximum potential volumes of flow. These design details are subject to review by the City Engineer.

Earth-moving activities, such as grading, topsoil removal, mineral extraction, road cutting, waterway construction or enlargement, excavation, channel clearing, ditching, drain tile laying, dredging, and lagooning, should be so conducted as to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to least disturb the natural fauna, flora, watercourse, water regimen, and topography. Cut and filled lands outside of street rights-of-way should be graded to a maximum slope of 25 percent or to the angle of repose of the soil.

The subdivider should plant those grasses, trees, and vines--the species and size of which are to be determined by the City, or in the case of trees, those shown in Appendix B--necessary to prevent soil erosion and sedimentation. The City of Franklin may require the subdivider to provide or install certain protection and rehabilitation measures, such as fencing, slopes, seeding, trees, shrubs, riprap, wells, revetments, jetties, clearing, dredging, snagging, drop structures, brush mats, willow poles, and grade stabilization structures. (This page intentionally left blank)

## Chapter IV

## THE RECOMMENDED NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT PLAN

## INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the community development objectives and neighborhood unit design principles set forth in Chapter I of this report and the residential neighborhood urban design criteria set forth in Chapter III of this report, a recommended neighborhood unit development plan was prepared for the Forest Hills Neighborhood. The recommended plan is shown on Map 13. The recommended plan incorporates and refines the best features of a number of preliminary designs. The plan was prepared at a scale of 1" = 200', using topographic maps having a vertical contour interval of two feet, to which cadastral data were added. All of the basic data pertinent to good land subdivision design, including data on soil characteristics, topography and drainage patterns, real property boundaries, existing land uses, and utilities, were carefully considered in the design.

## THE RECOMMENDED PLAN

#### Land Use Description

An area located near the central part of the neighborhood, most of which is presently owned by the Franklin School District No. 5, provides the site for the existing Forest Park Middle School, a proposed neighborhood elementary school, and an adjoining neighborhood park. Access to the site is provided by collector streets along the north and south sides; and by a local access street along the west side. The site encompasses approximately 39 acres, with approximately 21 acres devoted to the existing Forest Park Middle School; 11 acres recommended to be devoted to the proposed elementary school; and 7 acres devoted to the adjoining neighborhood park site. This park would provide opportunites for both active and passive recreation to the residents of the neighborhood. The park would be located within a maximum walking distance of one mile from the farthest part of the neighborhood.

The Franklin Civic Center area, as shown in Figure 2, occupies approximately 39 acres, and is situated in the northwest part of the Forest Hills Neighborhood. Located in the area is the existing city hall building and addition, which provides offices for general governmental services and quarters for the police department. To the north of this area, sites for a proposed federal post office and city library are provided. Proposed to be located in the northeast part of the Civic Center area is a city fire station. Lions Legend Park, an existing 12-acre community facility, occupies the southeast part of the Civic Center area. Located within the park site is an area containing City of Franklin historical buildings. The park site would also contain facilities for softball, tennis, volleyball, a play area, parking, and picnic sites. The plan recommends the preservation of the existing woodland area. A storm water drainage channel is proposed to be located along the eastern part of the Civic Center area. The plan recommends the acquisition and removal of an existing single-family dwelling, located within the Civic Center boundaries, along Drexel Avenue.



48

Source: SEWRPC.

Figure 2

CIVIC CENTER PLAN FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN



A church and private school are both proposed to be located on a 14-acre site located near S. 76th Street and Forest Hill Avenue, owned by the Milwaukee Catholic Archdiocese. It is probable that at least part of this area will be developed for church purposes due to the lack of religious institutions now existing in Franklin.

Areas in the east, south-central, west, and southwest parts of the Forest Hills Neighborhood are proposed to be retained in open space for storm water detention/retention purposes, as shown on Map 13. A major storm water drainage channel is proposed to be located through the western part of the neighborhood, paralleling Loomis road. A small area located in the northcentral part of the neighborhood along Drexel Avenue is also proposed to be retained in open space for storm water conveyance purposes. The specific characteristics of the ponds and open channels should be determined by further engineering studies. Much of the area of the sites proposed for these drainage-related facilities is covered by soils having very severe limitations for urban development. The drainage areas can be maintained in a combination of public and private ownership as further engineering studies may indicate to be desirable.

Community commercial facilities are proposed to be located on a 29-acre site, in the southwest portion of the neighborhood near the intersection of Loomis Road (STH 36) and St. Martins Road (STH 100). Provision should be made for an easement through this site to convey storm water from the proposed retention pond, which is located to the south, to a proposed drainage channel, which is situated to the north of the commercial area. A professional office district is proposed to be located along Loomis Road south of the Civic Center area. A drainage easement will also be required through this area to convey storm water runoff from the west to the east.

Multiple-family residences are proposed to be located in the western portion of the neighborhood, at a net density of about eight units per acre. An area along Loomis Road, located south of Forest Hill Avenue, would provide a site for about 128 multiple-family residence units, with an additional 20 units to be located to the east of this site. The area located to the south of the Civic Center would provide a site for about 152 multiple-family units, with some of these units already existing or under construction. Two-family residences are proposed to be located adjoining the multiple-family and commercial areas and would act as a transition area between these land uses and the lower density single-family residential areas.

The remaining area of the neighborhood is proposed to be developed primarily for single-family residential uses. Because of the large amount of land within the neighborhood devoted to institutional, commercial, recreational, and drainageway uses--approximately 30 percent--and the attendant need to maintain a reasonable overall development density in the neighborhood, the area proposed for single-family residential development is recommended to consist of lots zoned in the highest density single-family residential zoning district permitted by the city zoning ordinance--R-6. Special consideration should be given to the design of any land subdivisions lying to the east of the proposed neighborhood elementary school in order to preserve as much of the existing woodlands as possible.

Factors that must be taken into account in the implementation of the recommended plan include construction of sanitary and storm sewers, minor earthwork in some areas, and cooperation among some adjacent landowners in order to obtain optimal development of their land. Since the entire neighborhood falls within an area of the City proposed for urban development by the year 2000, it is recommended that sanitary and storm sewers be included in any new development proposed in the neighborhood. A few low-lying areas in the neighborhood may require earth fill in order to avoid ponding of storm water and to facilitate positive drainage. Areas of concern in this respect are located in the northern part of the neighborhood to the east of S. 88th Street; in an area south of Forest Hill Avenue along S. 88th Street extended; and in an area along the intersection of Puetz Road and the proposed collector street. All of these areas are situated in proposed residential areas.

The plan in some areas proposes the development of lots lying in two or more existing property ownerships. Many of these lots are necessitated by the irregularly shaped existing property ownerships, and represent an attempt to provide an economical means of developing the property concerned. Cooperation among owners by means of trade-offs or purchases will be required for proper development.

The existing land uses, as of December 1980, shown on Map 7 and the proposed land uses shown on Map 13 are compared in Table 14. The table indicates the number of acres in the neighborhood devoted to each land use category and the proportion of the neighborhood comprised of each land use. Residential land use represents the single largest proposed land use category in the neighborhood, constituting about one half of the total area of the neighborhood when fully developed.

#### Circulation

The proposed street system for the neighborhood is organized on a functional basis and consists of arterial, collector, and land access or minor streets. Arterial streets are arranged so as to facilitate ready access from the neighborhood to centers of employment, governmental activity, shopping and services, and recreation both within and beyond the boundaries of the neighborhood and community. The arterial streets are properly integrated with, and related to, the existing and proposed regional system of major streets and highways and are continuous in alignment with the existing or planned arterial streets and highways to which they connect.

Five arterial streets or highways are shown on the recommended plan for the Forest Hills Neighborhood: existing St. Martins Road (STH 100) along the southwest boundary of the neighborhood, extending in a northwest-southeast direction; existing W. Loomis Road (STH 36) along the western boundary of the neighborhood, extending in a northeast-southwest direction; existing W. Drexel Avenue along the northern boundary of the neighborhood, extending in an eastwest direction; existing S. 76th Street (CTH U) along the eastern boundary of the neighborhood, extending in a north-south direction; and existing W. Puetz Road along the southern boundary of the neighborhood, extending in an eastwest direction. Presently, these existing arterials, with the exception of W. Loomis Road, are two-lane streets or highways. The existing W. Loomis Road is a four-lane divided arterial highway. The recommended plan proposes that St. Martins Road (STH 100) be converted to four-lane divided arterial highway. Also, W. Drexel Avenue, S. 76th Street, and W. Puetz Road are recommended to be converted to divided four-lane arterial streets. In order to meet the minimum recommended right-of-way width for the arterials, an additional fivefoot strip of land along the eastern edge of the neighborhood will have to be acquired for right-of-way purposes for S. 76th Street. Along portions of

51

# EXISTING AND PROPOSED LAND USE IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

	Existin 1	g Land Use 980	Plan I	ncrement	Planned Lan	Ultimate d Use
Land Use Category	Acres	Percent of Total	Acres	Percent Increase	Acres	Percent of Total
Residential Single family Single family Under Development Two family Under Development Multiple family Multiple family Under Development Subtotal	75.0 12.8 3.0 16.9 3.4 15.1 126.2	9.9 1.7 0.4 2.2 0.4 2.0 16.6	217.1 -12.8 50.8 -16.9 33.7 -15.1 256.8	28.7 -1.7 6.7 -2.2 4.5 -2.0 33.9	292.1 53.8 37.1 383.0	38.6 7.1 4.9  50.6
Commercial Neighborhood Retail and Service Community Retail and Service Subtotal	1.2 1.9 3.1	0.2 0.2 0.4	-1.2 41.0 39.8	-0.2 5.5 5.3	 42.9 42.9	 5.7 5.7
Industrial		÷ =	"			
Governmental/Institutional Public Private	35.2	4.6	17.3 13.7	2.3 1.8	52.5 13.7	6.9 1.8
Subtota l	35.2	4.6	31.0	4.1	66.2	8.7
Park and Recreational Neighborhood Parks Community Parks Drainageways and Walkways Other Recreational	11.7 3.5 <sup>a</sup>	1.5 0.5	7.3 78.8	1.0 10,4	7.3 11.7 82.3	1.0 1.5 10.9
Subtotal	15.2	2.0	86.1	11.4	101.3	13.4
Streets and Other Public Ways Arterial Streets Collector Streets Land Access Streets Utility Easements	30.2 11.2 14.1 0.4	4.0 1.5 1.9 0.1	10.0 21.0 76.7	1.3 2.8 10.1	40.2 32.2 90.8 0.4	5.3 4.2 12.0 0.1
Subtotal	55.9	7.5	107.7	14.2	163.6	21.6
Agricultural, Open Lands, and Unused Lands	521.4	68.9	-521.4	-68.9		
Tota!	757.0	100.0			757.0	100.0

<sup>a</sup>Private ownership.

Source: SEWRPC.

W. Drexel Avenue, an additional 32 feet of right-of-way will have to be acquired for arterial street use. Along portions of W. Puetz Road, an additional 25 feet of right-of-way will have to be acquired. All or part of a total of 4.40 miles of arterial streets or highways are contained in the recommended plan. This represents no increase over the existing mileage of such streets and highways.

In order to promote traffic safety and to protect the capacity of the arterial street system, the plan proposes to limit direct access of building sites to arterial streets by backing lots against the arterials where possible. The depth of the lots backed against the arterials has been increased over the generally prevailing lot depth within the neighborhood unit to provide room for a planting strip to buffer the residential uses from the arterial streets. Alternative suggested landscape planting designs for planting screens are shown in Figure 3. A minimum planting screen width of 20 feet is recommended for each alternative. Although Alternative A is the most widely used design, it is monotonous, consisting of two plant types, offering little plant

# Figure 3

# ALTERNATIVE SUGGESTED DESIGNS FOR LANDSCAPED PLANTING SCREENS







Source: SEWRPC.

variety, and requiring more planting material than the other alternatives. Alternative B uses a minimum amount of deciduous species, requires less planting material than Alternative A, and offers a greater variety of form. Alternative C is similar in design to Alternative B, except that larger plant species are used, thus requiring less planting material.

Collector streets are arranged so as to provide for the ready collection and distribution of traffic from and to residential areas and for the conveyance of this traffic from and to the arterial street and highway system. These collector streets also serve special traffic generators such as schools, churches, and shopping centers and certain other proposed concentrations of population or activities in the neighborhood, connecting these traffic generators to the arterial street network. The existing Forest Hill Avenue, presently serving as a collector street, extends in an east-west direction through the neighborhood. An additional 15 feet of right-of-way will have to be acquired along portions of the street in order to meet the recommended standards for a collector street. This street extends directly through the neighborhood without interruption and, as a result, it tends to act as an arterial street. In order to alleviate this problem, stop signs should be installed at the intersection of Forest Hill Avenue and the proposed collector street located to the north of the school site; and the installation of another set of such signs should be considered at the intersection of S. 88th Street and Forest Hill Avenue.

Two collector streets are proposed to serve the northern portion of the neighborhood. One collector street, S. 88th Street, which is partially in existence, extends in a north-south direction, and would serve an area of two-family residences. The other proposed collector street, extending in a north-south direction from Drexel Avenue to Forest Hill Avenue, would serve the north-central part of the neighborhood.

Another proposed collector street which would serve the southern portion of the neighborhood extends in an east-west direction from S. 76th Street to approximately the south-central part of the neighborhood, where it becomes a north-south collector street, eventually intersecting with Puetz Road. Under the recommended plan, a total of 2.99 miles of collector streets would serve the Forest Hills Neighborhood, an increase of 1.62 miles of such streets over the existing system.

The recommended plan proposes the eventual development of 11.54 miles of land access or minor streets, or an increase of 9.98 miles over the existing mileage of such streets in the neighborhood. The proposed land access street network is designed and located to achieve the most efficient use of land; discourage use by through traffic; minimize street area and cost; provide an aesthetic setting for residential development; facilitate the provision of efficient storm water drainage, sewerage, and public water supply facilities; and complement the natural terrain, thereby minimizing the need for grading during the development process. The street locations are based upon careful consideration of a number of factors, including soil characteristics, topography, property boundaries, the hierarchy within the total street system, land use, the principles of neighborhood planning, and the design criteria presented earlier in this report.

Selected data on the proposed street system for the Forest Hills Neighborhood are set forth in Table 15, which indicates the classification, existing right-

## STREETS AND HIGHWAYS IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD: 1980 AND UPON ULTIMATE DEVELOPMENT

	Existin Right-of-	g Way	Propose Right-of-	d Way	Typical	Ultimate
Classification and Name	Width (feet)	Length (miles)	Width (feet)	Length (miles)	Ultimate Cross-Section	Length (miles)
Arterial Streets or Highways S. 76th Street W. Puetz Road St. Martins Road (STH 100) W. Loomis Road (STH 36) W. Drexel Avenue Subtotal	$\begin{array}{c} 60 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 40 & to 65 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 & to 90 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 & to 90 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 33 & tc 65 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \end{array}$	1.00 1.30 0.16 1.03 0.91 4.40	$\begin{array}{c} 65 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 to 90 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 to 90 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 to 90 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 65 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \end{array}$	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Desirable four-lane Desirable four-lane Desirable four-lane  Desirable four-lane 	1.00 1.30 0.16 1.03 0.91 4.40
Collector Streets W. Forest Hill Avenue S. 88th Street Unnamed Collector Streets Subtotal	65 to 120 80 None	1.13 0.24  1.37	80 to 120 80 80	0.00 0.00 1.62 1.62	Urban collector Urban collector Urban collector 	1.13 0.24 1.62 2.99
Land Access or Minor Streets S. 77th Street. S. 78th Street. Legend Drive. Forest Hills Circle. W. Trebon Drive. W. Bur Oak Drive. W. Bur Oak Court. W. Bur Oak Court. W. Cascade Drive. Knoll Court. Beacon Hill Drive. Unnamed Minor Streets.	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	0.18 0.03 0.22 0.13 0.29 0.07 0.27 0.13 0.05 0.12 0.05 0.02	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 0.15\\ 0.13\\ 0.32\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.32\\ 0.00\\ 0.43\\ 7.74\\ 0.72 \end{array}$	Urban minor Urban minor Urban minor 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35\\ 0.18\\ 0.35\\ 0.45\\ 0.29\\ 0.07\\ 0.13\\ 0.05\\ 0.44\\ 0.05\\ 0.45\\ 7.74\\ 0.72\\ \end{array}$
Subtota I		1.56		9.98		11.54
Total		7.33		11.60		18.93

Source: SEWRPC.

of-way, proposed right-of-way, typical cross-section, and length in miles of all streets proposed in the recommended plan.

#### Relationship of Population Growth Trends and Plan

Tables 16 through 19 summarize pertinent data on total resident population and density, school-age population, population distribution by age, and residential development density within the Forest Hills Neighborhood unit for the base year 1980 and for ultimate development in accordance with the recommended plan. In June 1980, the number of dwelling units in the Forest Hills Neighborhood was 74, and the resident population totaled 200 persons. Upon ultimate development of the neighborhood in accordance with the plan, the number of dwelling units would total about 1,450 and the resident population would be approximately 4,300 persons, of which about 1,480 may be expected to be of primary and secondary school age.

If land use development within the Region occurs in accordance with the adopted regional land use plan, the resident population of the City of Franklin may be expected to approximate 38,600 persons by the year 2000, more than doubling the 1980 population estimate of 16,800 persons. Although these forecasts indicate a continued substantial rate of increase in the population of the city, it is highly unlikely that the Forest Hills Neighborhood will be fully developed by the turn of the century. The neighborhood plan presented

## ULTIMATE POPULATION, DEVELOPED ACREAGES, AND RESIDENTIAL DENSITIES IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Element	1980	Planned Increment	Ultimate Development
Population	200	4,:09	4,309
Dwelling Units	74	1,376	1,450
Average ramily Size Fully Developed Residential	2.70		2.97
Land (net acres) Residential Density (persons	65.3 <sup>a</sup>	317.7	383.0
per net developed acre)	3.06		11.25

<sup>a</sup>Includes only land served by public sanitary sewer and water systems.

Source: SEWRPC.

# Table 17

# ULTIMATE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL AGE POPULATION BY GRADE AND BY SCHOOL TYPE IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Sabaal	A 1 1	Private Enrol	School Iment	Public School Enrollment		
Grades	Enroliment	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	
K-6 7-8 9-12	828 340 310	83 34 22	10.0 10.0 7.0	745 306 288	90.0 90.0 93.0	
Total	1,478	139	9.4	1,339	90.6	

Source: SEWRPC.

## Table 18

## ESTIMATED POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Age Group	19 Popul	980 Lation <sup>a</sup>	Ultimate Population <sup>b</sup>		
	Persons	Percent of Total	Persons	Percent of Total	
Under 5 5 6-11 12-13 14-17 18 and o.der	8 3 15 8 19 147	4.0 1.5 7.5 4.0 9.5 73.5	371 104 724 340 310 2,460	8.6 2.4 16.8 7.9 7.2 57.1	
Total	200	100.0	4,309	100.0	

<sup>a</sup> Based on the June 1980 school census data provided by the City of Franklin School District No. 5.

<sup>b</sup>Based on 1970 U. S. Bureau of the Census age group population data for the City of Franklin.

Source: City of Franklin School District No. 5 and SEWRPC.

## DISTRIBUTION OF ULTIMATE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Dwelling Type	Developed Residential Acres	Dwelling Units	Net Density (dwelling units per acre)	School-Age Children per Dwelling Unit	Total School-Age Children	Population per Dwelling Unit	Total Population
Single Family Two Family Multiple Family	292.1 53.8 37.1	858 292 300	2.9 5.4 8.1	1.33 1.00 0.15	1,141 292 45	3.25 2.90 2.25	2,788 846 675
lotal	383.0	1,450	3.8	1.02	1,478	2.97	4,309

Source: SEWRPC.

herein should thus be considered as an "ultimate end stage" plan. The "ultimate end stage" is considered to be that point in time when the neighborhood is fully developed in accordance with the recommended plan.

The recommended neighborhood unit plan is intended to be used as a point of departure in making development decisions over the years in order to avoid costly mistakes that could create serious and costly developmental or environmental problems and to guide actual piecemeal development over time into a coordinated and harmonious whole. In this respect, it must be recognized that over long periods of time, socioeconomic and related cultural conditions, and, therefore, development standards and practices, may change, and such change may dictate changes in the adopted neighborhood unit plan. The responsible public officials must accordingly remain flexible in the use and application of the plan, and the plan itself should be updated on a periodic basis. Future changes in the primary means of transportation may alter the concepts embraced in the preparation of the Forest Hills Neighborhood plan. Similarly, significant socioeconomic changes could occur which would result in a public desire for housing types and styles different from those now prevalent, thus requiring a change in the plan.

Nevertheless, at present and for the near future, the proposed neighborhood unit plan, as presented herein, offers a sound guide to the rational physical development of the delineated neighborhood. Proper utilization of the plan by city officials can provide many benefits, including:

- 1. The plan provides a framework upon which proposed land uses can be properly related to other existing and probable future land uses in the area and to supporting transportation, utility, and storm water drainage needs and facilities. The plan provides for the development of a basic street network able to efficiently and safely move traffic into and out of, as well as within, the neighborhood. The proposed street pattern also provides the basic public rights-of-way necessary to efficiently accommodate needed utilities and storm water drainage.
- 2. The plan can accommodate a diversity of housing types and styles and can accommodate a wide range of land subdivision proposals.
- 3. The plan identifies areas containing significant natural resources which should be permanently preserved in essentially open, natural uses and which can serve to enhance other land uses in the area.

- 4. The plan recognizes soil types and accommodates their associated limitations on development in order to avoid the creation of serious and costly developmental and environmental problems.
- 5. The plan presents proposals for zoning district changes together with an outline, in tabular form, for zoning text changes which can assist in implementing the plan.
- 6. The plan provides for the identification and preservation of sites for such desirable neighborhood facilities as an elementary school and a neighborhood park.

As already noted, the plan should be applied over time in a thoughtful, flexible manner, and the City Plan Commission must assume the final responsibility of determining when, where, and how future development is to take place in the neighborhood. The plan, however, provides the Plan Commission with a broad view of how individual development proposals may be fit into the neighborhood as a whole without creating problems.

## Plan Evaluation in Terms of Lot Yield

One of the factors affecting the cost of improved building sites is the economic efficiency of the land subdivision design; that is, the yield in terms of the number of lots per acre which can be obtained from a particular piece of land. This yield is affected by many factors. Some factors directly affect the yield--such as lot size, block length, and street width--and some factors indirectly affect the yield--such as street pattern, topography, the size and shape of the parcel to be subdivided, and the amount and location of common open space. The net effect of these factors on lot yield can be determined only through an analysis of individual sites and completed subdivision designs.

<u>Subdivision Lot Yield Efficiency Factors</u>: The subdivision of land normally results in the creation of a series of blocks composed of lots, the size of both depending in part upon local zoning and land subdivision regulations. The lot size is primarily determined by zoning regulations in the form of a minimum lot area and a minimum lot width, along with a corresponding minimum lot depth. As part of the Regional Planning Commission's study of historic land subdivision within the Region from 1920 through 1969, which is documented in SEWRPC Technical Report No. 9, <u>Residential Land Subdivision in Southeastern</u> <u>Wisconsin</u>, theoretical maximum lot yields were developed for a full range of urban lot widths and depths.

Lot Yield Efficiency Analysis: After a subdivision has been designed, the actual yield of lots per gross residential acre can be computed. The lot yield efficiency factor for the design can then be computed by dividing the actual yield by the theoretical maximum yield for the same size lot. The larger this factor, the more efficient the design. The theoretical maximum and actual yields were determined for the lot sizes created in the neighborhood design, and the efficiency factor was computed. This factor is compared in Table 20 with historic (1920-1969) design efficiency data. The resulting 69 percent efficiency factor is somewhat low because of the existing long, narrow lots in the neighborhood, making it difficult to divide the lots into smaller, more economical parcels. Also, several larger existing lots, which cannot be divided for various reasons, are reflected in the relatively low efficiency of the lot yield.

# LOT YIELD EFFICIENCY FACTORS FOR THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Zoning District	Lot Size (square feet)	Lot Width (feet)	Lot Depth (feet)	Zoned Area in the Neighborhood (gross acres)	Number of Lots <sup>a</sup>	Actual Yield in Lots <sup>a</sup> per Acre	Theoretical Maximum Yield in Lots <sup>a</sup> per Acre	Theoretical Maximum Number of Lotsa	Efficiency Factor (percent)
R-6 R-7	10,000 12,500	85 100	120 125	398.7 71.4	858 146	2.15 2.05	3.17 2.68	1,263 191	67.9 76.4
Total				. 470.1	1,004	2.14	3.10	1,454	69.0

<sup>a</sup>In the case of the R-7 zoning district, replace "Lots" with "Two-Family Dwellings."

Source: SEWRPC.

(This page intentionally left blank)
## Chapter V

## IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN

#### INTRODUCTION

The design of a neighborhood unit development plan is only the first in a complex series of public and private actions required for the ultimate development of the neighborhood in accordance with the plan. The major steps necessary to formally adopt and implement the Forest Hills Neighborhood plan include: public informational meetings and a formal hearing, formal adoption by the City of Franklin Plan Commission, zoning, official mapping, and careful and consistent review of subdivision plats over time, in light of the plan recommendations.

#### PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

Although the Wisconsin city planning enabling legislation does not require local plan commissions to hold hearings on proposed plan elements prior to adoption of those elements, it is, nevertheless, recommended that in order to provide for, and promote, more active citizen participation in the planning process, the Franklin City Plan Commission hold one or more public informational meetings and a formal public hearing to acquaint neighborhood residents and landowners with the details of the proposed plan and to solicit public reaction to the plan proposals. The plan should then be modified to incorporate any desirable new ideas which may be advanced at the informational meetings and hearing.

#### PLAN ADOPTION

It is important to plan implementation that the recommended plan be formally adopted, thereby clearly expressing the plan as an official public policy. A suggested resolution to adopt the plan is presented in Appendix D. Upon adoption of the plan by the Plan Commission, the secretary should certify such adoption to the Common Council in accordance with Wisconsin's city planning enabling act. A suggested Common Council resolution for adopting the plan is presented in Appendix E. Upon such adoption, the plan becomes the official guide to the making of development decisions concerning the neighborhood by city officials.

#### ZONING

Following adoption of the plan by the City Plan Commission and certification to the Common Council, the Plan Commission should initiate amendments to the city zoning district map and zoning ordinance text to bring the map and zoning ordinance into conformance with the proposals advanced in the adopted neighborhood unit plan as presented herein. Map 14 shows the ultimate zoning districts required to implement the plan. Table 21 provides a summary of the recommended zoning district regulations for each district in the zoning ordinance. Substantive changes are recommended in three areas of the zoning ordinance. A brief explanation of the recommended changes is presented below.





# PROPOSED ULTIMATE ZONING MAP FOR THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD



- NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
- EXISTING PROPERTY BOUNDARY-1980
- ZONING DISTRICT BOUNDARY
- SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT
- TWO-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT
- GENERAL RESIDENCE DISTRICT
- REGIONAL SHOPPING DISTRICT
- PROFESSIONAL BUSINESS DISTRICT

APHIC SCALE

0 200 400

----

- INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT
- FLOODWAY DISTRICT
- CONSERVANCY DISTRICT





# Table 21

# SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED ZONING DISTRICTS FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN

-					_													
				Maximum Residential	1 Minimum Lot Size		Minimum	Yard Requirements		Minimum Building Siże <sup>a</sup>			Addi	tional			۲	
				Density			Width				One Story	Multi-Story	Multi-Story	Bedrooi	ms, Add:	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Zoning	Permitte	ed Uses		dwelling	Total	Area	at Setheck	Front	Side	Rear Yard	Bedrooms	Bedrooms	Total Living Area	One Story	Multi-Story	Height	Coverage	Area
District	Principal	Accessory	Special Uses	net acre)	Агеа	Family	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(feet)	(percent)	Ratio
R-1 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	0.5	2 acres	2 acres	200	100	30; 75 corner	30	2,000	1,300	2,300	250	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	7.5	-
R-2 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family deteched dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	1.1	40,000 square feet	40,000 square feet	150	60	20; 45 corner	30	1,600	1,100	1,900	200	100	30 or 2% stories (whichever is lowest)	10	-
R-3 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	2.2	20,000 square feet	20,000 square feet	100; 110 corner	45	10; 35 corner	30	1,400	1,100	1,700	150	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	15	-
R-4 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	2.7	16,000 square feet	16,000 square feet	95 110 corners	40	10; 30 corner	30	1,350	1,050	1,650	150	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	20	-
R-5 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	3.3	13,000 square feet	13,000 square feet	90; 105 corner	35	10; 25 corner	30	1,300	1,000	1,600	150	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	20	· · · _
R-6 Single-Family Residence District	Single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	4.4	10,000 square feet	10,000 square feet	85; 100 corner	30	10; 19 corner	30	1,250	950	1,550	150	100	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	25	-
R-7 Two-Family Residence District	Two-family dwellings, single-family detached dwellings, parks, home occupations	Off-street parking facilities	Religious institutions, schools, parks, agricultural buildings	7.0	12,500 square feet	6,250 square feet	100	40	10; 30 corner	25	1,150	1,150 each unit	1,150 each unit	250 each unit	250 each unit	30 or 2½ stories (whichever is lowest)	35	-
R-8 General Residence District	None	None	Any use permitted in the R-1 District, two-family dwellings motels and hotels, apartments	7.0	12,500 square feet	6,250 square feet	100	25 plus one additional foot of front yard for each two feet over 35 feet in building height	10 for two-family and multiple- family dwellings plus five feet for each story over two or 30 corner or 12 feet for a nonresidential building with a combined total of two side yards of not less than 30 feet except 30 feet required on street side of corner lots	25	1) Two-famil One-story a) Living bedroo 1,150 s b) Add fo 250 sq c) Add ff less tha 250 sq Two-story a) Same a b) Same a c) Add to baseme 600 sq 2) Multiple-fa Dwelling Unit per Building 3-4 5-10	y dwellings buildings area per unit, til ms or less: quare feet r each addition basement for ex have feet buildings s above each unit if to nt area is less t amily dwellings One-Bedroom Apertment (square feet) 800 700	al bedroom : ach unit is set : tal han cquare feet Add for Add for Add for (square feet) 200 150			100	35	0.4 Two-family; 1.0 Multiple-family; 2.0 Permitted nonresidential use
						· .					5-10 11 or more	700 560	150 250					

8

# Table 21 (continued)

64

			Maximum		Minimum	n Lot Size	Minimum	Yard Requiremen	ts	Min	imum Buildina	Size <sup>a</sup>						
				Residential Density		1	Width				One Story	Multi-Story	Multi-Story	Addi	itional	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Zoning	Permitt	ed Uses		(dweiling units per	Total	Area	at Setback	Front Yard	Side Yard	Rear Yard	Three or Less Bedrooms	Three or Less Bedrooms	Total Living Area	One Story	Multi-Story	Building Height	Lot Coverage	Floor Area
District	Principal	Accessory	Special Uses	net acre)	Area	Family	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(square feet)	(feet)	(percent)	Ratio
PDD Planned Development District	D Individual use and structures in a Planned Development District shall oned comply with the specific building location, height, building size, floor relopment area, lot size, and open space requirements as set forth by the City trict Plan Commission as conditions and restrictions of approval				Minimum Site Area Residential and open space 5 acres Commercial uses 5 acres Industrial uses 40 acres Mixed compatible uses 40 acres								. ,			ń		
B-1 Neighborhood Shopping District	Drugstores, food stores, hardware stores, offices, restaurants, parking lots	Business signs, off-street parking and loading	Churches, clothing stores, hospitals, public utility and governmental service establishments	_	-		-	25	10; 25 corner	20	-	-	-	-	-	35		-
B-2 Commercial District	Banks (drive-in), book stores, clothing stores, drugstores, food stores, offices, theaters	Off-street parking and loading	Automobile sales, banks, churches, hospitals, hotels, motels, parking lots	-	_	-		25	10; 25 corner	20	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	2.0
8-3 Business District	Banks, department stores, food stores, motels, offices, restaurants	Off-street parking and loading	Banks (drive-in), churches, health centers, public utility and governmental service uses	_	-	 	_	25	10; 25 corner	20	-	_	<u> </u>	_	· _	4		2.0
8-4 Regional Shopping District	Banks, appliance stores, food stores, furniture stores, offices, theaters	Off-street parking and loading	Hospitals, automobile sales and repair, public utility and governmental service uses	-	-	-	-	150	150	150	-	-	_		-	-	-	1.0
B-5 Highway Business District	None	Off-street parking and loading	Restaurants, motels, banks (drive-in), places of entertainment	-		-	-	100	20; 50 corner	40	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-
B-6 Professional Business District	Accounting services, dental services, legal services, medical services, real estate services, governmental offices	Off-street parking and loading	Businesses similar to permitted uses		-	-	-	25	10	20	-	-	-	-		-	-	_
M-1 Limited Industrial District	Banks, bakeries, offices, wholesaling and warehousing, fire and police stations, sanitary landfills	Off-street parking and loading	Motor freight terminals, stadiums, churches, health centers, public utility and governmental service uses	-				30	10 percent of lot width; but not less than 10 feet	None	-					50	50	-
M-2 General Industrial District	Banks, automobile services, machine shops, wholesaling and warehousing, parks, fire stations	Off-street parking and loading	Motor freight terminals, stadiums, churches, health centers, public utility and governmental service areas			-		30	10 percent of lot width, but not less than 10 feet	None		-	-	-		-	-	1.5

# Table 21 (continued)

				Maximum	ximum Atistecce 8								1					
1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -				Residential		Minimum Lot Siz	e .	Minimum	Yard Requiremen	nts	Mini	mum Building	Size "	Addi	tional	••···	Manufacture	Maulinaum
	Barm itt	ad Lines		(dwelling		Area	at	Front	Side	Rear	Three or Less	Three or Less	Total Living	Bedroor	ns, Add:	Building	Lot	Floor
Zoning	Principal	Accessory	Special Lises	units per	Total	per Family	Setback	Yard (feet)	Yard (feet)	Yard	Bedrooms (square feet)	Bedrooms (square feet)	Area (souare feet)	One Story	Multi-Story (souare feet)	Height	Coverage (percent)	Area Ratio
M-3	Mineral extraction	Offices, parking	Manufacturing	-	-	-	-	75	75	75	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5
Quarrying District	operations, concrete products, manufacturing presently in existence, concrete ready mix plants	areas, stockpiles	operations, utilities, manufacture of concrete or concrete products									· · · ·						
C-1 Conservancy District	Fishing, wildlife preserves, soil and water conservation	-	Drainage, grazing, orchards, utilities			_	_		-	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P-1 Park District	Forest and reserve areas, parks, playgrounds, athletic fields	Off-street parking	Golf courses, swimming pools, recreational centers	-	1	-	1	50	50	50	1 -	-	-				-	-
l-1 Institutional District	Public administrative offices, schools, churches	Off-street parking	Utilities, airports, penal institutions, rest homes, hospitals	4.4	10,000 square feet	10,000 square feet	85; 100 corners	30	10; 19 corners	25	1,250	950	1,550	150	100	35	50	
FW Floodway District	Drainage, movement of water, stream bank protection, impoundments, wildlife preserves	-	Bridges, utilities, public water measuring and control facilities, recreation facilities	-		-		-		-								
FC Floodplain Conservancy District	Drainage, movement of water, flood overflows, public recreational areas	-	Bridges, utilities, public and private recreational uses	-		-	-	-	-	-	·	-	-		-	- 		-
FFO Floodplain Fringe Overlay District	Land Uses (not including buildings) permitted in the underlying basic use district	-	Utilities, structures placed on fill or floodproofed		-	-	-	-		-	_		-	-	-		-	-
A-1 Agriculturał District	Crop and tree farming, dairy farming, stock raising single- family detached dwellings	Parking and loading areas	Temporary roadside stands for selling agriculturai products, schools, churches, golf courses		+	35 acres single-family detached dwellings; 2 acres all other permitted uses; 20,000 square feet special uses	660	50	-			-	-	-		~		Established at the time permit is granted
A-2 Agricultural District	Crop and tree farming, dairy farming, stock	Parking and loading areas	Temporary roadside stands for selling agricultural	-	•	3 acres single-family detached	200	50				-	-		-	_* *	. –	0.05
	family detached family detached dwellings		churches, schools, churches, golf courses		*	2 acres all other permitted uses; 20,000 square feet special uses		•							· · · ·			

65

NOTE: If basement area is under 600 square feet, add:

For one-story-250 square feet For multiple story-100 square feet For two-story building in R-7-150 square feet per unit

#### Agricultural Districts

As was recommended in SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 43, <u>A Development Plan for the Woodview Neighborhood</u>, the creation of an exclusive agricultural zoning district is recommended. The district is labeled A-1 and serves to retain large farm parcels in their current use until such time as the City may extend a full array of urban services to these lands. An additional benefit to exclusive agricultural zoning is that landowners may be eligible for certain income tax credits under the Wisconsin Farmland Preservation Program, until such time as development occurs. The current City A-1 Agricultural District zoning is retained and relabeled A-2.

#### Institutional District

The plan recommends that an I-1 Institutional District be created in the City of Franklin. The I-1 District will serve to protect from incompatible urban development those lands set aside for schools, churches, and governmental facilities.

#### **Floodland Districts**

It is recommended that the City's current floodland zoning districts be restructured to comply with floodplain management standards currently promulgated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Newly developed technical data contained in the federal "Flood Insurance Study" completed for the City<sup>1</sup> make it possible to more precisely delineate those portions of the natural floodplain which should be protected from encroachment and those portions of the floodplain that can be safely developed with certain management practices. It is recommended that the current F-1 Root River Floodland District and the F-2 Tributary Drainage Floodland District in the zoning ordinance text be replaced by the FW-1 Floodway District, the FC-1 Floodplain Conservancy District, and the FFO Floodplain Fringe Overlay District. The FW-1 District should be mapped to encompass those areas delineated as "floodway" in the "Flood Insurance Study" together with any unnumbered A-zones mapped in that study. The floodway should be preserved essentially in its natural open state to protect the floodwater conveyance capacity. The FC-1 and FFO Districts should be mapped to encompass those areas identified as "floodway fringe" in the "Flood Insurance Study." The application of these two districts would be based on policy decisions made by the City Plan Commission and Common Council. The FC-1 District should be used to encompass those "floodway fringe" lands where urban development pressures are minimal and commitments to provide urban services have not been made, preserving those lands in an essentially natural, open state to protect the floodwater storage capacity. The FFO District should be used to encompass those "floodway fringe" lands on which urban development utilizing sound floodland management practices and safeguards is to be permitted. The FFO District should contain only those portions of the "floodway fringe" lands which have been committed to urban development.

<sup>1</sup>U. S. Geological Survey, "Flood Insurance Study, City of Franklin, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin," preliminary draft, Federal Emergency Management Agency, October 1979. Pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes, the zoning changes recommended by the Plan Commission may be enacted by the Common Council only after a formal public hearing.

#### OFFICIAL MAPPING

Following adoption of the Forest Hills Neighborhood development plan by the Plan Commission and Common Council, the existing and proposed streets, highways, parks, parkways, and playgrounds shown on the plan should be incorporated into an official map for the City. Section 62.23(6) of the Wisconsin Statutes provides that the Common Council of any city may establish an Official Map for the precise designation of right-of-way lines and site boundaries of streets, highways, parkways, parks, and playgrounds. Such a map has all the force of law and is deemed to be final and conclusive with respect to the location and width of both existing and proposed streets, highways, and parkways, and the location and extent of existing and proposed parks and playgrounds.

The Official Map is intended to be used to implement the community and neighborhood plans with respect to proposed streets, highways, parkways, parks, and playgrounds. One of the basic purposes of the Official Map is to prohibit construction of buildings or structures and their associated improvements on land which has been designated for current or future public use. The Official Map is the only plan implementation device that operates on an areawide basis in advance of land development, and can thereby effectively ensure the integrated development of the street and highway system. Thus, unlike subdivision control which can operate only on a plat-by-plat basis as development proposals are advanced, the Official Map can operate over a wide planning area well in advance of development proposals. The Official Map is, therefore, a useful device to achieve public acceptance of long-range plans in that it serves legal notice of the government's intention to all parties concerned well in advance of any actual improvements. It thereby avoids the altogether too common situation of development being undertaken without knowledge of, or regard for, the long-range plan, and thereby does much to avoid local resistance when plan implementation becomes imminent. Since the city does not have an official map for most portions of the city, with the exception of Section 17 and a portion of Section 16, it is recommended that the City adopt an accompanying Official Map for each completed and adopted neighborhood plan.

## SUBDIVISION PLAT REVIEW

Following adoption of the neighborhood unit plan, the plan should serve as a basis for the preparation of preliminary and final land subdivision and certified survey plats within the neighborhood. In this respect, the neighborhood plan should be regarded as a point of departure against which all proposed land division plats are evaluated. Developers should be required to fully justify any proposed departures from the plan, demonstrating that such departures are an important improvement to, or a proper refinement of, the adopted plan. It should be noted that Wisconsin Statutes specifically provide that the approval of a subdivision plat by the Common Council constitutes an amendment to the Official Map, thus providing flexibility in its administration.

#### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM

A municipal capital improvements program should consist of a listing of the proposed major public capital expenditures in order of priority of need. The capital improvement program can serve as a major catalyst to the implementation of the neighborhood plan by including such public works projects as may be necessary to promote development of the neighborhood in accordance with the plan in a timely manner.

#### LOCAL FINANCING

Wisconsin's Tax Increment Law provides for a funding arrangement whereby cities and villages share redevelopment costs with overlying tax jurisdictions, including the county and the State. When a Tax Incremental District is created, a "Tax Incremental Base" is established; this base is the aggregate value of all taxable property in the district as of the date of creation (equalized by the Department of Revenue). Any subsequent growth in the Tax Incremental District base is then "captured" so that as property value increases, levies on this growth represent positive dollar increments used for financing redevelopment. These increments are generated not only from municipal taxes, but also from taxes of overlying jurisdictions.

The Tax Increment Law has been developed to encourage development by allowing the municipality to recover the project costs before the surrounding municipalities benefit from the additional values created. When the project costs are paid off, the added value is then utilized in the apportionment process and every municipality gains. The effect of the Tax Increment Law, then, is to put off reflecting to general government the increase in values due to the improvements financed by the Tax Incremental District until the costs of generating the development are paid for.

It is important to note that the underlying assumption of the Tax Increment Law is that without the Tax Incremental Financing District as a vehicle for development, no development would have taken place. The effect of this would be that there would be no increase in property values in the Tax Incremental District area other than normal economic increases. Therefore, there would be no shift in the relationship between municipalities and no advantage to any municipality. The Tax Incremental Financing District for the City of Franklin, and for the district area pertaining to the Forest Hills Neighborhood, is shown on Map 15.

#### SUMMARY

The neighborhood unit plan implementation process should include public informational meetings and hearings, plan adoption by the City, zoning, official mapping, subdivision plat review, and capital improvements programming as appropriate to promote sound, timely development of the neighborhood. All require a strong commitment by the city government to the implementation of the adopted plan over time. There should be a strengthening of the planning and development review procedure in the City to assure that all development proposals are properly evaluated against the plan recommendations contained herein. It is recommended that in these matters, the City continue to seek and utilize assistance from the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission.



## TAX INCREMENTAL FINANCING DISTRICT FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN AND THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

Map 15

Source: City of Franklin and SEWRPC.

APPENDICES

# Appendix A

# A SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ULTIMATE DEVELOPMENT IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

	Pianned	Ultimate La	and Use	Posidont	al lots			
Land Use Category	Area (acres)	Percent of Primary Use	Percent of Total Area	Number	Percent of Lots	Number	Percent of Total	
Residential Single Family Two Family Multiple Family	292.1 53.8 37.1	76.3 14.0 9.7	38.6 7.1 4.9	858 141 	85.9 14.1	858 292 300	59.2 20.1 20.7	
Subtotal	383.0	100.0	50.6	999	100.0	1,450	100.0	
Commercial Neighborhood Retail and Service Community Retail and Service	42.9	100.0	<del></del> 5.7			 		
Subtotal	42.9	100.0	5.7					
Industrial	~-							
Subtotal								
Governmental and Institutional Public Private	52.5 13.7	79.3 20.7	6.9	=		*		
Subtotal	66.2	100.0	8.7					
Park and Recreational Neighborhood Parks Community Parks Drainageway and Walkways Other Recreational	7.3 11.7 82.3	7.2 11.6 81.2	1.0 1.5 10.9					
Subtotal	101.3	100.0	13.4					
Streets and Other Public Ways Arterial Streets Collector Streets Minor Land Access Streets Utility Easements	40.2 32.2 90.8 0.4	24.6 19.7 55.5 0.2	5.3 4.2 12.0 0.1			  		
Subtotal	163.6	100.0	21.6	••				
lotal	757.0		100.0	999	100.0	1,450	100.0	

	Estin Popul	ated ation	Estim	ated	Number of Jobs		
		Percent	Popul	ation	Estimated	Percent of	
Land Use Category	Persons	Total	Public	Private	Employment	Total	
Residential Single Family Two Family Multiple Family	2,788 846 675	64.7 19.6 15.7	1,034 264 41	107 28 4			
Subtotal	4,309	100.0	1,339	139	·		
Commercial Neighborhood Retail and Service Community Retail and Service Subtotal	<sup>4 + .</sup> 				 429 1129	100.0	
Industrial		· · ·			427	100.0	
Subtotal		·					
Governmental and Institutional Public Private		 					
Subtotal			· · .		· ·		
Park and Recreational Neighborhood Parks Community Parks Drainageway and Walkways Other Recreational							
Subtotal							
Streets and Other Public Ways Arterial Streets Collector Streets Minor Land Access Streets Utility Easements Subtotal				 			
Total	4,309	100.0	1,339	139	429	100.0	

Gross Neighborhood Density: 5.69 persons per acre 1.92 dwelling units per acre Net Neighborhood Density: 11.25 persons per acre 3.79 dwelling units per acre

Source: SEWRPC.

# Appendix B

# LANDSCAPE TREE PLANTING SELECTION GUIDE FOR SOILS FOUND IN THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD

SEWRPC Soil Type		Voodlood	Design	Suggested Trees for Landscape Planting <sup>b</sup>							
Soil Number	Soil Name	Suitability Group <sup>a</sup>	Description of Soils	Shade Trees	Street Trees	Lawn Trees	Hedges, Screens, and Windbreaks				
				For Sunny Sites							
297	Morley Silt Loam	2	Moderately deep to deep, moderately well- to well- drained fine tex- tured soils	Sugar Maple (LO) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) American Beech (LO) White Oak (LR) White Ash (LO) Bur Oak (LR) Sycamore (LO) Hackberry (MR) Silver Maple (LO)	Southern Pin Oak (MP) Thorniess Honey Locust (MO) Norway Maple (MR) Hackberry (MR) White Ash (LO) Sugar Maple (LO) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO)	Flowering Crab (SR) Paper Birch (MO) Blue Beech (SR) Mountain Ash (SO) Black Cherry (LO) White Pine (LP) White Cedar (MC) Southern Pin Oak (MP) White Spruce (MP) Russian Olive (SR)	White Cedar (MC) Red Cedar (SP) Lombardy Poplar (LC) White Spruce (MP) Russian Olive (SR) Upright Yew (SP)				
				For Partial Shade							
			•	American Beech (LO) Sugar Maple (LO) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) White Ash (LO) Hackberry (MR)	White Ash (LO) Norway Mapie (MP) Sugar Mapie (LO) Basswood (LO)	Blue Beech (SR) White Pine (LP) White Spruce (MP) Blue Spruce (MP) Mountain Ash (SO)	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP) Upright Yew (SP)				
					For Sunny	Sites					
299 398	Blount Silt Loam Ashkum Silty Clay Loam	7	Somewhat poorly to very poorly drained upland mineral soils, medium tex- tured	Swamp White Oak (LR) Hackberry (MR) Red Mapie (MO) Basswood (LO) Green Ash (MO) White Ash (LO) Silver Maple (LO) Cottonwood (LO)	Green Ash (MO) Basswood (LO) Red Maple (MO) Southern Pin Oak (MP)	White Spruce (MP) Paper Birch (MO) Mountain Ash (SO) Weeping Willow (MPe) White Cedar (MP) River Birch (MO)	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP) Lombardy Poplar (LC) Laurel Willow (MO)				
			ана (1997) Аларана (1997) Аларана (1997)		For Partial	Shade					
				Swamp White Oak (LR) Hackberry (MR) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) Green Ash (MO) White Ash (LO)	Green Ash (MO) Basswood (LO) Red Maple (MO)	White Spruce (MP) Mountain Ash (SO)	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP)				

## Appendix B (continued)

	SEWRPC Soil Type		Delet	Suggested Trees for Landscape Planting <sup>b</sup>								
Soil Number	Soil Name	Suitability Group <sup>a</sup>	Description of Soils	Shade Trees	Street Trees	Lawn Trees	Hedges, Screens, and Windbreaks					
					For Sunny	Sites						
11W 327	Alluvial Land, Wet Wallkill Silt Loam	9	Somewhat poorly to very poorly drained alluvial or flood plain soils	Swamp White Oak (LR) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) Hackberry (MR) Green Ash (MO) Sycamore (LO) Cottonwood (LO)	Southern Pin Oak (MP) Red Maple (MO) Green Ash (MO) Basswood (LO)	Paper Birch (MO) White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP) Mountain Ash (SO) Weeping Willow (MPe)	White Cedar (MC) Laurel Willow (MO) Lombardy Poplar (LC)					
					For Partia	Shade						
				Swamp White Oak (LR) Hackberry (MR) Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) Green Ash (MO)	Red Maple (MO) Basswood (LO) Green Ash (MO)	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP) Mountain Ash (SO)	White Cedar (MC) Lombardy Poplar (LC)					
				For Sunny Sites								
450 456	Houghton Mucky Peat Ogden Muck	10	Organic soils, peats, and mucks	Silver Maple (LO) Red Maple (MO)	Red Maple (MO) Laurel Willow (MO)	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP) Weeping Willow (MPe)	White Cedar (MC) Laurel Willow (MO)					
				For Partial Shade								
				Red Maple (MO)	None	White Cedar (MC) White Spruce (MP)	White Cedar (MC)					

<sup>a</sup> Woodland suitability groupings have been numbered according to a statewide classification system. In this classification system, soils which respond similarly to use and management and are suitable for the same tree species have been grouped together.

 $^{b}$  following the common name of the suggested tree species, the first letter in parentheses indicates height at maturity: S = less than 30 feet; M = 30 feet to 60 feet; and L = more than 60 feet; and the second letter in parentheses indicates the general shape of the tree foliage at maturity: C = columnar form; O = oval form; P = pyramidal form; Pe = pendulus form; R = round form; and U = umbrelia form.

Source: SEWRPC.

75

## Appendix C



Source: SEWRPC.

17

## Appendix D

#### A SUGGESTED CITY OF FRANKLIN PLAN COMMISSION RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FOREST HILLS PRECISE NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin Plan Commission, pursuant to the provisions of Section 62.23 of the Wisconsin Statutes, has the function and duty of making and adopting a master plan for the physical development of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin Plan Commission has:

- 1. Adopted the regional land use and transportation plans for southeastern Wisconsin as prepared by the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission.
- 2. Prepared and adopted a detailed master plan for land use in the City of Franklin.
- 3. Prepared and adopted a zoning district map for the City of Franklin.
- 4. Prepared and adopted an official map ordinance for the City of Franklin.
- 5. Adopted a plan for the delineation of fourteen residential neighborhoods and two industrial park neighborhoods for the City of Franklin; and

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin Plan Commission, with the assistance of the staff of the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, has proceeded to prepare precise plans to guide the future development of one of the fourteen delineated neighborhoods within the City known as the Forest Hills Neighborhood, a neighborhood generally bounded by Drexel Avenue on the north; Puetz Road on the south; 76th Street (County Trunk Highway "U") on the east; Loomis Road (State Trunk Highway 36) and St. Martins Road (State Trunk Highway 100) on the west; and

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin Plan Commission has held a public informational meeting to acquaint residents and owners within the Forest Hills Neighborhood with the recommendations contained in the plan as described in SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57; and

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin Plan Commission has considered the plan, together with the statements and requests of individual landowners within the neighborhood, and has proceeded to incorporate, where deemed advisable, their requests in the plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Pursuant to Section 62.23 of the Wisconsin Statutes, the City Plan Commission on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 198\_, hereby adopts the precise neighborhood unit development plan described in SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57 as a guide for future development of the Forest Hills Neighborhood; this plan shall be further deemed to be a part of the master plan of the City of Franklin.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

The Secretary of the Plan Commission transmit a certified copy of this Resolution to the Common Council of the City of Franklin and the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission.

City of Franklin Plan Commission Chairman

ATTESTATION:

Secretary, City of Franklin Plan Commission

## Appendix E

## A SUGGESTED CITY OF FRANKLIN COMMON COUNCIL RESOLUTION FOR ADOPTING THE FOREST HILLS NEIGHBORHOOD UNIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Franklin, pursuant to the provisions of Section 62.23(1) of the Wisconsin Statutes, has created a City Plan Commission; and

WHEREAS, the City Plan Commission has prepared, with the assistance of the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, a plan for the physical development of the Forest Hills Neighborhood, said plan embodied in SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57, <u>A Development Plan for the Forest</u> Hills Neighborhood, City of Franklin, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin; and

WHEREAS, the City Plan Commission did on the \_\_\_\_\_\_, 198\_, adopt SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57 and has submitted a certified copy of that resolution to the Common Council of the City of Franklin; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council of the City of Franklin concurs with the City Plan Commission and the objectives and policies set forth in SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Common Council of the City of Franklin on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 198\_, hereby adopts SEWRPC Community Assistance Planning Report No. 57 as a guide for the future development of the Forest Hills Neighborhood; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Plan Commission shall annually review the Forest Hills Neighborhood Plan and shall recommend extensions, changes, or additions to the Plan which the Commission considers necessary. Should the Plan Commission find that no changes are necessary, this finding shall be reported to the Common Council.

Mayor, City of Franklin

ATTESTATION:

Clerk, City of Franklin